Virtual Compton Scattering and Generalized Polarizabilities

Experimental Status

For the MAMI-A1 collaboration

Hélène Fonvieille LPC-Clermont-Fd France New Vistas in Low Energy Precision Physics / CRC1044 Mainz, April 04-07, 2016

Content

-Nucleon study: Generalized Polarizabilities (GP) of the proton

- a recent experiment at MAMI-A1: « vcsq2 »

★ - Perspectives



Main theoretical papers:

H. Arenhoevel et al., NPA233 (1974) 153 P.Guichon et al., Nucl.Phys.A 591 (1995) 606 D.Drechsel et al.,Phys.Rev.C 55 (1997) 424 D.Drechsel et al.,Phys.Rev.C 57 (1998) 941 A.L'vov et al.,Phys.Rev.C 64 (2001) 015203

Local polarization density

Probe of nucleon dynamics: role of quark core versus pion cloud





HBChPT $O(p^3)$: Electric polarization in the nucleon induced by the field E_x

Scaled electric polarization $r^3 \alpha_{i1}$ [10⁻³ fm³]

Figure from S.Scherer, AIP Proc. Conf. 768 (2005) 110.

Measurement Methods



Low Energy Theorem



Dispersion Relations

- Calculation includes all orders in $q'_{\mbox{\tiny cm}}$
- Spin GPs are fixed
- -Scalar GPs $\alpha_{E}(Q^{2}), \beta_{M}(Q^{2})$ have an

unconstrained part \rightarrow must be parametrized:

$$\alpha_{\rm E}({\rm Q}^2) = \alpha_{\rm E}^{\rm \pi N} ({\rm Q}^2) + \frac{[\alpha_{\rm E}^{\rm exp}(0) - \alpha_{\rm E}^{\rm \pi N} (0)]}{(1 + {\rm Q}^2 / \Lambda_{\alpha}^2)^2}$$

 Λ_{α} , Λ_{β} fitted from exp. cross section

GP effect SMALL: ~ 0-15% of the (ep \rightarrow ep γ) cross section

VCS on the proton: World data

(unpolarized experiments)





this is almost a picture of the scalar GPs

Electric and magnetic GP

2 RCS points:

- Olmos de Leon (EPJA 10 (2001) 207

-Particle Data Book 2014

Explore the region around Q²=0.33 GeV² in more detail ...



3 new values of $Q^2 = 0.1$, 0.2, 0.45 GeV²

Goal:	measure the (e p \rightarrow e p γ) cross section,
	essentially below pion threshold, at fixed \textbf{q}_{cm} and fixed $~\epsilon$
	extract $P_{LL} - P_{TT}/\epsilon$ and P_{LT}
	and $\alpha_{E}(Q^{2})$ and $\beta_{M}(Q^{2})$
	using LEX and DR methods

Data taking: 2011 to 2015 (1500 hours of beamtime)

3 PhD students:

Jure Bericic (Ljubljana Univ., Slovenia) $Q^2 = 0.1 GeV^2$

Loup Correa (Clermont-Fd Univ., France) $Q^2 = 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$

Meriem BenAli (Clermont-Fd Univ., France) $Q^2 = 0.45 \text{ GeV}^2$

The «vcsq2 » experiment

MAMI-C cw electron beam, up to 1.03 GeV

A1 setup : 5 cm long cryotarget (liquid hydrogen) and high-resolution spectrometers (specA and specB) to detect the e' and p'





5-fold differential cross section

3D-binning: (the GP effect depends on these 3 variables) high statistics experiment \rightarrow fine binning \rightarrow thousands of cross section points (with \approx 12 kinematical settings)

Settings at low q'cm for normalization tests

NEW: specific choice of angular phase (cos θ_{cm} , ϕ) to measure the cross section

(triggered by the VCS-Bates experiment)

Exploit the Out-Of-Plane (OOP) capability of specB

« vcsq2 »: experimental cross section

$Q^2 = 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$, high q'_{cm}

Q²=0.2 GeV², in-plane setting, q'_{cm}=112.5 MeV/c



Q²=0.2 GeV², out-of-plane setting, q'_{cm}=112.5 MeV/c



$Q^2 = 0.45 \text{ GeV}^2$, low q'_{cm}

 Q^2 =0.5 GeV², setting at low q'_{cm} (37.5 MeV/c) 0.6 d⁵σ (pb/MeV/sr²) φ=-175 b=-165 0=-145° b=-155 0.4 0.2 0 0=115⁶ 0=125° φ=135⁶ b = 145o=155 0=165 0=175° -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 MAMI 2015 preliminary cosθ_{cm} BetheHeitler+Born calculation

BH+B < == > NO GP effect In green < == > with a GP effect

Specific choice of angular phase space (« bin masking »)

We designed a criterion to find angular kinematics where the Low Energy Theorem can be applied, i.e. where $O(q'_{cm}^2)$ can be neglected :



Experiments and Angular phase space

Black bins = where the « Mask criterion » is OK according to the DR model, i.e. $O(q'_{cm}^2)$ not too large (here, < 4% of (BH+Born))

Bates Experiment

MAMI « vcsq2 » Expt

 $(\varepsilon = 0.85)$



Example at Q²=0.2 GeV²: Applying the « bin masking » for the LEX fit



« vcsq2 » : LEX fit result at Q²=0.2 GeV²

vcsq2 - Q2=0.2 GeV2 - LEX fit from Psi0



LEX fit: with bin masking (but it's still a LEX fit!), q'_{cm} below pion threshold. DR fit: no bin masking, all q'_{cm} (including above pion threshold).

« vcsq2 » experiment: Analysis status

- Statistical errors: small
- Systematic errors: dominant
- in first approximation, the systematic error is globally equivalent to a variation of the overall normalization of the cross section

 $Q^2 = 0.1$ and 0.45 GeV²: first-pass results

Systematic errors < === > +- 3% renormalization

 $Q^2 = 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$: 2nd-pass analysis, almost finalized.

Systematic errors < === > +- 1.5% renormalization



DISPERSION RELATION MODEL, B.Pasquini et al. Electric and magnetic (assuming one single dipole for the asymptotic part) A_a = 0.70 GeV, top plot **GP** with the new MAMI data 12 RCS Bates 10 Q²=0.1 and 0.45 GeV² are still first-8 pass (preliminary) 6 Another measurement of α_{F} (Q²) 4 soon to come out at Q²=0.2 GeV²: 2 MAM 0 « vcsDelta » experiment at Mainz 0.5 (N.Sparveris et al.), data taken in 2013 $W = \Delta(1232)$ region PhD Thesis of A.Blomberg (Temple U.) Asymmetry between (cross section at ϕ =180° and at ϕ = 0°) + use the DR model (extracts also the CMR of $N \rightarrow \Delta$ 0 transition). MAM 0.5 0 Is this physical or not?

HBChPT O(p3) T.Hemmert et al.



A tentative to understand the « puzzle » around Q²=0.33 GeV²



- Measured cross sections are fine
- Maybe we are learning that the LEX fit has to be applied with more care...
- it would be interesting to complete the measured angular phase space:

In-Plane at Q²=0.2 GeV² and/or Out-of-Plane at Q²=0.33 GeV²

- DR fit for the data of the first MAMI experiment: to be updated ...

Perspectives in VCS

$$(\gamma^* p \rightarrow \gamma p)$$

two recent experiments performed at MAMI will provide two independent measurements of the GP $\alpha_E(Q^2)$ at $Q^2=0.2$ GeV²: « vcsq2 » and « vcsDelta »

JLab VCS proposal (conditionally approved) in Hall C to measure the GP $\alpha_E(Q^2)$ and $\beta_M(Q^2)$ at $Q^2 = 0.43, 0.52, 0.65$ and 0.75 GeV², by asymmetry of the (ep \rightarrow ep γ) cross section in the Δ (1232) region + use the DR model

N.Sparveris, M.Paolone, A.Camsonne, M.Jones et al (2015)

VCS at lower Q^2 : what can be done? ($Q^2 << 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$)

VCS at very low Q^2 / theoretical aspects

Covers the transition region from VCS to RCS

→ important test of continuity

★ Theoretical Tools:

DR model for VCS (B.Pasquini): is OK, no limitation at low Q^2 .

Low Energy Theorem :

→ the LET as established by Guichon et al. (NPA 591(1995) 606) may not work so well when q_{cm} is as small as q'_{cm} , typically $q_{cm} \sim q'_{cm} \sim 100 \text{ MeV/c}$...



Scherer, Korchin & Koch, PRC54 (1996)904 Drechsel,Knoechlein,Korchin,Metz & Scherer, PRC58 (1998) 1751

A new expansion of the VCS amplitude is made for both low q_{cm} and low $q'_{cm} \rightarrow$ another approach (how to exploit it experimentally ?)

VCS at very low Q^2 / experimental aspects

With a machine like MESA:

- electron beam energy of 150 MeV
- value of $q_{cm} = 100 \text{ MeV/c}$ (Q²tilde=0.01 GeV²)
- value of $q'_{cm} = 95 \text{ MeV/c}$ (and below)

- cannot go to higher than $\varepsilon = 0.5$, but even at this ε the GP effect (as calculated using the DR model with some input structure functions) seems not too small (0-10%)

- still need high-accuracy cross section measurement

- detection of e' in MAGIX compact spectrom. (43 MeV/c, angle 24°)

- need to detect another particle in coincidence (need cw beam):
 - recoil proton ? (100-200 MeV/c lab momentum, cone angle=+-71°)
 - final photon ? (80 MeV lab energy, large angles; extra-detector)

Conclusions



VCS continues to be an active field : new proposal at JLab, new theoretical developments (polarizability sum rule (Pascalutsa & Vanderhaeghen, PRD 91 (2015) 051503), covariant ChPT for RCS and VCS (Pascalutsa et al), ...

