

⊅ UNIVERSITÄT BERN

AEC ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS

Resummation for jet processes

Thomas Becher University of Bern

PRL 116 (2016) and 1605.02737 with Matthias Neubert, Dingyu Shao and Lorena Rothen

Effective Field Theories for Collider Physics, Flavor Phenomena and Electroweak Symmetry Breaking Sept. 12-15, 2016, Burg Crass, Eltville Long history of resummation of large logarithms in high-energy processes

- Sudakov '56
- Yennie Frautschi Suura theory '61

• Collins Soper Sterman (CSS) '84

but despite modern EFT methods such as SCET, there are basic problems where the structure of higher-order logarithms is not known. Consider the simplest collider-physics problem involving large logarithms.



Arises in many situation, in particular in all exclusive jet cross sections



Many more examples

- jet vetoes (includes unrestricted radiation near the beam pipe)
- gaps between jets
- jet substructure
- isolated photons (veto on radiation near photon)
- event shapes such as the light-jet mass and narrow jet broadening

Such observables are called **non-global**, since they are insensitive to radiation inside certain regions of phase space.





Large logarithms $\alpha_s^n \ln^m(\beta)$ in non-global observables do not exponentiate Dasgupta and Salam '02.

Leading logarithms at large N_c can be obtained from non-linear integral equation

LL resummation

 The leading logarithms arise from configurations in which the emitted gluons are strongly ordered

$$E_1 \gg E_2 \gg E_3 \gg \ldots \gg E_m$$

• Multi-gluon emission amplitudes become extremely simple in this limit, especially at large N_c

$$\left|\mathcal{M}_{ab}^{1\cdots m}\right|^{2} = \left|\left\langle p_{1}\cdots p_{m}\left|Y_{a}^{\dagger}Y_{b}\right|0\right\rangle\right|^{2} = N_{c}^{m}g^{2m}\sum_{\text{perms of }1\cdots m}\frac{\left(p_{a}\cdot p_{b}\right)}{\left(p_{a}\cdot p_{1}\right)\left(p_{1}\cdot p_{2}\right)\cdots\left(p_{m}\cdot p_{b}\right)}$$

 Using their structure Banfi, Marchesini, Smye '02 derived an integral equation for resummation of leading logs at large N_c: BMS equation.

Non-global logarithms

A lot of recent work on these types of logarithms

- Resummation of leading logs beyond large N_c Weigert '03, Hatta, Ueda '13 + Hagiwara '15; Caron-Huot '15.
 - Caron-Huot's functional RG has a close relation to our results
- Fixed-order results: 2 loops for S(ω_L, ω_R). Kelley, Schwartz, Schabinger and Zhu '11; Hornig, Lee, Stewart, Walsh and Zuberi '11; with jet-cone Kelley, Schwartz, Schabinger and Zhu '11; von Manteuffel, Schabinger and Zhu '13, leading non-global log up to 5 loops by solving BMS equation Schwartz, Zhu '14, 5 loops and arbitrary N_c Delenda, Khelifa-Kerfa '15
- Approximate resummation of such logs, based on resummation for observables with n soft subjets. Larkoski, Moult and Neill '15

A systematic factorization of non-global observables was missing.

"Globalization"

Alternative SCET approach to observables with NGLs based on resummation for substructure. Larkoski, Moult, Neill '15

Divide jet cross section into contributions from n sub-jets.
 Idea is to lower the hard scale in the NGLs by resolving the subjets.



- Resum global logarithms in subjet observables: "Dressed gluons".
- At leading-log level, this maps into iterative solution of BMS equation (talk by Ian Moult at LHC-ESI workshop)



Factorization for NGLs



Wilson line along direction of each hard parton inside the jet.

$$S_i(n_i) = \mathbf{P} \exp\left(ig_s \int_0^\infty ds \, n_i \cdot A_s^a(sn_i) \, T_i^a\right)$$

Wilson line and eikonal interaction

Consider one-gluon matrix element of Wilson line

$$\langle k, \lambda, b | \mathbf{S}_i | 0 \rangle = ig_s \mathbf{T}^a \int_0^\infty ds \, \langle k, \lambda, b | n_i \cdot A^a(sn_i) | 0 \rangle + \mathcal{O}(g_s^2)$$

$$= ig_s \mathbf{T}^a \int_0^\infty ds \, e^{isn_i \cdot k} \langle k, \lambda, b | n_i \cdot A^a_\mu(0) | 0 \rangle$$

$$= ig_s \mathbf{T}^b n_i \cdot \varepsilon(k,\lambda) \frac{e^{isn_i \cdot k}}{in_i \cdot k} \bigg|_0^{\infty} \qquad \text{need small imaginary} \\ = -g_s \mathbf{T}^b \frac{n_i \cdot \varepsilon(k,\lambda)}{n_i \cdot k} = -g_s \mathbf{T}^b \frac{p_i \cdot \varepsilon(k,\lambda)}{p_i \cdot k}$$

eikonal interaction

Soft emissions in process with m energetic particles are obtained from the matrix elements of the operator

$$S_1(n_1) S_2(n_2) \ldots S_m(n_m) | \mathcal{M}_m(\{\underline{p}\}) \rangle$$



soft Wilson lines along the directions of the energetic particles / jets (color matrices)

soft particles can be inside or outside

hard scattering amplitude with *m* particles (vector in color space)

energetic partons must be inside

For a jet of several (nearly) collinear energetic particles, one can combine

$$\boldsymbol{S}_1(n) \, \boldsymbol{S}_2(n) = \mathbf{P} \exp\left(i g_s \int_0^\infty \, ds \, n \cdot A_s^a(sn) \left(\boldsymbol{T}_1^a + \boldsymbol{T}_2^a\right)\right)$$

into a single Wilson line with the total color charge.

For non-global observables one cannot combine the soft Wilson lines \rightarrow complicated structure of logs!

- For a wide-angle jet, the energetic particles are not collinear.
- For a narrow-angle jets (see later), we find that smallangle soft radiation plays an important role. Resolves directions of individual energetic partons!

Factorization theorem

TB, Neubert, Rothen, Shao '15 '16, see also Caron-Huot '15



First all-order factorization theorem for non-global observable. Achieves scale separation!

Comments

- Infinitely many operators S_m , mix under RG
- Also for narrow-cone jets, the same type of structure is relevant TB, Neubert, Rothen, Shao '15 '16

$$\mathcal{H}_m \otimes \mathcal{S}_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_m \otimes \mathcal{U}_m$$

$$\text{collinear} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C}_m \otimes \mathcal{U}_m \\ \text{``coft''} \\ \text{soft+collinear} \end{array}$$

• Check: Have computed all ingredients for cone cross section at NNLO. Obtain full logarithmic structure at this order.



$$\frac{\sigma(\beta,\delta)}{\sigma_0} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} A(\beta,\delta) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 B(\beta,\delta) + \dots$$

$$A(\beta, \delta) = C_F \left[-8\ln\delta\ln\beta - 1 + 6\ln2 - 6\ln\delta - 6\delta^2 + \left(\frac{9}{2} - 6\ln2\right)\delta^4 + 4\operatorname{Li}_2(\delta^2) - 4\operatorname{Li}_2(-\delta^2) \right]$$
$$B(\beta, \delta) = C_F^2 B_F + C_F C_A B_A + C_F T_F n_f B_f$$

$$B_{A} = \frac{4}{3} \left[11 \ln \delta - \frac{\pi^{2}}{2} + 3 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(\delta^{4}) \right] \ln^{2} \beta + \frac{4}{3} \left[11 \ln^{2} \delta - \frac{67 \ln \delta}{3} + \frac{4\delta^{4} \ln \delta}{(1 - \delta^{4})^{2}} + \frac{1}{1 - \delta^{4}} \right]$$

$$+ 36 \ln \delta \ln^{2} (1 - \delta^{2}) - 12 \ln \delta \ln^{2} (1 + \delta^{2}) + 22 \ln \delta \ln (1 - \delta^{2}) - 5\pi^{2} \ln (1 - \delta^{2}) \right]$$

$$+ 22 \ln \delta \ln (1 + \delta^{2}) - \pi^{2} \ln (1 + \delta^{2}) - 4 \ln^{3} (1 + \delta^{2}) + 33 \operatorname{Li}_{2} (-\delta^{2}) + 22 \operatorname{Li}_{2} (\delta^{2}) \right]$$

$$+ 48 \ln \delta \operatorname{Li}_{2} (-\delta^{2}) - 12 \ln (1 - \delta^{2}) \operatorname{Li}_{2} (-\delta^{2}) - 36 \ln (1 + \delta^{2}) \operatorname{Li}_{2} (-\delta^{2}) \right]$$

$$+ 12 \ln 2 \operatorname{Li}_{2} (-\delta^{2}) + 24 \ln \delta \operatorname{Li}_{2} (\delta^{2}) + 24 \ln (1 - \delta^{2}) \operatorname{Li}_{2} (\delta^{2}) + 12 \ln 2 \operatorname{Li}_{2} (\delta^{2}) \right]$$

$$+ 12 \ln (1 - \delta^{4}) \operatorname{Li}_{2} (1 - \delta^{2}) - 6 \operatorname{Li}_{3} (1 - \delta^{4}) + 24 \operatorname{Li}_{3} (1 - \delta^{2}) - 36 \operatorname{Li}_{3} (-\delta^{2}) - 36 \operatorname{Li}_{3} (-\delta^{2}) - 36 \operatorname{Li}_{3} (\delta^{2}) + 24 \operatorname{Li}_{3} \left(\frac{\delta^{2}}{1 + \delta^{2}} \right) - 12 \zeta_{3} - \frac{11\pi^{2}}{12} - \frac{1}{2} - \pi^{2} \ln 2 - \frac{3}{8} M_{A}^{[1]} (\delta) \right] \ln \beta$$

$$+ c_{2}^{A}(\delta), \qquad 18$$

$$16 9 1 454 \ln 5 10$$

Numerical check against Event2



- Works: agreement for small β .
- Reproduce all logs, not only the leading ones!

Narrow-angle jets

Soft emissions from a narrow jet

For a narrow jet $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in direction *n* one would expect that one could combine

$$S_1(n_1) S_2(n_2) \approx \mathbf{P} \exp\left(ig_s \int_0^\infty ds \, n \cdot A_s^a(sn) \left(T_1^a + T_2^a\right)\right)$$

since $n_1 \approx n_2 \approx n$.

Doing so, one ends up with a single Wilson line per jet and a simple form of the soft radiation.

• Works for global observables such as thrust, broadening, ...

Soft emissions from a narrow jet

Consider the emission of single soft a gluon from energetic particles with momenta p_i inside a narrow jet:

$$\sum_{i} Q_{i} \frac{p_{i} \cdot \varepsilon}{p_{i} \cdot k} = Q_{\text{tot}} \frac{n \cdot \varepsilon}{n \cdot k} + \dots$$

$$\uparrow$$
Approximation: $p_{i}^{\mu} \approx E_{i} n^{\mu}$

This approximation breaks down when the soft emission has a small angle, i.e. when $k^{\mu}\approx\omega\,n^{\mu}\,!$

Small region of phase space, but it turns out that it gives a leading contribution to jet rates!

Momentum modes for jet processes

TB, Neubert, Rothen, Shao, 1508.06645; Chien, Hornig and Lee 1509.04287

	Region	Energy	Angle	Inv. Mass
standard SCET	Hard	Q	1	Q
	Collinear	Q	δ	Qδ
	Soft	βQ	1	βQ
new	Coft	βQ	δ	βδQ

Full jet cross section is recovered after adding the contributions from all regions ("method of regions")

- Additional coft mode has very low characteristic scale βδQ! Jets are less perturbative than they seem!
- Effective field theory has additional "coft" degree of freedom.

Momentum modes again (for experts)

Split momenta into light-cone components

$$p^{\mu} = p_{+} \frac{n^{\mu}}{2} + p_{-} \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} + p_{\perp}^{\mu}$$

Scaling of the momentum components ($\beta \sim \delta^2$)

$$(p_{+}, p_{-}, p_{\perp})$$
collinear: $p_{c} \sim Q(1, \delta^{2}, \delta)$
soft: $p_{s} \sim Q(\beta, \beta, \beta, \beta)$
coft: $p_{t} \sim \beta Q(1, \delta^{2}, \delta)$

Note: every component of coft mode is smaller than the corresponding collinear one. Different than SCET₁, SCET_{1.5}, SCET_n, SCET₊, ...

Method of regions expansion

To isolate the different contributions, one expands the amplitudes as well as the phase-space constraints in each momentum region.

- Generic soft mode has O(1) angle: after expansion, it is always outside the jet.
- Collinear mode has large energy $E \gg \beta Q$. Can never go outside the jet.
- Coft mode can be inside or outside, but its contribution to the momentum inside the jet is negligible.

Expansion is performed on the integrand level: the full result is obtained after combining the contributions from the different regions.

Factorization for two-jet cross section



Checks against wide-angle result and fixed-order event generator.



All-order resummation

Renormalization of hard Wilson coefficients

$$\mathcal{H}_{m}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \epsilon) = \sum_{l=2}^{m} \mathcal{H}_{l}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \mu) \, \mathbf{Z}_{lm}^{H}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \epsilon, \mu)$$

- Same Z-factor must render S_m finite!
- Associated anomalous dimension $\pmb{\Gamma}^{H}$

 $\frac{d}{d\ln\mu} \mathbf{Z}_{km}^{H}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \epsilon, \mu) = \sum_{l=k}^{m} \mathbf{Z}_{kl}^{H}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \epsilon, \mu) \,\hat{\otimes} \, \mathbf{\Gamma}_{lm}^{H}\left(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \mu\right)$

Resummation by RG evolution

Wilson coefficients fulfill renormalization group (RG) equations

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu} \mathcal{H}_m(Q,\mu) = -\sum_{l=2}^m \mathcal{H}_l(Q,\mu) \Gamma_{lm}^H(Q,\mu)$$

- 1. Compute \mathcal{H}_m at a characteristic high scale $\mu_h \sim Q$
- 2. Evolve \mathcal{H}_m to the scale of low energy physics $\mu_l \sim Q\beta$

Avoids large logarithms $\alpha_s^n \ln^n(\beta)$ of scale ratios which can spoil convergence of perturbation theory.



RG = Parton Shower

 $\left(V_2 R_2 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \right)$

• Ingredients for LL

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{2}(\mu = Q) &= \sigma_{0} \\ \mathcal{H}_{m}(\mu = Q) &= 0 \text{ for } m > 2 \end{aligned} \qquad \Gamma^{(1)} = \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & V_{3} & R_{3} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & V_{4} & R_{4} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & V_{5} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H}_m(t) = \mathcal{H}_m(t)V_m + \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(t)R_{m-1}. \qquad t = \int_{\alpha(\mu)}^{\alpha(Q)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \frac{\alpha}{4\pi}$$

Equivalent to parton shower equation

$$\mathcal{H}_m(t) = \mathcal{H}_m(t_1)e^{(t-t_1)V_n} + \int_{t_1}^t dt' \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(t') \mathbf{R}_{m-1}e^{(t-t')V_n}$$



- Equivalent to the dipole shower used by Dasgupta and Salam '02.
- For higher-log accuracy we will need to include corrections to \mathcal{H}_{m} , S_{m} , Γ_{mn} into the shower.

Conclusion and Outlook

- Have obtained factorization formulae for non-global observables. Key features
 - Multi-Wilson line structure of soft radiation
 - Resummation of NGLs from RG evolution
- Are developing MC formalism for higher-log resummation
- Have applied formalism to hemisphere soft function and light-jet mass
 TB, Pecjak, Shao, in preparation
 - factorization theorems have same general structure as the ones for jet cross sections
- Applications ...
- Interplay with Glauber gluons? Superleading logs?

Extra slides

Hemisphere soft function

 Most past studies of NGLs were performed for hemisphere soft function

 $S(\omega_L, \omega_R) = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_X \operatorname{Tr} \langle 0 | S(\bar{n}) S^{\dagger}(n) | X \rangle \langle X | S(n) S^{\dagger}(\bar{n}) | 0 \rangle \delta(\omega_R - n \cdot P_R) \,\delta(\omega_L - \bar{n} \cdot P_L)$

- Leading logs are related to the ones arising in light-jet mass event shape
- Factorization formula for $\omega_L \ll \omega_R$

$$S(\omega_L, \omega_R) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\langle \mathcal{H}_m^S(\{\underline{n}\}, \omega_R) \otimes \mathcal{S}_{m+1}(\{n, \underline{n}\}, \omega_L) \right\rangle$$

mode with $p_{\mu} \sim \omega_R$ mode with $p_{\mu} \sim \omega_L$

Factorization theorem for left-jet mass

• Heavy jet mass is global, light jet mass nonglobal heavy jet mass: $\rho_h = \frac{1}{Q^2} \max(M_L^2, M_R^2)$

light jet mass:
$$\rho_{\ell} = \frac{1}{Q^2} \min(M_L^2, M_R^2)$$

• Relation to left-jet mass ρ_L

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\rho_{\ell}} = 2\frac{d\sigma}{d\rho_L} - \frac{d\sigma}{d\rho_h}\Big|_{\rho_L = \rho_h = \rho_\ell}$$

• Factorization formula

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dM_L^2} = \sum_{i=q,\bar{q},g} \int_0^\infty d\omega_R J_i(M_L^2 - Q\,\omega_L) \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left\langle \mathcal{H}_m^i(\{\underline{n}\},Q) \otimes \mathcal{S}_m(\{n,\underline{n}\},\omega_L) \right\rangle$$

Jet substructure: m_J in $pp \rightarrow Z + j$

Challenges and contaminations



- Grooming can mitigate these problems
- mMDT also eliminates NGLs in m_J
- Analytical NLL Dasgupta, Fregoso, Marzani, Salam
 '13, Larkoski, Marzani, Soyez, Thaler '14

NNLL + $O(\alpha_s^2)$ for jet mass

Frye, Larkoski, Schwartz, Yan'16



15

37