Solving Einstein equation using recursions

Kanghoon Lee

(APCTP JRG)

2024.11.13 @Loop-the-Loop **Based on** KL, Damgaard <u>2403.13216</u> Published in PRL

asia pacific center for theoretical physics

Gravitational wave from Binary BH mergers



- **Solution** Gravitational wave: new window to probe our Universe
- \Rightarrow How do we describe this system? \implies Solve Einstein equation (perturbatively)
- > Are the theoretical tools we have powerful enough to solve this problem?

Toy model — Schwarzschild BH solution

Solving perturbative Einstein Equation

- 1. Solve Einstein Equation directly (old and brute force approach)
 - Green function method
 - Perturbative GR is notorious for its complexity
 - Leading order correction is the practical limit [Florides, Synge 61] [Westpfahl, 85]
- 2. Scattering amplitude Approach (since 2018)
 - Modern techniques in QFT/Quantum Gravity

Generalized unitarity Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Kosower, hep-ph/9403226 On-shell recursion Britto, Cachazo, Feng, Witten, hep-th/0501052 Color-kinematics duality and double copy Bern, Carrasco, Johansson, 0805.3993, 1004.0476

- Issues convergence of the series, loop integrals, etc
- 3. Go back to the Einstein equation again (armed with new techniques)

[Damgaard, KL `24]

In this talk

> returning to the solving Einstein equation explicitly

🔈 Two main ideas

- **good variable** By doubling the fields, the perturbative Einstein equation is drastically simplified. We can hide the ugly infinite expansion.
- off-shell recursion A new methodology for solving perturbative Einstein Equation Remarkably, all the "higher-loop integrals" are represented by iterations of one-loop bubble integrals.
- For the Schwarzschild BH case, we derived **all-order results** first derivation!
 - Efficiency fixed number of terms, recursions and simple loop integrals...
 - Universality binary black holes & rotating black holes, branes etc
- Recently, the similar results are derived from the amplitude point of view [Mougiakakos, Vanhove `24]

Perturbative GR and doubling prescription

Tensor density representation

- **Two sources** of the infinite expansion: g^{-1} and $\sqrt{-g}$
- **Field redefinition tensor density** [Landau & Lifshitz book]:

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} g_{\mu\nu},$$

 \Rightarrow Why? There is no $\sqrt{-\sigma}$. The number of σ^{-1} is always greater than σ due to derivatives.

EH action (up to total derivative) in terms of the **tensor density**

$$S_{\rm EH} = \int \mathrm{d}^D x \left[\frac{1}{4} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\nu \sigma_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \sigma_{\nu\sigma} + (D-2) \sigma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \hat{d} \partial_\nu \hat{d} \right], \quad \partial_\mu \hat{d} = -\frac{1}{4} \sigma^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\mu \sigma_{\rho\sigma}$$

Substitute the metric perturbation [Cheung, Remmen 18], [Deser, 70], [Capper, Leibbrandt, Medrano, 73]

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \kappa h^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \sigma_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa^n (h^n)_{\mu\nu}.$$

- Provides the simplest form of the perturbative GR [Cho, Kim, Lee, 23]
 - general n-th order terms of the EH action and Einstein eq.
 - Three minimal building blocks

Doubling prescription

- **Idea:** do not substitute metric perturbations from the beginning!
- Let us treat the **metric** (σ) and the **inverse metric** (σ^{-1}) on **equal footing** [Gomez, Lipinski Jusinskas, Lopez-Arcos, Quintero Velez `22]
- **Remove metric** (σ) and introduce an auxiliary field $\tilde{\sigma}$. on-shell value of $\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} = \sigma_{\mu\nu}$
- > Impose a **constraint**:

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\nu\rho}=\delta_{\mu}^{\ \rho}$$

> perturbative expansions:

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - h^{\mu\nu}, \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}.$$

> Then \tilde{h} satisfies the constraint $\Longrightarrow \tilde{h}^{\mu\nu} = h^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{h}^{\mu}_{\ \rho}h^{\rho\nu}$,

$$\tilde{h}_{(n)}^{\mu\nu} = h_{(n)}^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \tilde{h}_{(n-m)}^{\mu\rho} h_{(m)}^{\rho\nu}$$

Source of the Schwarzschild BH

> Consider pure gravity with a matter

$$S = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \sqrt{-g} R + \frac{1}{2} j_{\mu\nu}(x) g^{\mu\nu}(x) \right]$$

where $j_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is an external source (density) without metric dependence,

> Relation to the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$

$$\sqrt{-g}T_{\mu\nu} = j_{\mu\nu}$$

- Schwarzschild BH is not a vacuum solution point mass source
- **Energy-momentum tensor** for a point mass traveling on a worldline $x^{\mu}(\tau)$

$$T^{\mu\nu}\left(y^{\sigma}\right) = 8\pi G M \int \left[\frac{\delta^{(4)}\left(y^{\sigma} - x^{\sigma}(\tau)\right)}{\sqrt{-g}}\right] \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau} d\tau$$

Source of Schwarzschild BH — a static point mass placed at the origin, x = 0

$$j_{\mu\nu}(x) = 8\pi G M v_{\mu} v_{\nu} \delta^3(\mathbf{x}), \qquad v^{\mu} = \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau} = (-1,0,0,0).$$

Field equation

Einstein tensor (density)

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{G}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\rho\sigma} \left[\partial_{\rho} \partial_{\sigma} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\rho} \sigma^{\kappa\mu} \partial_{\sigma} \tilde{\sigma}_{\kappa\lambda} \sigma^{\nu\lambda} \right] - \sigma^{\rho(\mu} \left[\partial_{\rho} \partial_{\sigma} \sigma^{\nu)\sigma} + \partial_{\rho} \sigma^{|\kappa\lambda} \partial_{\kappa} \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda\sigma} \sigma^{\sigma|\nu)} \right] \\ &+ \sigma^{\mu\kappa} \sigma^{\nu\lambda} \left[\frac{1}{4} \partial_{\kappa} \sigma^{\rho\sigma} \partial_{\lambda} \tilde{\sigma}_{\rho\sigma} + (D-2) \partial_{\kappa} \hat{d} \partial_{\lambda} \hat{d} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\partial_{\rho} \sigma^{\rho\sigma} \partial_{\sigma} \sigma^{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\sigma} \sigma^{\rho\mu} \partial_{\rho} \sigma^{\sigma\nu} \right] + \sigma^{\mu\nu} \left[\partial_{\kappa} \left(\sigma^{\kappa\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} \hat{d} \right) \right] \,, \end{split}$$

Einstein equation

$$\sqrt{-\sigma} \mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu} = j^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G^n \mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu}_{(n)}, \qquad j^{\mu\nu}(x) = G j^{\mu\nu}_{(1)}(x)$$

 $j^{\mu\nu}$ contributes to the G^1 -order only

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{G}^{\mu\nu}_{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \Box h^{\mu\nu}_{(1)} = j^{\mu\nu} \\ \mathscr{G}^{\mu\nu}_{(n)} &= 0, \qquad n > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Harmonic vs de Donder gauge

> One of the most straightforward gauge choices is the harmonic or de Donder gauge

$$g^{\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} = 0$$
 or $\partial_{\mu}h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}h^{\rho}{}_{\rho} = 0$ for $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$
harmonic gauge de Donder gauge

- **Linearized harmonic gauge = de Donder gauge**, but not in higher orders
- However, in the tensor density perturbations, these are equivalent

$$g^{\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\left(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\rho}\right) = \partial_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu\rho} = \partial_{\mu}h^{\mu\rho} = 0$$

In our perturbation convention,

harmonic gauge = de Donder gauge

- If we obtained a solution using amplitude, in what coordinates do we get the result?
 solution using amplitude, in what coordinates do we get the result?
- > However, it is not obvious in actual computation...

Schwarzschild metric in harmonic coordinates

> The usual form of the Schwarzischild metric

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$

> In the harmonic coordinates, the metric

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{r - GM}{r + GM}dt^{2} + \frac{r + GM}{r - GM}dr^{2} + (r + GM)^{2}d\Omega, \text{ obtained by } r \to r + GM$$

> The **tensor density** $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ for this metric ($\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}$),

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} = -\frac{\left(r+GM\right)^{3}}{r^{2}\left(r-GM\right)}\partial_{t}^{2} + \left(\delta^{ij} - \frac{G^{2}M^{2}x^{i}x^{j}}{r^{4}}\right)\partial_{i}\partial_{j}.$$

> The corresponding metric perturbations $h^{\mu
u}$

$$\begin{split} h^{00} &= -1 + \frac{\left(r + GM\right)^3}{r^2 \left(r - GM\right)} = \frac{4GM}{r} + \frac{7G^2M^2}{r^2} + \frac{\$G^3M^3}{r^3} + \frac{\$G^4M^4}{r^4} + \cdots , \\ h^{ij} &= \frac{G^2M^2x^ix^j}{r^4} \,. \end{split}$$

Coefficients of h^{00} is fixed by "8" while h^{ij} truncates at the second order

Remained ambiguity [Fromholz, Poisson, Will `13 The Schwarzschild metric: It's the coordinates, stupid!]

- Even after the harmonic gauge, the form of the metric is not fixed yet
- \bigcirc Most general solution in harmonic coordinate a new parameter C

$$\begin{split} \sigma^{00} &= -1 - \frac{4M}{r} - \frac{7M^2}{r^2} - \frac{8M^3}{r^3} - \frac{8M^4 - 2CM/3}{r^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(r^{-5}\right) \\ \sigma^{ij} &= \left(1 - \frac{C}{3r^3} - \frac{2CM^2}{5r^5} + O\left(r^{-6}\right)\right) \delta^{ij} + \left(-\frac{G^2M^2}{r^2} + \frac{C}{r^3} + \frac{2G^2M^2C}{3r^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(r^{-6}\right)\right) \frac{x^i x^j}{r^2} \end{split}$$

- Solving the de Donder gauge, $\partial_{\mu}h^{\mu\nu} = 0$, admits an integration constant C
- rightarrow If we turn off C, the solution returns to the previous metric expansion.
- The existence of the parameter has recently been observed in the differential equation.
- > How can we interpret this ambiguity in **the field theory context**?

Recursion Relation for perturbative GR solutions

Off-shell Currents

- Off-Shell recursions: recursions for off-shell currents [Berends, Giele '87] for gluon amplitude at tree-level
- Diagrammatic representation





The off-shell line satisfy the conservation law $\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{12\cdots n} = 0$ without EoM — Ward identity

off-shell line

Off-shell lines can be glued in a specific way (interaction vertices) Intermediate states are off-shell

Off-shell Recursion [Berends, Giele '87]

- **Recursions**: hidden self-similarity finite number of interaction vertices (patterns)
- > Identifying the Hierarchy for off-shell currents: **# of on-shell legs**



- **>** Efficiency:
 - Do not treat individual diagrams
 - **Recycling** calculations never repeat the same calculations!
- **Gravity** infinite number of vertices (No patterns)



Perturbiner expansion [Rosly, Selivanov '96, '97], [KL '22]

- Modern derivation: substituting the perturbiner expansion into the classical EoM connects solutions of EoM and tree-level amplitudes
- **The classical field** in the quantum effective action formalism 1-point function in the presence of the source $j^{\mu\nu}$

$$h^{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n} \left\langle 0 \left| T \left[h_x^{\mu\nu} h_{y_1}^{\kappa_1 \lambda_1} \cdots h_{y_n}^{\kappa_n \lambda_n} \right] \right| 0 \right\rangle_c \frac{i j_{y_1}^{\kappa_1 \lambda_1}}{\hbar} \cdots \frac{i j_{y_n}^{\kappa_n \lambda_n}}{\hbar}.$$

> The field corresponds to a different physical quantity depending on the sources:

• Inverse propagator:
$$j_x^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{y_i} \mathbf{K}_{xy_i}^{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} e^{-ik_i \cdot y_i} \implies$$
 scattering amplitude.

• Plane-wave: $j_x^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{y_i} e^{-ik_i \cdot y_i} \implies \text{Correlation function.}$

• Point-mass source:
$$j_x^{\mu\nu} = M v^{\mu} v^{\nu} \int_{\mathscr{C}} e^{-i\mathscr{C}\cdot x} \implies \text{solutions of EoM.} \quad v^{\mu} = \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau} = (-1,0,0,0).$$

Perturbiner expansion for classical solutions

Substituting the external sources:
$$h^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n} J^{\mu\nu}_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_n} e^{-i\ell_{12\dots n} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

> It is convenient to shift the loop momenta, $\ell_1 \rightarrow - \ell_{12 \cdots n}$

Compare with the amplitude perturbiner — A continuous limit finite # of particles cannot generate the classical solutions

$$h^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\mathscr{P}} J^{\mu\nu}_{\mathscr{P}} e^{-ik_{\mathscr{P}} \cdot x}$$

> We call the number of the loop momenta of an off-shell current as **rank**. Here the rank is equivalent to the powers of coupling G

$$h^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G^n h^{\mu\nu}_{\scriptscriptstyle (n)} \quad \text{and} \quad h^{\mu\nu}_{\scriptscriptstyle (n)} = \int_{\mathscr{C}} J^{\mu\nu}_{\scriptscriptstyle (n)|\mathscr{C}} e^{i\mathscr{C}\cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

Structure of loop integrals

Substituting the perturbiner expansion into the EoMs

$$h_{\scriptscriptstyle (n)}^{\mu\nu} = \int_{\mathscr{C}} e^{i\mathscr{C}\cdot x} J_{\scriptscriptstyle (n)|\mathscr{C}}^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{h}_{\scriptscriptstyle (n)}^{\mu\nu} = \int_{\mathscr{C}} e^{i\mathscr{C}\cdot x} \tilde{J}_{\scriptscriptstyle (n)|\mathscr{C}}^{\mu\nu}$$

Perturbative Einstein eq

$$h_{1}(x)h_{2}(x)\cdots h_{n}(x) \Longrightarrow \int_{\ell_{1}} e^{i\ell_{12}\dots i \cdot x} \int_{\ell_{2},\ell_{3},\dots,\ell_{n}} J_{1|\ell_{1}}J_{2|\ell_{2}}\cdots J_{n|\ell_{n}} = \int_{\ell_{1}} e^{-i\ell_{1}\cdot x} \int_{\ell_{2},\ell_{3},\dots,\ell_{n}} J_{1|-\ell_{12}\dots n}J_{2|\ell_{2}}\cdots J_{n|\ell_{n}}$$

$$\int_{\ell_{3},\dots,\ell_{n}} \left(\int_{\ell_{2}} J_{1|-\ell_{12}\dots n}J_{2|\ell_{2}} \right) J_{3|\ell_{3}}\cdots J_{n|\ell_{n}}$$
One-loop bubble integral
$$\int_{\ell_{4},\dots,\ell_{n}} \left(\int_{\ell_{3}} J'_{1|-\ell_{13}\dots n}J_{3|\ell_{3}} \right) J_{4|\ell_{4}}\cdots J_{n|\ell_{n}}$$

- Solution Fourier integrals \iff loop integrals: number of loops = number of fields 1
- Integral Factorization iterative structure of loop integrals.
- > This implies that **only bubble integrals are required**

Deriving and Solving the recursions

Recursions and currents at rank 1

Rank-1 EoM — Poisson equation

$$\Delta h_{\scriptscriptstyle (1)}^{\mu\nu} = -2j^{\mu\nu} = -2Mv^{\mu}v^{\nu} \int_{k} e^{ik\cdot x}$$

Substituting the perturbiner expansion $h_{(1)}^{\mu\nu} = \int_{\ell} J_{\ell}^{\mu\nu} e^{-i\ell \cdot x}$, we obtain the initial condition of the off-shell recursion relation

$$J_{(1)|\ell}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2\kappa^2 M}{|\ell|^2} v^{\mu} v^{\nu} = \frac{16\pi G M}{|\ell|^2} v^{\mu} v^{\nu},$$

Or equivalently

$$J_{(1)|\ell}^{00} = \frac{16\pi GM}{|\ell|^2}, \qquad J_{(1)|\ell}^{0i} = 0, \qquad J_{(1)|\ell}^{ij} = 0.$$

rightarrow Since we are assuming an asymptotically flat metric, J^{ij} cannot be a plane wave.

After Fourier transformation, we have the Newton potential — consistent with the metric expansion

$$h_{(1)}^{00} = \frac{4GM}{r}$$
 $h_{(1)}^{0i} = 0$ $h_{(1)}^{ij} = 0$

Recursions and currents at rank 2

> The corresponding recursion is

$$\begin{split} J^{00}_{_{(2)|-\ell_{1}}} &= \frac{\kappa}{|\ell_{1}|^{2}} \int_{\ell_{2}} \left[\frac{5}{4} |\ell_{2}|^{2} - \frac{7}{8} \ell_{12} \cdot \ell_{2} \right] J^{00}_{_{(1)|-\ell_{12}}} J^{00}_{_{(1)|-\ell_$$

1-loop bubble integrals

$$\begin{split} J_{(2)|-\ell_{1}}^{00} &= \frac{(16\pi GM)^{2}}{|\ell_{1}|^{2}} \int_{\ell_{2}} \frac{1}{|\ell_{2}|^{2} |\ell_{12}|^{2}} \left[\frac{5}{4} |\ell_{2}|^{2} - \frac{7}{8} \ell_{12} \cdot \ell_{2} \right] = \frac{14\pi^{2} G^{2} M^{2}}{|\ell_{1}|}.\\ J_{(2)|-\ell_{1}}^{ij} &= \frac{(16\pi GM)^{2}}{8 |\ell_{1}|^{2}} \int_{\ell_{2}} \left[\frac{2\ell_{1}^{(i}\ell_{2}^{j)} + 2\ell_{2}^{i}\ell_{2}^{j} - \delta^{ij}\ell_{1}^{k}\ell_{2}^{k}}{|\ell_{2}|^{2} |\ell_{12}|^{2}} + \frac{\delta^{ij}}{2} \frac{1}{|\ell_{12}|^{2}} \right] = \pi^{2} G^{2} M^{2} \left[-\frac{\ell_{1}^{i}\ell_{1}^{j}}{|\ell_{1}|^{3}} + \frac{\delta^{ij}}{|\ell_{1}|^{3}} \right] \\ \end{split}$$

> The Fourier transformation gives the correct perturbed metric

Recursions and currents at rank 3

Rank-3 recursion

>

$$\begin{split} \|\ell_{1}\|^{2}J_{\scriptscriptstyle(3)|-\ell_{1}}^{00} &= -\left(GM\right)^{3} \left[\ell_{1}^{i}X_{\scriptscriptstyle(3)|-\ell_{1}}^{i} + \ell_{1}^{i}\int_{\ell_{2}}\ell_{12}^{j}J_{\scriptscriptstyle(1)|-\ell_{12}}^{00}J_{\scriptscriptstyle(2)|\ell_{2}}^{ij}\right].\\ \|\ell_{1}\|^{2}J_{\scriptscriptstyle(3)|-\ell_{1}}^{ij} &= \int_{\ell_{2}}\left[8d_{\scriptscriptstyle(2)|-\ell_{12}}^{(i)}d_{\scriptscriptstyle(1)|\ell_{2}}^{j} - 2h_{\scriptscriptstyle(2)}^{ij}\ell_{2}^{k}d_{\scriptscriptstyle(1)|\ell_{2}}^{k}\right] + 2\delta^{ij}\ell_{1}^{k}d_{\scriptscriptstyle(3)}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}W_{\scriptscriptstyle(3)}^{ij}\\ X_{\scriptscriptstyle(n)|-\ell_{1}}^{i} &= \int_{\ell_{2}}\ell_{2}^{i}\ell_{2}^{i}\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}\tilde{J}_{\scriptscriptstyle(n-m)|-\ell_{12}}^{00}J_{\scriptscriptstyle(m)|\ell_{2}}^{00}, \qquad Y_{\scriptscriptstyle(n)|-\ell_{1}}^{i} = \int_{\ell_{2}}\ell_{2}^{i}\tilde{J}_{\scriptscriptstyle(n-2)|-\ell_{12}}^{kl}J_{\scriptscriptstyle(2)|\ell_{2}}^{kl}, \end{split}$$

(3) I^{ij} $\int 16\pi^3 \delta^{ij}$ O

$$J^{00}_{{}_{(3)|-\ell_1}} = \frac{(GM)^3}{|\ell_1|^{d-3}} 2^{d+1} \pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-3}{2}\right) , \qquad J^{ij}_{{}_{(3)|\ell}} = -\int_{\ell_2} \frac{16\pi^3 \delta^{ij}}{3|\ell_1|^2|\ell_2|} = 0 .$$

> The only place where divergences arise!

other regularization scheme — it does not vanish, and the solution should be modified!

> This explains the ambiguity, C factor

In dimensional regularization

All-order Currents

⇒ From $n \ge 5$ cases, the forms of the EoM/Recursion are fixed.

> In the harmonic gauge, the Landau-Lifshitz variables are extremely simple

$$\begin{split} h^{00} &= -1 + \frac{\left(r + GM\right)^3}{r^2 \left(r - GM\right)} = \frac{4GM}{r} + \frac{7G^2M^2}{r^2} + \frac{8G^3M^3}{r^3} + \frac{8G^4M^4}{r^4} + \cdots, \\ h^{ij} &= \frac{G^2M^2x^ix^j}{r^4}. \end{split}$$

> One can read off the currents arbitrary order in G from the Fourier transformation

$$\begin{split} J^{00}_{(1)|\ell} &= \frac{4(GM)2^{D-1}\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}\Gamma\left[\frac{D-1}{2}\right]}{\Gamma[\frac{1}{2}]}\frac{1}{|\ell|^{D-1}}\,,\\ J^{00}_{(2)|\ell} &= 7(GM)^22^{D-2}\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}\Gamma[\frac{D-2}{2}]\frac{1}{|\ell|^{D-2}}\,,\\ J^{00}_{(n)|\ell} &= \frac{8(GM)^n\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}\Gamma\left[\frac{D-n}{2}\right]}{2^{n-D}\Gamma[\frac{n}{2}]}\frac{1}{|\ell|^{D-n}}\,, \qquad \text{for } n \geq 3\\ J^{ij}_{(2)|\ell} &= (GM)^2\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}2^{D-3}\left[-\frac{2\Gamma[\frac{D}{2}]\ell^i\ell^j}{|\ell|^D} + \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{D-2}{2}\right]\delta^{ij}}{|\ell|^{D-2}}\right]\,. \end{split}$$

> One can show the followings by using the **induction**

Arbitrary rank $n \ge 5 - J^{00}$

> We can show that the off-shell currents at an arbitrary order n by **induction**.

$$\mathcal{E}^{[1]}_{_{(2n)|-\ell_{1}}} = (GM)^{2n} \frac{\ell_{1}^{i}}{|\ell_{1}|^{2}} \left(-X^{i}_{_{(2n)|-\ell_{1}}} + Y^{i}_{_{(2n)|-\ell_{1}}}\right),$$

even

$$\mathscr{E}_{(2n)|-\mathscr{E}_{1}}^{[2]} = (GM)^{2n} \frac{\mathscr{\ell}_{1}^{i}}{|\mathscr{\ell}_{1}|^{2}} \int_{\mathscr{\ell}_{2}} \left(-X_{(2n-2)|-\mathscr{E}_{12}}^{j} + Y_{(2n-2)|-\mathscr{E}_{12}}^{j} - \mathscr{\ell}_{12}^{j} J_{(2n-2)|-\mathscr{E}_{12}}^{00} \right) J_{(2)|\mathscr{E}_{2}}^{ij}.$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{[1]}_{_{(2n+1)|-\ell_{1}}} = -\left(GM\right)^{2n+1} \frac{\ell_{1}^{i}}{\left|\ell_{1}\right|^{2}} X^{i}_{_{(2n+1)|-\ell_{1}}},$$

odd

$$\mathcal{E}^{[2]}_{_{(2n+1)|-\ell_{1}}} = (GM)^{2n+1} \frac{\ell_{1}^{i}}{|\ell_{1}|^{2}} \int_{\ell_{2}} \left(-X_{_{(2n-1)|-\ell_{12}}}^{j} - \ell_{12}^{j} J_{_{(2n-1)|-\ell_{12}}}^{00}\right) J_{_{(2)|\ell_{2}}}^{ij}$$

> Performing the bubble integrals, we have

$$\begin{split} J^{00}_{_{(2n)}} &= \frac{8(GM)^{2n} \pi^{\frac{D}{2}} 2^{D-2n} \Gamma[\frac{D}{2}-n]}{\Gamma[n]} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\ell}|^{D-2n}}, \\ J^{00}_{_{(2n+1)}} &= \frac{8(GM)^{2n} \pi^{\frac{D}{2}} 2^{D-2n-1} \Gamma[\frac{D-2n-1}{2}]}{\Gamma[n+\frac{1}{2}]} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\ell}|^{D-2n-1}}, \end{split}$$

Arbitrary rank $n \ge 5 - J^{ij}$

> The EoM for the spatial components

$$\begin{split} \Delta h_{\scriptscriptstyle(n)}^{ij} &= \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} 4d_{\scriptscriptstyle(n-m)}^{i}d_{\scriptscriptstyle(m)}^{j} + 2\sigma^{ij}\partial_{k}d^{k} + Z_{\scriptscriptstyle(n)\ k}^{k(i\ j)} - 2Z_{\scriptscriptstyle(n)\ k}^{(i|k|\ j)} + \frac{1}{2}Z_{\scriptscriptstyle(n)\ k}^{(i|k|j)} + \frac{1}{2}W_{\scriptscriptstyle(n)}^{(ij)} \\ &- \left(Z_{\scriptscriptstyle(n-2)kl}^{k(i\ -2Z_{\scriptscriptstyle(n-2)kl}^{(i|k|\ +} + \frac{1}{2}Z_{\scriptscriptstyle(n-2)lk}^{(i|k|\ +} + \frac{1}{2}W_{\scriptscriptstyle(n-2)}^{(i|k|\ -} \right)h_{\scriptscriptstyle(2)}^{j)l} \right] \end{split}$$

- > Divide the EoM into **3 sectors**: d-sector, W-sector and Z-sector
- > Interestingly, these three sectors vanish individually (induction).
- This implies $J_{(n)|\ell}^{ij} = 0$, as we expected
- > This shows the all-order perturbative expansion satisfies the Einstein equation.

Quantum Generalization

Quantum Perturbiner Method [KL'22]

Quantum off-shell currents: sum of all (n + 1)-point all-loop Feynman diagrams



Fields in quantum effective action formalism — **1pt function** with the external source $j_x \equiv j(x)$

$$\varphi_{x} = \frac{\delta W[j]}{\delta j_{x}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^{n}}{\hbar^{n} n!} \int_{y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}} G_{c}(x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}) j_{y_{1}} j_{y_{2}} \cdots j_{y_{n}}$$

Choice of the external source for **amplitude** $j_x = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{y_i} K_{xy_i} e^{-ik_i \cdot x} = \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{K}(-k_i) e^{-ik_i \cdot x}$

Quantum perturbiner expansion:
$$\varphi_x = \sum_{\mathscr{P}} \Phi_{\mathscr{P}} e^{-ik_{\mathscr{P}} \cdot x}$$

Substituting into the "quantum" EoM?

Dyson-Schwinger equation

- Quantum analogous of classical EoM: Dyson-Schwinger equation
- Ouantization ↔ deformation of a field to an operator

$$\phi_x \mapsto \hat{\varphi}_x = \varphi_x + \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta j_x}$$

DS equation for phi-4 theory:

$$\int_{y} K(x, y)\varphi_{y} + \frac{\lambda}{3!}\varphi_{x}^{3} = j_{x} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\frac{\hbar}{i}\varphi_{x}\frac{\delta\varphi_{x}}{\delta j_{x}} + \hbar^{2}\frac{\lambda}{3!}\frac{\delta^{2}\varphi_{x}}{\delta j_{x}\delta j_{x}}$$

- Strategy: Treat the functional derivatives φ_x as new independent field variables
- **Descendant fields:** higher point functions with external sources, multiple off-shell legs

$$\mathbf{1st}: \psi_{xy} = \frac{\delta\varphi_x}{\delta j_x} = \frac{\delta^2 W[j]}{\delta j_x \delta j_y}, \qquad \mathbf{2nd}: \psi'_{xyz} = \frac{\delta^2 \varphi_x}{\delta j_x \delta j_x} = \frac{\delta^3 W[j]}{\delta j_x \delta j_y \delta j_z}, \qquad \cdots$$

 $\Psi_{p|12\cdots n}$:

Derive the perturbiner expansion for the descendant fields

$$\begin{split} \psi_{x,y} &= \int_{p} \Psi_{p|\varnothing} e^{ip \cdot (x-y)} + \sum_{\mathscr{P}} \int_{p} \Psi_{p|\mathscr{P}} e^{ip \cdot (x-y)} e^{-ik_{\mathscr{P}} \cdot x}, \\ \psi'_{x,y,z} &= \sum_{\mathscr{P}} \int_{p,q} \Psi'_{p,q|\mathscr{P}} e^{ip \cdot (x-y) + iq \cdot (x-z)} e^{-ik_{\mathscr{P}} \cdot x}, \end{split}$$

Descendant equations [KL'22]

Solution Derive the **descendant equations:** acting $\frac{\delta}{\delta j_x}$ on the DS eq.

$$\begin{split} \psi_{x,z} &= D_{xz} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{y} D_{xy} \phi_{y}^{2} \psi_{y,z} + i\hbar \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{y} D_{xy} \left(\phi_{y} \psi_{y,y,z}' + \psi_{y,z} \psi_{y,y} \right) \\ &+ \hbar^{2} \frac{\lambda}{3!} \int_{y} D_{xy} \psi_{y,y,y,z}'', \\ \psi_{x,z,w}' &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{y} D_{xy} \left(2\phi_{y} \psi_{y,w} \psi_{y,z} + \phi_{y}^{2} \psi_{y,z,w}' \right) \\ &+ i\hbar \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{y} D_{xy} \left(\psi_{y,w} \psi_{y,y,z}' + \phi_{y} \psi_{y,y,z,w}'' + \psi_{y,z,w}' \psi_{y,y,y} + \psi_{y,z} \psi_{y,y,w}' \right) \\ &+ \hbar^{2} \frac{\lambda}{3!} \int_{y} D_{xy} \psi_{y,y,z,w}''. \end{split}$$

> However, new descendant fields arise ψ'' and ψ'''

> How to truncate them?

\hbar expansion and recursions

- > Up to now, all the equations are exact
- h expansion

$$\varphi_x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\right)^n \varphi_x^{(n)}, \qquad \psi_{x,y} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\right)^n \psi_{x,y}^{(n)}, \qquad \psi'_{x,y,z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\right)^n \psi_{x,y,z}^{'(n)}$$

 \Rightarrow We can truncate the new descendant fields because these are from higher \hbar -order terms

1-loop DS equations and tree-level descendant equation

$$\phi_x^{(1)} = \int_y D_{xy} \left[j_y^{(1)} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\left(\phi_y^{(0)} \right)^2 \phi_y^{(1)} + \phi_y^{(0)} \psi_{y,y}^{(0)} \right) \right]$$
$$\psi_{x,z}^{(0)} = D_{xz} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_y D_{xy} \left(\phi_y^{(0)} \right)^2 \psi_{y,z}^{(0)}$$

Substitute the perturbiner expansion into the DS equation

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\mathscr{P}}^{(1)} &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{\left(k_{\mathscr{P}}\right)^2 + m^2} \left(\sum_{\mathscr{P} = \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathscr{R} \cup \mathscr{S}} \Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Phi_{\mathscr{R}}^{(1)} \Phi_{\mathscr{S}}^{(1)} + \sum_{\mathscr{P} = \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathscr{R}} \int_p \Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Psi_{p|\mathscr{R}}^{(0)} \right) \\ \Psi_{p|\mathscr{P}}^{(0)} &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{\mathscr{P} = \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathscr{R} \cup \mathscr{S}} \frac{1}{\left(p - k_{\mathscr{P}}\right)^2 + m^2} \Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Phi_{\mathscr{R}}^{(0)} \Psi_{p|\mathscr{S}}^{(0)} \end{split}$$

reduction of higher loop integrals

2-loop recursion

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\mathscr{P}}^{(2)} &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{k_{\mathscr{P}}^2 + m^2} \bigg(\sum_{\mathscr{P} = \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{S}} \left(\Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Phi_{\mathscr{R}}^{(0)} \Phi_{\mathscr{S}}^{(2)} + 2\Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Phi_{\mathscr{R}}^{(1)} \Phi_{\mathscr{S}}^{(1)} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\mathscr{P} = \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathscr{R}} \int_{p} \left(\Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Psi_{p|\mathscr{R}}^{(1)} + \Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(1)} \Psi_{p|\mathscr{R}}^{(0)} \right) + \frac{1}{3} \int_{p,q} \Psi_{p,q|\mathscr{P}}^{(0)} \bigg), \\ \Psi_{p|\mathscr{P}}^{(1)} &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{(p - k_{\mathscr{P}})^2 + m^2} \sum_{\mathscr{P} = \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathscr{R} \cup \mathcal{S}} \left(2\Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Phi_{\mathscr{R}}^{(1)} \Psi_{p|\mathscr{S}}^{(0)} + \Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Phi_{\mathscr{R}}^{(0)} \Psi_{p|\mathscr{S}}^{(1)} \right) \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{(p - k_{\mathscr{P}})^2 + m^2} \sum_{\mathscr{P} = \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathscr{R} \cup \mathscr{S}} \int_{q} \left(\Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Psi_{p,q|\mathscr{R}}^{(0)} + \Psi_{p|\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Psi_{q|\mathscr{R}}^{(0)} \right), \\ \Psi_{p,q|\mathscr{P}}^{'(0)} &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{(p + q - k_{\mathscr{P}})^2 + m^2} \sum_{\mathscr{P} = \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathscr{R} \cup \mathscr{S}} \left(2\Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Psi_{p|\mathscr{R}}^{(0)} \Psi_{q|\mathscr{S}}^{(0)} + \Phi_{\mathscr{Q}}^{(0)} \Phi_{\mathscr{R}}^{(0)} \Psi_{p,q|\mathscr{S}}^{(0)} \right). \end{split}$$

General structure

- Theories with *n*-point vertex (n 2) loop reducible
- pure YM/pure GR 3pt vertices using the first-order formalism (1-loop reducible)

Steps of deriving the recursions

- 1. Write down the EoM
- 2. Constructing the **Dyson-Schwinger equation** from the EoM by the deformation
- 3. Substituting the **perturbiner expansion**
- 4. \hbar -expansion and truncate the higher \hbar order terms
- 5. Deriving the off-shell recursion relation
- 6. Solve them!

Applied to

- phi-4 theory: 2-loop
- Pure Yang-Mills theory: 1-loop 4pt
- Einstein-scalar theory (for binary BH system): 2-loop 4pt

Generalization to Binary black holes

Kinematics

- > In the inspiral phase, we may treat the spinless BHs as **point particles**
- Considering two body motions (massive point particles)

$$X_{\alpha}^{\mu}(\tau) = X_{\alpha,(0)}^{\mu}(\tau) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G^{n} X_{\alpha,(n)}^{\mu}(\tau) \qquad \alpha = 1,2$$

$$m_{1}, v_{1}^{\mu}, b_{1}^{\mu} \qquad \underbrace{X_{\alpha,(0)}^{\mu}(\tau) = b_{\alpha}^{\mu} + v_{\alpha}^{\mu} \tau}_{X_{2,(n)}(\tau)} \qquad \underbrace{X_{2,(n)}(\tau)}_{X_{2,(n)}(\tau)} \qquad \underbrace{X_{2,(n)}(\tau)}_{X_{2,(n)}(\tau)} \qquad \underbrace{X_{2,(n)}(\tau)}_{X_{\alpha,(0)}(\tau) = b_{\alpha}^{\mu} + v_{\alpha}^{\mu} \tau} \qquad \underbrace{M_{2}, v_{2}^{\mu}, b_{2}^{\mu}}_{X_{\alpha,(0)}^{\mu}(\tau) = b_{\alpha}^{\mu} + v_{\alpha}^{\mu} \tau} \qquad \underbrace{M_{2}, v_{2}^{\mu}, b_{2}^{\mu}}_{X_{\alpha,(0)}^{\mu}(\tau) = b_{\alpha}^{\mu} + v_{\alpha}^{\mu} \tau} \qquad \underbrace{M_{2}, v_{2}^{\mu}, b_{2}^{\mu}}_{X_{\alpha,(0)}^{\mu}(\tau) = b_{\alpha}^{\mu} + v_{\alpha}^{\mu} \tau}$$

- > We will consider the conservative potential leading order
- Solution Goal: Compute the momentum kick order by order in G, $\Delta P_{1,2}^{\mu} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \ddot{X}_{1,2}^{\mu}(\tau)$

Action/EoM for two point masses

Change the notation:

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu} := \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} g_{\mu\nu}$$

> Action:

$$S[\mathfrak{g}, j] = S_{\rm EH}[\mathfrak{g}] + \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x j^{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu}(x)$$

The external source/Energy momentum tensor

$$j^{\mu\nu}(x) = 8\pi G \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} m_{\alpha} \int d\tau \frac{dX^{\mu}_{\alpha}(\tau)}{d\tau} \frac{dX^{\nu}_{\alpha}(\tau)}{d\tau} \delta^{4} \left(x^{\mu} - X^{\mu}_{\alpha}(\tau) \right)$$

Einstein equation:

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \mathrm{d}^{D}x \,\delta\mathfrak{g}_{\mu\nu} \left[-\mathscr{G}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}}} \left(j^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu} j^{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{\rho\sigma} \right) \right]$$

Seodesic equation:

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho\sigma} \dot{X}^{\sigma}_{\alpha} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\rho} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mu\nu} \right]_{x \to X_{\alpha}} \dot{X}^{\mu}_{\alpha} \dot{X}^{\nu}_{\alpha}$$

Perturbiner expansion

The *n*-th order metric fluctuations $h_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}$ is a function of coordinates x^{μ} , as well as the impact parameter b_{α} implicitly

$$h_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}(x) = h_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}(x; b_1, b_2)$$

Perturbiner expansion ($x^{\mu}_{\alpha} = x^{\mu} - b^{\mu}_{\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, ℓ_{α} are the Fourier dual of x_{α})

$$\begin{split} n &= 1: \quad h_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}(x_{\alpha}) = \int_{\ell_{1}} e^{i\ell_{1}\cdot x_{1}} J_{(1)|[\ell_{1},0]}^{\mu\nu} + \int_{\ell_{2}} e^{i\ell_{2}\cdot x_{2}} J_{(1)|[0,\ell_{2}]}^{\mu\nu} \\ n &> 1: \quad h_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}(x_{\alpha}) = \int_{\ell_{1}} e^{i\ell_{1}\cdot x_{1}} J_{(n)|[\ell_{1},0]}^{\mu\nu} + \int_{\ell_{2}} e^{i\ell_{2}\cdot x_{2}} J_{(n)|[0,\ell_{2}]}^{\mu\nu} + \int_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}} e^{i\ell_{1}\cdot x_{1}+i\ell_{2}\cdot x_{2}} J_{(n)|[\ell_{1},\ell_{2}]}^{\mu\nu} .\end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} n &= 1: \quad X^{\rho}_{\alpha,(1)}(\tau) = \int_{\ell_1} X^{\mu}_{\alpha,(1)|[\ell_1,0]} e^{-i\ell_1 \cdot X_{\alpha,1,(0)}} + \int_{\ell_2} X^{\mu}_{\alpha,(1)|[0,\ell_2]} e^{-i\ell_2 \cdot X_{\alpha,2,(0)}} \,, \\ n &> 1: \quad X^{\rho}_{\alpha,(n)}(\tau) = \int_{\ell_1} X^{\mu}_{\alpha,(n)|[\ell_1,0]} e^{-i\ell_1 \cdot X_{\alpha,1,(0)}} + \int_{\ell_2} X^{\mu}_{\alpha,(n)|[0,\ell_2]} e^{-i\ell_2 \cdot X_{\alpha,2,(0)}} \\ &\quad + \int_{\ell_1,\ell_2} X^{\mu}_{\alpha,(n)|[\ell_1,\ell_2]} e^{-i\ell_1 \cdot X_{\alpha,1,(0)} - i\ell_2 \cdot X_{\alpha,2,(0)}} \,, \\ X^{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta,(0)} &= b^{\mu}_{\alpha} - b^{\mu}_{\beta} + v^{\mu}_{\alpha} \tau \,. \end{split}$$

Summary and future directions

- Established a new computational framework for perturbative GR
 - defined a "good" variable tensor density & doubled metric
 - derived a recursion relation in a remarkably simple form no infinite expansion
 - Showed the integral factorization occurs only bubble integrals arise
 - Derived Schwarzschild BH solution all order in Newton constant
- Applications
 - Extension to binary black holes two moving point masses
 - Kerr BH massive higher spin or worldline SUSY
 - Finding interesting unknown solutions physically intuitive setup.
 - Computing scattering amplitudes for QCD/SM

Thank you!