Initial conditions...

All observables scales are subhorizon today, i.e., $k \gg \mathcal{H} = aH$.



3 (nitial Conditions

All scales that can be observed are subhorizon today, i.e., k>>++ = att, where At is the compring Hubble length. It is a decreasing function of time during RD and MD, but an increasing function of time during inflation. Thus, he have the following situation:



All observed scales today have been superhorizon at Some time in the past (by design=inflation must last long enough for this to be true). Consider a knode, keet, despinithe valication eva. The Boltzmann equations in this limit are: Massless nectrinos $\int_{V} - 4\bar{\mathfrak{B}} = 0$ || Scalar Massless nectrinos $\tilde{F}_{0}^{(0)} + \bar{\mathfrak{B}} \partial \bar{F} = 0$ || Scalar Massless nectrinos $\tilde{F}_{0}^{(0)} + \bar{\mathfrak{B}} \partial \bar{F} = 0$ || Scalar

Photons:
$$\hat{J}_{X} - 4\bar{\mp} = 0$$

CDM: $\hat{J}_{C} - 3\bar{\pm} = 0$
Bargons: $\hat{J}_{L} - 3\bar{\pm} = 0$
 $\hat{J}_{L} - 3\bar{\pm} = 0$

$$=) \quad \hat{J}_{y} = -4\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{y}}\right)^{-1} \hat{F}_{o}^{(0)} = \hat{J}_{y} = \frac{4}{3}\hat{J}_{c} = \frac{4}{3}\hat{J}_{b} = 4\bar{4}$$

This types of solutions are possible:
() Adiabatic:
$$\int_{V} = f_{Y} = \frac{4}{3}f_{z} = \frac{4}{3}f_{b} = \overline{\Phi} + C$$

(2) Isocurvature: $\int_{D_{1C}} \int_{D_{1C}} = \frac{3}{4}f_{Y} + C_{b,c}$ different
 $\int_{V} = f_{Y} + C_{Y}$ integralian
 $\int_{V} = f_{Y} + C_{Y}$ constants

In the adiabatic case, $S_{bic} = \frac{\beta_{bic} - \overline{\beta}_{bic}}{\overline{\beta}_{bic}} = \frac{N_{bic} - \overline{N}_{bic}}{\overline{n}_{bic}}$ $S_{v,v} = 4 \underbrace{\Delta T_{v,v}}_{T_{v,v}} = \frac{4}{3} \underbrace{N_{vs} - \overline{N}_{vs}}_{\overline{N}_{v,v}} \| equilibrium$ Thus, the adiabatic initial condition (*) are equivalent to $\underbrace{N_{i}(w)}_{r \in v} = \frac{\overline{N}_{i}}{\overline{n}_{v}} + \underbrace{g_{i}b_{i}b_{i}d}_{v \in v}$ i.e., the same value of partial number densities everywhere. Adiabatic initial conditions are a necessary Consequence of single-field inflution, in which all perturbations originate from the sake inflation field. Because of their common source, the number density ratios between different fluids must be the sake everywhere (determined by equipartition if in equilibrium, or by branching ratios if not.).

If primordial perturbations cove from several different sources, then a mixture of adiabatic and iso curvature perturbations & possible. However, if equilibrium & established after the pereration of perturbations for all interactions, then the particle number densitions must obey either FDor BE stabilis locally. This again guarantees that the local number density varios are the same every where and we are back to adiabatic perturbations. 3.1 Adiabatic initial conditions Einstein's equation during radiation domination and in the Lex # limit: $3\# [\bar{\pm} t\# \bar{\pm}] = -16\pi \operatorname{Cra}^2 [\bar{p}_{x} \Theta_{0}^{(o)} + \bar{p}_{y} \Delta_{0}^{(o)}] \| \overset{\text{Using}}{\bar{p}_{yx}}$ use Finedmann $e_{yeton} = -6\#^2 [f_{x} \Theta_{0}^{(o)} + f_{y} \Delta_{0}^{(o)}] \quad f_{ytfy} = 1$ Adiabatic condition = $-6\#^2 \Theta_{0}^{(o)} \quad \| \Theta_{0}^{(o)} = \Delta_{0}^{(o)}$

During radiation domination,
$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2}$$
. Thus, he find
 $\tilde{\Xi}_{2} + \overline{\Psi} = -2 \Theta_{0}^{(0)} \Re$
 $\tilde{\Xi}_{2} + \tilde{\Xi} + \tilde{\Xi} = -2 \Theta_{0}^{(0)}$
 $= -2 \tilde{\Xi}$
 $\tilde{\xi}_{0}$

Assuming $\overline{\Psi} \simeq \overline{\Psi}$: $\Rightarrow \quad \overline{\Psi} \eta + 4\overline{\Psi} = 0$ $\Rightarrow \quad \overline{\Psi} = \begin{cases} \text{constant} \\ \eta^{-3} \\ \text{solution} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} \text{choven} \\ \text{levent} \\ \text{long time} \end{cases}$

€ (Lector, y (< y og) = time constant = Ep(k) primaria and from @

and he can use

$$\vec{\Phi} + \vec{\Phi} \cdot \vec{\Psi} = 4\pi \int G^2 \left[(p+P) V^{(0)} / k \right]$$

$$\sim \vec{\Phi}_p = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} (1+\sqrt{2}) \frac{V^{(0)}}{k}$$

to establish
$$U^{(0)} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{(1+W)} \stackrel{\text{k}}{=} \overline{\Phi}_{p}$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\text{k}}{=} \overline{\Phi}_{p} \stackrel{\text{for } RD}{=} \frac{1}{3}$

Also =
$$T_{V}^{(0)} = \left(\frac{k}{R}\right)^{2} \frac{\overline{I}_{p} - \overline{I}_{p}}{6f_{V}}$$

Photon anisotropic stress is zero because during PD photons and electrons are tightly-coupled. The fact that $T(\mathcal{P})$ is suppressed by $(\frac{1}{4})^2 also means that <math>\overline{\Psi} - \overline{\Psi}$ will remain small, justifying $\overline{\Psi} \simeq \overline{\Psi}$.

What is $\mathbb{E}_{p}(k)^{2}$. This is set by inflation. However, concentionally, perturbations from inflation is specified by the curvature perturbation:

: gauge-invariant' $S = -\overline{\Phi} + \frac{H_{\overline{1}}}{3} + \frac{k!k^2 \overline{4} T^0}{\overline{P} + \overline{P}}$

The advantage of the S variable is that for adiabatic
initial conditions, it remains constant in time once
the superhorizon condition k < to is satisfied, even
after transition to RD (or MD). Then, to translate
S from influction to our
$$\frac{1}{2}p$$
, we simply evaluate
 (3) in the Neutonian gauge, noting that
 $H_{T}^{(s)} = 0$
 $T^{\circ}_{i} = -(p+P)v_{i}$ || Neutonian
 $saye$.
=) $S = - \frac{1}{2}p - \frac{1}{2}(ro)$
 $= -\frac{1}{2}p - \frac{2}{3}(ru) \frac{1}{2}p$ || initial
 $subsciences$
 $= -\frac{5}{2}p - \frac{3}{5}(1+1)\frac{1}{2}p$
 $= -\frac{2}{3}g$ for RD $W = \frac{1}{3}$.

Curvature perturbation...

Conventionally, inflationary perturbations are specified by the curvature perturbation:

$$\zeta \equiv -\Phi + \frac{H_T^{(0)}}{3} + \frac{k^i k^{-2} \mathcal{H} T_{.i}^0}{\bar{\rho} + \bar{P}}$$

"Gauge-invariant"

1/3

- For adiabatic initial conditions, ζ is constant on superhorizon scales.
- Mapping to the Newtonian gauge:

$$\Phi_p(k,\eta) = -\frac{3+3w}{5+3w}\zeta(k) \qquad \text{Radiation domination: } w = 1/2$$

$$\Phi(k,\eta) = -\frac{2}{3}\zeta(k)$$

w = equation of state
of the universe

Initial conditions...

All observables scales are subhorizon today, i.e., $k \gg \mathcal{H} = aH$.



Deconstructing the matter power spectrum...

Why does the matter power spectrum look like this?



 We can use a simplified system of equations understand the qualitative features.

Simplified system...

• Boltzmann equation for **dark matter** (exact):

$$\dot{\delta}_c + k v_c^{(0)} - 3 \dot{\Phi} = 0$$

 $\dot{v}_c^{(0)} + \mathcal{H} v_c^{(0)} - k \Phi = 0$

• Einstein equation for scalar perturbations:

or
$$\begin{aligned} k^2 \Phi + 3\mathcal{H}(\dot{\Phi} + \mathcal{H}\Phi) &= -4\pi G a^2 \left(\bar{\rho}_c \delta_c + \bar{\rho}_\gamma \delta_\gamma\right) \\ \dot{\Phi} + \mathcal{H}\Phi &= 4\pi G a^2 \left[\bar{\rho}_c v_c^{(0)} + \frac{4}{3}\bar{\rho}_\gamma v_\gamma^{(0)}\right]/k \end{aligned}$$

• Boltzmann equation for **photons**:

Truncated; we're ignoring all multipoles $\ell \ge 2$, which is OK pre-photon decoupling in the tightly- coupled limit where $\dot{\kappa} \gg \mathcal{H}$.

$$\begin{split} \dot{\delta}_{\gamma} &+ \frac{4}{3} k v_{\gamma}^{(0)} - 4 \dot{\Phi} = 0 \\ \dot{v}_{\gamma}^{(0)} &- k \left[\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\gamma} + \Phi \right] = 0 \end{split}$$

Ignoring:

- 1. Neutrinos
- 2. Baryons
- 3. Anisotropic stress, $\Phi = \Psi$

Assuming adiabatic initial conditions

Three stages of evolution...

Trajectory of a k mode: superhorizon \rightarrow horizon crossing \rightarrow subhorizon

• **Crucial point**: When? During RD of MD?



Three stages of evolution...

Shaded regions = approximate analytical solutions exist for the simplified system

Trajectory of a k mode: superhorizon \rightarrow horizon crossing \rightarrow subhorizon

• **Crucial point**: When? During RD of MD?



Superhorizon evolution...

Consider a k mode that remains entirely superhorizon as we transition from RD to MD.



Superhorizon evolution...

We have seen how the **curvature perturbation** ζ relates to the superhorizon Φ in the Newontian gauge for adiabatic initial conditions



Horizon crossing during matter domination...

Consider a k mode that goes from superhorizon to subhorizon during matter domination.



Horizon crossing during matter domination...

During MD, radiation density is unimportant, so we can set $\bar{\rho}_{\gamma} = 0$.

• The relevant equations are:



4.3 Honzon Crossing during matter domination During matter domination, radiation density is not important =) set $\overline{p}s = \delta_s = 0$ - Therefore, the relevant equations are $f_c \in k \mathcal{V}_c^{(0)} - 3 \overline{\Phi} = 0$ V:(0)+ X+ V:(0)- k= = 0 and $k^2 \overline{\Phi} + 3 \overline{A} (\overline{\Xi} + \overline{A} \overline{\Phi}) = -4\pi G \alpha^2 \overline{p}_c \delta_c = -\frac{3}{2} \overline{A}^2 \delta_c$ =) $S_c = -\frac{2}{3\pi^2} \left[k^2 \overline{\Xi} + 3\overline{A} \left(\overline{\Xi} + \overline{A} \overline{\Xi} \right) \right]$ also: $\bar{\Xi} + 4 \bar{\Xi} = 4 \pi \ln^2 \bar{p}_c V_c^{(0)} / k = \frac{3}{2} H^2 V_c^{(0)} / k.$ =) V(0) = 子(重+科重) These can be combined to form a 2nd order DE for 7.

la particular.

$$\mathcal{A}^{2} = \alpha^{2} \mathcal{H}^{2} = \mathcal{I}_{m} \alpha^{-1} = \mathcal{J}_{m} (\mathcal{A}^{2}) = 2\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}) = -\mathcal{I}_{m} \alpha^{-2} \alpha$$

=) $\mathcal{A}^{2} = -\mathcal{I}_{m} \mathcal{A}^{2}$ =) $\mathcal{A}^{2} = -\mathcal{A}^{3}$
=) $\mathcal{A}^{2} = -\mathcal{I}_{m} \mathcal{A}^{2}$ =) $\mathcal{A}^{2} = -\mathcal{I}_{m} \mathcal{A}^{2}$

The full expression for
$$\textcircled{P}$$
 is in fact
 $\overset{\circ}{\equiv} t \propto \overset{\circ}{\equiv} = 0$, where $\alpha = \frac{38 + 7 \frac{13}{402}}{9 + 2 \frac{13}{402}} > 0$
Which has a generic solution of
 $\textcircled{P}(q) = C_1 + C_2 \int_{0}^{q} dq' e^{-\int_{0}^{q} dq'' \alpha(q'')} dq'' \alpha(q'')$
 $\bigcup_{0} O as q \to \infty$

Thus, he find $\overline{\Psi}(kcckoq, \gamma) = \text{constant in } \gamma$ $= \frac{q}{O} \overline{\Psi}_{p}(k) || \frac{from}{Superhorizon}$

 $\overline{\Phi}(k,q) \text{ is constant in time during MD, even as a knode transits from super- to subhorizon.}$ After a k mode becomes subhorizon (k>>24), the Einstein question becomes: $k^2 \overline{\Phi} \simeq -4\pi \ln \alpha^2 \overline{p}_c \delta_c = \text{constant in } Y$

=) During MD, CDM density perturbations grow like the scale factor. Optimal growth.

Horizon crossing during radiation domination...

What about a k mode that goes from superhorizon to subhorizon during radiation domination?



Horizon crossing during radiation domination...

During RD, radiation perturbations dominate.

• Consider first what happens to Φ in the limit $\overline{\rho}_c = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} k^{2}\Phi + 3\mathcal{H}(\dot{\Phi} + \mathcal{H}\Phi) &= -4\pi G a^{2} \bar{\rho}_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma} = -\frac{3}{2} \mathcal{H}^{2} \delta_{\gamma} \\ \dot{\Phi} + \mathcal{H}\Phi &= \frac{16\pi}{3} G a^{2} \bar{\rho}_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{(0)} / k = 2\mathcal{H}^{2} v_{\gamma}^{(0)} / k \\ \dot{\delta}_{\gamma} + \frac{4}{3} k v_{\gamma}^{(0)} - 4\dot{\Phi} = 0 \\ \dot{v}_{\gamma}^{(0)} - k \left[\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\gamma} + \Phi\right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$
Scalar Einstein
$$\dot{\Phi} + \frac{4}{\eta} \dot{\Phi} + \frac{k^{2}}{3} \Phi = 0$$

$$\dot{\Phi} + \frac{4}{\eta} \dot{\Phi} + \frac{k^{2}}{3} \Phi = 0$$

$$\dot{\Phi} + \frac{4}{\eta} \dot{\Phi} + \frac{k^{2}}{3} \Phi = 0$$

4-4 Horizon crossing during radiation domination

During radiction domination, radiction perturbations dominate the metric perturbations. Our strategy here, therefore, is to first solve for \equiv in the limit $\overline{p}_c=0$, and then see how Scresponds to \equiv as an external potential.

To solve for $\overline{\Phi}$, the relevant expressions are $k^{2}\overline{\Phi} + 3\overline{A}(\hat{\Phi} + \overline{\Phi}) = -\frac{3}{2}\overline{A}^{2}S_{r}$ (Einstein $\overline{\hat{\Phi}} + \overline{A}\overline{\Phi} = 2\overline{A}^{2}\overline{V_{8}}^{(0)}/k$) $\hat{S}_{8} + \frac{4}{3}k\overline{V_{8}}^{(0)} - 4\overline{\Phi} = 0$ (Boltzmann for $\hat{V}_{8}^{(0)} - k[\overline{4}S_{8} + \overline{\Phi}] = 0$) photons.

As with before, these can be combined into a 2nd order DE for D:

$$\overline{\overline{3}} + \frac{4}{2} \overline{\overline{9}} + \frac{k^2}{3} \overline{\overline{9}} = 0$$

Whole we have used

and cosmic time

$$ant 2 - 4^2 \parallel RD$$

 $ant 2 - 4^2 \parallel RD$
 $ant 2 - 2 \parallel RD$
 $4t = aH - a^{-1} \parallel RD$
 $= 3 = 4 = \frac{1}{2}$



As y > 0, n, (kt/13) -> -0> => unphysical => discard. Thus, we are left with

$$\overline{\Psi}(k) = 3 \overline{\Psi}_{p}(k) \left(\frac{\sin \chi - \chi \cos \chi}{\chi^{3}} \right)$$

$$W_{ave} = \frac{k \chi}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{k}{\sqrt{3}}$$

⇒ During RD, ∉ decays away as soon as the kmode enters the horizon because of radiation pressure. Note the oscillations = these are related to acoustic oscillations in the photons.

Horizon crossing during radiation domination...

Evolution of Φ across the horizion during RD:



$$\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_{\rm p}} = 3\left(\frac{\sin x - x\cos x}{x^3}\right)$$
$$x \equiv \frac{k\eta}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\frac{k}{\mathcal{H}}$$

- Φ decays as soon as the k mode enters the horizon because of radiation pressure.
- Oscillations due to acoustic oscillations in the photons.

CDM density perturbations during RD?

C

We can now feed the solution for Φ into the equations of motion for CDM:

$$\dot{\delta}_{c} + kv_{c}^{(0)} - 3\dot{\Phi} = 0$$

$$\dot{v}_{c}^{(0)} + \mathcal{H}v_{c}^{(0)} - k\Phi = 0$$
CDM
Radiation pressure
hinders growth in the
radiation density
fluctuations, so the
(subdominant) matter
density fluctuations
can't grow fast either.
$$\dot{\delta}_{c} - \Phi_{p} \ln(k\eta) \propto \Phi_{p} \ln(ka) \text{ during RD}$$

$$\dot{\delta}_{c} - a \text{ during MD}$$

Now we can feed
$$\overline{\pm} (leg) = \overline{\pm}(leg)$$
 into the equations
of motion for CDM as an external source:
 $\dot{S}_{c} + lev_{c}^{(0)} - S\overline{\pm} = 0$ ($\ddot{S}_{c} + \frac{1}{4}\dot{S}_{c} = k^{2}S(leg)\underline{\pm}p$)
 $\dot{T}_{c}^{(0)} + \vec{t}(v_{c}^{(0)} - le\underline{\pm} = 0)$ ($\dot{S}_{c} + \frac{1}{4}\dot{S}_{c} = k^{2}S(leg)\underline{\pm}p$)
 $\dot{T}_{c} + \vec{t}(v_{c}^{(0)} - le\underline{\pm} = 0)$ ($\dot{S}_{c} + \frac{1}{4}\dot{S}_{c} = k^{2}Jz^{2} + \frac{3}{4}d\overline{\pm} - \overline{\pm}$
In fact, we can even write (\mathbf{E}) as $\underline{\pm} = \overline{\pm}p$
 $\frac{d^{2}S_{c}}{dx^{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{dS_{c}}{dx} = S(x)\overline{\pm}p$
Which has the homogeneous solutions
 $\delta_{c_{1}}(x) = C_{c}(k)$ ($(C = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) from superhaized
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = C_{c}(k)$ ($(C = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) how superhaized
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = C_{c}(k) \ln x$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = C_{c}(k) \ln x$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = C_{c}(k) \ln x$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = C_{c}(k) \ln x$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = C_{c}(k) \ln x$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = C_{c}(k) \ln x$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = C_{c}(k) \ln x$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = C_{c}(k) \ln x$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = C_{c}(k) \ln x$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = C_{c}(k) \ln x$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$
 $\delta_{c_{2}}(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\pm}p)$) $\dot{T}_{solution}$ ($(E = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{$

Since I drops to jevo at x=ky>>1, we have: $\int_{0}^{x} dx' x' \ln x' S(x') = \int_{0}^{x} dx' x' \ln x' S(x')$ = constant at x>>1 $\int_{0}^{x} dx' x' S(x') = \int_{0}^{1} dx' x' S(x')$ = constant at x>>1 Thus, (A) has the form: J_c(x) = -3= ₱p - (,₱p + ₱p (z ln x || x>>) ~ (Aplax = GzZp. Ru(ky) Since y 2 a during RD. = Cztp ln (ka) Thus, in constrast Lith Scaa during MD, Sc (kys)) ~ ln (ka) Ep is logarithmically slow. The physical reason is that vadicition pressure makes the dumping of matter highly meffective.

Subhorizon evolution...

Trajectory of a k mode: superhorizon \rightarrow horizon crossing \rightarrow subhorizon

• Crucial point: When? During RD of MD?



4.5 Subhonzon evolution

Puring radiation domination and after horizon crossing:

$$\begin{split} & \int_{C} (k, \gamma) \sim \ln(k, \gamma) = \ln(k, \alpha) \\ & \int_{S} (k, \gamma) \sim \text{ os } ci \, \mu(\alpha + \gamma) \\ =) \text{ at some later time, } \overline{p} \mathcal{S}_{c} \, \text{ will entgrow } \overline{p}_{S} \mathcal{S}_{s} \, , \text{ even} \\ \text{in RD. Therefore, we ignore } \mathcal{S}_{S} \, \text{ in Einstein's equation:} \\ & k^{2} \overline{\mathcal{D}} = -4\pi \, \int_{C} c^{2} \overline{p} \, \mathcal{S}_{c} \, t \, \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}}^{2} \frac{\overline{p}_{c}}{\overline{p}_{c}} \, \mathcal{S}_{c} \\ & = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{2}}{\overline{p}_{c}} \frac{\overline{p}_{c}}{\overline{p}_{c}} \, \mathcal{S}_{c} \\ & = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{2}}{\overline{p}_{c}} \frac{\overline{p}_{c}}{\overline{p}_{c}} \, \mathcal{S}_{c} \\ & = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{2}}{\overline{p}_{c}} \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{\overline{p}_{c}} \int_{C} \left| \left| \mathcal{Y} = \frac{\overline{p}_{c}}{\overline{p}_{S}} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{eq}} \right| \\ \end{array}$$

The CDM equations are again

$$\hat{J}_c + k v_c^{(o)} - 3\bar{\Phi} = 0$$

 $\hat{v}_c^{(o)} + \sigma (v_c^{(o)} - k\bar{\Phi} = 0)$

Then, combining them all yields 2nd order DE for Sc:

$$\frac{d^{2}J_{c}}{dy^{2}} + \frac{2+3y}{2y(y+1)} \frac{dS_{c}}{dy} - \frac{3}{2y(y+1)} S_{c} = 0$$
Linch has the formal solution

$$\begin{split} & J_{c}(h,y) = C_{r}(h) G_{r}(y) + C_{2}(h) D_{r}(y) \\ & hith G_{r}(y) = y + \frac{2}{3} \quad growth \\ & D_{r}(y) = (y + \frac{2}{3}) l_{n} \left(\frac{J_{1}+y^{-1}}{\sqrt{1+y^{-1}}}\right) - 2\sqrt{1+y^{-1}} decay. \end{split}$$

The k-dependent coefficients come from metching Lith the horizon crossing solutions. But if we pick a scale that enters during RD and consider what it should look like during MD, then we need only the grading solution.

$$h(y) \geq y = \frac{q}{q_{eq}}$$

and

d Sc(k>>keq, y>> yeq) ~ C(luk) a €p(k) from Ro honzon crossins.

The equivalent
$$\overline{\oplus}$$
 would be:
 $\overline{\oplus}(k\gg k_{eq}, \gamma\gg \gamma_{eq}) \simeq -4\pi C_{la}^{2} \overline{\rho}_{c} \delta_{c}$
 $\sim -\frac{1}{k^{2}} \sigma^{-1} C(luk) \sigma^{-1} \overline{\oplus} \rho(k)$
 $\frac{C(luk)}{k^{2}} \overline{\oplus} \rho(k)$
 $\frac{1}{k^{2}} \overline{\oplus} \rho(k$

Putting it all together...



Transfer function at late times...



• CDM-type cosmologies generically all have transfer functions of this shape.

Transfer function at late times...

Matter density at late times



• CDM-type cosmologies generically all have transfer functions of this shape.

What if we include baryons...

At early times, baryons and photons form a tightly-coupled fluid.
→ Like photons, baryon density perturbations oscillate around 0.

 Replacing some of the CDM with baryons effectively suppresses the gravitational potential on subhorizon scales:
 Fraction of matter in baryons

$$k^{2}\Phi = -4\pi G a^{2} (\bar{\rho}_{c}\delta_{c} + \bar{\rho}_{b}\delta_{b}) \simeq -4\pi G a^{2} \bar{\rho}_{m} (1 - f_{b})\delta_{c} \qquad f_{b} \equiv \frac{\Omega_{b}}{\Omega_{m}}$$

Total matter density

What if we include baryons...



 η_b is the time at which baryons decouple from photons (later than photon decoupling from baryons); after decoupling baryons become like CDM.

Linear matter power spectrum...

Definition:

$$\left\langle \delta_m(\vec{k},a)\delta_m(\vec{k}',a)\right\rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)} \,(\vec{k}+\vec{k}')P_m(k,a)$$



Linear matter power spectrum... $P_m(k,a) \sim T^2(k,a)k^{n_s}$



Linear matter power spectrum...



• Power spectrum suppression due to f_b .

 Wiggles = baryon acoustic oscillations (same physics as the CMB anisotropies)



Linear matter power spectrum... Assuming a radiation content of photons + 3 SM massless neutrinos

$$k_{\rm eq} \equiv \mathcal{H}(a_{\rm eq}) \simeq 0.073 \ \Omega_m h^2 \ {\rm Mpc}^{-1}$$

- Shift of the turning power due to different $\Omega_m h$ values.
- Normalisation has been adjusted for better comparison.

Linear matter power spectrum...



 With Ω_mh and f_b fixed, changing h does not alter the shape of the power spectrum besides a rescaling of the length scale (already absorbed into the units of k).