Modern Hadron Spectroscopy : Challenges and Opportunities

Adam Szczepaniak, Indiana University/Jefferson Lab

Lecture 1: Hadrons as laboratory for QCD:

- Introduction to QCD
- · Bare vs effective effective quarks and gluons
- Phenomenology of Hadrons

Lecture 2: Phenomenology of hadron reactions

- Kinematics and observables
- Space time picture of Parton interactions and Regge phenomena
- Properties of reaction amplitudes

Lecture 3: Complex analysis

Lecture 4: How to extract resonance information from the data

- Partial waves and resonance properties
- Amplitude analysis methods (spin complications)



Constructing partial waves (from singularities)²

K-matrix K-matrix with Chew-Mandelstam "phase space" N/D Coupled channels Flatte formula Isobar model Kinematical singularities (example) Veneziano Amplitude

Accessing QCD resonances

Qn

 $T = V_{eff} + \sum_{n} V_{eff} \frac{|n\rangle \langle n|}{E - E_n} V_{eff} + \cdots$



Jefferson Lab

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Effective potential between meson

$$V_{eff}(R) \sim \int \psi_1 \psi_2 V_{Q\bar{Q}} \psi_3 \psi_4$$

High spin/mass resonances generated by confining V_{QQ} interact with multi-hadron intermediate states and screen the quark-antiquark potential

Both potentials produce ∞ number of poles ! (poles cannot disappear)

Confined : ∞ n number of bound states in the continuum. No parton production thresholds

Screened : Large decay withers to mupltipartilce finals states (cover rapidity gap in inclusive production)

3

Accessing QCD resonances





Accessing QCD resonances

Coupling to multi-hadron asymptotic states increases with mass/spin of the resonance







Scattering through resonances







One resonance, (e.g. ρ) decaying to 2π (µ=0.134)

$$\frac{g^2}{f(s)} = (0.77^2 - i0.12\sqrt{4 \times 0.134^2 - s}) - s$$

gives a pole at $\sqrt{s}=0.767 - 0.056$ (on IInd sheet)

K(s) has (∞ number of) poles

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{K^{-1}(s) - i\Gamma(s)} \qquad K^{-1} = \Pi_r(E - E_r)$$

 $\Gamma(s)$ Contains effects of multi particles thresholds



With ∞ number of resonances this formula doesn't make sense $f(s) \rightarrow 0$

 $K^{-1}(s)$ needs to have ∞ number of poles (K(s) needs zeros)

Example

Quadratically spaced radial trajectories





Linearly spaced radial trajectories (Veneziano)

$$K(s) \sim \frac{\Gamma(a-s)}{\Gamma(b-s)}$$



Unitarization

$$f(s) = \frac{K(s)}{1 - iK(s)\rho(s)}$$

K(s) has poles and zeros Phase space, besides unitarity branch point has spurious singularities

$$K(s) = rac{P(s)}{Q(s)}$$
 Zeros Poles

$$f(s) = \frac{P(s)}{Q(s) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_{tr}} ds' \rho(s') \frac{P(s')}{s' - s}} \qquad f(s) = \frac{\cos(\pi s)}{\sin(\pi s) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_{tr}} ds' \rho(s') \frac{\cos(\pi s')}{s' - s}}$$

If P(s) has ∞ of zeros it is necessary to "divide" out asymptotic behavior

$$f(s) = \frac{\frac{1}{\Gamma(b-s)}}{\frac{1}{\Gamma(a-s)} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_{tr}} ds' \rho(s') \frac{1}{\Gamma(b-s')(s'-s)}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{\Gamma(b-s)}}{\frac{1}{\Gamma(b-s)}}$$

$$f(s) = \frac{\Gamma(b-s)}{\frac{1}{\Gamma(a-s)} - \frac{s^{-b+s}}{\pi} \int_{s_{tr}} ds' \rho(s') \frac{(s')^{b-s'}}{\Gamma(b-s')(s'-s)}}$$

"Almost correct", need to remove phases)

Other effects



Non-quark model resonances (tetraquarks)



Yukawa exchange (possibly relevant of when pion exchange)







bess3

Relativistic case





Other effects of partial wave analyticity

Scalar particle scattering 1+2 -> 3 + 4

$$A_l(s) = \int dz_s A(s, t(s, z_s), u(s, z_s)) P_l(\cos\theta)$$

Partial waves have "right hand" singularity (from s) and "left hand" (from t and u) For example assume equal masses

$$\propto (m_e^2 - t(s, z_s))^{-1} \qquad t = -\frac{(s - 4m^2)}{2}(1 - z_s)$$

$$A_0(s) \sim \int_{-1}^1 dz_s \frac{1}{m_e^2 + \frac{(s - 4m^2)}{2}(1 - z_s)}$$

For s>4m² integral is finite

For $s < 4m^2 - m_e^2$ the detonator crosses 0 within integration limi, implying A₀(s) has a cut for negative s

Scalar amplitudes have simple singularity structure, but partial waves a much more complicated. They also have kinematical singularities when spin and/or unequal masse are involved



Bound states and Virtual States



virtual state : pole on "unphysical sheet" closest the physical region

INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab

- f0(980),
- a0(980),
- a1(1420),
- Lambda(1405),
- XYZ,
- Thresholds are "windows" to singularities (particles, visual states, forces") located on the nearby unphysical sheet.
- They appear as cusps (if below threshold) or bumps (is above)



thresholds "cut"

the physical energy plane

Example : B-> J/psi K pi



J/ $\Psi(1)$ B(2) → K(3) $\pi(4)$: s-channel J/ $\Psi(1)$ K(3) → B(2) $\pi(4)$: t-channel J/ $\Psi(1)$ $\pi(\overline{4})$ → K(3) $\overline{B}(2)$: u-channel B(2) → J/ $\Psi(\overline{1})$ K(3) $\pi(4)$: decay



Veneziano model and application to Dalitz plot analysis

Adam Szczepaniak, Indiana U./JLab

Historical role

Properties

Application to $J/\psi \rightarrow 3\pi$ decays

Generalizations (dual models)



bess3



relativistic h.o.



 $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$



INDIANA UNIVERSITY







Properties:

- Duality: resonances in direct channel dual to reggeons in cross channels and backgrounds are dual to the pomeron
- All resonances are "connected": resonances belong to Regge trajectories (reggeons)
- Asymptotics: determined by Regge poles
- Unitarity: imaginary parts determined by decay thresholds

Veneziano amplitude satisfies all of the above except unitarity, which implemented in the Szczepaniak-Pennington model



Veneziano amplitude: "compact" expression for the full amplitude

$$A(s,t) = \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha(s))\Gamma(-\alpha(t))}{\Gamma(-\alpha(s) - \alpha(t))} \qquad \alpha(s) = a + bs$$

resonance/reggeon in $s=m_{12}^2$





resonance/reggeon in $t=m_{23}^2$

A(s,t) can be written as sum over resonances in ether channel.

$$A(s,t) = \sum_{k} \frac{\beta_k(t)}{k - \alpha(s)} = \sum_{k} \frac{\beta_k(s)}{k - \alpha(t)}$$

Note: in V-model resonance couplings, β , are fixed!

 $\beta_k(t) \propto (1 + \alpha(t))(2 + \alpha(t)) \cdots (k + \alpha(t))$

$$V(p,\lambda) \to \pi^i(p_1)\pi^j(p_2)\pi^k(p_3)$$

$$A(s,t,u) = \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\mu}(p,\lambda)p_1^{\nu}p_2^{\alpha}p_3^{\beta}$$
$$\times [A_{n,m}(s,t) + A_{n,m}(s,u) + A_{n,m}(t,u)]$$



INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Resonances couplings, β , should depend on final state particles: a linear superposition of Veneziano amplitudes can be used to suppress or enhance individual resonances or trajectories



$$A_{n,m}(s,t) = \sum_{k}^{\infty} \frac{\beta(t)}{k - \alpha(s)} = \sum_{k}^{\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{k - \alpha(t)}$$

how to remove (infinite) number of poles?



 $n \ge m \ge 1$

$$A_{1,1} = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \alpha_s)\Gamma(1 - \alpha_t)}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha_s - \alpha_t)}$$

has poles at $\alpha_s=1,2,3,...$

have poles at $\alpha_s=2,3,4,...$

Use a linear combination of $A_{2,1}$ and $A_{2,2}$ to remove pole at $\alpha_s = 2$

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

$$A_{2,2} = \frac{\Gamma(2 - \alpha_s)\Gamma(2 - \alpha_t)}{\Gamma(4 - \alpha_s - \alpha_t)}$$

 $A_{2,1} = \frac{\Gamma(2 - \alpha_s)\Gamma(2 - \alpha_t)}{\Gamma(3 - \alpha_s - \alpha_t)}$

have poles at $\alpha_s=3,4,5,...$

Use a linear combination of A_{3,1}, A_{3,2} ,A_{3,3}, to remove pole at α_s =3, $A_{3,1}, A_{3,2}, A_{3,3}$

have poles at $\alpha_s = 4,5,6,...$

$$A_{n,m}(s,t) \to \mathcal{A}(s,t) = \sum_{n \ge 1, n \le m \le 1} c_{n,m} A_{n,m}(s,t)$$

remove all poles but the one at $\alpha=1$

$$c_{n,1} = \frac{c_{1,1}}{\Gamma(n)}, \ c_{n,2} = -\frac{c_{1,1}}{\Gamma(n-1)}, \ c_{n,m} = 0 \text{ for } m > 2,$$

$$\mathcal{A}_1(s,t) = c_{1,1} \frac{2 - \alpha_s - \alpha_t}{(1 - \alpha_s)(1 - \alpha_t)}.$$

... but the Regge limit is now lost !

remove all poles between $N \ge \alpha \ge 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1(s,t;N) &= c_{1,1} \frac{2 - \alpha_s - \alpha_t}{(1 - \alpha_s)(1 - \alpha_t)} & \text{has Regge limit is for s > N} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(N + 1 - \alpha_s)\Gamma(N + 1 - \alpha_t)}{\Gamma(N)\Gamma(N + 2 - \alpha_s - \alpha_t)} \end{aligned}$$

In the past this was done by choosing an arbitrary set of n,m and fitting c(n,m) to the data (e.g. Lovelace, Phys. Lett. B28, 265 (1968), Altarelli, Rubinstein, Phys. Rev. 183, 1469 (1969))

The Szczepaniak-Pennington model does this in a systematic way. In addition it allows for imaginary non-linear (and complex) trajectories without introducing "ancestors"

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_n(s,t;N) &= \frac{2n - \alpha_s - \alpha_t}{(n - \alpha_s)(n - \alpha_t)} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i} (-\alpha_s - \alpha_t)^{i-1} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(N+1 - \alpha_s)\Gamma(N+1 - \alpha_t)}{\Gamma(N+1 - n)\Gamma(N+n+1 - \alpha_s - \alpha_t)}. \end{aligned}$$

n: number of Regge trajectories $a_{n,i}$: determine resonance couplings N: determines the onset of Regge behavior $\alpha(s), \alpha(t) = \text{Re } \alpha + i \text{ Im } \alpha$: with Im α related to resonance widths All poles below a = N except at a = n

$$\mathcal{A}_{n}(s,t;N) = a_{n,0} \frac{2n - \alpha_{s} - \alpha_{t}}{(n - \alpha_{s})(n - \alpha_{t})} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_{n,i} - \alpha_{s} - \alpha_{t}) \right]$$
$$\times \frac{\Gamma(N + 1 - \alpha_{s})\Gamma(N + 1 - \alpha_{t})}{\Gamma(N + 1 - n)\Gamma(N + n + 1 - \alpha_{s} - \alpha_{t})}$$

at α_s =n residue is a polynomial in t of order n-1 (remember to add 1 from the Levi-Civita tensor)



$$A(s,t,u) = \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\mu}(p,\lambda)p_1^{\nu}p_2^{\alpha}p_3^{\beta}$$

$$\times [A_{n,m}(s,t) + A_{n,m}(s,u) + A_{n,m}(t,u)]$$

A₁ has $\rho(770)$ A₃ has $\rho(1700)$, $\rho_3(1690)$ A₅ has $\rho''(2150)$, $\rho_3(2250)$, $\rho_5(2350)$

t



INDIANA UNIVERSITY



FIG. 2: Dalitz plot projection of the di-pion mass distribution from J/ψ decay. The solid is the result of the fit with three amplitudes and the dashed line with the amplitude \mathcal{A}_1 alone. The insert shows the mass region of the ρ_3 and its contribution from the fit with the full set of amplitudes (solid line) as compared. Absence of the structure at 1.7GeV from the fit with the \mathcal{A}_1 amplitude is indicated by the dashed line.



FIG. 3: Dalitz plot projection of the di-pion mass distribution from ψ' decay. The solid is the result of the fit with three amplitudes and the dashed line is the fit with \mathcal{A}_1 alone.

B₅ amplitude:

Reggeons/ Resonances in all 5 channels



Amplitude analysis of the Z_c(3900)

- Reactions Y(4260) -> J/ $\Psi\pi\pi$ and Y(4260) -> DD^{*} π
- Amplitude is an analytical function of s, the $J/\Psi\pi\,mass^2$
- Two coupled channels -> 4 Riemann sheets of A(s) (S-wave partial wave)



t/u channel exchange close to the s-channel physical region (Cusps in the s-channel)

s channel exchange close to the schannel physical region (Resonance bumps in the s-channel)



Singularity structure





The fit I

• no t/u channel singularities + s-channel pole



Figure 3: Result of the fit for the scenario III (Flatté K-matrix, without triangle singularity).



The fit II

• u-channel cusp + s-channel pole ((III sheet)



Figure 4: Result of the fit for the scenario III+tr. (Flatté K-matrix, with triangle singularity).



The fit III

• t/u channel singularities + no s-channel pole



Figure 5: Result of the fit for the scenario IV+tr. (constant K-matrix, with triangle singularity).



The fit IV

• t/u channel singularities + no s-channel pole



Figure 6: Result of the fit for the scenario tr. (triangle singularity only).



Where do cusp come from

- Possible amplitude singularities are determined by analyticity and unitarity
 - No singularities on the physical (Ist sheet)
 - At fixed, physical t, growth in s is limited in all directions in the complex plane
 - · Poles, sqrt, of log-branch points



A popular Quark Model motivated^(*) amplitude behaves like $exp(-q^2)$ q=1 relative 3-momentum I or A(s) ~ exp(-s)

Such amplitude violates analyticity

(*) From overlap of four Gaussian wave functions representing confined quarkanit quark pairs



An unphysical cusp effect





Identification of Resonances

Comment on spin formalism

- Analyticity means to explore singularities. There are kinematical and dynamical singularities
- Kinematical singularities are due to spin and mass differences (e.g. pseudo thresholds)
- Helicity, Spin-Oribt, Zemach tensors, Chung's relativistic corrections, covariant LS by Fillipini et al, Bonn-Gatchina, ...: a confusing state of affairs



- Introduce model dependent s,t,u factors
- Do not isolate kinematical singularities
- Do not satisfy crossing

