



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



#### Extending C-REX to other kinematic points

- Using the HRS in Hall A
- Using a large-acceptance device

For inputs, I thank

Roger Carlini, Charles Horowitz, Krishna Kumar, Zidu Lin, Nicholas Saylor, Paul Souder, Bogdan Wojtsekhowski

#### Part I -- Considering the HRS in Hall A

The high-resolution spectrometers are well suited to suppress background and discriminate inelastic states.

Septum Magnet



• "Trombone" target, variable Z position to reach  $4^0 < \theta < 7^0$ 

## **C-REX** Setup

"The entire lab is the experiment"



### High Resolution Spectrometers -- HRS



Nuclear Levels <sup>48</sup> Ca								
	<b>E</b> (MeV)	JP	k					
	Gnd	0+						
	3.83	2+						
	4.28	0+						
	4.51							
	4.61							



#### 1826 EISENSTEIN, MADSEN, THEISSEN, CARDMAN, AND BOCK



1824 EISENSTEIN, MADSEN, THEISSEN, CARDMAN, AND BOCKELMAN 188

has been corrected for background.

**Electron scattering data** and form factors for low-lying states of <sup>48</sup>Ca



q<sub>1</sub>(F<sup>-1</sup>)

## Concept of a Septum Magnet

The HRS is limited to > 12.5<sup>o</sup> To reach smaller scattering angle, which improves the FOM, we add a **septum magnet** (dipole) and move the target upstream by ~1.5m.



## Trombone target for SuperCREX

Put <sup>48</sup>Ca target on a rail in a vacuum chamber.



# Geant4 Simulation of HRS G4HRS

Nicholas Saylor Stonybrook University



Robert Michaels, Jefferson Lab, NSKINS16

#### Certification of G4HRS Monte Carlo Comparing PREX data (black) to Simulation (red) for various observed quantities.



## Applications of G4HRS Monte Carlo

#### Acceptance study for new Q1

#### Design of acceptance-defining collimator



#### Inputs for optimizing figure-of-merit

Cross Section (E (MeV), θ (degrees)) in mb/sr

8-10000 7-8000 6 5 6000 4-4000 3-2-2000 1-0 0 4.8 4.6 4.4 4.2 4 3.8 3.6 3.4 3.2 3 600 800 10001200140016001800<sup>2</sup>000<sup>2</sup>200<sup>2</sup>400<sup>2</sup>600<sup>2</sup>800  $\epsilon$  (E (MeV),  $\theta$  (degrees)) : (error in neutron radius) 0.16 0.24 0.22 0.14 0.2 0.12 0.18 0.1 0.16 0.08-0.14 0.06 0.12-0.04 0.1 0.08-0.02 0.06 0 -0.02 0.02 -0.04 0-4.8 4.6 4.4 4.2 4 3.8 3.6 3.4 3.2 3 600 800 10001200140016001800<sup>2</sup>000<sup>2</sup>200<sup>2</sup>400<sup>2</sup>600<sup>2</sup>800

Thanks C.J. Horowitz and Z. Lin ... but we'll need to extend the tables Asymmetry (E (MeV),  $\theta$  (degrees)) in ppm



Figure of Merit (E (MeV),  $\theta$  (degrees)) = XS \* A<sup>2</sup> \*  $\varepsilon^{2}$ 



## FSU-Gold\* Weak Density



Nicholas Saylor

University

<sup>48</sup>Ca

### Suggested Kinematics in Z. Lin and C.J. Horowitz Phys. Rev. C92 014313 (2015)

E (GeV)	θ	<b>q</b> fm⁻¹	A (ppm)	T (days)	a <sub>i</sub>	$\Delta a_i/a_i$
2.06	5	0.90	2.54	5	0.0468	5.9 %
3.09	5	1.35	8.31	7	-0.0438	7.6 %
4.0	5	1.80	9.92	10	-0.0147	27 %
4.0	6.3	2.24	22.5	15	0.0161	29 %
4.0	7.6	2.69	36.5	23	0.0066	90 %
		0.45			0.0752	1.1 %

Results confirmed by Nicholas Saylor and R.M.

<sup>48</sup>Ca

### Nicholas's Kinematics uses already-approved CREX-1 kinematics as one point.

E (GeV)	θ	<b>q</b> fm⁻¹	A (ppm)	T (days)	$a_i$	$\Delta a_i/a_i$
1.1	4	0.39	0.67	5?	0.0551	1.3 %
2.2 CREX-1	4 approved.	0.78	2.22	45	0.0646	0.77 %
2.2	6	1.17	4.82	7?	-0.0194	17 %
3.9	4.5	1.56	oress	10 ?	-0.0328	
4.0	5.5	1.95	in pros	15 ?	-0.0018	
4.0	6.5	2.34		23 ?	0.0200	

<u>Question:</u> What criteria do we use to optimize the experiment run time ?

Part II -- Considering a Future Large Solid Angle Spectrometer

SuperBigBite --- a large dipole

Custom Crescent-Shaped Dipole

Lacking in momentum resolution without sacrificing solid angle

**Solenoid**  $\longrightarrow$  Promising, but so far limited to  $q < 1.8 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ 

Toroid

#### With Bogdan Wojtsekhowski

## Using the SuperBigBite Spectrometer or a Crescent Spectrometer $d\Omega = 41 \, mstr$ B <sup>48</sup>Ca target The 3 MeV resolution could be achieved over a large distance (radius of Hall A) but only for a reduced solid angle, and requiring a big vacuum chamber. Beam $\rightarrow$ Not really feasible. HRS is better. $\rightarrow$



Similar to Qweak



#### **TOROID SPECTROMETER**











Robert Michaels, Jefferson Lab, NSKINS16



### SOLENOID SPECTROMETER

The CLEO-II magnet is being moved to Hall A for the SOLID spectrometer. Here, we try using it for superCREX.

Credit: Paul Souder





### Using a Solenoid (like CLEO)



Robert Michaels, Jefferson Lab, NSKINS16







#### using CLEO magnet Feasible Angle (degrees) vs Energy (GeV)



Conclusion : Extending C-REX to other kinematic points

• Using the HRS in Hall A

an experiment can probably be designed which uses 60 days of beam for  $\sim$ 5 new Q<sup>2</sup> points

Using a large-acceptance device

 a solenoid seems to be the only option,
 and mostly suitable for low energy (<1 GeV).</li>