Hadrons in the medium -

how properties of mesons are modified in nuclear matter

Volker Metag, Mariana Nanova II. Physikalisches Institut JUSTUS-LIEBIG-



- theoretical predictions of in-medium modifications of meson properties
- <u>experimental approaches</u>: for short lived mesons: line shape analysis for longer-lived mesons: measurement of excitation functions, momentum distributions, transparency ratios
- summary of in-medium modifications reported by many groups worldwide
- outlook on search for meson-nucleus bound states



60th International Winter Meeting on Nuclear Physics 22.-26.01.2024, Bormio, Italy



hadrons in the medium

V. Bernard and U.-G. Meißner, NPA 489 (1988) 647
S. Klimt, M.Lutz and W.Weise, PLB 249 (1990) 386
G. E Brown and M. Rho, PRL 66 (1991)2720
T. Hatsuda and S. Lee, PRC 46 (1992) R34
T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rep. 247 (1994) 221
S. Leupold and U. Mosel, PRC 58 (1998) 2939



what happens when you embed a composite particle with a dynamically generated mass into a strongly interacting medium ??

test case for non-perturbative QCD

nucleon mass generated dynamically

 $\sum m_q \approx 10 \text{ MeV} \quad m_p = 938.3 \text{ MeV}$

 $m_p = E/c^2$ determined by kinetic energy of quarks and energy of the gluon fields

> change of condensates due to partial restoration of chiral symmetry S. Klimt et al., PLB 249 (1990) 386

chiral condensate linked to hadron masses (spectral functions) via QCD sum rules

the spectral function of mesons

light mesons: m >> $\Sigma_q m_q$, mass generated dynamically mesons are unstable particles \rightarrow additional property: width Γ

spectral function:



model calculations predict modification of meson properties:

NJL, QCD sum rules, chiral unitary, QMC, RMF, unitary coupled channel, chiral SU(3),...

predictions for in-medium changes



predictions for in-medium spectral functions

in the nuclear medium mesons have additional "decay"- options they can be removed by inelastic reactions, e.g. $\omega N \rightarrow \pi N$ \rightarrow shorter lifetime \rightarrow larger in-medium width



from theoretical predictions to experimental observables

calculations of meson spectral functions assume:

- infinitely extended nuclear matter in equilibrium at ρ ,T = const.;
- meson at rest in nuclear medium

transport calculations (GiBUU, HSD, JAM, SMASH, UrQMD, ...) are needed for comparison with experiment !!!

theoretical predictions



experimental observables

- initial state effects: absorption of incoming beam particles
- non equilibrium effects: varying density and temperature
- absorption and regeneration of mesons in the nuclear medium
- fraction of decays inside of the nuclear environment
- final state interactions: distortion of momenta of decay products

measurement of mass and width of mesons



measure 4-momenta of decay products and reconstruct invariant mass distribution:

 $m_{1,2} = \sqrt{(p_1 + p_2)^2}$

• two conditions for the experimental observation of in-medium changes of mass and width:

I.) meson has to decay in the medium

 \rightarrow very short-lived, very low recoil momentum: p/m• τ < R_{nucleus}

2.) no final state strong interaction (FSI) of meson decay products in the medium \rightarrow dileptons (e+e-, μ + μ -)

only one meson ideally suited: $\rho (\tau \approx 1.3 \text{ fm/c}) \rightarrow e^+e^- (\mu^+\mu^-) \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$ short decay path no strong FSI

drawback and experimental challenge: small branching ratio $\rho \rightarrow e^+e^- \approx 5^{\bullet}10^{-5}$

the in-medium spectral function of the p meson



at $\rho \leq \rho_{0;}T = 0$

M.H.Wood et al., PRC 78 (2008) 015201

$$\gamma$$
 + Fe,Ti $\rightarrow \rho$ + X E_Y= 0.6 - 3.8 GeV
 $\downarrow \rightarrow e^+e^-$

e⁺e⁻ invariant mass spectrum showing ρ , ω , Φ peaks after subtraction of combinatorial background

after removing contributions from ω and Φ mesons Fe,Ti: M_p = (779±5.7) MeV; Γ = (217.7±14.5) MeV ²H: M_p = (770±3.2) MeV; Γ = (185.2±8.6) MeV

→ no mass shift but broadening !!

"golden" experiment, but no acceptance for $q_{e+e-} < 800$ MeV/c

however conflicting result: KEK325: M. Naruki et al., PRL (2006) 092301 problem with subtracting combinatorial background ?

the in-medium spectral function of the p meson



the in-medium spectral function of the p meson

HADES: J.Adamczewski-Musch et al., Nature Physics 15 (2019) 1040

Au + Au @ √s_{NN} = 2.42 GeV



at $\rho \approx (2-3) \rho_0$; KT = (71±2.1) MeV

virtual radiation from the fire ball after subtracting decays of long-lived mesons (π^0,η,ω,Φ)

calculations assuming a "free" ρ spectral function or collisional broadening overpredict data in the ρ-region

strong ρ broadening in the medium !!

ρ-meson dissolves by hadronic many-body effects

in-medium properties of the p meson

line shape analysis at nuclear density of the decay point

several independent experiment using different reactions show

- no mass shift
- broadening
- melting at high nuclear densities and temperatures

What about the in-medium properties of the many other mesons with longer lifetimes ?

problems in line shape analysis for longer-lived mesons



effects limiting the sensitivity:

- I.) only fraction of decays occur within the nucleus \rightarrow two-peak structure
- 2.) good mass resolution needed to separate the two peaks
- 3.) in-medium decays occur over wide range of nuclear densities (nuclear density profile) $\Delta m = \Delta m(\rho)$
- 4.) additional complication: hadronic decay products, e.g. $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ <u>distortion</u> of momenta and mass by final state interactions <u>absorption</u> of decay products \rightarrow reduced signal

 $\tau = 22 \text{ fm/c}; \text{ decay length s} = \gamma \cdot \beta \tau = \frac{P}{m} \cdot \tau$ for ω : s $\approx 22 \text{ fm} >> R_A \approx 3-6 \text{ fm}$



ω line shape in $ω \rightarrow π^0 γ$



studying invariant masses of long-lived mesons at the decay point has only limited sensitivity to in-medium effects !!

excitation function





attractive interaction → mass drop
 → lower threshold → larger phase space
 → larger cross section





- → lower threshold → larger phase space
 → larger cross section
 repulsive interaction → mass increase
 → higher threshold → smaller phase space
 - → smaller cross section



momentum distribution



Pm

attractive interaction → mass drop
 → lower threshold → larger phase space
 → larger cross section
 repulsive interaction → mass increase
 → higher threshold → smaller phase space

→smaller cross section



excitation function

momentum distribution



Pm

attractive interaction \rightarrow mass drop

→ lower threshold → larger phase space
→ larger cross section

repulsive interaction → mass increase
 → higher threshold → smaller phase space
 → smaller cross section

attractive interaction

- → meson slowed down
- \rightarrow shift to lower momenta



momentum distribution



Pm

attractive interaction → mass drop
 → lower threshold → larger phase space
 → larger cross section

repulsive interaction \rightarrow mass increase \rightarrow higher threshold \rightarrow smaller phase space \rightarrow smaller cross section \rightarrow shift to lower momenta repulsive interaction \rightarrow extra kick

attractive interaction

 \rightarrow meson slowed down

 \rightarrow shift to higher momenta



momentum distribution



Pm

attractive interaction \rightarrow mass drop

- \rightarrow lower threshold \rightarrow larger phase space
 - → larger cross section

repulsive interaction \rightarrow mass increase \rightarrow higher threshold \rightarrow smaller phase space \rightarrow smaller cross section attractive interaction

- → meson slowed down
- → shift to lower momenta

repulsive interaction \rightarrow extra kick \rightarrow shift to higher momenta

quantitative analysis requires comparison to transport- or collision models \rightarrow model dependence !!!!

meson-nucleus potential

H. Nagahiro, S. Hirenzaki, PRL 94 (2005) 232503 U(r) = V(r) + i W(r)attractive ? absorption repulsive ? $W(r) = -\Gamma_0/2 \cdot \rho(r)/\rho_0$ $V(r) = \Delta m(\rho_0) \cdot \rho(r) / \rho_0$ = $-1/2 \cdot \hbar c \cdot \rho(r) \cdot \sigma_{inel} \cdot \beta$

- excitation function
- momentum distribution

transparency ratio measurement
 D. Cabrera et al., NPA733 (2004)130

- 1

determining the real part and imaginary part of the ω -nucleus potential from measurement of excitation function and transparency ratio

τ ≈ 22 fm/c

A2@MAMI

real part from excitation function

V. Metag et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 67 (2012) 530



including information from momentum distributions (S. Friedrich et al. PLB 736 (2014) 26) $V_{\omega A}(\rho = \rho_0) = -(29 \pm 19(\text{stat}) \pm 20(\text{syst})) \text{ MeV}$

M. Kotulla et al., PRL 100 (2008) 192302 PRL 114 (2015) 199903 Giessen Model 0.9 data 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 $\Gamma = 60 \text{ MeV}$ 0.4 = 105 MeV= 149 MeV $\Gamma = 193 \text{ MeV}$ 0.3 = 236 MeV 10 10 $\Gamma(\rho = \rho_0) \approx 120 \text{ MeV}; W_{\omega A} \approx 60 \text{ MeV}$ including data from S. Friedrich (EPJA 52 (2016)297) $W_{\omega A}(\rho = \rho_0) = -(48 \pm 12(\text{stat}) \pm 9(\text{syst})) \text{ MeV}$ 22

<u>imaginary part</u> from transparency ratio

 $T_{A} = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \to \omega X}}{A \cdot \sigma_{\gamma N \to \omega X}}$

determining the real part and imaginary part of the η '-nucleus potential from measurement of excitation function and transparency ratio

∩' τ ≈ 1000 fm/c

CBELSA/TAPS @ ELSA

calc.: E. Paryev, J. Phys. G 40 (2013) 025201 data: M. Nanova et al.. PRC 94 (2016) 025205





the meson-nucleus potential $U(\rho_0) = V(\rho_0) + i W(\rho_0)$



 η promising candidate for mesic state: $|W_0| \approx 13 \text{ MeV} \ll |V_0| \approx 40 \text{ MeV}$

search for η' - nucleus bound states in ${}^{12}C(p,d)\eta'X$

recoilless η production in ${}^{12}C(p,d)$ reaction



only upper limit from experiment at Spring8 looking for the $\eta' p \rightarrow \eta p$ decay Tomida et al., PRL PRL 124 (2020) 202501: $\gamma + {}^{12}C \rightarrow p_f + \eta' \otimes {}^{11}B$

observation of K-pp clusters



meson-nucleon interactions from particle correlations

$$p + p$$
 collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV (ALICE)

Emma Chizalli (TUM)



correlation function: deviation from constant indicates repulsion or attraction

meson-nucleon interactions from particle correlations

p + p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV (ALICE)

 $p - \Phi$ interaction



attractive $p - \Phi$ interaction

indication of a bound p- Φ state in spin 1/2 channel with binding energy BE \approx -12 to -56 MeV

summary and conclusions

- mesons do change their properties in interactions with the nuclear medium !!
- at $\rho = \rho_0$ the effects are much smaller than theoretically predicted
- mesons masses are lowered for attractive and increased for repulsive meson-nucleus interactions: $\Delta m_{\rho} \approx 0$; $m_{K^+,K0}$, $m_{\pi^+,M_{\gamma}}$, $m_{\eta^+,M_{\omega}}$, m_{Φ}
- in-medium lifetimes are shortened by inelastic hadronic interactions within the nucleus → in-medium broadening of all mesons
- <u>experimental approaches:</u>
 - <u>for short-lived mesons; e.g.</u> ρ- meson
 <u>line shape analysis (sensitive to nuclear density at decay point)</u>
 - for <u>longer-lived</u> mesons: real and imaginary part of meson-nucleus potentials from excitation functions, momentum distributions and transparency ratios (sensitive to <u>nuclear density at production point</u>; <u>model dependent !</u>)
- outlook:
 - model independent information on meson-nucleus potential from observation of meson-nucleus bound states:
 - observation of bound K-pp cluster and bound p- φ state search for $\eta'\otimes A$ ongoing