Full Classification of Feynman Integral Geometries at Two Loops





Zhejiang University

Hjalte Frellesvig

I originally wanted to talk about

Magic relations, cuts, and intersection theory

[G. Crisanti, **HF**, A. Pokraka, S. Smith; 2025??]





But our work is not far enough along to be talked about

(We are in fact also a little stuck. Are you an expect on *stratified Morse theory* please contact us...)

The spectrum of Feynman integral geometries at two loops

Piotr Bargiela,[a] **Hjalte Frellesvig,**[b,c] **Robin Marzucca,**[a] **Roger Morales,**[c,d] **Florian Seefeld,**[e] **Matthias Wilhelm,**[c,e] **and Tong-Zhi Yang**[a]

[a] *Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland*

[b] *Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, School of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China*

[c] *Niels Bohr International Academy, Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark*

[d] *Leinweber Institute for Theoretical Physics, Randall Laboratory of Physics, University of Michigan, 450 Church St, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1040, USA*

[e] *Center for Quantum Mathematics, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Southern Denmark, 5230 Odense M, Denmark*

E-mail: piotr.bargiela@physik.uzh.ch, 0025056@zju.edu.cn, robin.marzucca@physik.uzh.ch, rmespasa@umich.edu, fseefeld@imada.sdu.dk, mwilhelm@imada.sdu.dk, tongzhi.yang@physik.uzh.ch

Abstract: We provide a complete classification of the Feynman integral geometries at two-loop order in four-dimensional Quantum Field Theory. Concretely, we consider a basis of 79 Feynman integrals in the 't Hooft–Veltman scheme, i.e. with D-dimensional loop momenta and four-dimensional external momenta, and calculate their leading singularities via loop-by-loop Baikov for generic values of the masses and momenta. Aside from the Riemann sphere, we find that only elliptic curves, hyperelliptic curves of genus 2 and 3 as well as K3 surfaces occur. At an intermediate step, we also find a del Pezzo surface, a particular Fano variety known to be rationalizable, resulting in a surface of genus 3. These geometries determine the space of functions relevant for Quantum Field Theories at two-loop order, including in the Standard Model.

Feynman integrals can be written as iterated integrals

$$f_i = \sum_j \epsilon^j f_i^{(j)} \qquad f_i^{(j)} = \int \sum_k A_{ik} f_k^{(j-1)}$$

But what are the integration surfaces?

For many FIs it is just the complex plane / Riemann sphere

These are (more or less) the FIs that evaluate to polylogs

$$G(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n; x) = \int_0^x \frac{dz}{z - a_1} G(a_2, \ldots, a_n; z)$$

But there can also be more complicated surfaces:

Elliptic curves, Hyper-elliptic curves, Calabi-Yau manifolds...



What else might lurk out there?

Let us investigate these geometries systematically

limiting ourselves to the case of two-loop integrals



$I^{\circ\circ}_{abc}$ $a \geq c \geq b$

Examples:

I^{**}_{313} 

I^{*}_{312} 

I_{422} 

All masses (internal and external) and Mandelstams will be generic

so we get an upper limit* for the complexity

* This limit is often saturated by the cases that have been computed in the literature



We work in the ‘t Hooft Veltman scheme where the external momenta are limited to four dimensions

$$5 \geq a \geq c \geq b \geq 1, \qquad 11 \geq a+b+c$$



There are 84 diagrams in total [Piotr Bargiela, Tong-Zhi Yang; 2024, 2025]



We work in the ‘t Hooft Veltman scheme where the external momenta are limited to four dimensions

$$5 \geq a \geq c \geq b \geq 1, \qquad 11 \geq a+b+c$$



There are ~~84~~ 79 diagrams in total [Piotr Bargiela, Tong-Zhi Yang; 2024, 2025]

Methodology: The loop-by-loop Baikov representation

$$I = \mathcal{K} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{N(x)\, d^n x}{x_1 \cdots x_{n_P}} \mathcal{B}_2(x)^{\beta_2} \mathcal{E}_1(x)^{\gamma_1} \mathcal{B}_1(x)^{\beta_1}$$

$\mathcal{B}_2\,,\ \mathcal{E}_1\,,\ \mathcal{B}_1$ are polynomials in x (Baikov polynomials)

$\beta_2\,,\ \gamma_1\,,\ \beta_1$ are irrational powers (become $\in \mathbb{Z}/2$ in integer dim)

E_i is the number of momenta external to loop nr. i

$$n = 2 + E_1 + E_2\,, \qquad n_{\mathrm{ISP}} = n - n_P$$

If we cut all the propagators, we are left with an n_{ISP}-fold integral

The remaining integral will indicate the geometry of the sector

We have to double-check it with *Picard-Fuchs operators*

i.e. a higher dim. differential operator that annihilates the integral

Let us start with the sectors with 0 ISPs

i.e. those where the maximal cut fixes all the degrees of freedom



36 of the 79 diagrams. These are trivially polylogarithmic* **

* In this talk, such statements refer to the top-sector only

** *Polylogarithmic* means dlog-form and symbol, not actual polylogs

Then there are the sectors with 1 ISP

Type 1.1: $\int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{P_{[2]}(z)}}$ or $\int \frac{dz}{Q_{[2]}(z)\sqrt{P_{[2]}(z)}}$



I_{211} I^{*}_{212} I_{411} I^{*}_{412} I_{412} $I^{\circ\circ}_{413}$ $I^{\circ\circ}_{414}$ $I^{\circ\circ}_{423}$

$P_{[2]} = (z-r_1)(z-r_2)$ $\quad z = \frac{r_2(1+y)^2 - r_1(1-y)^2}{4y}$ $\quad \frac{dz}{\sqrt{P_{[2]}(z)}} = \frac{-dy}{y}$

Type 1.1 are all **polylogarithmic**

Type 1.2: $\int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{P_{[4]}(z)}}$ or $\int \frac{dz}{Q_{[2]}(z)\sqrt{P_{[4]}(z)}}$



I^{**}_{222} $I^{\circ\circ}_{422}$ $I^{\circ\circ}_{424}$ $I^{\circ\circ}_{433}$

Type 1.2 are all **elliptic**

Sectors with 1 ISP (continued)

Type 1.3: $$\int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{Q_{[2]}(z)}\sqrt{P_{[2]}(z)}}$$



Both combining the roots, and rationalizing, gives an **elliptic** curve

But they are different. “isogenic, not isomorphic”

[**HF**, Vergu, Volk, von Hippel; 2021]

Rationalizing gives the right result, but either way we get the right geometry

---

Type 1.4: $$\int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{Q_{[2]}(z)}\sqrt{P_{[4]}(z)}}$$



Combining the roots gives deg. 6 corresponding to hyper-elliptic with genus 2

Rationalizing $Q_{[2]}$ gives deg. 8 corresponding to hyper-elliptic with genus 3

but there is a genus drop back down to **genus 2**

[Marzucca, McLeod, Page, Pögel, Weinzierl; 2023]

Sectors with 2 ISPs:



I_{212}



I_{312}



I^*_{222}



I^*_{313}



I_{313}



I^*_{322}



I_{322}



$I^{\circ\circ}_{323}$



$I^{\circ\circ}_{333}$

Sector with 3 ISPs:



I_{222}

We investigate them one by one



$$I^{\circ\circ}_{323}\big|^{d=6}_{\text{max cut}} = \int \frac{d^2z}{\sqrt{P_{[2]}(z_1,z_2)}}$$



$$I_{312}\big|_{\text{max cut}} = \int \frac{d^2z}{Q_{[2]}(z_1,z_2)\sqrt{R_{[2]}(z_1,z_2)}}$$

$$P_{[2]} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{i+j=2} a_{ij} z_1^i z_2^j$$

Rationalizing in z_2 gives $\quad \dfrac{d^2z}{\sqrt{P_{[2]}(z_1,z_2)}} = \dfrac{-dz_1 dy}{\sqrt{a_{02}}\, y}$

Taking the residue in y (and integrating z_1) makes $I^{\circ\circ}_{323}$ a constant revealing it to be **polylogarithmic**

Rationalizing $R_{[2]}$ makes $Q_{[2]}$ of the form $Q_{[2]} = \sum_{i}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{i+j\leq 4} b_{ij} y_1^i y_2^j$

Lastly taking a residue in y_1 reveals an **elliptic** curve

The lesson: It it not just what is under the root that determines the geometry

The tardigrades



$$I_{222}\big|_{\text{max cut}} = \int \frac{d^3 z}{Q_{[4]}(z_1, z_2, z_3)\sqrt{P_{[4]}(z_1, z_2, z_3)}}$$

A non-trivial variable change allows us to eliminate one variable

$$\int \frac{d^2 y}{\sqrt{R_{[6;4]}(y_1, y_2)}}$$ (deg. 6 in total, but deg. 4 in the individual vars.)

This characterizes a **K3** manifold

Also studied in e.g. [Doran, Harder, Vanhove, Pichon-Pharabod, 2024]



$$I^*_{222}\big|_{\text{max cut}} = \int \frac{d^2 z}{\sqrt{S_{[4]}(z_1, z_2)}\sqrt{T_{[2]}(z_1, z_2)}}$$

A var. change brings this to the form $$\int \frac{d^2 y}{\sqrt{\mathcal{S}_{[4;2]}(y_1, y_2)}\sqrt{\mathcal{T}_{[2]}(y_1, y_2)}}$$

Could we combine the roots, we would get the K3, but we can't...

In the end the DHVP analysis reveals it as **K3**

Five and six-point crossed box



$$I^{\circ}_{322}\big|_{\text{max cut}} = \int \frac{d^2 z}{Q_{[4]}(z_1, z_2)\sqrt{P_{[2]}(z_1, z_2)}}$$

Rationalizing $P_{[2]}$ and taking a residue, gives

$$I^{\circ}_{322}\big|_{\text{max cut}} = \int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{A_{[2]}(z)}\sqrt{B_{[4]}(z) + C_{[3]}(z)\sqrt{A_{[2]}(z)}}}$$

Then rationalizing $A_{[2]}$ gives $\quad I^{\circ}_{322}\big|_{\text{max cut}} = \int \frac{x\, dx}{\sqrt{R_{[8]}(x)}}$

This corresponds to a hyper-elliptic curve with **genus 3**

This time there is no extra involution, so

I_{322} and I^{*}_{322} have genus 3 while I^{**}_{322} had genus 2

The goomba



One of the highlights: $I^{\circ\circ}_{333}$ 

$$I^{\circ\circ}_{333}\big|^{(d=6)}_{\text{max cut}} = \int \frac{d^2z}{\sqrt{P_{[4;4]}(z_1,z_2)}}$$

This defines a
"Del Pezzo surface of degree 2"

First we projectivize: $$y^2 = \sum_{ijk}^{i+j+k=4} a_{ijk} z_1^i z_2^j z_0^k$$

Then we do the var. change: $$z_n = \sum_{ijk}^{i+j+k=3} q_{nijk}\alpha^i\beta^j\gamma^k \qquad y = \sum_{ijk}^{i+j+k=6} r_{ijk}\alpha^i\beta^j\gamma^k$$

[J. Schicho, *Elementary theory of Del Pezzo Surfaces;* 2005]

After 'deprojectivizing': $$I^{\circ\circ}_{333}\big|_{\text{max cut}} = \int \frac{d\alpha\, d\beta}{P_{[6]}(\alpha,\beta)}$$

This integrand describes a surface with algebraic **genus 3**

Summary (preliminary)

K3 surfaces:



I^*_{222} I_{222}

Del Pezzo
Genus 3:



$I^{\circ\circ}_{333}$

Hyper-elliptic:

Genus 2:



I^{**}_{322}

Genus 3:



I^*_{322} I_{322}

Elliptic:



I_{111} I_{311} I^*_{312} I_{312} I^{**}_{222}

$I^{\circ\circ}_{313}$ $I^{\circ\circ}_{422}$ $I^{\circ\circ}_{424}$ $I^{\circ\circ}_{433}$

Polylogarithmic:

Everything else

Other surprises

I_{211} 

is polylog but seems to have $\mathcal{L}_4 = \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_1$

The $\mathcal{L}_2$ factorizes after the same variable change you do to take the maximal cut!

I_{212} 

seems to be polylog. More investigation is needed

$I^{\circ\circ}_{413}$  and $I^{\circ\circ}_{323}$  are both polylog

$I^{\circ\circ}_{422}$ 

is elliptic, but (probably) polylog to $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$

$I^{\circ\circ}_{434}$ 

Cannot be (straightforwardly) parametrized with loop-by-loop Baikov. (Standard is fine)

Perspectives

There were no huge surprises showing up at two-loop

The biggest highlight was that Del Pezzo surface of genus three for the goomba $I^{\circ\circ}_{333}$ 

Other highlights were the new K3 in I^{*}_{222} 
and the hyper-elliptics in the three $I^{\circ\circ}_{322}$ 

Which of these will be needed for pheno?
(Les Houches Wishlist)

How about three-loop?

What are the *true* rules for combining roots?

Perspectives

There were no huge surprises showing up at two-loop

The biggest highlight was that Del Pezzo surface of genus three for the goomba $I^{\circ\circ}_{333}$ 

Other highlights were the new K3 in I^{*}_{222} 
and the hyper-elliptics in the three $I^{\circ\circ}_{322}$ 

Which of these will be needed for pheno?
(Les Houches Wishlist)

How about three-loop?

What are the *true* rules for combining roots?

Thank you for listening!

Hjalte Frellesvig