

## Efficacy of a Radial Time Project Chamber for Tests of Lepton Universality

Keith Griffioen College of William & Mary

griff@physics.wm.edu

New Vistas in Low-Energy Precision Physics (LEPP) Mainz, Germany 4-7 April 2016



**Bethe-Heitler** 



## Measuring the angle of the recoil proton determines $M_{\rm II}$

![](_page_2_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Bound Nucleon Structure Experiment
- d(e,e'ps)X [(deep) inelastic]
- Deuterium target, spectator proton
- 70 < p<sub>s</sub> < 150 MeV/c
- JLab Hall B CLAS with an RTPC
- Measure  $F_{2^n}$  at high x

Fenker, NIM A **592** (2008) 273–286 Baillie, PRL **108**, 142001 (2012) Tkachenko Phys. Rev. C **89**, 045206 (2014)

![](_page_3_Picture_9.jpeg)

N.Baillie, S. Tkachenko,

W. Melnitchouk, K. Griffioen,

S. Kuhn, C. Keppel, M.E. Christy,

H. Fenker, J. Zhang, S. Bültmann

![](_page_3_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

CHARTERED 1693

## Fenker

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

He-DME gas mixture

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Radial TPC**

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

## RTPC

## Fenker

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **Concentric Construction**

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Performance

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

500

400

300

200

100

0

80

70

60

50

40

30

20

10

0 -0.15

-0.10

0.00

 $\Theta_{a}^{CLAS} - \Theta_{a}^{BONUS}$  (radians)

-0.05

0.10

0.05

0.15

0

50

Track Ionization Density (ADC Counts/mm)

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

**LEPP 2016** 

-20

-10

Δ

Z<sub>CLAS</sub> - Z<sub>BONUS</sub> (cm)

20

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

Acceptance from inclusive eD

**Helix-Fitting** 

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Backward-emitted p is a spectator
- Struck neutron is off-shell
- $\bullet\,p_s$  and  $p_n$  are equal and opposite
- Lorentz invariants are corrected for initial neutron 4-momentum

$$\alpha_s = \frac{E_s - p_{s\parallel}}{M_s}$$
$$x^* = \frac{Q^2}{2p_n \cdot q} \approx \frac{Q^2}{2M_s\nu(2 - \alpha_s)} = \frac{x}{2 - \alpha_s}$$

**BoNuS Kinematic Correction** 

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

CHARTERED 1693

WILLIAM & MARY

• VIPs are 17% of the ps distribution

♣SFB콜

Corrections make resonances stand out

ps distribution

• F<sub>2</sub><sup>n</sup>/F<sub>2</sub><sup>p</sup> can be measured at high x\*

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

LEPP 2016

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

## $F_2^n$ for E = 4, 5 GeV

### Curve: Kalantarians/Christy global fit before BoNuS

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

5 April 2016

LEPP 2016

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

Very Preliminary Proton charge radius – simulations

> March 25, 2016 Patrik Adlarson

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Study of $p\mu^+\mu^-$ differential distributions

Beam: 0.5 – 0.501 GeV In MC weighted with BH-process Target: proton with produced vertex at (0, 0, 0)

5 000 000 events produced for reaction,  $p\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ 

Observable:

Resolution in proton momentum and  $\theta$  required to reach  $3\sigma$  sensitivity to see effect of Lepton Universality Violation

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **True proton information with background**

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

Purple vertical lines represent -t = 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, respectively

Black line top: proton associated with Compton scattering at 500 MeVMiddle line:proton associated with  $\pi^0$  prod at 500 MeVBlack area:proton associated with  $\pi^0\pi^0$  prod at 500 MeV

#### Above 50° no background contribution at all!

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Event requirement**

Only keep event if p<sub>true</sub>

- 1. 95-105 MeV/c (-t = 0.01); 137-147MeV/c (-t = 0.02); 169-179 MeV/c (-t = 0.03);
- 2. And only if proton theta angle  $> 50^{\circ}$
- 3. Run for three conditions on beam energy smearing: 0, 100 keV, 1 MeV

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Patrik's Talk

#### Case: -t = 0.01; Beam energy smeared: 0

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

# δp(%)

#### Case: -t = 0.01; Beam energy smeared: 1 MeV

![](_page_17_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### Case: -t = 0.01; Beam energy smeared: 100 keV

![](_page_17_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_11.jpeg)

#### Case: -t = 0.02; Beam energy smeared: 0

![](_page_17_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_14.jpeg)

δθ (mrad)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Patrik's Talk

#### Case: -t = 0.02; Beam energy smeared: 100 keV

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Case: -t = 0.02; Beam energy smeared: 1 MeV

×10<sup>-3</sup>

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

4 4.5 5

δθ (mrad)

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Case: -t = 0.03; Beam energy smeared: 100 keV

![](_page_18_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Case: -t = 0.03; Beam energy smeared: 0

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

5 April 2016

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Patrik's Talk

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Conclusions

Some regions are completely background free. In a precision experiment these regions should be used for the Lepton Universality Measurement

The error profile changes as function of –t

No great effect of beam energy smearing

Still roughly the same conclusion though: at least 3 mrad. A few percent resolution in momentum needed. Interestingly not so sensitive at -t = 0.03. But there less curvature.

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

Very Preliminary Timothy Hayward William & Mary Monte Carlo Simulations of photo production of Bethe-Heitler Pairs

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

Resolution pushes M<sub>II</sub> events out of displayed region

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

Situation improves with larger M<sub>II</sub> range

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

Less sensitive to momentum smearing

LEPP 2016

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

Effects of beam resolution

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

Effects of angular resolution

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

Effects of momentum resolution

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

Effects of total M<sub>II</sub> resolution

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Lepton Universality tests with an RTPC seem possible, but more realistic simulations must be done.
- A hydrogen gas-jet or pellet-jet target, with a silicon tracking detector is an option.
- Drift chamber design (Gabriel Charles, Paris) —-competitive with the BONuS detector—-is an option.
- Active hydrogen target TPC (Mark Dalton at JLab) is also an option.