# 2. Hot universe...







## The hot universe…

#### The early universe was a **very hot and dense place** .

- Particle interactions (e.g., scattering) can happen very frequently.
- What interactions are available depends on the particle physics theory.
- But if an interaction rate (per particle) far exceeds the Hubble expansion rate,

#### $\Gamma_{\rm int} \gg H$

the interaction can be taken to be in a **state of equilibrium** .



#### Classic example: weak interaction…

Say you have a gas of ultra-relativistic particles with **temperature** T.

• The Weak interaction rate per particle is estimated to be



• The Hubble expansion rate is



$$
G_F \sim 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}
$$
  
\n
$$
m_{\text{pl}} \sim 10^{19} \text{ GeV}
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{\Gamma_{\text{int}}}{H} \sim m_{\text{planck}} G_F^2 T^3 \sim \left(\frac{T}{1 \text{ MeV}}\right)^3
$$
  
\nWeak interactions are in  
\nequilibrium at  $T \gg 1 \text{ MeV}$ .



#### Equilibrium thermodynamics…

In the ideal gas limit, when an interaction is in equilibrium, all participating particles have phase space distributions described by one of the equilibrium forms:

$f(p)$ = Phase space	$f_{eq}(p)$	$\frac{1}{\exp[(E(p) - \mu)/T] \pm 1}$	$\frac{1}{\exp[(E(p) - \mu)/T] \pm 1}$
$\mu$ = Chemical potential	$T$	$T$	$T$

- All particles participating in that interaction have the same temperature  $T$ .
- Their chemical potentials satisfy  $\sum_{initial} \mu_i = \sum_{final} \mu_f$ .

#### Equilibrium thermodynamics…

The chemical potentials of all particles participating in an interaction in equilibrium satisfy:

$$
\sum_{\text{initial}} \mu_i = \sum_{\text{final}} \mu_f
$$

- Trivial condition for **elastic scattering**, e.g.,  $i + j \rightarrow i + j$
- For **inelastic processes**, e.g,  $i + j \rightarrow k + l$ , you can also call it a chemical equilibrium. Antiparticle Particle
- If a chain of interactions like  $\overline{\phi}\phi \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow \gamma\gamma$  is all in equilibrium, then

$$
\mu_{\phi} + \mu_{\overline{\phi}} = \dots = 2\mu_{\gamma} = 0 \qquad \mu_{\overline{\phi}} = -\mu_{\phi}
$$

Because the number of photons in the universe at  $z \geq 10^6$  is not conserved.

#### Equilibrium thermodynamics...

When  $\mu_{\overline{\phi}} = -\mu_{\phi}$  is satisfied, the **particle-antiparticle asymmetry** is related to the chemical potential via:

$$
n_{\phi} - n_{\overline{\phi}} \propto \int d^3 p \left[ f_{\phi}(p) - f_{\overline{\phi}}(p) \right]
$$

 $\mathbf{H}$ 

Particle number density antiparticle number density

$$
\propto \frac{\mu_{\phi}}{T} \qquad \qquad \text{for } \frac{\mu_{\phi}}{T} \ll 1
$$

• In standard cosmology (i.e., standard model of particle physics in FLRW universe), any such asymmetry should be of the same order of magnitude as the matter-antimatter asymmetry  $\sim$  10<sup>-10</sup>.

 $\rightarrow$  Thus, unless your goal is to compute the particle-antiparticle asymmetry, it suffices for most applications to set  $\mu = 0$ .

#### Equilibrium thermodynamics…

Given its phase space distribution  $f(p)$ , it is straightforward to find a particle species' bulk properties:

Number density:	\n $n_{\alpha} = \frac{g_{\alpha}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int d^{3} p f_{\alpha}(\vec{p})$ \n	\n $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \frac{g_{\alpha}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int d^{3} p f_{\alpha}(\vec{p})$ \n	\n $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \frac{g_{\alpha}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int d^{3} p f_{\alpha}(\vec{p})$ \n	\n $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \frac{g_{\alpha}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int d^{3} p f_{\alpha}(\vec{p})$ \n	\n $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \frac{g_{\alpha}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int d^{3} p f_{\alpha}(\vec{p})$ \n	\n $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \frac{g_{\alpha}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int d^{3} p f_{\alpha}(\vec{p})$ \n	\n $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \int d^{3} p f_{\alpha}(\vec{p})$ \n	\n $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \int d^{3} p f_{\alpha}(\vec{p})$ \n	\n $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{3} \frac{\pi^{2}}{30} g_{*}(T_{\gamma}) T_{\gamma}^{4}$ \n	\n $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \sum_{\beta} p_{\alpha} \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{3} \frac{\pi^{2}}{30} g_{*}(T_{\gamma}) T_{\gamma}^{4}$ \n	\n $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \sum_{\beta} p_{\alpha} \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{3} \frac{\pi^{2}}{30} \sum_{\beta} p_{*}(T_{\gamma}) T_{\gamma}^{4}$ \n	\n $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \frac{8\pi G}{3$
-----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	--	---	--	---



#### $g_*$  of the standard model of particle physics:

#### Comoving entropy density & conservation...

Where expansion is quasi-static so that equilibrium is maintained, the comoving entropy density s is approximately conserved.



# Comoving entropy and evolution of  $T_{\gamma}...$

As for the energy density, we can define the **entropy degrees of**  freedom,  $g_{*s}$ , via

$$
s_{\text{total}} = a^3 \sum_{i} \frac{\rho_i + P_i}{T_i} \equiv a^3 \frac{2\pi^2}{45} g_{*s}(T_\gamma) T_\gamma^3
$$

 $g_{*s}$  is a temperature-dependent function, dominated by relativistic species, specific to a particle physics theory. In general,  $g_{*s} \neq g_{*}$ , except when  $T_i = T_{\nu}$  for all particle species i.

• Comoving entropy conservation,  $s_{total} = const.$ , implies

$$
a^3 g_{*s}(T_\gamma) T_\gamma^3 = \text{const.}
$$
 
$$
T_\gamma \propto g_{*s}^{-1/3} a^{-1}
$$

#### $v$ -to- $\gamma$  temperature ratio from entropy...



## Out of equilibrium…

An interaction goes **out of equilibrium** when the interaction rate per particle drops significantly below the expansion rate with time, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{F} \to \Gamma_{\text{int}} \ll H \leftarrow \text{Hubble expansion rate}
$$

Interaction rate per particle

• When this happens, the interaction can no longer change the energy density and/or the number density of the participating particles.

## Out of equilibrium…

You may also have heard of these terms:

- **Decoupling**: a particle species decouples when all its interactions with other particle species are out of equilibrium.
- **Freeze-out**: when all number-changing interactions of a particle species (e.g., annihilation/pair production) go out of equilibrium.

## Out of equilibrium…

In some BSM models, an interaction may never even reach equilibrium, i.e.,  $\Gamma_{int} \gg H$  is never satisfied.

• **Freeze-in** of a particle species is when there are just sufficient interactions to make the particles in some abundance, but the interaction does not reach equilibrium rates.

### Tracking out-of-equilibrium processes...

The effect of an out-of-equilibrium interaction on particle species 1 can be tracked using the **Boltzmann equation**:

$$
P^{\alpha} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^{\alpha}} - \Gamma^i_{\alpha\beta} P^{\alpha} P^{\beta} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial P^i} = C[f_1] \quad \text{(Lorentz-invariant)};
$$
\nGravity goes in here;

\n"long range" interactions

•  $f_1(x^{\alpha}, P^i)$  is the 1-particle phase density defined such that the number of particles 1 in a phase space volume  $dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 dP_1 dP_2 dP_3$  is

 $dN = f_1(x^{\alpha}, P^1)dx^1dx^2dx^3dP_1dP_2dP_3$ 

Collisian torm

#### Tracking out-of-equilibrium processes…

The effect of an out-of-equilibrium interaction on particle species 1 can be tracked using the **Boltzmann equation**:

$$
P^{\alpha} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^{\alpha}} - \Gamma^i_{\alpha\beta} P^{\alpha} P^{\beta} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial P^i} = C[f_1] \qquad \text{(Lorentz-invariant)}\n\text{Gravity goes in here;} \qquad \text{"short range" interactions} \qquad \text{"short range"}\n\tag{Lorentz-invariant}
$$

• In a homogeneous and isotropic FLRW universe, gravity causes only expansion.

$$
f_1(x^{\alpha}, P^i) \rightarrow f_1(t, p)
$$
  
\nPhysical momentum measured  
\nby a comoving observer  
\n
$$
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} - Hp \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial p} = \frac{1}{E_1} C[f_1]
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dp}{dt} = Hp
$$
\ni.e., redshifting momentum

Collision term

#### Tracking out-of-equilibrium processes...

The effect of an out-of-equilibrium interaction on particle species 1 can be tracked using the **Boltzmann equation**:

$$
P^{\alpha} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^{\alpha}} - \Gamma^i_{\alpha \beta} P^{\alpha} P^{\beta} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial P^i} = C[f_1] \star
$$

**Collision term** (Lorentz-invariant); "short range" interactions

• The collision term for e.g.,  $1 + 2 \rightarrow 3 + 4$ Energy-momentum **Matrix** 9D phase space integral  $C[f_1] = \frac{1}{2} \int \prod_{i=2}^4 \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3 2E_i} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P_1 + P_2 - P_3 - P_4) |M|^2$ conservation element  $\times [f_3f_4(1+f_1)(1+f_2)-f_1f_2(1+f_3)(1+f_4)]$  Quantum statistical factors

# How phase space density evolves when C[f]=0.

When there are **no collisions**, then the only thing about a particle that can change is its physical momentum due to redshift.

$$
p \propto a^{-1}
$$

• It is thus useful to define a non-redshifting momentum that is constant in time.

$$
q \equiv ap
$$

• Then,  $f_1(t, p) \rightarrow f_1(t, q)$ , and Boltzmann equation becomes:

$$
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} = 0
$$

The phase space density  $f_1(t, q)$ remains constant in time when there are no collisions.

# Full-momentum or not?

Depending on the problem at hand, you may or may not need to solve the **full momentum-dependent** Boltzmann equation in its full glory.

- Problems that require it include:
	- Precision neutrino decoupling/ $N_{\text{eff}}$  (density matrix)
	- Light sterile neutrino thermalisation (density matrix)
	- Freeze-in.
- Problems for which it is perhaps not really necessary include:
	- WIMP freeze-out
	- Recombination and photon decoupling
	- Standard thermal leptogenesis

• …

#### Momentum-integrated Boltzmann equation...

Where full-momentum dependence is unnecessary, we can integrate the Boltzmann equation in momentum to form an equation of motion for the number density:

$$
\frac{g_1}{2\pi)^3} \int d^3p \left[\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} - Hp \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial p}\right] = \frac{g_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3p \frac{1}{E_1} C[f_1]
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{d n_1}{dt} + 3Hn_1 = \frac{g_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3p \frac{1}{E_1} C[f_1]
$$

## Simplifications…

We can often make several simplifying assumptions to make the collision integral easier to compute.

• Ignore quantum statistics factors and assume Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics, i.e., assume the equilibrium distribution takes the form:

$$
f_{\text{eq}} = e^{-\frac{E_i}{T}}
$$

- Assume a common temperature T for the participating species (justified by elastic scattering processes being in equilibrium).
- Assume a phase space density of the form:

$$
f_i = \frac{n_i}{n_i^{eq}} e^{-\frac{E_i}{T}}
$$

#### Simplifications...

#### Here's the simplified collision integral:

$$
\frac{g_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 p \frac{1}{E_1} C[f_1] = -n_1^{\text{eq}} n_2^{\text{eq}} \langle \sigma v \rangle \left[ \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1^{\text{eq}} n_2^{\text{eq}}} - \frac{n_3 n_4}{n_4^{\text{eq}} n_4^{\text{eq}}} \right]
$$
  
Thermally-averaged cross section  

$$
\langle \sigma v \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{n_1^{\text{eq}} n_2^{\text{eq}}} \int \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3 2E_i} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P_1 + P_2 - P_3 - P_4) |M|^2 e^{\frac{-E_1 - E_2}{T}}
$$

- Its advantage is that the thermally-averaged cross section is now just a function of temperature, rather than a function time.
- It can be pre-computed.

## Application 1: WIMP freeze-out…

WIMP = **weakly-interacting massive particle**, a generic cold dark matter candidate.

- Generic production process:  $X\overline{X} \to \psi \overline{\psi}$ Standard model WIMP particles
- Standard model particles X can be assumed to be in thermal equilibrium.
- Some equilibirum elastic scattering, e.g.,  $\psi X \to \psi X$ , is also likely present.
- The **integrated Boltzmann equation**:

$$
\frac{dn_{\rm DM}}{dt} + 3Hn_{\rm DM} = -\langle \sigma v \rangle (n_{\rm DM}^2 - n_{\rm eq}^2)
$$

#### Application 1: WIMP freeze-out...



Solution of the integrated Boltzmann equation for the comoving WIMP number density:

$$
N_{\rm DM} \equiv a^3 n_{\rm DM}
$$

• For 10 GeV to 1 TeV mass WIMPs, the solution translates roughly to:

$$
\Omega_{\rm DM} \sim 0.2 \left(\frac{0.7}{h}\right)^2 \left(\frac{3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}}{\langle \sigma v \rangle}\right)
$$

#### Application 2: Recombination…

At  $T > O(1)$  eV, **Compton scattering**  $\gamma e^- \rightarrow \gamma e^-$  keeps photons and free electrons (i.e., not in an atom) in equilibrium.

 $\rightarrow$  At these times, the universe is opaque to photons.

But the free electron density  $n_e$  is governed by:

Recombination

\n
$$
e + p \leftrightarrow H + \gamma
$$
\nNeutral hydrogen

 $dn_e$  $\frac{dn_e}{dt} + 3Hn_e = -n_e^{\text{eq}}n_p^{\text{eq}}$ (συ  $n_e n_p$  $\overline{n_e^{\rm eq} n_p^{\rm e}}$  $\frac{p}{\text{eq}} - \frac{n_H}{\text{sec}}$ 

 $\left[{{n_{H}^{\mathrm{eq}}}}\right]$  Boltzmann equation for  $n_{e}$ 

• When  $n_e$  is so low that the photon scattering rate drops below the Hubble rate, the universe becomes transparent to photons  $\rightarrow$  Cosmic microwave background

Take-home message…

In the early universe, an interaction is:

• **In equilibrium** when the interaction rate per particle far exceeds the expansion rate:

#### $\Gamma_{\rm int} \gg H$

• **Totally out of equilibrium** when the opposite condition ensues:

#### $\Gamma_{\rm int} \ll H$

• We use the **Boltzmann equation** to determine how interactions that may not be in equilibrium at all times affect the abundances (i.e., number densities) of the participating particle species.

# 3. Inflationary universe...





#### Motivation…

Standard hot big bang (what we've discussed so far) is consistent with observational data.

- Nonetheless, three (arguably philosophical) puzzles motivate the introduction of inflation in cosmology:
	- The **horizon** problem
	- The **flatness** problem
	- The **relic** problem

## Motivation 1: the horizon problem…



#### Why is the CMB **so uniform** even though it is made up of many causally disconnected patches?



#### Motivation 2: the flatness problem…

The universe appears to have a flat spatial geometry today;  $|\Omega_K|$  < 0.01 from observations.

• But in order to appear flat today, the amount of fine-tuning required at Planck time (i.e., at  $T \sim M_{\text{planck}}$ ;  $t \sim 10^{-44}$  s) is one part in  $10^{60}$ .

**→ How did that happen**?



One part in 10<sup>24</sup> fine-tuning already at 1 ns post BB....

### Motivation 3: the relic problem…

Sometimes called the **monopole problem**: many BSM theories (GUTs in particular) predict topological defects from symmetry breaking (monopoles, strings, domain walls, etc.)





#### **"Hole"**

The minimum energy state (the rim of the Mexican hat) has a "hole" when you go around  $0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ : a string

- We generally expect one such defect per causally-connected region at the time of symmetry breaking  $\rightarrow$  must be **many of such defects** in the visible universe today.
- The problem: Why haven't we seen these defects?

## Inflation is the solution…

Introduce a phase of accelerated expansion before radiation domination.



### Inflation is the solution…

- The **flatness problem** is solved because exponential expansion stretches the radius of curvature of any initial non -flat geometry, so that locally space looks flat.
- The **relic problem** is solved, because the exponential expansion of space dilutes the abundance of defects, provided inflation occurs after their production



• The **horizon problem** is solved because the horizon can be made arbitrarily large, so that the part of the last scattering we observer was in some distant past in causal contact.



# Implementing inflation using a scalar field…

**How do you implement inflation?** We can't use vacuum energy, because once  $\Lambda$  dominates, you cannot ever get back to radiation/matter domination.

- Whatever drive inflation must be dynamical: a **scalar field** works.
	- A spatially homogeneous real scalar field  $\phi$  has energy density and pressure given by:

$$
\rho_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right)^2 + V(\phi) \qquad P_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - V(\phi) \qquad \text{From} \\ \eta_{\psi} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial (\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L})}{\partial g^{\mu \nu}}
$$

• If the potential exceeds the kinetic term, i.e.,  $V(\phi) \gg (\partial \phi/\partial t)^2$ , then



The scalar field will drive a phase of **exponential expansion** if its energy density dominates over everything else.

#### The basic picture of slow-roll inflation…



#### After inflation: reheating…

Not well-understood, but the idea is to have the scalar field decay and convert its energy into relativistic (standard model) particles.



Take-home message…

- Inflation solves a number of problems by postulating a phase of **exponential expansion** before radiation domination.
- The simplest way to implement this idea is to use a scalar field "slowly rolling" down its potential.
	- Inflation ends when the scalar field reaches the bottom of the potential well and starts to oscillate.
	- When all of the energy in the scalar field has been converted into relativistic (standard model) particles somehow (via the process of reheating), radiation domination can begin.