



Recent results on hadronic spectroscopy at LHCb

M. Needham University of Edinburgh Mainz, 28th June 2017 On behalf of the LHCb collaboration

P^h_s[▲]¹⁷



Outline



- Introduction
- Quarkonia production in b $\rightarrow \Phi \Phi X$ decays New
- XYZ states: pentaquarks and tetraquarks
- Observation of excited Ω_c baryons
- Summary and outlook

Impossible to present everything in 25 minutes hence focus on more recent results + XYZ states



Introduction



LHCb Integrated Luminosity in pp collisions 2010-2016



- World largest heavy flavour dataset ! 3 fb⁻¹ Run 1, 2 fb⁻¹ Run2
- Precision tracking
- Excellent PID using RICH
- Trigger for fully hadronic decays







Charmonia production in $b \rightarrow \phi \phi X$ decays





Studies of inclusive quarkonia production in b-hadron decays allows to probe QCD production models and to make spectroscopic measurements

Many charmonia states decay to $\phi\phi$ final state



- Measured charmonium masses in agreement with world averages
- Precision of $\eta_c(1S)$ mass comparable to/in agreement with world average

arXiv:1706.07013

Differential cross-section versus pt studied

Various branching ratios quoted, LHCb measurement of b $\rightarrow \eta_c X$ inclusive BF in EPJC 75 (2015) 311 used for normalization

 $\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c0}X) = (3.02 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.94_{\mathcal{B}}) \times 10^{-3}$ $\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c1}X) = (2.76 \pm 0.59 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.89_{\mathcal{B}}) \times 10^{-3}$ $\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c2}X) = (1.15 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.36_{\mathcal{B}}) \times 10^{-3}$

[Factorization: χ_{c0} and χ_{c2} suppressed. Spin counting χ_{c0} suppressed to χ_{c2} arxiv 9808360)

Exotic Spectroscopy

Exotic Spectroscopy

Why do quarks seem to come in twos or threes?

A puzzle since earliest days of the quark model

A SCHEMATIC MODEL OF BARYONS AND MESONS *

M.GELL-MANN California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California

Received 4 January 1964

A simpler and more elegant scheme can be constructed if we allow non-integral values for the charges. We can dispense entirely with the basic baryon b if we assign to the triplet t the following properties: spin $\frac{1}{2}$, $z = -\frac{1}{3}$, and baryon number $\frac{1}{3}$. We then refer to the members u^2_3 , $d^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, and $s^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ of the triplet as "quarks" 6) q and the members of the anti-triplet as anti-quarks \bar{q} . Baryons can now be constructed from quarks by using the combinations (q q q), $(q q q \bar{q})$, etc., while mesons are made out of $(q \bar{q})$, $(q q \bar{q} \bar{q})$, etc. It is assuming that the lowest

Hot topic in recent years. Many candidates claimed

Pentaquarks

Summer 2015 LHCb observed two pentaquark candidates in $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi pK$

Pentaquarks

Confirmed with model independent approach PRL 117, 082003 (2016)

Important to confirm these observations in other channels + search for other pentaquarks

LHCb

5

 $m_{J\!/\psi p}\,[{
m GeV}]$

Cabibbo suppressed mode (less statistics)

Can be exotic Z contributions in $J/\psi p$

Fit with 2 pentaquarks $+ Z_c(4200)$ favoured by 3σ compared to no exotic contributions

Suggested in arxiv: 1604.03769 to look for udscc pentaquark in this mode

Observation of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \chi_{c1,2} pK$ LHCb

 $P_c(4450)^+$ very close to $\chi_{c1}p$ threshold: Triangle singularity ? (PRD92 071502 (2015)). Motivates studies in this mode

Study with radiative χ_{cJ} decays

Mass constraint on χ_{c1} mass to improve resolution

Forces χ_{c2} signal to lower mass

First study observation of this mode Measure BF, Λ_b mass

Next step angular analysis adding Run 2 data

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \chi_{c1} p K^-)}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \, p K^-)} &= 0.242 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.009\\ \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \chi_{c2} p K^-)}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \, p K^-)} &= 0.248 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.009\\ \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \chi_{c2} p K^-)}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \chi_{c1} p K^-)} &= 1.02 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05 \,, \end{aligned}$$

 $\Lambda_b \text{ mass } 5619.44 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.26 \, \mathrm{MeV}/c^2$

The puzzle of the X(4140)

- CDF observed a narrow structure at threshold in $B^+ \rightarrow (J/\psi \phi) K^+$
- CMS also saw this and confirmed hint of structure at X(4300)
 - CMS and CDF parameters not in best of agreement
- Early LHCb analysis: no narrow structure (PRD 85 091103(R) 2012)

Exotic quarkonia candidates: Tetraquarks ? molecules ? cusps ?

First full amplitude analysis using LHCb Run 1 dataset $B^+ \rightarrow (J/\psi\phi) K^+$

Complication: have to deal with decays of excited K^* to ϕK^+

Model with excited K^{*} alone cannot describe the data

The puzzle of the X(4140)

Data well described by inclusion of four broad exotic resonances found

17

Phys Rev. Lett 118 (2017) 022003

Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) 012002

_	Fit results]		sign.	Contri-
- Measured width	FF %	$\Gamma_0 \; [\mathrm{MeV} \;]$	$M_0 \; [\mathrm{MeV}]$	or Ref.	bution
of $X(4140)$ bigger than	$16\pm 3 + 6 - 2$				All $X(1^+)$
	$13.0 \pm 3.2 {}^{+4.8}_{-2.0}$	$83 \pm 21 {}^{+21}_{-14}$	$4146.5 \pm 4.5 {}^{+4.6}_{-2.8}$	8.4σ	X(4140)
previous experiments		15.7 ± 6.3	4147.1 ± 2.4	Table 1	ave.
	$7.1{\pm}2.5{}^{+3.5}_{-2.4}$	$56 \pm 11 {}^{+8}_{-11}$	$4273.3 \pm 8.3 \substack{+17.2 \\ -3.6}$	6.0σ	X(4274)
Analysis also provides		$32^{+22}_{-15}\pm 8$	$4274.4^{+8.4}_{-6.7}\pm1.9$	[29]	CDF
Analysis also provides		$38^{+30}_{-15} \pm 16$	$4313.8 \pm 5.3 \pm 7.3$	[25]	CMS
precise information on	$28\pm 5\pm 7$				All $X(0^+)$
excited K* states	$46 \pm 11 \ ^{+11}_{-21}$			6.4σ	$\operatorname{NR}_{J\!/\!\psi\phi}$
	$6.6 {\pm} 2.4 {}^{+3.5}_{-2.3}$	$92 \pm 21 {}^{+21}_{-20}$	$4506 \pm 11 {}^{+12}_{-15}$	6.1σ	X(4500)
	$12\pm 5 \ ^{+9}_{-5}$	$120\pm31_{-33}^{+42}$	$4704 \pm 10^{+14}_{-24}$	5.6σ	X(4700)

What are the observed states ? Molecules, cusps, tetraquarks ?

X(4140) fits D_sD_s* cusp predicted by Swanson (Int. J Mod Phys E25 1652010 16)

X(4274) quantum numbers rules out cusp + molecule assignments

Resonance Cusp

Charm baryons

Excited Ω_c states

	Resonance	Mass (MeV)	$\Gamma (MeV)$	Yield	N_{σ}
	$\Omega_{c}(3000)^{0}$	$3000.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$4.5\pm0.6\pm0.3$	$1300 \pm 100 \pm 80$	20.4
11	$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	$3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$0.8\pm0.2\pm0.1$	$970\pm60\pm20$	20.4
(20			$< 1.2\mathrm{MeV}, 95\%$ CL		
]]	$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	$3065.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$3.5\pm0.4\pm0.2$	$1740 \pm 100 \pm 50$	23.9
500	$\Omega_c(3090)^0$	$3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$8.7\pm1.0\pm0.8$	$2000\pm140\pm130$	21.1
18.	$\Omega_{c}(3119)^{0}$	$3119.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$1.1\pm0.8\pm0.4$	$480\pm70\pm30$	10.4
RL 118, 1			$<2.6{\rm MeV},95\%$ CL		
	$\Omega_{c}(3188)^{0}$	$3188 \pm 5 \pm 13$	$60 \pm 15 \pm 11$	$1670 \pm 450 \pm 360$	
	$\Omega_{c}(3066)^{0}_{\rm fd}$			$700 \pm 40 \pm 140$	
Р	$\Omega_c(3090)^0_{\rm fd}$			$220\pm60\pm90$	
	$\Omega_{c}(3119)_{\rm fd}^{0}$			$190 \pm 70 \pm 20$	

5 narrow states evidence for sixth broader state at high mass

More measurements needed to match observed states to theory predictions

One puzzle is narrowness of states

Paper has 23 citations so far

Summary + Outlook

LHCb has made many important contributions to hadronic spectroscopy

- Studies of quarkonia production and properties
- Observation of Pentaquark/tetraquark candidates
- Observation of new charm baryons
- A lot more to come exploiting large Run 2 dataset !

The LHCb Detector

 $M_{\eta_c(1S)} = 2982.81 \pm 0.99 \pm 0.45 \text{ MeV}/c^2,$ $M_{\chi_{c0}} = 3412.99 \pm 1.91 \pm 0.62 \text{ MeV}/c^2,$ $M_{\chi_{c1}} = 3508.38 \pm 1.91 \pm 0.66 \text{ MeV}/c^2,$ $M_{\chi_{c2}} = 3557.29 \pm 1.71 \pm 0.66 \text{ MeV}/c^2,$ $M_{\eta_c(2S)} = 3636.35 \pm 4.06 \pm 0.69 \text{ MeV}/c^2,$ $\Gamma_{\eta_c(1S)} = 31.35 \pm 3.51 \pm 2.01 \text{ MeV}.$

 $\mathcal{B}(b \to X(3872)X) \times \mathcal{B}(X(3872) \to \phi\phi) < 4.5(3.9) \times 10^{-7}$ $\mathcal{B}(b \to X(3915)X) \times \mathcal{B}(X(3915) \to \phi\phi) < 3.1(2.7) \times 10^{-7}$ $\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c2}(2P)X) \times \mathcal{B}(\chi_{c2}(2P) \to \phi\phi) < 2.8(2.3) \times 10^{-7}$

The puzzle of the X(4140)

•					
sıgn.		F	it results	-	
: Ref.	$M_0 \mid \text{MeV} \mid$	$\Gamma_0 [$ MeV $]$	FF %	f_L	f_{\perp}
8.0σ			$42\pm 8^{+5}_{-9}$		
			$16 \pm 13 {}^{+35}_{-6}$	0.52 ± 0.29	0.21 ± 0.16
7.6σ	$1793 \pm 59 {}^{+153}_{-101}$	$365 \pm 157 {}^{+138}_{-215}$	$12 \pm 10^{+17}_{-6}$	0.24 ± 0.21	0.37 ± 0.17
[53]	1900	210	0		
[37]	$1650\!\pm\!50$	150 ± 50			
1.9σ	$1968 \pm 65 ^{+70}_{-172}$	$396 \pm 170 {}^{+174}_{-178}$	$23\pm20^{+31}_{-29}$	0.04 ± 0.08	0.49 ± 0.10
[53]	1930	110	20		
5.6σ			$11\pm 3^{+2}_{-5}$		
5.0σ	$1777 \pm 35 {}^{+122}_{-77}$	$217 \pm 116 {}^{+221}_{-154}$	Ŭ	0.64 ± 0.11	0.13 ± 0.13
[53]	1780	101			
[37]	1773 ± 8	188 ± 14			
3.0σ	$1853 \pm 27 {}^{+}_{-} {}^{18}_{35}$	$167\pm 58^{+83}_{-72}$		0.53 ± 0.14	0.04 ± 0.08
[53]	1810	. 2			
[37]	$1816\!\pm\!13$	$276\pm$ 35			
8.5σ	$1722 \pm 20 {+}^{+}_{-109} {}^{33}_{-109}$	$354\pm\ 75^{+140}_{-181}$	$6.7 \pm 1.9 {}^{+3.2}_{-3.9}$	0.82 ± 0.04	0.03 ± 0.03
[53]	1780				
[37]	$1717{\pm}27$	322 ± 110			
5.4σ	$2073 \pm 94 {}^{+245}_{-240}$	$678 \pm 311 {}^{+1153}_{-\ 559}$	$2.9 \pm 0.8 {}^{+1.7}_{-0.7}$	0.15 ± 0.06	0.79 ± 0.08
[53]	1940				
[37]	$1973\!\pm\!26$	373 ± 69			
3.5σ	$1874 \pm 43 {}^{+}_{-115} {}^{59}_{-115}$	$168 \pm 90 {}^{+280}_{-104}$	$2.6 \pm 1.1 {}^{+2.3}_{-1.8}$	1.0	
[53]	2020				
[37]	~ 1830	~ 250			
			$16\pm3 + 6 \\ - 2$		
8.4σ	$4146.5 \pm 4.5 {}^{+4.6}_{-2.8}$	$83 \pm 21 {}^{+21}_{-14}$	$13.0 \pm 3.2 {}^{+4.\bar{8}}_{-2.0}$		
ble 1	4147.1 ± 2.4	15.7 ± 6.3	2.0		
6.0σ	$4273.3 \pm 8.3 \substack{+17.2 \\ -3.6}$	$56 \pm 11 {+}^{+}_{-11}{}^{8}$	$7.1 \pm 2.5 {}^{+3.5}_{-2.4}$		
[29]	$4274.4_{-6.7}^{+8.4} \pm 1.9$	$32^{+22}_{-15}\pm 8$			
[25]	$4313.8 \pm 5.3 \pm 7.3$	$38 {}^{+30}_{-15} \pm 16$			
		**	$28\pm 5\pm 7$		
6.4σ			$46 \pm 11 \ ^{+11}_{-21}$		
6.1σ	$4506 \pm 11 {}^{+12}_{-15}$	$92\pm21^{+21}_{-20}$	$6.6 \pm 2.4 {}^{+3.5}_{-2.3}$		
5.6σ	$4704 \pm 10 {}^{+14}_{-24}$	$120 \pm 31 {}^{+42}_{-33}$	$12\pm 5 + \frac{109}{-5}$		