Bound-state effects on DM Phenomenology

~from Cosmology to the LHC~

Seng Pei Liew (U. of Tokyo)

(based on...)1604.07828 with K. Hamaguchiand work in progress with F. Luo

Mainz 2016 06/07

We consider dark matter accompanied by an almost mass-degenerate colored particle.

We consider dark matter accompanied by an almost mass-degenerate colored particle.

cosmology

Bound state of the colored particles can increase the effective annihilation cross section significantly

We consider dark matter accompanied by an almost mass-degenerate colored particle.

cosmology

Bound state of the colored particles can increase the effective annihilation cross section significantly

LHC

The 750 GeV excess *could* be a bound state of 375 GeV colored particles

Consider the R-odd lightest SUSY particle (LSP) as the lightest neutralino χ_1 and is the dark matter.

Consider the R-odd lightest SUSY particle (LSP) as the lightest neutralino χ_1 and is the dark matter.

Consider χ_1 produced thermally.

Consider the R-odd lightest SUSY particle (LSP) as the lightest neutralino χ_1 and is the dark matter.

Consider χ_1 produced thermally.

Wino-like neutralino: ~3 TeV Higgsino-like neutralino: ~1 TeV

Consider the R-odd lightest SUSY particle (LSP) as the lightest neutralino χ_1 and is the dark matter.

Consider χ_1 produced thermally.

Bino?

depends on the masses of squarks & sleptons

Consider the R-odd lightest SUSY particle (LSP) as the lightest neutralino χ_1 and is the dark matter.

Consider χ_1 produced thermally.

Specifically, consider LSP coannihilating with an almost mass-degenerate R-odd SUSY particle χ_2 (not necessarily the second lightest neutralino). **Coannihilation** becomes vital.

How coannihilation works?[Griest et al.'91]conditions: χ_2 has large annihilation cross section with *itself* or χ_1 $\chi_2\chi_2 \leftrightarrow SMSM$ $\chi_2\chi_1 \leftrightarrow SMSM$

How coannihilation works?[Griest et al.'91]conditions: χ_2 has large annihilation cross section with *itself* or χ_1 $\chi_2\chi_2 \leftrightarrow SMSM$ $\chi_2\chi_1 \leftrightarrow SMSM$

 χ_2 can convert to χ_1 efficiently.

 $\chi_2 SM \leftrightarrow \chi_1 SM$

Boltzmann equations

assuming fast conversion $\chi_2 SM \leftrightarrow \chi_1 SM$

$$\frac{dn}{dt} + 3Hn = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{ij \to SM} \frac{n_i^{eq} n_j^{eq}}{n_{eq}^2} \left(n^2 - n_{eq}^2 \right)$$

call this $\langle \sigma v
angle_{ ext{eff}}$

note that
$$\frac{dn_{\chi}}{dt} + 3Hn_{\chi} = -\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\chi\chi \to SM} \left(n_{\chi}^2 - n_{\chi}^{eq2} \right)$$

without coannihilation

$$\frac{dn}{dt} + 3Hn = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{ij \to SM} \frac{n_i^{eq} n_j^{eq}}{n_{eq}^2} \left(n^2 - n_{eq}^2 \right)$$
call this $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{eff}}$

Two limits

$$m_2 \gg m_1 : \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{eff}} \simeq \langle \sigma v \rangle_{11 \to SM}$$

$$m_2 = m_1 : \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{eff}} = \frac{g_1^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle_{11 \to SM} + g_2^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle_{22 \to SM} + 2g_1 g_2 \langle \sigma v \rangle_{12 \to SM}}{(g_1 + g_2)^2}$$

note that
$$n_i^{eq} = g_i (m_i T / 2\pi)^{3/2} e^{-m_i / T}$$

If χ_2 is colored (squark or gluino in MSSM) formation of QCD bound state of χ_2 could be important

 $\tilde{g}\tilde{g} \leftrightarrow \tilde{R}g, \ \tilde{R} \leftrightarrow gg for gluino [Ellis et al.'I5] \\ \tilde{t}\tilde{t} \leftrightarrow \tilde{\eta}g, \ \tilde{\eta} \leftrightarrow gg for stop$

Compare recombination process $e^-p \leftrightarrow H\gamma$

If χ_2 is colored (squark or gluino in MSSM) formation of QCD bound state of χ_2 could be important

 $\tilde{g}\tilde{g} \leftrightarrow \tilde{R}g, \ \tilde{R} \leftrightarrow gg for gluino [Ellis et al.'I5] \\ \tilde{t}\tilde{t} \leftrightarrow \tilde{\eta}g, \ \tilde{\eta} \leftrightarrow gg for stop$

Compare recombination process $e^-p \leftrightarrow H\gamma$

note: bound state formation is important only when

$$\Gamma_{\rm ann} \gtrsim \Gamma_{\tilde{t}/\tilde{g}}$$

bound state annihilation rate

decay rate

Use Coulomb approximation

$$V(r) = -C\frac{\alpha_s}{r}$$
with $C = \frac{1}{2} \left(C_1 + C_2 - C_{(12)} \right)$

$$M = \frac{1}{2} \left(C_1 + C_2 - C_{(12)} \right)$$
SU(3) quadratic casimir of subscripts SU(3) quadratic casimir of constituent particle bound state bound state

Use Coulomb approximation

$$V(r) = -C\frac{\alpha_s}{r}$$

with
$$C = \frac{1}{2} \left(C_1 + C_2 - C_{(12)} \right)$$

MSSM	binding	non-binding
$\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}$	$1, \mathbf{8_S}, \mathbf{8_A}$	${f 10}, {f \overline{10}}, {f 27}$
$\widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}^*$	1	8
$ ilde{t} ilde{t}$	$\overline{3}$	6
$ ilde{t} ilde{g}$	${f 3},{f \overline 6}$	15

MSSM	SU(3)	C
$(\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g})$	1	3
	8	3/2
$(\tilde{t}\tilde{t}^*)$	1	4/3
$(\tilde{t}\tilde{t}), (\tilde{t}^*\tilde{t}^*)$	$\overline{3},3$	2/3
$(\tilde{t}\tilde{g}), (\tilde{t}^*\tilde{g})$	${f 3}, {f \overline 3}$	3/2
	$\overline{6},6$	1/2

photoelectric effect:

 $H\gamma \to e^- p$

photoelectric effect: $H\gamma \rightarrow e^-p$

Electromagnetic Hamiltonian
$$H = \frac{1}{2m} (\vec{p} + e\vec{A})^2$$

photoelectric effect: $H\gamma \rightarrow e^-p$

Electromagnetic Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2m}(\vec{p} + e\vec{A})^2$

$$H \approx \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{e}{m}\vec{A}\cdot\vec{p}$$

photoelectric effect: $H\gamma \rightarrow e^-p$

Electromagnetic Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2m}(\vec{p} + e\vec{A})^2$

$$H \approx \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{e}{m}\vec{A}\cdot\vec{p}$$

calculate the matrix

$$\langle \phi_f | \frac{e}{m} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} | \phi_i \rangle$$

photoelectric effect: $H\gamma \rightarrow e^-p$

Electromagnetic Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2m} (\vec{p} + e\vec{A})^2$

$$H \approx \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{e}{m}\vec{A}\cdot\vec{p}$$

calculate the matrix

$$\langle \phi_f | \frac{e}{m} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} | \phi_i \rangle$$

rescale with appropriate color factors

Stoponium (preliminary)

$$E_B = \left(\frac{4}{3}\alpha_s\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{t}}}{2}\right)/2,$$

$$a^{-1} = \left(\frac{4}{3}\alpha_s\right) \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{t}}}{2}\right),$$

$$\nu = \left(\frac{1}{6}\alpha_s\right)/v_{rel},$$

$$\sigma_{dis}^{0} = \frac{2^{6}\pi^{2}}{3}\alpha_{s}a^{2}\left(\frac{E_{B}}{\omega}\right)^{4}\frac{1+\nu^{2}}{1+(8\nu)^{2}}\frac{e^{4\nu\cot^{-1}(8\nu)-2\pi\nu}}{1-e^{-2\pi\nu}},$$

$$\sigma_{dis} = \frac{4}{3}\times\frac{1}{8}\times\sigma_{dis}^{0}$$

Bound state annihilation removes 2 R-odd particles, thus helps reducing DM density

gluino bound state $\tilde{R} \leftrightarrow gg$

stop bound state $\tilde{\eta} \leftrightarrow gg$





The Boltzmann equation is modified by adding the following terms:

0

$$\frac{dn}{dt} + 3Hn \simeq -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{ij \to SM} \frac{n_i^{eq} n_j^{eq}}{n_{eq}^2} \left(n^2 - n_{eq}^2 \right)$$
$$-\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\tilde{g}\tilde{g} \to \tilde{R}g} \frac{\langle \Gamma \rangle_{\tilde{R} \to gg}}{\langle \Gamma \rangle_{\tilde{R} \to gg} + \langle \Gamma \rangle_{\tilde{R}g \to \tilde{g}\tilde{g}}} \left(n_{\tilde{g}}^2 - n_{\tilde{g}}^{eq^2} \right)$$

see also [Ellis et al. 15]

The Boltzmann equation is modified by adding the following terms:

$$\frac{dn}{dt} + 3Hn \simeq -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{ij \to SM} \frac{n_i^{eq} n_j^{eq}}{n_{eq}^2} \left(n^2 - n_{eq}^2 \right)$$
$$-\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\tilde{g}\tilde{g} \to \tilde{R}g} \frac{\langle \Gamma \rangle_{\tilde{R} \to gg}}{\langle \Gamma \rangle_{\tilde{R} \to gg} + \langle \Gamma \rangle_{\tilde{R}g \to \tilde{g}\tilde{g}}} \left(n_{\tilde{g}}^2 - n_{\tilde{g}}^{eq^2} \right)$$

see also [Ellis et al.'15]

 $\tilde{R}g \to \tilde{g}\tilde{g}\,$ becomes unimportant at low temperature compared to $\,\tilde{R} \to gg\,$

Assume zero mass splitting (stop)

The relic abundance vs mass plane is as follows:



bino/stop coan. 5-sigma discovery becomes impossible at 100 TeV collider





Assume zero mass splitting (gluino)



A slightly different topic...

The 750 GeV **LHC** excesses *could* be a bound state of 375 GeV colored particles

e.g. [Han et al. '16]

[Kats, Strassler '16]

[Hamaguchi, SPL '16]



Consider a 375 GeV colored vector-like fermion



requires hypercharge 4/3 to fit the data

[Han et al. '16]

The 375 GeV colored vector-like fermion cannot be long-lived due to cosmological and collider constraints.

- The 375 GeV particle has to decay
- Model building is not straightforward as the -4/3 hypercharged particle cannot have renormalizable couplings to SM particles

The 375 GeV colored vector-like fermion cannot be long-lived due to cosmological and collider constraints.

The 375 GeV particle has to decay

Model building is not straightforward as the -4/3 hypercharged particle cannot have renormalizable couplings to SM particles

We consider its decay into an almost mass-degenerate DM to avoid jets plus MET constraints

The 375 GeV colored vector-like fermion cannot be long-lived due to cosmological and collider constraints.

The 375 GeV particle has to decay

Model building is not straightforward as the -4/3 hypercharged particle cannot have renormalizable couplings to SM particles

We consider its decay into an almost mass-degenerate DM to avoid jets plus MET constraints

Extra colored mediator needs to be introduced to mediate its decay

There is another excess: ATLAS on-Z excess



interpreted with GMSB models in the paper $\tilde{g} \rightarrow jj\tilde{\chi} \rightarrow jj\tilde{G} + Z$ gluino Higgsino gravitino

There is another excess: ATLAS on-Z excess



interpreted with GMSB models in the ATLAS paper $\tilde{g} \rightarrow jj\tilde{\chi} \rightarrow jj\tilde{G} + Z$ gluino Higgsino gravitino

We also attempt to accommodate it (w/ colored mediator) simultaneously with the 750 GeV excess

Model
 model
$$XY\eta$$
 $(SU_3, SU_2)_{U_{1Y}}$

 ~375 GeV particle X
 $(3, 1)_{-4/3}$

 colored mediator
 $Y = (B', X')^T$
 $(3, 2)_{-5/6}$

 scalar doublet
 $\eta = (\eta^+, \eta^0)^T$
 $(1, 2)_{1/2}$

Model
 model
$$XY\eta$$
 $(SU_3, SU_2)_{U_{1Y}}$

 ~375 GeV particle X
 $(3, 1)_{-4/3}$

 colored mediator
 $Y = (B', X')^T$
 $(3, 2)_{-5/6}$

 scalar doublet
 $\eta = (\eta^+, \eta^0)^T$
 $(1, 2)_{1/2}$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \sum_{F=Y,X} \bar{F}(i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu} - m_F)F + |D_{\mu}\eta|^2 - m_{\eta}^2|\eta|^2 - V(H,\eta)$$
$$-(\bar{Y}H)(\lambda_X + \lambda_{X5}\gamma_5)X - y_{\eta i}\overline{d_{Ri}}P_L(Y\cdot\eta) + h.c.$$

X mixes with Y Y decays to DM plus jet

Model
 model
$$XY\eta$$
 $(SU_3, SU_2)_{U_{1Y}}$

 ~375 GeV particle X
 $(3, 1)_{-4/3}$

 colored mediator
 $Y = (B', X')^T$
 $(3, 2)_{-5/6}$

 scalar doublet
 $\eta = (\eta^+, \eta^0)^T$
 $(1, 2)_{1/2}$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{F=Y,X} \bar{F}(i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu} - m_F)F + |D_{\mu}\eta|^2 - m_{\eta}^2|\eta|^2 - V(H,\eta)$$
$$-(\bar{Y}H)(\lambda_X + \lambda_{X5}\gamma_5)X - y_{\eta i}\overline{d_{Ri}}P_L(Y\cdot\eta) + h.c.$$

$$X_2 \to \begin{cases} X_1 Z \\ X_1 h \end{cases}, \quad B' \to X_1 W, \quad X_1 \to b\eta^-, \quad \eta^- \to \eta^0 + \pi^-.$$

Model
 model
$$XY\eta$$
 $(SU_3, SU_2)_{U_{1Y}}$

 ~375 GeV particle X
 $(3, 1)_{-4/3}$
 $(3, 2)_{-5/6}$

 colored mediator
 $Y = (P^+, \eta^0)^T$
 $(3, 2)_{-5/6}$

 scalar doublet
 $\eta = (\eta^+, \eta^0)^T$
 $(1, 2)_{1/2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SM}} + \sum_{F=Y,X} \bar{F}(i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu} - m_{F})F + |D_{\mu}\eta|^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}|\eta|^{2} - V(H,\eta) \\ &- (\bar{Y}H)(\lambda_{X} + \lambda_{X5}\gamma_{5})X - y_{\eta i}\overline{d_{Ri}}P_{L}(Y\cdot\eta) + h.c. \\ &\text{on-Z excess} \\ X_{2} \rightarrow \begin{cases} X_{1}Z \\ X_{1}h \end{cases}, \quad B' \rightarrow X_{1}W, \quad X_{1} \rightarrow b\eta^{-}, \quad \eta^{-} \rightarrow \eta^{0} + \pi^{-}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$



X1 mass fixed at **375 GeV**







A benchmark

 $m_{X_2} = 620 {
m GeV}$ $m_{X_1} = 375 {
m GeV}$ $m_\eta = 335 {
m GeV}$

explains 750 GeV excess, on-Z excess, and gives a DM candidate!

DM phenomenology

 $\eta = (\eta^+, \eta^0)^T$ is an inert scalar doublet with mass splitting $\Delta m \simeq 350 \text{MeV}$

decay rate
$$\Gamma(\eta^- \to \eta^0 + \pi^-) \simeq 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{GeV} \left(\frac{\Delta m}{350 \text{MeV}}\right)^3 \beta$$

annihilation cross section

$$\sigma v \simeq 9 \times 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \left(\frac{m_{\text{DM}}}{335 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{-2}$$

e.g. [Cirelli et al. '05]

We have considered dark matter accompanied by an almost mass-degenerate colored particle.

cosmology

Bound state of the colored particles can increase the effective annihilation cross section significantly

LHC

The 750 GeV excess *could* be a bound state of 375 GeV colored particles