Naturalness vs. the LHC

Anna Kamińska



Schloss Waldthausen, 12.11.14

Anna Kamińska Naturalness vs. the LHC

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

- supersymmetry
- global symmetry (little Higgs)
- strong interactions (technicolor, ex. dim.)

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

3

- supersymmetry
- global symmetry (little Higgs)
- strong interactions (technicolor, ex. dim.)
- combinations thereof
 - composite Higgs
 - "double protection"
 - SUSY + compositeness/ex.dim.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

ъ

- supersymmetry
- global symmetry (little Higgs)
- strong interactions (technicolor, ex. dim.)
- combinations thereof
 - composite Higgs
 - o "double protection"
 - SUSY + compositeness/ex.dim.
- scale inv. (+ Coleman-Weinberg)

• ...

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

ъ

Composite Higgs

electroweak symmetry breaking by new strong dynamics

composite Higgs - PG boson

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

electroweak symmetry breaking by new strong dynamics

composite Higgs - PG boson

•
$$SO(5)/SO(4) \rightarrow 4\pi \rightarrow H$$

Minimal Composite Higgs Model Agashe, Contino, Pomarol '04

•
$$SO(6)/SO(5)
ightarrow 5\pi
ightarrow H, a$$

 $SU(4)/Sp(4,C)
ightarrow 5\pi
ightarrow H, s$

Next MCHM Gripaios, Pomarol, Riva, Serra '09 Chacko, Batra '08

ヘロト 人間 とくほとく ほとう

3

•
$$SO(6)/SO(4)xSO(2) \rightarrow 8\pi \rightarrow H_1 + H_2$$

Minimal Composite Two Higgs Doublets Mrazek, Pomarol, Rattazzi, Serra, Wulzer '11

Agashe, Contino, Pomarol '04

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

$SO(5) ightarrow SO(4) \sim SU(2)_L imes SU(2)_R$

GB transform as a **4** of SO(4), (2,2) of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$

Agashe, Contino, Pomarol '04

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ……

3

$SO(5) ightarrow SO(4) \sim SU(2)_L imes SU(2)_R$

GB transform as a **4** of SO(4), (2,2) of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$



Higgs potential from SO(5) breaking effects

- gauge interactions
- Yukawa interactions

Agashe, Contino, Pomarol '04

프 🖌 🛪 프 🛌

$SO(5) ightarrow SO(4) \sim SU(2)_L imes SU(2)_R$

GB transform as a **4** of SO(4), (2,2) of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$



Higgs potential from SO(5) breaking effects

- gauge interactions
- Yukawa interactions

 \rightarrow naturalness requires top partners $\lesssim 1\,{\rm TeV}$ see also 1410.8555 and talk by A.Carmona LHC searches - talk by T.Flacke

Signatures of composite Higgs

Higgs physics

Montull, Riva, Salvioni, Torre Carena, Da Rold, Ponton

Gripaios, Muller, Parkera, Sutherland Matsedonskyi, Riva, Vantalon De Simone, Matsedonskyi, Rattazzi, Wulzer

Contino, Pappadopulo, Marzocca, Rattazzi Panico, Wulzer De Curtis, Redi, Tesi Pappadopulo, Thamm, Torre, Wulzer

> Ciuchini, Franco, Mishima, Silvestrini Barbieri, Tesi

> > Csaki, Falkowski, Weiler Redi, Weiler Straub

spin-1 resonances

spin-1/2 resonances

electroweak precision data (S,T)

flavor

global symmetry breaking $\ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

global symmetry breaking $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$

Spin-1 resonances

in a representation of the unbroken global symmetry of strong dynamics

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

3

global symmetry breaking $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$

Spin-1 resonances

- in a representation of the unbroken global symmetry of strong dynamics
- 'hidden local symmetry'
- \rightarrow modify the symmetry breaking pattern

$$\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}_{\textit{local}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

 ρ_{μ} gauge bosons of $\mathcal{H}_{\textit{local}} \ \rightarrow$ 'vector' resonances

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

global symmetry breaking $\ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$

Spin-1 resonances

- in a representation of the unbroken global symmetry of strong dynamics
- 'hidden local symmetry'
- \rightarrow modify the symmetry breaking pattern

$$\mathcal{G} imes \mathcal{H}_{\textit{local}} o \mathcal{H}$$

 ρ_{μ} gauge bosons of $\mathcal{H}_{\textit{local}} \ \rightarrow$ 'vector' resonances

3 free parameters

$$m_
ho, \ g_
ho, \ \xi = rac{v_{EW}^2}{f^2}$$

 $g_{
ho}$ - gauge coupling of $\mathcal{H}_{\textit{local}}$

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人口 ア

Production and decays of ρ_L

Anna Kamińska Naturalness vs. the LHC

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

Production and decays of ρ_L

• production dominated by Drell-Yan q ar q o
ho





くロト (過) (目) (日)

3

Anna Kamińska Naturalness vs. the LHC

Production and decays of ρ_{I}

• production dominated by Drell-Yan $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \rho$



decays mainly to hZ and WW, but ff non-negligible



Anna Kamińska

Direct searches

$$\begin{split} \Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \to W^{+}W^{-}\right) &\approx & \Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \to Zh\right) \approx \frac{m_{\rho}^{5}\xi^{2}}{192\pi g_{\rho}^{2}v^{4}}.\\ \Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \to e^{+}e^{-}\right) &\approx & \Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-}\right) \approx \frac{g^{4}m_{\rho}\left(1+\sqrt{1-\xi}\right)^{2}}{96\;4\pi g_{\rho}^{2}}\\ \Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \to q_{i}\bar{q}_{i}\right) &\approx & \frac{g^{4}m_{\rho}\left(1+\sqrt{1-\xi}\right)^{2}}{32\;4\pi g_{\rho}^{2}} \end{split}$$

present strongest exclusions - CMS search for II resonances



M.Hoffmann, AK, R.Nikolaidou, S.Paganis

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

 $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma, h \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$, where $\ell = e, \mu$, $(h \rightarrow b\bar{b}), V \rightarrow jj$



suppress the SM Higgs background by $p_{\perp} \ge 550$ GeV cut \rightarrow probing $m_{\rho} \sim 3$ TeV in the next LHC run

Searching for $\rho \rightarrow Vh$

here assumed
$$m_
ho = g_
ho f = g_
ho v_{EW}/\sqrt{\xi}$$



M.Hoffmann, AK, R.Nikolaidou, S.Paganis

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

Searching for $\rho \rightarrow Vh$

here assumed $m_{
ho} = g_{
ho} f = g_{
ho} v_{EW} / \sqrt{\xi}$





0.5 EWPT Ag=1.5x10-3 0.2 0.1 LHC Single Higgs ξ 0.05 CLIC Double Higgs CMS, 8 TeV, 20 fb⁻ EWPT 40=0 0.02 0.01 0.005 0 2 4 6 8 10 m_{ρ} in TeV

LHC Double Higgs

Contino, Grojean, Pappadopulo, Rattazzi, Thamm

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

ъ

Impact of composite fermions

spin-1 resonances may couple directly to fermion resonances

 $-i\bar{\psi}g_{
ho}\gamma^{\mu}T^{a}
ho_{\mu}^{a}\psi$

partial compositeness \rightarrow mass mixing with SM fermions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Impact of composite fermions

spin-1 resonances may couple directly to fermion resonances

 $-i\bar{\psi}g_{
ho}\gamma^{\mu}T^{a}
ho_{\mu}^{a}\psi$

partial compositeness \rightarrow mass mixing with SM fermions \rightarrow modified BR of spin-1 resonances

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

spin-1 resonances may couple directly to fermion resonances

 $-i\bar{\psi}g_{
ho}\gamma^{\mu}T^{a}
ho_{\mu}^{a}\psi$

partial compositeness \rightarrow mass mixing with SM fermions \rightarrow modified BR of spin-1 resonances

• 3 gen. resonances only, $m_T \gtrsim 2 \,\mathrm{TeV}$ (left) and $m_T \sim 0.8 \,\mathrm{TeV}$ (right)



Anna Kamińska

Naturalness vs. the LHC

Impact of composite fermions





イロン 不同 とくほ とくほ とう

æ

Anna Kamińska Naturalness vs. the LHC

Impact of composite fermions



if we allow for significant partial compositeness of light quarks



naturalness strained by non-observation of supersymmetric partners at the LHC

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

naturalness strained by non-observation of supersymmetric partners at the LHC

idea: use a gaugino "focus" point in RGE running AK, G.G.Ross, K.Schmidt-Hoberg, F.Staub

$$m_{h_u}^2(Q) = z_{h_u}^{m_0}(Q)m_0^2 + z_{h_u}^{m_{1/2}}(Q)m_{1/2}^2 + z_{h_u}^{A_0}(Q)A_0^2 + 2z_{h_u}^{m_{1/2}A_0}(Q)m_{1/2}A_0 m_{h_d}^2(Q) = z_{h_d}^{m_0}(Q)m_0^2 + z_{h_d}^{m_{1/2}}(Q)m_{1/2}^2 + z_{h_d}^{A_0}(Q)A_0^2 + 2z_{h_d}^{m_{1/2}A_0}(Q)m_{1/2}A_0$$

electroweak scale in the MSSM

$$\lambda^{(0)} v^2 = -\frac{\tan^2\beta}{\tan^2\beta - 1} \bar{m}_{h_u}^2 + \frac{1}{\tan^2\beta - 1} \bar{m}_{h_d}^2 - |\mu|^2$$

gaugino focus point

$$0 = \frac{\tan^2 \beta}{\tan^2 \beta - 1} z_{h_u}^{m_{1/2}}(Q_{FP}) - \frac{1}{\tan^2 \beta - 1} z_{h_d}^{m_{1/2}}(Q_{FP})$$

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ……

3

Gaugino focus point - MSSM

$$M_1 = a \cdot m_{1/2}, M_2 = b \cdot m_{1/2}$$
 and $M_3 = m_{1/2}$



Gaugino focus point - GNMSSM

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\text{Yukawa}} + \frac{1}{3}\kappa S^3 + (\mu + \lambda S)H_uH_d + \xi S + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s S^2$$



Anna Kamińska

Naturalness vs. the LHC

It is not yet time to give up on naturalness!

æ

・ロン・西方・ ・ ヨン・ ヨン・