Fast flavor conversions - a classical picture !

Manibrata Sen

Department of Theoretical Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India.

11/10/2017

Based on arXiv:1709.08671 [hep-ph]

In collaboration with Basudeb Dasgupta.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Supernova explosion



Collapse of degenerate core. Bounce and Shock.

Explosion of a massive $6-8 M_{\odot}$ star





Explosion!

Stalled shock and accretion

Manibrata Sen (TIFR)

SNOBS 2017, Mainz

 Talk ⊕y Dighe, HRI (2016).
 Ξ
 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅

 11/10/2017
 1 / 13

Flavor Oscillations in dense media: Collective effects

- Neutrino oscillation usually involves two kinds of terms:
 - Evolution due to vacuum oscillation term ($\omega \equiv \Delta m^2/2E$).
 - 2 MSW potential term (matter effects $\equiv \lambda$)
- High neutrino density causes an MSW-like potential (≡ μ). Leads to self-interactions. μ ≫ ω.

Pantaleone (1992)

- Causes collective oscillations → large flavor conversions even for tiniest mixing angle.
- Bipolar oscillations $\propto \sqrt{\omega \mu}$. Intuitive understanding through pendulum analogy.

Hannestad et. al. (2007)

• Fast oscillations $\propto \mu$. Even for $\omega = 0$.

Sawyer (2016), Raffelt et. al. (2016), Dasgupta, Mirizzi and MS (2016).

Manibrata Sen (TIFR)

SNOBS 2017, Mainz

Polarization vector picture

- Neutrino flavor density matrix $\rho_{ex} = \langle \nu_e | \nu_x \rangle$.
- Define $\mathbf{P} = (\text{Re } (\varrho_{ex}), \text{Im } (\varrho_{ex}^*), \varrho_{ee} \varrho_{xx})$. z-component of \mathbf{P} encodes flavor information. $\mathbf{P}(0) = \overline{\mathbf{P}}(0) = (0, 0, 1)$.

• EOMs

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{p}} &= \left(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{B} + \lambda\mathbf{L} + H_{\mathbf{p}}^{\nu\nu}\right) \times \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{p}}, \\ \dot{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}_{\mathbf{p}} &= \left(-\omega_{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{B} + \lambda\mathbf{L} + H_{\mathbf{p}}^{\nu\nu}\right) \times \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{p}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B} &= (\sin 2\vartheta_0, 0, -\cos 2\vartheta_0) \text{ for a vacuum mixing angle } \vartheta_0 \,, \\ \mathbf{L} &= (0, 0, 1) \,, \\ H_{\mathbf{p}}^{\nu\nu} &= \mu \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \, (1 - \vec{v_{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \vec{v_{\mathbf{q}}}) (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{q}} - \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{q}}) \,. \end{split}$$

Manibrata Sen (TIFR)

11/10/2017 3 / 13

Bipolar Oscillations

- Coherent $\nu_e \bar{\nu}_e \leftrightarrow \nu_x \bar{\nu}_x$ oscillations.
- Can be mapped to a pendulum in flavor space.
- Depending on mass hierarchy, pendulum can be inverted (unstabe) or stable.

Hannestad et. al. (2007)



 Talk by Raffelt, JIGSAW, 2007.

 < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷

SNOBS 2017, MAINZ

Fast Oscillations

- Simplest system which shows fast conversions, in absence of any spatial inhomogeneities.
- Only exists in the left-right symmetry breaking solution.
- Conversions obtained for $c \equiv \cos \theta > 0.$

Raffelt et. al. (2016)

• Is a classical analogy possible?



A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

SNOBS 2017, MAINZ

Eqn. of motion

Redefine the basis:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q} &\equiv (\mathbf{P}_L + \mathbf{P}_R) + (\overline{\mathbf{P}}_L + \overline{\mathbf{P}}_R) - \frac{2\omega}{\mu(3-c)} \mathbf{B} \,, \\ \mathbf{D} &\equiv (\mathbf{P}_L + \mathbf{P}_R) - (\overline{\mathbf{P}}_L + \overline{\mathbf{P}}_R) \,, \\ \mathbf{X} &\equiv (\mathbf{P}_L - \mathbf{P}_R) + (\overline{\mathbf{P}}_L - \overline{\mathbf{P}}_R) \,, \\ \mathbf{Y} &\equiv (\mathbf{P}_L - \mathbf{P}_R) - (\overline{\mathbf{P}}_L - \overline{\mathbf{P}}_R) \,. \end{aligned}$$

The EoMs:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{Q}} &= \frac{\mu}{2}(3-c)\,\mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{Q} + \frac{\mu}{2}(1+c)\,\mathbf{X}\times\mathbf{Y}\,,\\ \dot{\mathbf{D}} &= \omega\,\mathbf{B}\times\mathbf{Q}\,,\\ \dot{\mathbf{X}} &= \left[\omega\left(\frac{3+c}{3-c}\right)\,\mathbf{B} + \mu\,c\,\mathbf{Q}\right]\times\mathbf{Y} + \mu\,\mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{X}\,,\\ \dot{\mathbf{Y}} &= \left[\omega\left(\frac{2}{3-c}\right)\,\mathbf{B} - \frac{\mu}{2}\,(1-c)\mathbf{Q}\right]\times\mathbf{X} + \frac{\mu}{2}(3+c)\,\mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{Y}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Manibrata Sen (TIFR)

11/10/2017 6 / 13

Exactly and approximately conserved quantities

- Clearly, |P| is conserved. So is flavor lepton number, given by B · D. *Exactly* conserved.
- In the fast conversion limit ω/μ → 0, extra conserved quantities, involving Q, D, X and Y.
- Reduces the 12-variable problem to a 3-variable problem involving **Q**.
- Leads to a closed form EoM for **Q**.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Fast conversion: The quartic potential

 $\bullet\,$ The closed equation for ${\bf Q}$:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{Q}} = -\mu^2 c \left(1 - c\right) \left[|\mathbf{Q}_0|^2 - \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \right] \mathbf{Q},$$

where $|\mathbf{Q}_0|$ is the length of \mathbf{Q} at time t = 0.

• The corresponding Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \frac{1}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{Q}}|^2 - \mu^2 c \left(1 - c\right) \left[|\mathbf{Q}_0|^2 - \frac{\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}}{2} \right] \frac{\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}}{2}$$

• $Q_x, Q_y \approx 0$, motion primarily governed by Q_z . Clearly, motion in a *quartic* potential.

Manibrata Sen (TIFR)

SNOBS 2017, Mainz

11/10/2017 8 / 13

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・ うんで

Fast oscillation as motion in the quartic potential

• The analytic potential

$$V(Q_z) = \mu^2 c (1-c) \left[|\mathbf{Q}_0|^2 - \frac{Q_z^2}{2} \right] \frac{Q_z^2}{2}$$

- Unstable for c > 0.
- LSA gives non-zero instability growth rate κ only for $c \equiv \cos \theta > 0$.



SNOBS 2017, MAINZ

Time-period of the fast oscillations

- Three timescales in the problem. All vary as $1/\mu$.
- Use energy conservation to get

$$T_{\text{fast}} = 2 \int_{Q_z^{\text{max}}}^{Q_z^{\text{min}}} \frac{dQ_z}{\sqrt{2(E - V(Q_z))}}$$

• T_{onset} and T_{wait} depend logarithmically on subleading $\mathcal{O}(\omega/\mu)$ terms.



Varying neutrino density: Adiabatic Invariance

- Can extend argument for a varying neutrino density, for e.g., $\mu(t) = \mu_0(1 + t/100).$
- Use adiabatic invariance \rightarrow action remains constant, while energy and time period changes.



Varying neutrino density: Adiabatic Invariance

- Can calculate T_{fast} for varying μ using adiabatic invariance. Excellent agreement with numerics.
- Details of motion captured in phase-plot.



Conclusions

- Simplest homogeneous system showing fast conversion ≡ particle rolling down a quartic potential. A classical analogue indeed exists!
- Potential well if $\cos \theta > 0$. Potential barrier if $\cos \theta < 0$. Explains angular dependence of fast oscillations in such systems.
- Explain the time period of fast oscillation analytically, both for constant and varying μ .
- Subleading terms important for onset and waiting period.
- Above analysis for zero neutrino-antineutrino asymmetry. Can extend to non-zero asymmetry. Analogous to the presence of external electric and magnetic fields.

Thank You

13 / 13

11/10/2017

4 D F 4 8 F