

Martin Bauer, Matthias Neubert, PRL **116** (2016) 141802 Martin Bauer, Clara Hörner, Matthias Neubert, 16??.????



Effective Field Theories for Collider Physics, Flavor Phenomena and Electroweak Symmetry Breaking Eltville 2016



UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG ZUKUNFT SEIT 1386

$$R_K = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\mu^+\mu^-)}{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}e^+e^-)} = 0.745 \,{}^{+0.090}_{-0.074} \pm 0.036$$

LHCb, arXiv:1406.6482 hep-ex



LHCb, arXiv:1406.6482 hep-ex



Number of electron pairs

 $172^{+20}_{-19} + 20^{+16}_{-14} + (62 \pm 13)$

Number of muon pairs

 1226 ± 41

Experimentalists:



ANOMALIES IN THE B SECTOR: SEMILEPTONIC DECAYS

• $b \rightarrow s$ transitions

Decay	obs.	q^2 bin	SM pred.	measuren	nent	pull
$\bar{B}^0\to \bar{K}^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$	F_L	[2, 4.3]	0.81 ± 0.02	0.26 ± 0.19	ATLAS	+2.9
$\bar{B}^0 \to \bar{K}^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$	F_L	[4, 6]	0.74 ± 0.04	0.61 ± 0.06	LHCb	+1.9
$\bar{B}^0\to \bar{K}^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$	S_5	[4, 6]	-0.33 ± 0.03	-0.15 ± 0.08	LHCb	-2.2
$\bar{B}^0\to \bar{K}^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$	P_5'	[1.1, 6]	-0.44 ± 0.08	-0.05 ± 0.11	LHCb	-2.9
$\bar{B}^0 \to \bar{K}^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$	P_5'	[4, 6]	-0.77 ± 0.06	-0.30 ± 0.16	LHCb	-2.8
$B^- \to K^{*-} \mu^+ \mu^-$	$10^7 \frac{d \mathrm{BR}}{dq^2}$	[4, 6]	0.54 ± 0.08	0.26 ± 0.10	LHCb	+2.1
$\bar{B}^0 \to \bar{K}^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	$10^8 \frac{d\mathrm{BR}}{dq^2}$	[0.1, 2]	2.71 ± 0.50	1.26 ± 0.56	LHCb	+1.9
$\bar{B}^0\to \bar{K}^0\mu^+\mu^-$	$10^8 \frac{d\mathrm{BR}}{dq^2}$	[16, 23]	0.93 ± 0.12	0.37 ± 0.22	CDF	+2.2
$B_s \to \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$	$10^7 \frac{d \mathrm{BR}}{dq^2}$	[1,6]	0.48 ± 0.06	0.23 ± 0.05	LHCb	+3.1

Altmannshofer, Straub 1503.06199

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4 G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \frac{\alpha_e}{4\pi} \sum_i C_i(\mu) \mathcal{O}_i(\mu) \,,$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{9} = \left[\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}b\right]\left[\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\ell\right], \quad \mathcal{O}_{10} = \left[\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}b\right]\left[\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\ell\right],$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{S} = \left[\bar{s}P_{R}b\right]\left[\bar{\ell}\ell\right], \quad \mathcal{O}_{P} = \left[\bar{s}P_{R}b\right]\left[\bar{\ell}\gamma_{5}\ell\right],$$

Standard Model:



$$\Rightarrow \quad C_9^{\rm SM} = -C_{10}^{\rm SM} = 4.2$$

Vector currents

 R_K : $0.7 \lesssim \operatorname{Re}[(C_9^e + C_9'^e - C_{10}^e - C_{10}'^e) - (e \to \mu)] \lesssim 1.5$

Scalar currents

 $R_K: \quad 15 \lesssim |C_S^e + C_S'^e|^2 + |C_P^e + C_P'^e|^2 - (e \to \mu) \lesssim 34$



Constraints from

 $\frac{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s \to \ell^+ \ell^-)}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s \to \ell^+ \ell^-)^{\mathrm{SM}}} = \left|1 - 0.24(C_{10} - C_{10}') - y_\ell(C_P - C_P')\right|^2 + \left|y_\ell(C_S - C_S')\right|^2 \qquad y_\mu = 7.7, \quad y_e = 1600$

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B_s \to ee)^{\exp}}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s \to ee)^{SM}} < 3.3 \cdot 10^6, \qquad |C_S^e - C_S'|^2 + |C_P^e - C_P'^e|^2 \lesssim 1.3$$
$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s \to \mu\mu)^{\exp}}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s \to \mu\mu)^{SM}} = 0.79 \pm 0.20. \qquad 0 \lesssim \operatorname{Re}[C_{10}^{\mu} - C_{10}'^{\mu}] \lesssim 1.9$$



$$B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$$
$$B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$$

Becirevic et al. 1608.07583

More details: Tobias and Fulvias talks



Need

$$C_{9/10}^{\rm NP} \approx C_{10}^{\rm SM}/4 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{M^2} \left(\frac{2V_{tb}V_{ts}^*}{v^2}\frac{\alpha_e}{4\pi}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $M \approx 35 \,\mathrm{TeV}$

DHMV, 1510.04239

Coefficient	Best fit	1σ	3σ	$Pull_{\mathrm{SM}}$
$\mathcal{C}_7^{\mathrm{NP}}$	-0.02	[-0.04, -0.00]	$\left[-0.07, 0.04\right]$	1.1
$\mathcal{C}_9^{ ext{NP}}$	-1.11	[-1.32, -0.89]	[-1.71, -0.40]	4.5
$\mathcal{C}_{10}^{\mathrm{NP}}$	0.58	[0.34, 0.84]	[-0.11, 1.41]	2.5
$\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{NP}}_{7'}$	0.02	$\left[-0.01, 0.04\right]$	$\left[-0.05, 0.09\right]$	0.7
$\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{NP}}_{9'}$	0.49	[0.21, 0.77]	[-0.33, 1.35]	1.8
$\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{NP}}_{10'}$	-0.27	[-0.46, -0.08]	[-0.84, 0.28]	1.4
$\mathcal{C}_9^{\mathrm{NP}}=\mathcal{C}_{10}^{\mathrm{NP}}$	-0.21	[-0.40, 0.00]	[-0.74, 0.55]	1.0
$\mathcal{C}_9^{ ext{NP}} = -\mathcal{C}_{10}^{ ext{NP}}$	-0.69	[-0.88, -0.51]	[-1.27, -0.18]	4.1
$\mathcal{C}_9^{\mathrm{NP}} = -\mathcal{C}_{9'}^{\mathrm{NP}}$	-1.09	[-1.28, -0.88]	[-1.62, -0.42]	4.8

Two MAIN CANDIDATES



Vector Currents

Gauld, Goetz, Haisch, 1310.1082 Altmannshofer, Gori, Pospelov, Yavin, 1403.1269 Crivellin, D'Ambrosio, Heeck 1501.00993 many more!



$$C_9 = -C_{10}$$
:
 Leptoquarks
 $b - \mu$
 $(3,3)_{-1/3}$
 $(3,2)_{1/6}$
 Hiller, Schmaltz 1408.1627
 ϕ

 Becirevic et al. 1608.08501
 $s - \mu$
 $(3,3)_{2/3}$
 Fajfer, Kosnik 1511.06024
 $s - \mu$

•
$$R(D^{(*)}) = \frac{\bar{B} \to D^{(*)} \tau \bar{\nu}}{\bar{B} \to D^{(*)} \ell \bar{\nu}}$$

• Combined Significance: 4σ

 Belle II is expected to improve exp. error by factor ~5 !





HFAG EPS 2015

•
$$R(D^{(*)}) = \frac{\bar{B} \to D^{(*)} \tau \bar{\nu}}{\bar{B} \to D^{(*)} \ell \bar{\nu}}$$

• Combined Significance: 4σ

 Belle II is expected to improve exp. error by factor ~5 !





HFAG EPS 2015

Measurement

SM Prediction

$$R(D^{(*)}) = \frac{\bar{B} \to D^{(*)} \tau \bar{\nu}}{\bar{B} \to D^{(*)} \ell \bar{\nu}} = \begin{cases} 0.388 \pm 0.047 \,, & D\\ 0.321 \pm 0.021 \,, & D^* \end{cases}$$

$$0.300 \pm 0.010$$
, D

$$0.252 \pm 0.005$$
, D^*

SM contribution is tree-level...



Needs a large new physics contribution:

$$C_{NP} \approx C_{SM}/10 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{V_{cb}} \left(\frac{v}{M}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{10} \qquad \Rightarrow M = 1 - 2 \,\mathrm{TeV}$$





Freytsis et al., 1506.08896



 $\mathcal{O}_{S_R} = (\bar{c}P_R b)(\bar{\tau}P_L \nu)$

New H^+

 $\mathcal{O}'_{V_R} = (\bar{\tau}\gamma_\mu P_R b)(\bar{c}\gamma^\mu P_L \nu)$

New W'

New Leptoquark

• Using $\mathcal{H} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} \mathcal{O}_{V_L} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_{i} C_i^{(\prime,\prime\prime)} \mathcal{O}_i^{(\prime,\prime\prime)}$ Freytsis *et al.*, 1506.08896



Anomalies in the B sector: $R(D^{(*)})$









Freytsis et al., 1506.08896



 $\mathcal{O}_{S_L} = (\bar{c}P_L b)(\bar{\tau}P_L \nu)$ $\mathcal{O}_{S_R} = (\bar{c}P_R b)(\bar{\tau}P_L \nu)$



 $\mathcal{O}_{S_L}^{''} = (\bar{\tau} P_L c^c) (\bar{b}^c P_L \nu)$ $\mathcal{O}_{S_R}^{''} = (\bar{\tau} P_R c^c) (\bar{b}^c P_L \nu)$





New Leptoquark

THE SITUATION

- Both anomalies in neutral and charged b -> 2nd generation transition can be described by leptoquark currents
- However, one needs leptoquarks with different properties



$$b \rightarrow c$$



Add a single leptoquark $\phi \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-1/3}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = (D_{\mu}\phi)^{\dagger} D_{\mu}\phi - M_{\phi}^2 |\phi|^2 - g_{h\phi} |\Phi|^2 |\phi|^2$$
$$+ \bar{Q}^c \boldsymbol{\lambda}^L i\tau_2 L \phi^* + \bar{u}_R^c \boldsymbol{\lambda}^R e_R \phi^* + \text{h.c.}$$

Rotation to mass eigenstates

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} \ni \bar{u}_{L}^{c} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{ue}^{L} e_{L} \phi^{*} - \bar{d}_{L}^{c} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{d\nu}^{L} \nu_{L} \phi^{*} + \bar{u}_{R}^{c} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{ue}^{R} e_{R} \phi^{*} + \text{h.c.}$$

with
$$V_{CKM}^T \lambda_{ue}^L = \lambda_{d\nu}^L V_{PMNS}$$

Add a single leptoquark $\phi \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-1/3}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\phi} \ni \bar{u}_{L}^{c} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{ue}^{L} e_{L} \phi^{*} - \bar{d}_{L}^{c} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{d\nu}^{L} \nu_{L} \phi^{*} + \bar{u}_{R}^{c} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{ue}^{R} e_{R} \phi^{*} + \text{h.c.}$



 $W = \lambda_L \, LQD$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} \ni \bar{u}_{L}^{c} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{ue}^{L} e_{L} \phi^{*} - \bar{d}_{L}^{c} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{d\nu}^{L} \nu_{L} \phi^{*} + \bar{u}_{R}^{c} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{ue}^{R} e_{R} \phi^{*} + \text{h.c.}$$

at tree level gives rise to

up-quark charged lepton couplings



down-quark neutrino couplings









ONE [EPTOQUARK: $R(D^{(*)})$



ONE [EPTOQUARK: $\bar{B} \to K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$

 \mathcal{V}

s

ν

 ϕ

• BaBar
$$R_{\nu\bar{\nu}} = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{\rm SM}} < 4.3$$
 at 90%CL

• We have

$$R_{\nu\bar{\nu}}^{(\phi)} = 1 - \frac{2r}{3} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)_{bs}}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} + \frac{r^2}{3} \frac{\left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)_{bb} \left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)_{ss}}{\left|V_{tb} V_{ts}^*\right|^2}$$

with
$$\left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)_{bs} = \sum_i \lambda^L_{b\nu_i} \lambda^{L*}_{s\nu_i}$$
, $r = \frac{s^4_W}{2\alpha^2} \frac{1}{X_0(x_t)} \frac{m^2_W}{M^2_\phi} \approx 1.91 \frac{\text{TeV}^2}{M^2_\phi}$

Using the Schwarz Inequality $(xy)^2 \le x^2y^2$ yields

$$-\frac{1.2}{\text{TeV}^2} < \frac{1}{M_{\phi}^2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)_{bs}}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} < \frac{2.3}{\text{TeV}^2} \,.$$



 b b $^{\phi}$

ONE [EPTOQUARK: R_K

• Using
$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}}V_{tb}V_{ts}^*\frac{\alpha_e}{4\pi}\sum_i C_i(\mu)\mathcal{O}_i(\mu)$$

 $\mathcal{O}_9 = \left[\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_Lb\right]\left[\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\ell\right], \quad \mathcal{O}_{10} = \left[\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_Lb\right]\left[\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\ell\right]$

and
$$\mathcal{O}_{LL}^{\ell} \equiv (\mathcal{O}_{9}^{\ell} - \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\ell})/2, \quad \mathcal{O}_{LR}^{\ell} \equiv (\mathcal{O}_{9}^{\ell} + \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\ell})/2, \\ \mathcal{O}_{RL}^{\ell} \equiv (\mathcal{O}_{9}^{\prime \ell} - \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\prime \ell})/2, \quad \mathcal{O}_{RR}^{\ell} \equiv (\mathcal{O}_{9}^{\prime \ell} + \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\prime \ell})/2,$$

a good fit is found for

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0.0 &\lesssim & \operatorname{Re}[C_{LR}^{\mu} + C_{RL}^{\mu} - C_{LL}^{\mu} - C_{RR}^{\mu}] \lesssim 1.9 \,, \\ 0.7 &\lesssim & -\operatorname{Re}[C_{LL}^{\mu} + C_{RL}^{\mu}] \lesssim 1.5 \,. \end{array}$$

Benchmark:

$$C^{\mu}_{LL} \simeq -1$$
, $C^{\mu}_{ij} = 0$ otherwise

ONE [EPTOQUARK: R_K

We have



$$C_{LL}^{\mu(\phi)} = \frac{m_t^2}{8\pi\alpha M_{\phi}^2} \left|\lambda_{t\mu}^L\right|^2 - \frac{1}{64\pi\alpha} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{G_F M_{\phi}^2} \frac{\left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)_{bs}}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} \left(\lambda^{L\dagger} \lambda^L\right)_{\mu\mu},$$

$$C_{LR}^{\mu(\phi)} = \frac{m_t^2}{16\pi\alpha M_{\phi}^2} \left|\lambda_{t\mu}^R\right|^2 \left[\ln\frac{M_{\phi}^2}{m_t^2} - f(x_t)\right] - \frac{1}{64\pi\alpha} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{G_F M_{\phi}^2} \frac{\left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)_{bs}}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} \left(\lambda^{R\dagger} \lambda^R\right)_{\mu\mu},$$

The $W - \phi$ box contributions have the wrong sign, but they are chirally suppressed and inherit a partial GIM-suppression. Penguins cancel!

ONE [EPTOQUARK: R_K

$$C_{LL}^{\mu(\phi)} = \frac{m_t^2}{8\pi\alpha M_{\phi}^2} \left|\lambda_{t\mu}^L\right|^2 - \frac{1}{64\pi\alpha} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{G_F M_{\phi}^2} \frac{\left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)_{bs}}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} \left(\lambda^{L\dagger} \lambda^L\right)_{\mu\mu},$$

$$C_{LR}^{\mu(\phi)} = \frac{m_t^2}{16\pi\alpha M_{\phi}^2} \left|\lambda_{t\mu}^R\right|^2 \left[\ln\frac{M_{\phi}^2}{m_t^2} - f(x_t)\right] - \frac{1}{64\pi\alpha} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{G_F M_{\phi}^2} \frac{\left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)_{bs}}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} \left(\lambda^{R\dagger} \lambda^R\right)_{\mu\mu},$$

For the Benchmark $C_{LL}^{\mu} \simeq -1$, $C_{ij}^{\mu} = 0$ otherwise, we need $\sum_{i} |\lambda_{u_{i}\mu}^{L}|^{2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{(\lambda^{L}\lambda^{L\dagger})_{bs}}{V_{tb}V_{ts}^{*}} - 1.74 |\lambda_{t\mu}^{L}|^{2} \approx 12.5 \frac{M_{\phi}^{2}}{\operatorname{TeV}^{2}}$ Constrained to be $< 2.3 \text{ by } R_{\nu\nu}$ $\Rightarrow \sqrt{|\lambda_{u\mu}^{L}|^{2} + |\lambda_{c\mu}^{L}|^{2} + (1 - \frac{0.77}{\hat{M}_{\phi}^{2}})|\lambda_{t\mu}^{L}|^{2}} > 2.36$

ONE [EPTOQUARK: \bar{B}_s –



$$C_{B_s}^{(\phi)} e^{2i\phi_{B_s}^{(\phi)}} = 1 + \frac{1}{g^4 S_0(x_t)} \frac{m_W^2}{M_\phi^2} \left[\frac{\left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)_{bs}}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} \right]^2$$

with
$$C_{B_s} e^{2i\phi_{B_s}} \equiv \frac{\langle B_s | H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{full}} | \bar{B}_s \rangle}{\langle B_s | H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SM}} | \bar{B}_s \rangle}$$

 $C_{B_s} = 1.052 \pm 0.084$ and $\phi_{B_s} = (0.72 \pm 2.06)^{\circ}$

$$\frac{\left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)_{bs}}{V_{tb}V_{ts}^*} \approx (1.87 + 0.45i) \frac{M_{\phi}}{\text{TeV}} \qquad \left| \frac{\left(\lambda^L \lambda^{L\dagger}\right)}{V_{tb}V_{ts}^*} \right| < 3.6 \frac{M_{\phi}}{\text{TeV}} \quad \text{at 90\% CL}$$





ONE [EPTOQUARK: $\delta g_{Z\mu\mu}$

 One-loop Corrections to Z couplings



$$\begin{split} g_A^{\mu} &= g_A^{\mu, \text{SM}} \pm \frac{3}{32\pi^2} \frac{m_t^2}{M_{\phi}^2} \left(\ln \frac{M_{\phi}^2}{m_t^2} - 1 \right) \left| \lambda_{t\mu}^A \right|^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{m_Z^2}{M_{\phi}^2} \left(\left| \lambda_{u\mu}^A \right|^2 + \left| \lambda_{c\mu}^A \right|^2 \right) \right) \\ &\times \left[\left(\delta_{AL} - \frac{4s_W^2}{3} \right) \left(\ln \frac{M_{\phi}^2}{m_Z^2} + i\pi + \frac{1}{3} \right) - \frac{s_W^2}{9} \right], \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{\left| \lambda_{c\mu}^L \right|^2 + \left| \lambda_{u\mu}^L \right|^2} < \frac{3.24}{b_{cu}^{1/2}} \frac{M_{\phi}}{\text{TeV}}, \quad \left| \lambda_{t\mu}^L \right| < \frac{1.22}{b_t^{1/2}} \frac{M_{\phi}}{\text{TeV}}, \end{split}$$

 $b_{cu} = 1 + 0.39 \ln M_{\phi} / \text{TeV}$ and $b_t = 1 + 0.76 \ln M_{\phi} / \text{TeV}$





)



$$a_{\mu}^{(\phi)} = \sum_{q=t,c} \frac{m_{\mu}m_{q}}{4\pi^{2}M_{\phi}^{2}} \left(\ln \frac{M_{\phi}^{2}}{m_{q}^{2}} - \frac{7}{4} \right) \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{q\mu}^{R}\lambda_{q\mu}^{L*}) - \frac{m_{\mu}^{2}}{32\pi^{2}M_{\phi}^{2}} \left[\left(\lambda^{L\dagger}\lambda^{L} \right)_{\mu\mu} + \left(\lambda^{R\dagger}\lambda^{R} \right)_{\mu\mu} \right]$$

$$\Delta a_{\mu} = (287 \pm 80) \times 10^{-11} - 37 \times 10^{-11} - 37 \times 10^{-11} \dots \text{ wrong sign, but small}$$

$$\left(1 + 0.17 \ln \frac{M_{\phi}}{\text{TeV}}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{c\mu}^{R} \lambda_{c\mu}^{L*}\right) + 20.7 \left(1 + 1.06 \ln \frac{M_{\phi}}{\text{TeV}}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{t\mu}^{R} \lambda_{t\mu}^{L*}\right) \approx 0.08 \frac{M_{\phi}^{2}}{\text{TeV}^{2}}$$

For $|\lambda_{c\mu}^L| \sim 2.4$, we need $|\lambda_{c\mu}^R| \sim 0.03$.

ONE [EPTOQUARK: $\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$



 $BR(\tau \to \mu \gamma) < 4.4 \cdot 10^{-8}$ at 90% CL

$$\left[\left| a_c \,\lambda_{c\tau}^R \lambda_{c\mu}^{L*} + 20.7 a_t \,\lambda_{t\tau}^R \lambda_{t\mu}^{L*} - 0.015 (\lambda^{L\dagger} \lambda^L)_{\mu\tau} \right|^2 + (L \leftrightarrow R) \right]^{1/2} < 0.017 \, \frac{M_{\phi}^2}{\text{TeV}^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\lambda_{t\tau}^R \lambda_{t\mu}^{L*}|^2 + |\lambda_{t\tau}^L \lambda_{t\mu}^{R*}|^2 < 6 \times 10^{-7}$$

With these values, $BR(h \to \mu \tau) \approx 10^{-9}$. The central value of the CMS measurement is 0.84%.



$$R_{bs}^{L} \equiv \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{(\lambda^{L}\lambda^{L\dagger})_{bs}}{V_{tb}V_{ts}^{\dagger}}\right]$$

ONE [EPTOQUARK: FULL DISCLOSURE

Potentially problematic: the ratio

$$R_D^{\mu/e} = \frac{\bar{B} \to D\mu\bar{\nu}}{\bar{B} \to De\bar{\nu}}$$

Not measured, but unlikely to be large from PDG combination of B data to extract Vcb



Becirevic et al. 1608.07583

ONE LEPTOQUARK TO RULE THEM ALL: CONCLUSIONS

- An extension of the SM with a single leptoquark $\phi \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-1/3}$ can explain $R_K, R(D^{(*)})$ and $(g-2)_\mu$ assuming order one generation-diagonal and suppressed off-diagonal couplings
- Correlated effects in $R_{\nu\nu}, B_s \bar{B}_s$ mixing unavoidable
- Z boson coupling modifications can be probed at TLEP
- UV motivation: R-parity violating SUSY with a split spectrum and TeV scale right-handed sbottoms

ANCIENT TIMES



Cabibbo



$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d\cos\theta + s\,\sin\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{ONE} \left[\underbrace{\mathbf{EPTOQUARK}}_{c} \quad D^{0} \to \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \right] \\ \Gamma &= \frac{f_{D}^{2} m_{D}^{3}}{256 \pi M_{\phi}^{4}} \left(\frac{m_{D}}{m_{c}} \right)^{2} \beta_{\mu} \left[\beta_{\mu}^{2} \left| \lambda_{c\mu}^{L} \lambda_{u\mu}^{R*} - \lambda_{c\mu}^{R} \lambda_{u\mu}^{L*} \right|^{2} \right] \\ &+ \left| \lambda_{c\mu}^{L} \lambda_{u\mu}^{R*} + \lambda_{c\mu}^{R} \lambda_{u\mu}^{L*} + \frac{2m_{\mu}m_{c}}{m_{D}^{2}} \left(\lambda_{c\mu}^{L} \lambda_{u\mu}^{L*} + \lambda_{c\mu}^{R} \lambda_{u\mu}^{R*} \right) \right|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

The experimental limit $Br(D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) < 7.6 \cdot 10^{-9}$ at 95% CL Leads to the bounds:

$$\left|\lambda_{c\mu}^L \lambda_{u\mu}^{L*} + \lambda_{c\mu}^R \lambda_{u\mu}^{R*}\right| < 0.052 \, \frac{M_{\phi}^2}{\text{TeV}^2}$$

$$\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{c\mu}^{L}\right|^{2}\left|\lambda_{u\mu}^{R}\right|^{2} + \left|\lambda_{c\mu}^{R}\right|^{2}\left|\lambda_{u\mu}^{L}\right|^{2}} < 1.2 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{M_{\phi}^{2}}{\text{TeV}^{2}}$$



NEUTRINO COUPLINGS

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = (D_{\mu}\phi)^{\dagger} D_{\mu}\phi - M_{\phi}^{2} |\phi|^{2} - g_{h\phi} |\Phi|^{2} |\phi|^{2}$$
$$+ \bar{Q}^{c} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{L} i\tau_{2} L \phi^{*} + \bar{u}_{R}^{c} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{R} e_{R} \phi^{*} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\lambda_{ue}^L = U_u^T \lambda^L U_e , \quad \lambda_{d\nu}^L = U_d^T \lambda^L U_\nu , \quad \lambda_{ue}^R = V_u^T \lambda_R V_e ,$$

