Renormalization group equations via on-shell methods

YOUNGST@RS - EFTs and Beyond

Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University

Luigi C. Bresciani – University of Padova & INFN-PD

Based on:

[2312.05206] L. B., G. Levati, P. Mastrolia and P. Paradisi;

[2412.xxxxx] L. B., G. Brunello, G. Levati, P. Mastrolia and P. Paradisi.

December 3, 2025



Università degli Studi di Padova



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Sezione di Padova



• EFT Approach: Standard Model as the low-energy description of a more fundamental theory emerging at a large energy scale Λ

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{EFT}} = \sum_i rac{c_i}{\Lambda^{[\mathcal{O}_i]-4}} \, \mathcal{O}_i \, .$$

- **Running:** The Wilson coefficients c_i need to be evolved from the scale Λ down to the experimental scale.
- **EFT Anomalous Dimensions** are crucial for interpreting experimental results.





On-Shell Methods for Renormalization

Core Features:

- Unitarity Cuts: Anomalous dimensions are derived from discontinuities of scattering amplitudes.
- **Phase-Space Integration:** Lorentz-invariant phase-space integrals replace full Feynman integrals.
- Advantages:
 - Avoid complexities of standard loop calculations by focusing on physical, on-shell states.
 - Gauge invariance is automatic.
 - Explain zeroes in anomalous dimensions → Nonrenormalization Theorems based on
 - HELICITY; [Cheung, Shen (15)]
 - LENGTH; [Bern, Parra-Martinez, Sawyer (20)]
 - ANGULAR MOMENTUM. [Jiang, Shu, Xiao, Zheng (21)]



Limitations and Generalizations

- Originally applied only to massless particles and operators with same dimensions.
- Generalized to include Leading Mass Effects via the Higgs low-energy theorem:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h}^{\text{int}} = -\left(1 + \frac{h}{v}\right) \sum_{f} m_{f} \bar{f} f \implies \lim_{\{p_{h}\} \to 0} \mathcal{M}(A \to B + Nh) = \sum_{f} \left(\frac{m_{f}}{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial m_{f}}\right)^{N} \mathcal{M}(A \to B) \,.$$

• Extended to handle the most **General Operator Mixing**:

$$\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}c_i}{\mathrm{d}\mu} = \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n!} \gamma_{i \leftarrow j_1, \dots, j_n} c_{j_1} \cdots c_{j_n} = \gamma_{i \leftarrow j} c_j + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{i \leftarrow j, k} c_j c_k + \cdots,$$
$$\gamma_{i \leftarrow j_1, \dots, j_n} = \left. \frac{\partial^n \beta_i}{\partial c_{j_1} \cdots \partial c_{j_n}} \right|_*.$$



$S\mbox{-}{\rm Matrix}$ and Dilatation Operator

• Form Factor associated with a local, gauge-invariant operator \mathcal{O}_i :

$$F_i(\vec{n};q) = \frac{1}{\Lambda^{[\mathcal{O}_i]-4}} \langle \vec{n} | \mathcal{O}_i(q) | 0 \rangle .$$



$\boldsymbol{S}\text{-}\mathsf{Matrix}$ and Dilatation Operator

• Form Factor associated with a local, gauge-invariant operator \mathcal{O}_i :

$$F_i(\vec{n};q) = \frac{1}{\Lambda^{[\mathcal{O}_i]-4}} \left\langle \vec{n} | \mathcal{O}_i(q) | 0 \right\rangle \,.$$

• Exploiting the fundamental relations [Elias-Miró, Ingoldby, Riembau (20)]

$$\begin{aligned} &\diamond \text{ Analyticity:} \qquad F_i^*(\{s_{ij} - i\epsilon\}) = F_i(\{s_{ij} + i\epsilon\}) \\ &\diamond \text{ Unitarity:} \qquad \sum_{\vec{n}} \int d\Pi_n \ |\vec{n}\rangle\!\langle\vec{n}| = \mathbb{1} \ , \quad d\Pi_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d^3p_i}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_i} \\ &\diamond \text{ CPT Theorem:} \qquad \langle\vec{n}; \text{out}|\mathcal{O}_i(x)|0\rangle = \ \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_i^{\dagger}(-x)|\vec{n}; \text{in}\rangle \end{aligned}$$



$S\operatorname{\mathsf{-Matrix}}$ and Dilatation Operator

• Form Factor associated with a local, gauge-invariant operator \mathcal{O}_i :

$$F_i(\vec{n};q) = \frac{1}{\Lambda^{[\mathcal{O}_i]-4}} \left\langle \vec{n} | \mathcal{O}_i(q) | 0 \right\rangle \,.$$

• Exploiting the fundamental relations [Elias-Miró, Ingoldby, Riembau (20)]

$$\begin{aligned} &\diamond \text{ Analyticity:} & F_i^*(\{s_{ij} - i\epsilon\}) = F_i(\{s_{ij} + i\epsilon\}) \\ &\diamond \text{ Unitarity:} & \sum_{\vec{n}} \int d\Pi_n \ |\vec{n}\rangle\!\langle\vec{n}| = \mathbb{1} \ , \quad d\Pi_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d^3p_i}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_i} \\ &\diamond \text{ CPT Theorem:} & \langle\vec{n}; \operatorname{out}|\mathcal{O}_i(x)|0\rangle = \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_i^{\dagger}(-x)|\vec{n}; \operatorname{in}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

it is possible to show that [Caron-Huot, Wilhelm (16)]

$$e^{-i\pi D}F_i^*(\vec{n}) = (SF_i^*)(\vec{n}) \left(= \sum_{\vec{m}} \int d\Pi_m \langle \vec{n} | S | \vec{m} \rangle F_i^*(\vec{m}) \right)$$

where $S = 1 + i\mathcal{M}$ is the *S*-matrix and $D = \sum_i p_i \cdot \partial / \partial p_i$ is the Dilatation Operator.



Master Formulae

Linear operator mixing

$$\left(\gamma_{i\leftarrow j}^{(1)} - \delta_{ij}\gamma_{i,\mathrm{IR}}^{(1)}\right)F_i|_*^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{\pi}(\mathcal{M}F_j)|_*^{(1)}$$





Master Formulae

Linear operator mixing

$$\left(\gamma_{i \leftarrow j}^{(1)} - \delta_{ij}\gamma_{i,\mathrm{IR}}^{(1)}\right) F_i|_*^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{\pi} (\mathcal{M}F_j)|_*^{(1)}$$



Nonlinear operator mixing

$$\gamma_{i\leftarrow j,k}^{(1)} F_i|_*^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} \right|_* (\mathcal{M}F_j)^{(1)}$$

The extension for multiple operator insertions $\gamma_{i \leftarrow j_1,...,j_n}$ is straightforward.



Leading Mass Effects

The amplitude requires ${m N}$ fermion mass insertions not to vanish

 \Downarrow

Consider an equivalent amplitude entailing $N \ {\rm extra} \ {\rm massless} \ {\rm Higgs} \ {\rm fields}$



N: superficial degree of divergence.





EFT for Axion-Like Particles

Most general CP-violating and $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{\rm em}$ invariant dimension-5 Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\gamma}}{\Lambda} \phi F \tilde{F} + \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{g}}{\Lambda} \phi G \tilde{G} + \mathcal{Y}_{P}^{ij} \phi \bar{f}_{i} i \gamma_{5} f_{j} + \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\gamma}}{\Lambda} \phi F F + \frac{\mathcal{C}_{g}}{\Lambda} \phi G G + \mathcal{Y}_{S}^{ij} \phi \bar{f}_{i} f_{j} \,.$$



EFT for Axion-Like Particles

Most general CP-violating and $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{\rm em}$ invariant dimension-5 Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\gamma}}{\Lambda} \phi F \tilde{F} + \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{g}}{\Lambda} \phi G \tilde{G} + \mathcal{Y}_{P}^{ij} \phi \bar{f}_{i} i \gamma_{5} f_{j} + \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\gamma}}{\Lambda} \phi F F + \frac{\mathcal{C}_{g}}{\Lambda} \phi G G + \mathcal{Y}_{S}^{ij} \phi \bar{f}_{i} f_{j} \,.$$

Let's consider $\phi \bar{f}_i f_j \leftarrow \phi FF$: we need $N = (4 - [\phi \bar{f}f]) + ([\phi FF] - 4) = 1$ mass insertion. $\phi \bar{f}_i f_j$ is substituted with $\frac{h}{v} \phi \bar{f}_i f_j$.





EFT for Axion-Like Particles

Most general CP-violating and $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{\rm em}$ invariant dimension-5 Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\gamma}}{\Lambda} \phi F \tilde{F} + \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{g}}{\Lambda} \phi G \tilde{G} + \mathcal{Y}_{P}^{ij} \phi \bar{f}_{i} i \gamma_{5} f_{j} + \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\gamma}}{\Lambda} \phi F F + \frac{\mathcal{C}_{g}}{\Lambda} \phi G G + \mathcal{Y}_{S}^{ij} \phi \bar{f}_{i} f_{j} \,.$$

Let's consider $\phi \bar{f}_i f_j \leftarrow \phi FF$: we need $N = (4 - [\phi \bar{f}f]) + ([\phi FF] - 4) = 1$ mass insertion. $\phi \bar{f}_i f_j$ is substituted with $\frac{h}{v} \phi \bar{f}_i f_j$.



- 1. The 1st contribution is zero by setting the off-shell momentum q = 0: $g_1 = 0$.
- 2. The integrand of the 2nd contribution is

$$g_2 = \frac{4}{\Lambda} e^2 Q_f^2 y_i \delta^{ij} \frac{\langle 1 y \rangle^2 \langle 2 x \rangle^2}{\langle 1 x \rangle \langle 2 y \rangle \langle x y \rangle} \,.$$

3. The 3rd is recast from the 2nd: $\int d\Pi_2 g_3 = -\int d\Pi_2 g_2|_{1\leftrightarrow 2}$.



Phase-Space Integral via Stokes Theorem

Efficient way to perform the integral [Mastrolia (09)]:

1. Parameterize the internal spinors as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_x \\ \lambda_y \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z\bar{z}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{z} \\ -z & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix} \implies g_2(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{4}{\Lambda} e^2 Q_f^2 y_i \delta^{ij} \frac{(\langle 1\,2 \rangle - \bar{z} \langle 2\,4 \rangle)^2}{\bar{z}(1+z\bar{z})(z\langle 1\,2 \rangle + \langle 2\,4 \rangle)} \,.$$



Phase-Space Integral via Stokes Theorem

Efficient way to perform the integral [Mastrolia (09)]:

1. Parameterize the internal spinors as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_x \\ \lambda_y \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z\bar{z}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{z} \\ -z & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix} \implies g_2(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{4}{\Lambda} e^2 Q_f^2 y_i \delta^{ij} \frac{(\langle 1\,2 \rangle - \bar{z} \langle 2\,4 \rangle)^2}{\bar{z}(1+z\bar{z})(z\langle 1\,2 \rangle + \langle 2\,4 \rangle)} \,.$$

2. Integrate in \bar{z} , keeping only rational contributions:

$$G_{2\,rat}(z,\bar{z}) = \int d\bar{z} \, \frac{g_2(z,\bar{z})}{(1+z\bar{z})^2} = \frac{2}{\Lambda} e^2 Q_f^2 y_i \delta^{ij} \frac{z(3+2z\bar{z})\langle 1\,2\rangle - (1+2z\bar{z})\langle 2\,4\rangle}{z^2(1+z\bar{z})^2} \, .$$



Phase-Space Integral via Stokes Theorem

Efficient way to perform the integral [Mastrolia (09)]:

1. Parameterize the internal spinors as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_x \\ \lambda_y \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z\bar{z}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{z} \\ -z & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix} \implies g_2(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{4}{\Lambda} e^2 Q_f^2 y_i \delta^{ij} \frac{(\langle 1\,2 \rangle - \bar{z} \langle 2\,4 \rangle)^2}{\bar{z}(1+z\bar{z})(z\langle 1\,2 \rangle + \langle 2\,4 \rangle)} \,.$$

2. Integrate in \bar{z} , keeping only rational contributions:

$$G_{2\,rat}(z,\bar{z}) = \int d\bar{z} \, \frac{g_2(z,\bar{z})}{(1+z\bar{z})^2} = \frac{2}{\Lambda} e^2 Q_f^2 y_i \delta^{ij} \frac{z(3+2z\bar{z})\langle 1\,2\rangle - (1+2z\bar{z})\langle 2\,4\rangle}{z^2(1+z\bar{z})^2} \, .$$

3. Apply Residue Theorem by summing over the *z*-poles \mathcal{P}_{G_2} of $G_{2 rat}$:

$$\int d\Pi_2 g_2 = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{z_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{G_2}} \operatorname{Res}_{(z,\bar{z})=(z_0,z_0^*)} G_{2\,rat}(z,\bar{z}) = -\frac{3e^2 Q_f^2}{4\pi\Lambda} y_i \delta^{ij} \langle 1\,2 \rangle \,.$$



 $\phi ar{f} f \leftarrow \phi F F$ and $\phi ar{f} i \gamma_5 f \leftarrow \phi F ilde{F}$



$$\begin{split} \gamma_{S_{ij}\leftarrow\gamma}\frac{\langle 1\,2\rangle}{v} &= -\frac{1}{\pi}\int d\Pi_2\left(g_1+g_2+g_3\right) = \frac{3e^2Q_f^2}{2\pi^2\Lambda}y_i\delta^{ij}\langle 1\,2\rangle\\ \Longrightarrow \qquad \gamma_{S_{ij}\leftarrow\gamma} &= \frac{3e^2Q_f^2}{2\pi^2}\frac{m_i}{\Lambda}\delta^{ij} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \mu\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}_S^{ij}}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\supset\gamma_{S_{ij}\leftarrow\gamma}\mathcal{C}_{\gamma} = \frac{3e^2Q_f^2}{2\pi^2}\frac{m_i}{\Lambda}\delta^{ij}\mathcal{C}_{\gamma}\,. \end{split}$$



 $\phi \bar{f} f \leftarrow \phi F F$ and $\phi \bar{f} i \gamma_5 f \leftarrow \phi F \tilde{F}$



The CP-counterpart $\phi \bar{f}_i i \gamma_5 f_j \leftarrow \phi F \tilde{F}$ comes for free!

$$\begin{cases} F_{P_{ij}}(1^-_{f_i}, 2^-_{f_j}, 3_{\phi}) = -iF_{S_{ij}}(1^-_{f_i}, 2^-_{f_j}, 3_{\phi}) \\ F_{\tilde{\gamma}}(1^-_{\gamma}, 2^-_{\gamma}, 3_{\phi}) = iF_{\gamma}(1^-_{\gamma}, 2^-_{\gamma}, 3_{\phi}) \end{cases} \implies \gamma_{P_{ij} \leftarrow \tilde{\gamma}} = -\gamma_{S_{ij} \leftarrow \gamma}$$



Conclusions

Summary:

- Derived a master formula for general operator mixings up to 2-loop order.
- Leading mass effects included in massless limit via Higgs low-energy theorem.
- Implemented Stokes integration as an efficient tool for phase-space cut-integrals.
- Established a connection between anomalous dimensions of CP-dual operators.
- Validated findings by reproducing established results in popular EFTs.



Conclusions

Summary:

- Derived a master formula for general operator mixings up to 2-loop order.
- Leading mass effects included in massless limit via Higgs low-energy theorem.
- Implemented Stokes integration as an efficient tool for phase-space cut-integrals.
- Established a connection between anomalous dimensions of CP-dual operators.
- Validated findings by reproducing established results in popular EFTs.

Future Prospects:

- Future experimental advances will improve limits on low-energy observables (*e.g.* flavor-violating processes and electric dipole moments) by orders of magnitude.
- Higher-order contributions are crucial for the precise assessment of new physics effects.
- While this is a very challenging task when approached with standard techniques, on-shell and unitarity-based methods offer a simpler, more efficient, and elegant way to reach this goal.



Thank you for your attention! $\mathcal{Q}\&\mathcal{A}$



Selection Rules: Dimension-6 Operators

	F^3	$\phi^2 F^2$	$F\phi\psi^2$	$D^2 \phi^4$	$D\phi^2\psi^2$	ψ^4	$\phi^3 \psi^2$	ϕ^6
F^3		\times_1	(2)	\times_2	\times_2	\times_2	\times_3	\times_3
$\phi^2 F^2$							(2)	\times_2
$F\phi\psi^2$							\times_1	\times_3
$D^2 \phi^4$							\times_1	\times_2
$D\phi^2\psi^2$							\times_1	(3)
ψ^4							(2)	(4)
$\phi^3 \psi^2$								(2)
ϕ^6								

Table: From [Bern, Parra-Martinez, Sawyer (20)]. Dimension-6 operator mixing pattern. Operators labeling the rows are renormalized by the operators labeling the columns.

- \times_L : length selection rules apply at *L*-loop order
- (L): no diagrams before L loops, but renormalization is possible at that order
- Light-gray: zero at one loop due to helicity selection rules



The 4-momentum of an on-shell state is mapped onto a 2×2 matrix

$$p^{\mu} = (p^0, \vec{p}) \longrightarrow p^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \bar{\sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}_{\mu} p^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} p^0 + p^3 & p^1 - ip^2 \\ p^1 + ip^2 & p^0 - p^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $ar{\sigma}^{\mu\,\dot{lpha}lpha}=(\mathbb{1},-ec{\sigma})^{\dot{lpha}lpha}.$ If the particle is massless then

$$p^2 = \det(p^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}) = m^2 = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad p^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}\lambda^{\alpha},$$

where $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}$ are commuting Weyl spinors known as helicity spinors. The angle and square inner products are Lorentz invariant

$$\langle i\,j
angle\equiv\lambda_{i}^{lpha}\lambda_{j\,lpha}=\epsilon_{lphaeta}\lambda_{i}^{lpha}\lambda_{j}^{eta}=-\langle j\,i
angle\,,\qquad \qquad [i\,j]\equiv ilde\lambda_{i\,\dotlpha} ilde\lambda_{j}^{\dotlpha}=-\epsilon_{\dotlpha\doteta} ilde\lambda_{i}^{\dotlpha} ilde\lambda_{j}^{eta}=-[j\,i]\,.$$

The Mandelstam invariants can thus be written as

$$s_{ij} \equiv (p_i + p_j)^2 = 2p_i \cdot p_j = \langle i j \rangle [j i].$$



Dilatation Operator & Complex Rotations



The Dilatation Operator

$$D = \sum_{i} p_i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$$

generates the Complex Rotations:

 $p_i \to e^{i\alpha} p_i \implies F_{\mathcal{O}} \to e^{i\alpha D} F_{\mathcal{O}} .$

For $\alpha = \pi$ their infinitesimal imaginary part ϵ changes sign:

 $F_{\mathcal{O}}(\{s_{ij}-i\epsilon\}) = e^{i\pi D} F_{\mathcal{O}}(\{s_{ij}+i\epsilon\}).$



Nonperturbative Relations

S&D Relation

$$(e^{-i\pi D} - 1)F_i^* = i(\mathcal{M}F_i^*)$$

• In dimensional regularization and in absence of masses, $D\simeq -\mu\,\partial/\partial\mu$, which implies

Callan-Symanzik Equation

$$DF_j = \left(\frac{\partial\beta_i}{\partial c_j} - \delta_{ij}\gamma_{i,\mathrm{IR}} + \delta_{ij}\beta_g\frac{\partial}{\partial g}\right)F_i$$

• Can be combined and expanded, *e.g.* at one-loop

$$\left(\frac{\partial \beta_i^{(1)}}{\partial c_j} - \delta_{ij}\gamma_{i,\mathrm{IR}}^{(1)} + \delta_{ij}\beta_g^{(1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial g}\right)F_i^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{\pi}(\mathcal{M}F_j)^{(1)}$$





- In theories with massless fields, IR singularities originate from configurations where loop momenta become soft or collinear.
- The IR anomalous dimension only depends on the external state $\langle ec{n}|$

$$\gamma_{\rm IR}^{(1)}(\{s_{ij}\};\mu) = \frac{g^2}{4\pi^2} \sum_{i < j} T_i^a T_j^a \log \frac{\mu^2}{-s_{ij}} + \sum_i \gamma_{i,\text{coll.}}^{(1)} \,.$$

• Since the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ is UV protected, $\gamma_{\rm IR}$ can be computed as

$$\gamma_{\rm IR}^{(1)} F_{T_{\mu\nu}}^{(0)}(\vec{n}) = \frac{1}{\pi} (\mathcal{M} F_{T_{\mu\nu}})^{(1)}(\vec{n}) \,.$$