



# FOOT

## Fragmentation Of Target

An experiment for the measurement of nuclear fragmentation cross sections for Particle Therapy


G. Battistoni (*INFN, Milano*)  
*for the FOOT Collaboration*



# Rationale of Charged Particle Therapy

Radiotherapy concerns ~50% of all cancer patients. ~ 2M patients/year.


“Conventional” radiotherapy (photons)



Charged (hadron) particle therapy  
mostly protons;  
in few cases <sup>12</sup>C beams

- ✓ Advantageous for some kind of pathologies
- ✓ Peak of dose released at the end of the track, **better sparing the normal tissue**
- ✓ Beam penetration in tissue function of the beam energy
- ✓ Accurate conformal dose to tumor with Spread Out Bragg Peak

*Nuclear physics is contributing to the development of hadrontherapy: Accelerator technology, Detectors, Monte Carlo codes and other software, study of contribution of nuclear reactions, ...*









# Typical example of advantages of Charged Particle Therapy

Image guided, conformal (IMRT), photon therapy



- 35% local recurrence
- Preventable distant metastases
- Large volumes irradiated
- Early, late and very late normal tissue damage




**Conformal Proton therapy: higher selectivity!**

The future development of Charged Particle Therapy is strongly related to the possibility of demonstrating the effective reduction of complication probability in normal tissues for the same (or sometimes better) control of the tumoral region



# The concept of RBE





$$R.B.E. = \left( \frac{D_{RX}}{D_r} \right)_{SF=Sf_0}$$

for a given type of biological end-point and its level of expression.

For example: Survival Fraction 10%

Ions with  $Z > 1$  can have PRBE significantly  $> 1$



Protons: RBE slowly varying with LET, approximated constant 1.1 factor ( $\rightarrow$  10% more effective than photons)




# Protons are not simply like Photons\*1.1

New Paradigm for Proton Radiobiology  
(Girdhani 2013 Radiat Res)





Results point out that Protons and photons present distinct physics and biological properties at Sub-Cellular, Cellular and Tissue level





Experimental determinations of RBE exhibit large fluctuations. **RBE could be significantly >1.1**

**RBE=1.1**



**Variable RBE**




**Do nuclear interaction play a role?**

It has been pointed out a possible impact of variable proton RBE on Normal Tissue Complication Prob. values. **Present Treatment Planning does not take this into account**



# The two sides of the problem



Exp. Data (points) from Haettner et al, Rad. Prot. Dos. 2006  
Simulation: A. Mairani PhD Thesis, 2007, Nuovo Cimento C, 31, 2008

## B) Proton Therapy: Nuclear Fragmentation of Target

Possible contribution to  
biological effect

Not considered in treatment  
planning so far

Data existing only for  
production  
of very light fragments  
(nucleons)

**New**


Known effect of **Nuclear Fragmentation of Projectile**:  
mixed contribution of different RBE/LET

**Considered in treatment, but still scarce validation data!**



# Target fragmentation & healthy tissue

Target fragmentation in proton therapy gives higher contribution in healthy tissue, where beam is still energetic ( $\sim 200\text{MeV}$ ) !!



About 10% of biological effect in the entrance channel due to secondary fragments (Grun 2013)

Largest contributions of recoil fragments expected from  
**He, C, Be, O, N**  
In particular on Normal Tissue  
Complication Probability

See also :


- Paganetti 2002 PMB
- Grassberger 2011 PMB



# p+C, p+O scattering @200 MeV

The elastic interaction and the forward  $Z=1,2$  fragment production are quite well known.  
Uncertainties on large angle  $Z=1,2$  fragments.

Missing data on heavy fragments.




Very low energy-short range fragments, almost isotropic.

MCs confirm this picture but.....


Nuclear model & MC not yet reliable or benchmarked at the needed level

Needed  $Z>2$  fragment yields and emission energy





# An example of target fragmentation at work: BNCT





# Inverse kinematic strategy

Shooting a proton (for instance  $E_{\text{kin}}=200 \text{ MeV} \rightarrow \beta \sim 0.6$ ) on a “patient” (i.e. at 98% a C,O,H nucleus) could not be the right choice. In particular large systematic on the fragment yields and energies can be due to the non zero target thickness.

A possible work around is to shoot a  $\beta=0.6$  patient ( i.e. O,C beam) on a target made of protons and measure the fragments..

- Use as beams the ions that are the constituents of the patient (mainly  $^{16}\text{O}$ ,  $^{12}\text{C}$ ) with  $E_{\text{kin}}/\text{nucl} \sim 200\text{MeV/u}$ .
- Use twin targets made of C and polyethylene  $(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_n$  and obtain the fragmentation results on H target from the difference
- Apply the reverse boost with the well known  $\beta$  of the beam


CAVEAT!: The fragment direction must be well measured in the Lab frame to obtain the correct energy in the patient frame



# Experience at GANIL

$$\sigma(H) = (\sigma(C_2H_4) - 2\sigma(C))/4$$

Ganil: C @ 95MeV/u su C e C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>





*Dudouet et al., Phys.Rev.C (2013)*



# Monte Carlo Predictions: example

Direct kinematics:  $^{16}\text{O}$  beam 200 MeV/u on  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  target

FLUKA MC code



Fragment production spectra  
Normalized at the same peak value



# Radiobiology requests & detector constraints

To implement sound NTCP models the requirements on the knowledge of the  $p+C,O$  interaction @200 MeV are very strict:

- Heavy fragment ( $Z>2$ ) production cross section with uncertainty of 5%
- Fragment energy spectrum (i.e.  $d\sigma/dE$ ) with 1-2 MeV/u accuracy
- Capability of resolving  $Z$  of fragment
- Capability of resolving isotopes, at least for lower  $Z$  nuclei.
- Study light ions production at large angle
- Angular resolution in “patient frame” is instead not relevant. Fragments have a very short range




# Guide lines for the detector

- Main focus on  $Z > 2$  fragment yields & emission energy. **Precise angle measurement are also needed to apply correct inverse boost transformation**
- The fragment charge ID is the basis of the measurement.
- The fragment mass ID is a challenge and can be performed after a Z ID. An eventual wrong A assignment has an effect on the range evaluation-> **less severe at high A**
- PID achieved due to combination of measurements of energy, momentum and TOF measurement of fragments
- The fragmentation contribution due to detector material **MUST** be kept as low as possible and eventually subtracted
- **Detector portability to different beams is an absolute need: size of the detector should be in the 2 meters range**




# *The FOOT Detector*



- Table top experiment: less than 2 m long.
- Combines magnetic, TOF and calorimetric measurements
- Secondary fragmentation on detector as low as possible



# *The FOOT Detector*



Drift Chamber


Plastic Scint.  
DE/DX & TOF

BGO  
calorimeter

- Table top experiment: less than 2 m long.
- Combines magnetic, TOF and calorimetric measurements
- Secondary fragmentation on detector as low as possible



# The FOOT Detector



- Table top experiment: less than 2 m long.
- Combines magnetic, TOF and calorimetric measurements
- Secondary fragmentation on detector as low as possible



# FOOT : momentum measurement



Target

1 vertex + 1 tracking silicon pixel detector: MAPS  
MIMOSA 28 silicon pixel (20x20 mm)

2 drift chambers : UV 6+6 and 8+8 planes Ar/CO<sub>2</sub>

2 dipole permanent magnets Halbach geometry 0.8 T

Silicon Pixel  
Detector

Accuracy goal:  
 $\Delta p/p \sim 3\%$

Drift  
chamber

Permanent  
Magnet (0.8 T)

Drift  
chamber



# $\Delta E$ /TOF detector and Calorimeter

22 Y bars + 22 X bars



2 cm x 42 cm x 3 mm

✓ TOF measurement below 100ps with heavy fragments:  
MEG-like digitizers

✓ Charge mis-ID below the 2% level for Z=8 fragment at  
 $\beta \sim 0.6$

Scintillator type:

**EJ-232**



**360 BGO crystals 2 x 2 x 7 cm<sup>3</sup>**



# Resolution goals

$$\sigma_{\text{TOF}} \sim 100 \text{ ps}$$

$$\sigma_p/p \sim 4 \% \text{ for } E_{\text{kin}} \sim 200 \text{ MeV/u}$$

$$\sigma_E/E \sim 2-3 \% \text{ for } E_{\text{kin}} \sim 200 \text{ MeV/u}$$







$$\sigma_{\Delta E}/\Delta E \sim 3\%$$



# Evaluation of Energy Resolution in Inverse Kinematics (MC)



$^{16}\text{O}$  beam 200 MeV/u on C target assuming  $\Delta p/p \sim 4\%$









Preliminary!



# Evaluation of Xsec Resolution in Inverse Kinematics (MC)

$^{16}\text{O}$  beam 200 MeV/u on C target




Preliminary!



# light fragments (p, He): FOOT with emulsions

G. De Lellis et al. JINST 2, 2007, P06004

- Tracking procedure at large angle, up to  $75^\circ$  with respect to the beam direction, has been developed at Napoli (OPERA)
- The emulsion chamber must be exposed with a remotely controlled movement to avoid local pile-up
- Must be run inside FOOT with Start counter and Beam monitor for absolute flux normalization
- Particularly suited for radioprotection in space related measurement




Emulsion run could be the first data taking of FOOT in 2018



# Emulsions and light fragments

G. De Lellis et al. JINST 2, 2007, P06004

- Tracking procedure at large angle,



- Technique
- Measurement
- Particularly suited for radioprotection in space related measurement

Emulsion run could be the first data taking of FOOT in 2018



# Where can we lay down FOOT?




Wish-list for an experimental facility:

- C,O (N) beams in the 100-350 MeV/u energy range availability
- Possibility to mount and calibrate the experimental setup before data taking for “long” time (1-2 week)
- Beam time availability in the week time range -> dedicated experimental hall
- Several data taking period possible, with safe time schedule to be known in advance

- CNAO Experimental room is our choice. Explicit interest and participation in the FOOT project. Exp. Hall ready by 2019
- HIT: possible B plan, experimental room a bit small
- GSI ?
- Trento proton beam and LNS ion beams are fundamental for calibration purpose



# Projectile Fragmentation. Existing thin target, Double Diff Cross Section C-C measurements



The community is interested for the  $^{12}\text{C}$  beam therapy, to explore the region 150-350 AMeV ( i.e. 5-17 cm of range in tissue...)

LNS 62AMeV C beam (2009)

GANIL 50AMeV C beam

GANIL 95AMeV C beam - E600 exp. (2011)

GSI 400MeV C beam (2011): **to be repeated**



# Timeline & measurements program



Experimental program of FOOT:

- ✓ Target fragmentation of p on O,C @100-200 MeV/u
- ✓ Projectile fragmentation of O on C @200-400 MeV/u
- ✓ Projectile fragmentation of C on C @200-350 MeV/u
- ✓ Evaluation of production of some  $\beta^+$  emitters production (for example  $^8\text{B}$ ) from C,O on C @200-400 MeV/u: *useful for range monitoring of Particle Therapy*
- ✓ Fragmentation measurement of several beam on  $(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_n$  of interest for radioprotection in space

In a realistic (moderately optimistic) schedule at least the a),b) measurements should start by 2019-2020



# FOOT collaboration in pills

Starting collaboration, funded by INFN for 2017, with contribution of Centro Fermi Institute

- INFN Sections/Labs: Bologna, Frascati, Milano, Napoli, Perugia, Pisa, Roma1, Roma2, Torino, Trento
- CNAO Collaboration
- **People: ~50 researcher**
- **DATA taking foreseen @ CNAO/Heidelberg/GSI in 2020**
- International collaborations: Nagoya Univ.; GSI under discussion;  
**open to other groups and institutions**

Parallel NCTP modeling radiobiology activity within INFN: MoVE-IT (**M**odeling and **V**erification for **I**on beam **T**reatment planning)



# Conclusions

- ✓ The issue of the proton RBE (and of the target fragmentation) is under the spot in the Particle Therapy community
- ✓ The FOOT collaboration is designing a detector to measure both target fragmentation in proton therapy and projectile fragmentation in carbon therapy
- ✓ The R&D for experiment during 2017 has been approved and funded by INFN, with contribution by Centro Fermi Institute. Final approval for the 2018-2021 period expected in june 2017
- ✓ Initiative in the starting phase and open to collaborations
- ✓ Data taking foreseen in late 2019 - 2020




M. Franchini, M. Negrini, G. Sartorelli M. Selvi, R. Spighi, M. Villa (*INFN & Univ. Bologna*); A. Sarti, E. Spiriti, M. Toppi (*INFN-LNF*); G. Battistoni, I. Mattei, S. Muraro, S. Valle (*INFN Milano*); G. De Lellis, A. Lauria, A. Di Crescenzo, M.C. Montesi, V. Tioukov, G. Galai, A. Buonauro (*INFN & Univ. Napoli Federico II*); L. Servoli, M. Salvatore (*INFN & Univ. Perugia*); D. Barbosa, N. Belcari, G. Bisogni, N. Camarlinghi, M. Morrocchi, A. Retico, V. Rosso, G. Sportelli (*INFN & Univ. Pisa*); R. Faccini, F. Ferroni, **V. Patera**, R. Paramatti, A. Schiavi, A. Sciubba, G. Traini (*INFN & Univ. Roma La Sapienza*); M.C. Morone, G. De Vitis (*INFN & Univ. Roma Tor Vergata*); M. Durante, F. Tommasino, S. Hild, M. Rovituso, P. Spinnato, E. Scifoni, C. Latessa (*INFN & Univ. Trento*); S. Argiro, P. Cerello, V. Ferrero, G. Giraudo, N. Pastrone, C. Peroni, L. Ramello, M. Sitta (*INFN & Univ. Torino*); O. Sato (*Nagoya Univ.*); M. Pullia (*CNAO, Pavia*)

Thanks for your attention




# TOF & dE/dx measurement

- ✓ A grid of  $400 \times 20 \times 2.5 \text{ mm}^3$  scintillator plastic slabs (XY) can give a very good  $\Delta E$  ( $\rightarrow Z$  ID) and TOF measurement.
- ✓ TOF measurement below 100ps with heavy fragments: MEG-like digitizers
- ✓ Charge mis-ID below the 2% level for  $Z=8$  fragment at  $\beta \sim 0.6$




Si detector signal



Tested with similar fragment energies and experimental setup

Marrocchesi et al,  
NIM A 659 (2011) 477–483





# FOOT Calorimeter




The calorimeter shape and material choice is dictated by the heavy fragment range and the needed 2-3% energy resolution  $\rightarrow$  BGO.

**Main challenge: neutrons leak out**

- ✓ TOF asks for 1.2 m lever arm  $\rightarrow R = 20$  cm with  $10^0$  angular aperture of the fragments
- ✓ A  $2 \times 2$  cm<sup>2</sup> granularity is due to the minimum track separation (1deg)
- ✓ Thickness must contain the heavier fragment @ 200 MeV/u  $\rightarrow 7$ -10 cm
- ✓  $2 \times 2 \times 7$  cm<sup>3</sup> BGO units  $\rightarrow 300$  channels

Frag. range in BGO @200 MeV/u




Read-out: not critical due to the high light yield (PMT, APD, SiPM)



# Halbach geometry for permanent magnet

Halbach geometry provides uniform transverse magnetic field in a cylindrical geometry: B field proportional to  $\ln(R_{out}/R_{in})$



$B=0.8T$   
Thick=8cm

