

① set up

- Imagine you are reading a QFT textbook
say we have a scalar ϕ^4 field theory

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4$$

and you want to understand the theory perturbatively
naively you say sum over all possibilities or what can happen
or more physically use the path integral $Z = \int d\phi e^{-\int d^4x \mathcal{L} + i\phi}$ Feynman diagrams
expand in λ and T and it builds graphs
by joining half edges into corollas
and joining half edges into edges to get Feynman diagrams

what's a mathematician to do?

let's just take as our starting point to be the Feynman diagrams
and their Feynman integrals

- For ϕ^4 theory graphs are $\text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---}$

Feynman rules $\frac{1}{p^2 + m^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2}$ (you guess)

let G be such a graph

eg  in momentum space and diagrams we'll talk about later

- I want to be in parameter space and let's say massless

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-ap^2} da = \frac{1}{p^2}$$

this associates an edge to each variable

$$\text{so for } \int \frac{\prod d^4 k_i}{\prod p_i^2} \rightarrow \int \prod d^4 k_i \int_0^\infty da_e e^{-\sum a_e p_e^2}$$

Gaussian so easy (or look it up)
to do the integrals

$$\text{set } \int_0^\infty \prod da_e \frac{1}{(\det M)^{1/2}}$$

where M is matrix
of the quadratic form

$$= \int \prod da_e \frac{1}{(\det M)^{1/2}}$$

but what is M ?

it's entry is $-a_e$ if k_i and k_j appear in momentum of e

$\rightarrow$ dual graph Laplacian matrix (with variables)

by the matric-tree theorem

$$\det M = \Psi_G = \sum_T \prod_{e \in T} a_e$$

• what about divergence etc?

lets restrict to log divergent with no subdivergences

then let
$$P_G = \int_{\epsilon > 0} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2} \delta(a_1, \dots, r=1)$$

• Points — P_G is a sensible object physically, alg. geom'y, comb'y

— it is special but not so special

more complicated theories give numerical estimates

but P_G exhibits singularities

Theories with overall symmetries may cause cancellations

but for realistic theories problems are postponed

not eliminated

we get the kind of numbers we see in scattering amplitudes
(already saw ζ_3 in Valerian's talk)

is mathematically clean — sometimes difficult but
we can see what's going on

— can extend to include subdiv.

② What is known about P_G

MZV
$$\zeta(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \dots n_k^{s_k}}$$

(integers) numbers hierarchically w/ motivically also nice algebraic/comb. properties
extension of special values of Riemann ζ
special values of multiple polylog }
properly

Calculated by Broadhurst-Kreimer (1995)

all up to 7 loops except 3 families (1 row solved)

egs

zigzags, $K_{3,4}$

calculated by Schuster (2010)

may need at 8 & 9 loops

Proof by Schuster + Brown of zigzag family (2015)

Non MZV egs by Doryn (2011) + Brown-Schuster (2012)

Tell the motivic story
(first half)

— thought all motivic

— then showed all come from MTH

(will be 1 to 2)

but false

③ Symmetries of Feynman periods

- planar duality
- complexification (Brookhous-Kreuzer)
- Schnetz twist (Schnetz)
- 3-vertex (completed) product
- note subdiv re 4 edge ckt in complexification

④ other graph invariant with these symmetries

in chronological order

(for all conjekt if $P_{G_1} = P_{G_2}$ then $\text{inv}(G_1) = \text{inv}(G_2)$)

- C_2 (Schrotz, Brown-Schrotz)

- Graph form (Carp, DeVos, ...)

- Hepp (Panzer)

Def $c_2^{(p)}(G) = \frac{[\Phi_G]_p}{p^2} \mod p$

$$= \text{perm} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \bmod 3$$
$$-T_G = \{p=0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2\}$$

bridges, map length

why root of mobile
fory
(Kontsevich con)
Belkale Brannan

$$w(x) = |E(x)| \cdot 2L(x)$$

$$L(x) = \sum_{x_0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \frac{1}{w(x_0) \cdot w(x)} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{F}_q} |E(x_i)| \cdot |E_i|$$

Key
result

- $C_2^{(0)}(u) = 0$ wt drop
- $C_2^{(0)}(u)$ const MZV
- former cell cons of modular form

- all symbols

Advantages

Number they connect
clear com to P_G

all symbols known
connects to flow theory
in graph

appropriately scaled predicts
value of θ_j well
cojective strength to iff

Disadvantages

paper conjecture

not clear what plot
P_g it predicts

very new & not well understood

Point: try to understand P_G with these graph invariants
also nice mathematical objects

If extra time perm proofs