Electron scattering off few-nucleon systems: theory meets experiment



J.Golak, R.Skibiński, H. Witała, K.Topolnicki, E.Epelbaum, H. Kamada, A. Nogga



New Vistas in Low Energy Precision Physics (LEPP)

Mainz, 7 April, 2016

Electron scattering off few-nucleon systems: theory meets experiment (Preparatory work)



JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY J.Golak, R.Skibiński, H. Witała, K.Topolnicki, E.Epelbaum, H. Kamada, A. Nogga



New Vistas in Low Energy Precision Physics (LEPP)

Mainz, 7 April, 2016

Introduction

My talk at JGU on 15 June, 2015

Few-nucleon systems with chiral nuclear forces

with the outline:

- Chiral effective field theory up to 2014
- Improved NN potentials from E. Epelbaum *et al.*
- 2N and 3N systems with new chiral potentials
- Selected electromagnetic reactions with 2N and 3N systems

- Muon capture on ²H and ³He
- Conclusions and outlook



Lectures on few-nucleon calculations in momentum space

LEPP, Mainz, 7 April 2016

- 2N bound state
- Nucleon-nucleon scattering (phase shifts, observables)
- Elastic electron-nucleon scattering
- Elastic electron-deuteron scattering
- Inelastic electron-deuteron scattering
- Some aspects of electron scattering off ³He

still available (notes+computer codes)

at http://users.uj.edu.pl/~golak/JGU2015/



A very efficient momentum space framework to deal with nucleonnucleon scattering, nucleon-deuteron scattering and many electroweak processes has been constructed and tested: Phys. Rept. 274, 107 (1996); Phys. Rept. 415, 89 (2005); Eur. Phys. J. A24, 31 (2005)

Limitations: nonrelativistic character and lack of Coulomb force in the 3N continuum

Calculations performed with semi-phenomenological 2N and 3N potentials: Bonn B, AV18, Urbana IX, older chiral potentials from E. Epelbaum *et al.* and recently with the improved chiral potentials from EE *et al.*





Prof. Dr. Hartmuth Arenhövel

has been working for years on many electromagnetic reactions in the few-nucleon systems and it is impossible to compete with him !

LEPP, Mainz, 7 April 2016

There are also other groups: Pisa, Trento, Vilnius, Grenoble, ...

We had to find a new territory and study electromagnetic reactions using the potentials and current operators derived within ChEFT !



New improved chiral NN potentials from E. Epelbaum et al. are available

Substantial improvement in the description of many observables in 2N and 3N systems

Matrix elements of all chiral 3NF up to N3LO calculated but have to be adjusted to new NN potentials

LENPIC (Low Energy Nuclear Physics International Collaboration) established to coordinate few-nucleon and many-nucleon calculations

LEPP, Mainz, 7 April 2016

http://www.lenpic.org

"to understand nuclear structure and reactions with chiral forces"







Sven Binder, Kai Hebeler, Joachim Langhammer, Robert Roth

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Pieter Maris, Hugh Potter, James Vary



Jacek Golak, Roman Skibiński, Kacper Topolnicki, Henryk Witała FORSCHUNGSZENTRUM Andreas Nogga



Evgeny Epelbaum, Hermann Krebs



UNIVERSITY Richard J. Furnstahl,

ILICH

RUB



Angelo Calci



Veronique Bernard



Hiroyuki Kamada



Ulf-G.Meißner





Expected MESA parameters

E= 150 MeV E' > 20 MeV Θ_e > 10 deg

ideal to study few-nucleon dynamics within the nonrelativistic framework with the input from ChEFT !

magnitude of three-momentum transfer vs. energy transfer





four-momentum transfer squared vs. energy transfer

magnitude of three-momentum transfer vs. internal energy of 3N system

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY IN KRAKOW

Input from ChEFT

General strategy in the few-nucleon systems:

- use (consistent !!!) dynamical ingredients (2N and 3N potentials, electroweak current operators)
- solve the dynamical equations (Schrödinger equation, Lippmann-Schwinger equation, Faddeev equations)
- give predictions for bound states and reaction observables
- confront results of theoretical calculations with experimental data

Important message from Evgeny's talk: Improved 2N chiral potentials reveal very welcome properties in 2N and 3N systems

Work on consistent 3N potentials up to N3LO is being finalized



Input from ChEFT (cont.)

Work on EM and weak current operators consistent with the improved chiral forces not yet finished

S. Kölling, PhD thesis

Leading two-pion-exchange current operator S. Kölling *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C80, 045502 (2009)

Corrections to one-pion exchange and short-range contributions S. Kölling *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C84, 054008 (2011)

Highly non-trivial task !





Input from ChEFT (cont.)

Leading two-pion-exchange current operator Phys. Rev. C80, 045502 (2009)

Class I: Class 2: Class 3: Class 4: Class 5: Class 6: Class 7:

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY In Krakow



Nonvanishing contributions come from

$$f_1^3, f_1^4, f_1^5, f_1^6, f_1^7, f_1^8, f_1^9, f_1^{10}, f_2^3, f_2^4, f_2^5, f_2^6, f_2^7, f_2^8, f_2^9, f_2^{10}, f_3^1, f_3^2$$

$$J^{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{j=1}^{8} f_{i}^{jS}(\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2})T_{i}O_{j}^{S},$$

Nonvanishing contributions come from

$$f_1^{1S}, f_1^{4S}, f_1^{5S}, f_1^{6S}, f_1^{7S}, f_1^{8S}, f_1^{1S}, f_1^{1S}, f_2^{7S}, f_2^{8S}, f_3^{2S}, f_3^{3S}$$

Input from ChEFT (cont.)

Spin operators

...

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY In Krakow

2<mark>5</mark>25

$$\begin{split} \vec{O}_1 &= \vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2, \\ \vec{O}_2 &= \vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2, \\ \vec{O}_3 &= [\vec{q}_1 \times \vec{\sigma}_2] + [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_1], \\ \vec{O}_4 &= [\vec{q}_1 \times \vec{\sigma}_2] - [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_1], \\ \vec{O}_5 &= [\vec{q}_1 \times \vec{\sigma}_1] + [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_2], \\ \vec{O}_6 &= [\vec{q}_1 \times \vec{\sigma}_1] - [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_2], \\ \vec{O}_7 &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_2]) + \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_1]), \\ \vec{O}_8 &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_2]) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_1]), \\ \vec{O}_9 &= \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_2]) + \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_1]), \\ \vec{O}_{10} &= \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_2]) - \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot [\vec{q}_2 \times \vec{\sigma}_1]), \\ \vec{O}_{11} &= (\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2) (\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{12} &= (\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2) (\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{13} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2), \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{q}_2 \vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) \\ \vec{O}_{14} &= \vec{q}_1 (\vec{q}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) \vec{q}_1 \vec{q}_1$$

Isospin operators

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T_1 &=& (\vec{\tau}_1 + \vec{\tau}_2)_3, \\ T_2 &=& (\vec{\tau}_1 - \vec{\tau}_2)_3, \\ T_3 &=& (\vec{\tau}_1 \times \vec{\tau}_2)_3, \\ T_4 &=& \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2 \,, \\ T_5 &=& \mathbbm{1} \,, \end{array}$$



Older chiral forces: EM reactions

Older chiral forces at N2LO with leading one-pion exchange and twopion exchange currents





Older chiral forces: EM reactions

Similar behaviour in 3N system !

 $^{3}He(\gamma,d)p$





Older chiral forces: EM reactions $^{3}He(e,e'p)d$ two-body break-up of ³He $E = 100 \text{ MeV}, \theta_{o} = 88^{\circ}, E' = 70 \text{ MeV}$ $E = 100 \text{ MeV}, \theta_{e} = 30^{\circ}, E' = 80 \text{ MeV}$ $\omega = 30 \text{ MeV}, Q = 120 \text{ MeV/c}$ $\omega = 20 \text{ MeV}, Q = 50 \text{ MeV/c}$ 1e-005 1e-006 $d^{5}\sigma/(dE_{e} d\Omega_{e} d\Omega_{p} [fm^{2}/(MeV sr^{2})]$ 1e-006 1e-007 1e-007 1e-008

1e-009

60

120

180

⊚_p [deg]

very small sensitivity of unpolarized xs to current operator !

300

360

240



1e-008

60

120

180

⊕_p [deg]

LEPP, Mainz, 7 April 2016

240

300

360

Older chiral forces: EM reactions







Older chiral forces: EM reactions

 $^{3}He(e,e'p)pn$

 $E = 100 \text{ MeV}, \theta_e = 30^\circ, E' = 80 \text{ MeV}$ $\omega = 20 \text{ MeV}, Q = 50 \text{ MeV}$ $E = 100 \text{ MeV}, \theta_e = 88^\circ, E' = 70 \text{ MeV}$ $\omega = 30 \text{ MeV}, Q = 120 \text{ MeV}$





What can be done without explicit EM current operators ?

Use Siegert theorem to implicitly include many-nucleon contributions:

 Replace a part of a electric multipole by a Coulomb multipole calculated from the single nucleon charge density.

$$\hat{Q}Y_{JM}(\hat{Q}) = -\sqrt{\frac{J+1}{2J+1}} \vec{Y}_{J+1\,1J}^M(\hat{Q}) + \sqrt{\frac{J}{2J+1}} \vec{Y}_{J-1\,1J}^M(\hat{Q})$$

Calculate magnetic multipoles from the single nucleon current operator

LEPP, Mainz, 7 April 2016

Pretty simple in momentum space !



The total deuteron photodisintegration cross section





Improved chiral forces: EM reactions ${}^{^{2}}H(\gamma, p)n$









Improved chiral forces: EM reactions 2 H(γ , *p*)*n*







Improved chiral forces: EM reactions $\theta_p = 90^\circ$ ${}^2\text{H}(\vec{\gamma}, p)n$





Improved chiral forces: EM reactions ${}^{2}\text{H}(\vec{\gamma},n)p$





$$n + d \rightarrow \gamma + {}^{3}\mathrm{H}$$





The c.m. proton-deuteron capture cross section at $E_d^{lab} = 29 \text{ MeV}$ and 95 MeV









The deuteron vector analyzing power at four energies





	exp
	a) Sagara et al
0	b)-c) Klechneva et al
	d) Pitts et al



The deuteron vector analyzing power at four energies



JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY IN KRAKOW



$$p + d \rightarrow \gamma + {}^{3}\text{He}$$

 $E_{p} = 29 \text{ MeV}$

$$p + d \rightarrow \gamma + {}^{3}\text{He}$$

 $E_{p} = 95 \text{ MeV}$







different chiral orders, R=0.9 fm



Muon capture on ²H and ³He

Phys. Rev. C 90, 024001 (2014)

Muon capture from the lowest K-shell of the muonic atom studied with the single nucleon weak current operator $\rightarrow \approx 10$ % error in predictions



LEPP, Mainz, 7 April 2016

$$\psi_{K}(r) \equiv \psi_{100}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{(Zm'\alpha)^{3}}{\pi}} e^{-Zm'\alpha r}$$

$$m' \equiv \frac{m_{\mu}m_{z}}{m_{\mu} + m_{z}}$$
$$E_{1} = -\frac{Z^{2}\alpha^{2}m'}{2}$$

reduced mass

negligible for Z=1,2 when compared to the muon or nucleon mass



Muon capture on ²H and ³He

Methods developed for electromagnetic reactions can be easily applied to following reactions

$$\mu^{-} + d \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + n + n$$
$$\mu^{-} + {}^{3}\text{H}e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + {}^{3}\text{H}$$
$$\mu^{-} + {}^{3}\text{H}e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + d + n$$
$$\mu^{-} + {}^{3}\text{H}e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + p + n + n$$
$$\mu^{-} + {}^{3}\text{H} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + n + n + n$$



. . .





Hyperfine structure in deuteron





 $\mu^{-}+d \rightarrow v_{\mu}+n+n$

Doublet (F=1/2) and quadruplet (F=3/2) capture rates in s⁻¹ calculated with the AV18 NN potential (neutron mass is used)

	F=1/2 PW	F=1/2 full	F=3/2 PW	F=3/2 full				
SNC	351.8	382.3	9.8	11.4				
SNC+MEC	356.9	391.0	10.3	12.1				
$\mathbf{\uparrow}$								
agrees with results of the Pisa group: L.E. Marcucci <i>et al.,</i> Phys. Rev. C83, 014002 (20								



LEPP, Mainz, 7 April 2016

1)

 $\mu^{-} + d \rightarrow v_{\mu} + n + n$

Doublet capture rates (F=¹/₂) in s⁻¹ calculated with the improved chiral potentials and the single nucleon current operator with RC

Chiral order	R=0.8 fm	R=0.9 fm	R=1 fm	R=1.1fm	R=1.2 fm	Γ _{max} - Γ _{min}
LO	396.0	397.4	398.4	398.9	399.2	3.3
NLO	384.2	385.8	387.2	388.6	389.8	5.7
N2LO	385.0	386.1	387.2	388.3	389.3	4.3
N3LO	386.8	386.4	385.2	384.3	383.2	3.6
N4LO	385.5	386.1	386.3	385.6	384.6	1.7

very weak dependence on the regulator parameter R

AV18 382.3







Muon capture on ³He: triton channel

 $\mu^{-}+^{3}He \rightarrow V_{\mu}+^{3}H$

Total capture rates in s⁻¹ calculated with the improved chiral potentials and the single nucleon current operator with RC

Chiral order	R=0.8 fm	R=0.9 fm	R=1 fm	R=1.1fm	R=1.2 fm	Γ _{max} - Γ _{min}
LO	1610	1618	1610	1594	1572	46
NLO	1330	1357	1381	1405	1427	97
N2LO	1337	1356	1376	1395	1415	78
N3LO	1314	1304	1289	1278	1266	48
N4LO	1296	1307	1308	1299	1285	23

very weak dependence on the regulator parameter R

AV18 1353



Muon capture on ³He: breakup channels

$$\mu^{-}+{}^{3}\mathrm{H}e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}+d+n \qquad \qquad \mu^{-}+{}^{3}\mathrm{H}e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}+p+n+n$$



No relativity in the kinematics !



Muon capture on ³He: breakup channels

	Capture	e rate Γ in			
Total capture rates	Γ_{nd}	Γ_{nnp}	$\Gamma_{nd} + \Gamma_{nnp}$		
	PW SPW Full	Full	Full		
$\overline{\text{AV18}(j_{\text{max}}=3)}$	1917 2046 604	169	773		
AV18 $(j_{\text{max}} = 4)$	1917 2046 606	170	776		
AV18 + Urbana IX $(j_{max} = 3)$	1853 1956 544	154	698	\leftarrow best	predictions !
Earlier theoretical predictions:					
Yano [34]	510	160	670		
Philips et al. [35]	414	209	623		
Congleton [36]			650		
Experimental results:					
Zaĭmidoroga <i>et al</i> . [37]			660 ± 160		
Auerbach et al. [38]			665^{+170}_{-420}		
Maey et al. [39]			720 ± 70		
Bystritsky <i>et al.</i> [15]			, <u>z</u> o <u></u> , , o		
Method I	491 ± 125	187 ± 11	678 ± 126		
Method II	497 ± 57	190 ± 7	687 ± 60		



Muon capture on ³He: breakup channels





Muon capture on ³He: two-body break-up

 $\mu^{-}+^{3}He \rightarrow v_{\mu}+n+d$

Total capture rates in s⁻¹ calculated with the improved chiral potentials and the single nucleon current operator with RC

Chiral order	R=0.8 fm	R=0.9 fm	R=1 fm	R=1.1fm	R=1.2 fm	Γ _{max} - Γ _{min}
LO	262	282	312	350	392	130
NLO	536	525	515	504	492	44
N2LO	547	539	529	518	507	40
N3LO	584	586	592	596	603	19
N4LO	590	584	583	587	595	12

very weak dependence on the regulator parameter R

AV18 604



Muon capture on ³He: three-body break-up

 $\mu^{-}+^{3}He \rightarrow v_{\mu}+p+n+n$

Total capture rates in s⁻¹ calculated with the improved chiral potentials and the single nucleon current operator with RC

Chiral order	R=0.8 fm	R=0.9 fm	R=1 fm	R=1.1fm	R=1.2 fm	Γ _{max} - Γ _{min}
LO	95	99	105	113	120	26
NLO	159	157	154	151	148	11
N2LO	161	159	157	154	151	10
N3LO	169	169	171	172	175	6
N4LO	170	169	169	170	173	4

very weak dependence on the regulator parameter R

AV18 169



Conclusions and outlook

- Very robust momentum space framework to deal many electroweak processes has been constructed and tested (limitations)
- New input: improved chiral 2N and 3N potentials (even 4N potentials) from E. Epelbaum *et al.* are available
- Substantial improvement in description of many observables
- LENPIC (Low Energy Nuclear Physics International Collaboration) to coordinate few-nucleon and many-nucleon Calculations
 - → See Kai Hebeler's talk today !
- Consistent electroweak current operators are needed and are being prepared
- MESA results will be of great importance !





Conclusions and outlook (cont.)

BUT BEFORE MESA starts

- Energy ranges and phase-space regions best suited to study the nuclear current operator and three-nucleon force effects should be identified for considered reaction channels
- Achievable accuracy of theoretical predictions for various observables should be estimated
- Consistent chiral potentials and EM current operators are necessary as input to these calculations



Conclusions and outlook (cont.)

What should be measured ?

Various observables in deuteron electrodisintegration (polarization might be crucial !)

Two-body break-up of ³He

- 1. unpolarized proton angular distributions (for a wide range of angles)
- 2. ³He analyzing power
- 3. Spin-dependent helicity asymmetries

Three-body break-up of ³He

- 1. Semi-exclusive cross sections (proton and neutron) at various emission angles with respect to the momentum transfer
- 2. ³He analyzing power
- 3. Spin-dependent helicity asymmetries





Thank you !



