

①



Central principle of the theory of relativity is *Nahwirkungsprinzip* = no measurable effect propagates faster than the speed of light.

Rigid bodies complicated to describe

Pointlike localized physical observables

Principle of QM is the uncertainty relation: Any localization in position space is incompatible with localization in mom.-space. So observables that are pointlike localized in space-time must show divergencies in their high-energy behaviour.

math. consistent description of QFT is enormously difficult.

main ingredient = observables

how to model them?

QT = operators on  
= Hilbert space

R = associate them  
with space-time points.

So one considers maps

$$x \mapsto \Phi(x)$$

$x$  a point in Minkowski Space

$\Phi(x)$  an operator depending on  $x$ .

these maps are the "quantum fields".

Require:  $[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = 0$  if  $x$  and  $y$  are spacelike separated.

Problem:  $\phi$  not bounded op., not unbounded, unbounded quadr. forms  
 $\rightarrow$  how to form well-defined products, commut., correl. fcts.

Wightman framework / Haag-Kastler framework. (to circumvent the above problems)

③ Wightman framework.

$\phi(x)$  are operator-valued distributions

$$\phi(f) = \int d^4x f(x) \phi(x)$$

lin. map  $f \mapsto \phi_f$

$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^4) \rightarrow$  space of (unbounded) lin. operators on a dense subspace  $\mathcal{D}$  of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Restrict to one scalar Bose field.

Axioms from physics

If  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^4)$  s.t.  $\text{supp } f$  &  $\text{supp } g$  are s.l.s, then  $[\phi(f), \phi(g)] = 0$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Symmetry group of Mink. space  $\mathcal{P}$

$$U(x, 1) \phi_f U(x, 1)^* = \sum_j U_j(x, 1) \phi_j(f_{x, 1})$$

$$f_{1, x}(y) = f(1^{-1}(y-x))$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \phi_i \end{array} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^k S_{ij} \phi_j$$

if  $S$  is an unimod. repres.  
 then  $\{ \phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \}$   
 Spin.

- Spectrum condition: the joint spectrum of the generators of translations  $P_\mu$  is contained in the closed forward light cone.  $\Rightarrow H \geq 0$  in every Lorentz frame.
- There exists a unit vector  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}$ , unique up to a phase, which is invariant under all  $U(x, \Lambda)$ . (vacuum vector)

Example = A real scalar free field of mass  $m \geq 0$ .

mem. hyperboloid  
 $v = m$   
 $\rho$

$\mathcal{H} = \text{Symm. Fock space over } \mathcal{H}_1 = L^2 \left( \mathbb{R}^3, \frac{d^3 p}{2\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}} \right)$

$$\phi(f) = a^+(\tilde{f}) + a(\tilde{f}) \quad \int dx f(x) \int dp e^{ipx} a(p) + \dots$$

$$\int dp \tilde{f}(p) a(p) + \dots$$

$\mathcal{D} =$  space of vectors of finite particle number that decay rapidly in mom. space (faster than any power).

$$[\phi(f), \phi(g)] = i \mathcal{Z}(f, g) \mathbb{1} \quad \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } \text{supp } f \times \text{supp } g$$

$$\mathcal{Z}(f, g) = \int d^4 x f(x) (Eg)(x)$$

$E$  is the propagator  
 ret. - adv.

of KG eq.  $(\square + m^2) \phi = 0$   $\rightarrow$  it assigns to  $g$  a sol. of KG eq. that has supp. in causal shadow of supp.  $g$ .

$$(U(x, \mathbb{1}) \tilde{f})(p) = e^{i(\sqrt{p^2 + m^2} x_0 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x})} \tilde{f}(p)$$

2nd quantiz.

~~trivial answer~~ boost? complicated!



Results of Wightman QFT = conclusions indep. of specific models

\* Haag - Ruelle Scattering theory = construction of <sup>well-defined</sup> asymptotic scattering states, scattering matrix  
(relate asympt. particle config to localized fields)

\* PCT theor =  $\exists$  antiunit. op.  $J$  s.t.

$$J \phi(f) J = \phi^*(f_J) \quad \text{"charge conjugation"} \quad f_J(x) = f(-x)$$

$$J U(x, \Lambda) J = U(-x, \Lambda)$$

PCT symmetry

\* spin - statistics theor = Fermi fields

$$[ , ]_+$$

Lorentz gr.  $\rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{C})$   $\rightarrow$  allowing its covering also reps. with half-integer spin for  $S(1)$ .

One can then prove that Fermi fields can transf. only under half-integer spin reps. and Bose fields only under integer spins.

⑤ Haag-Kastler framework

\* minimize the necessary structures <sup>on the side of physics</sup>  $V$  (spin of quantum fields, Fermi fields, ...) <sub>by hand</sub>

\* abstraction and simplification on mathematical side.

(physical observables are generated by products and adjoints of the

set of fields  $\phi$  ("basis"). So the  $*$ -algebra of observables is a more fundamental object.   
 (vector space of fields or rather)

\* Fields are unbounded operators and on a technical mathem. side are difficult to analyse. Prefer to work with bounded operators, which are more accessible to the standard tools of functional analysis.

map  $\mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$  assigns to each (bounded, open)

region  $\mathcal{O}$  in Mink. a  $C^*$ -alg.  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ . "net of algebras"   
 $\mathbb{R}^4$  of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$  bounded ops

$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$  generated by bounded fcts of  $\phi(f)$ 's with

their selfadj. elements are interpreted as the set of physical observables that can be measured in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

supp  $f \subset \mathcal{O}$ .

Axioms from physics

$$\bigcup_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$$

\*  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_2)$  if  $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$

\*  $[A_1, A_2] = 0$  if  $\mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_2, A_i \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_i)$

\*  $\exists$  repres.  $(x, \Lambda) \mapsto \alpha_{x, \Lambda}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  as autom. of  $\mathcal{U}$  s.t.

$\alpha_{x, \Lambda} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{U}(\Lambda \mathcal{O} + x) \quad \forall \mathcal{O} \quad \forall (x, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{P}$ . (no reference to basis, spin)

$\mathcal{H}$    
 \*  $\exists$  strongly cont. unit. repres.  $(x, \Lambda) \mapsto U(x, \Lambda)$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $(\mathcal{H})$    
 s.t.  $\alpha_{x, \Lambda} = \text{ad } U(x, \Lambda)$ .

vacuum sector   
 \* Positivity of en.   
 \* uniqueness of vacuum } as before.

Example = real scalar free field. (relation with Wightman framework)

$\phi(f)$  have unique selfadj. extensions

→ define unit. ops.  $W(f) := e^{i\phi(f)}$  Weyl ops.

→ consider the net generated by them =

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}) := \{W(f) \mid \text{supp } f \subset \mathcal{O}\}$$

Weyl algebras

vN double commutant

$$W(f)W(g) = e^{i\sigma(f,g)} W(f+g)$$

⑥ Results of AQFT:

\*  $(\mathcal{U}, \omega)$  start  $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{R}$  already there in Haag-Kastler  
 GNS  $\exists \mathcal{R}, \pi$  repr. of  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  s.t.  $\omega(A) = \langle \mathcal{R}, \pi(A)\mathcal{R} \rangle$   
 We have already the output of GNS  
 → no need of GNS for vacuum state

ref. state  $\rho$  needs not to be the vacuum.

e.g. charge state

→  $\pi_\rho \neq \pi_\omega$

→ not vector states on "same" Hilbert space

→ cannot be coherently superposed

→ superselection rules.

\* In an extension of the loc. algebras  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ ,

one finds algebras of "charge-carrying"

operators  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$  that intertwine the

representation space  $\mathcal{H}_\rho$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ .

→ not observables, not fulfill causal comm. rel.

→ fulfill either ~~causal~~ graded comm. rel. or ~~anti~~ anti-comm.

→ Bose-Fermi alternative  $\mathbb{Z}_2$

fields are either Bose or Fermi

\* global gauge group; gauge fields can be constructed from a given net of algebras.

\* thermodynamic states, both equil. and nonequil.

\* Haag-Ruelle scattering theory.

\* Work directly with  $C^*$  and  $W^*$  algebras and  $\gamma$  bounded operators =

Banach spaces

locally convex spaces

functional analysis

Tomita-Takesaki theory

⑦ Quantum integrable models

(no need of a logz.)  
 Advantage of HK framework  
 1+1 dimens. Mink. ~~sp~~

→ In presence of interact. pointlike local observ. here a complicated structure.

• Bosons (no spin,  $m > 0$ ) in

• Two-momentum and rapidity

$$p = p(\theta) = m (\cosh \theta, \sinh \theta)$$



• Two particle scattering allows exchange of a phase factor.  
 → 2-particle scattering matrix  $S(\theta_1, \theta_2)$

• multi-particle scattering matrix - product of 2-particle scattering matrices "factorizing S matrix". (integrable) (def)

• 2-particle scatt. fct.  $S$  is  
 → an ~~analytic~~ analytic fct. in strip  $0 < \text{Im} \theta < \pi$  (cross. sym, bootstrap Yang Baxter)  
 → with certain symm. prop.s  
 →  $S = 1$  free field,  $S = -1$  Ising model,

sinh-Gordon  $S(s) = \frac{\text{sh } s - i \sin \frac{B\pi}{2}}{\text{sh } s + i \sin \frac{B\pi}{2}}$   $0 < B < 2$

$O(N)$  nonlin 3 models (matrix-valued fct.)

Task = Given ~~the~~ a fct.  $S$ , construct a corresponding QFT.

The theory is constructed as a deformation of a free field =

- ZF algebra

$$z(\theta), z^+(\theta)$$

$$z(\theta_1) z(\theta_2) = S(\theta_1 - \theta_2) z(\theta_2) z(\theta_1)$$

$$z^+(\theta_1) z^+(\theta_2) = S(\theta_1 - \theta_2) z^+(\theta_2) z^+(\theta_1)$$

$$z(\theta_1) z^+(\theta_2) = S(\theta_2 - \theta_1) z^+(\theta_2) z(\theta_1) + S(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot \mathbb{1}$$

These act on "S-symm." Fock space.

- Repres. of  $\mathcal{P}$ , including of  $J$ .

- Define 
$$\phi(x) = \int \int d\theta e^{ip(\theta) \cdot x} z^+(\theta) + e^{-ip(\theta) \cdot x} z(\theta)$$

- the field is not local =

$$[\phi(x), \phi(y)] \neq 0 \text{ even if } \overset{\text{supp } f}{x} \text{ spacelike sep. from } \overset{\text{supp } g}{y}$$

- But with  $\phi'(x) = U(j) \phi(\frac{x}{j}) U(j)^*$  =

$$[\phi(x), \phi'(y)] = 0 \text{ if } \overset{\text{supp } f}{x} \text{ spacelike sep. to the left of } \overset{\text{supp } g}{y}$$

→ this assumes that  $S$  is analytic in the "physical strip"  $0 < \text{Im } s < \pi$ .

→ Interpretation =  $\phi(x)$  is localized in the wedge region  $\text{supp } f \subset W_L + x$ , and  $\phi'(y)$  is localized in the wedge region  $\text{supp } g \subset W_R - y$ .

- Further wedge-local observables by relative locality / associated vN algebras =

$$A(W_L + x) = \{ e^{i\phi(f)} \mid \text{supp } f \subset W_L + x \}''$$

- Observables localized in bounded regions are obtained as intersections of vN algebras.

$$A(\theta) := A(W_L + x) \cap A(W_R - y) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\theta = W_L + x \cap W_R - y$$

- Result = Such observables exist for a large class of  $S$ .
-