Exclusive factorization beyond leading twist meets saturation physics

#### Lech Szymanowski

#### National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw

in collaboration with

Renaud Boussarie, Michael Fucilla and Samuel Wallon

based on

#### [R. Boussarie, M. Fucilla, LS, S. Wallon (2407.18203, 2407.18115)]

"Next Generation Perturbative QCD for Hadron Structure: Preparing for the Electron-Ion-Collider", October 21 - 31 2024, MITP University Mainz





Exclusive light vector meson production at the  ${\bf twist-3}$  within the shockwave approach

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ○ 2/32

- $i.\ {\rm DVMP}$  in the  ${\bf non-linear}$  regime in the transversely polarized case
- ii. Both forward and non-forward results
- iii. Beyond SCHC amplitudes
- iiii. Coordinate and momentum space representations
- iiiii. Linearization [Caron-Huot (2013)]  $\implies$  BFKL results

# Deeply virtual meson production (DVMP)

• Exclusive  $\rho$ -meson leptoproduction

$$\gamma^{(*)}(p_{\gamma}) + P(p_0) \to \rho(p_{\rho}) + P(p'_0)$$

• Extensively studied at HERA



• NLO corrections to the production of a longitudinally polarized  $\rho$ -meson at small-x

[Ivanov, Kotsky, Papa (2004)]

[Boussarie, Grabovsky, Ivanov, LS, Wallon (2017)]

[Mäntysaari, Pentalla (2022)]

# The special case of transversally polarized vector meson production

Transversally polarized vector meson production starts at twist-3

- ▶ the dominant DA of  $\rho_T$  is of twist 2 and chiral-odd  $([\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}]$  coupling)
- unfortunately  $\gamma^* N \to \rho_T N' = 0$ 
  - ▶ This cancellation is true at any order : such a process would require a helicity transfer of 2 from a photon.
  - Iowest order diagrammatic argument:



 $\gamma^{\alpha}[\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}]\gamma_{\alpha}\to 0$ 

[Diehl, Gousset, Pire (1999)], [Collins, Diehl (2000)] Collinear treatment at twist-3 leads to end point singularities [Mankiewicz, Piller (2000)] [Anikin, Teryaev (2002)] Transversely polarized vector meson production

• HERA data for the  $\rho$  and  $\phi$  meson

[F.D. Aaron et al. (2010)]

$$\gamma^*(\lambda_\gamma)p \to V(\lambda_V)p$$
  $\lambda_\gamma = 0, 1, -1 \text{ and } \lambda_V = 0, 1, -1$ 



<ロト < □ ト < □ ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 のへで 5/32

Transversely polarized  $\rho$ -meson production

• Momentum space impact factor for the exclusive  $\rho$ -meson production at the twist-3 in the dilute limit (BFKL scheme) and forward case

[Anikin, Ivanov, Pire, LS, Wallon (2009)]

• Phenomenological studies at small-x

[Besse, LS, Wallon (2013)]

[Bolognino, Celiberto, Ivanov, Papa (2018)]

[Bolognino, Szczurek, Schäfer (2019)]

[Mäntysaari, Pentalla (2022)]

## Shockwave approach

• High-energy approximation  $s = (p_p + p_t)^2 \gg \{Q^2\}$ 



• Separation of the gluonic field into "fast" (quantum) part and "slow" (classical) part through a rapidity parameter  $\eta < 0$ 

[I. Balitsky (1996-2001)]

<ロト < @ ト < 言 ト < 言 ト ミ の < で 7/32

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mu}(k^{+},k^{-},\vec{k}) = A^{\mu}(k^{+} > e^{\eta}p_{p}^{+},k^{-},\vec{k}) + b^{\mu}(k^{+} < e^{\eta}p_{p}^{+},k^{-},\vec{k})$$

 $e^\eta \ll 1$ 

## Shockwave approach

• Large longitudinal boost: 
$$\Lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}} \sim \frac{\sqrt{s}}{m_t}$$
  

$$\begin{cases} b^+(x^+, x^-, \vec{x}) &= \Lambda^{-1}b^+_0(\Lambda x^+, \Lambda^{-1}x^-, \vec{x}) \\ b^-(x^+, x^-, \vec{x}) &= \Lambda b^-_0(\Lambda x^+, \Lambda^{-1}x^-, \vec{x}) \\ b^i(x^+, x^-, \vec{x}) &= b^i_0(\Lambda x^+, \Lambda^{-1}x^-, \vec{x}) \end{cases}$$



#### Shockwave approximation

• Light-cone gauge  $A \cdot n_2 = 0$ 

 $A \cdot b = 0 \implies Simple \; effective \; Lagrangian$ 

- Interactions with the simple shockwave field
  - *i.* Independence from  $x^- \implies$  conservation of  $p^+$  (eikonal approx.)
  - *ii.*  $\delta(x^+) \implies$  interactions at a single transverse coordinate.
- Quark line through the shockwave



• Multiple interactions with the target  $\rightarrow$  *path-ordered Wilson lines* 

$$V_{\vec{z}}^{\eta} = \mathcal{P} \exp\left[ig \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_i^+ b_{\eta}^- \left(z_i^+, \vec{z}\right)\right]$$

<ロト <日 < 三 < 三 < 三 > 三 のへで 9/32

# Shockwave approach

• Factorization in the shockwave approximation



$$\mathcal{M}^{\eta} = N_c \int d^d \boldsymbol{z}_1 d^d \boldsymbol{z}_2 \, \Phi^{\eta}(\boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2) \big\langle P' \left| \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta}(\boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2) \right| P \big\rangle$$

• Dipole operator

$$\mathcal{U}_{ij}^{\eta} = 1 - \frac{1}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} \left( V_{\vec{z}_i}^{\eta} V_{\vec{z}_j}^{\eta \dagger} \right)$$

- Evolution equations
  - Balitsky-JIMWLK evolution equations

[Balitsky (1995)]

[Jalilian-Marian, Iancu, McLerran, Weigert, Kovner, Leonidov]

- Large  $N_c \rightarrow$  Balitky-Kovchegov (BK) non-linear equation [Balitsky (1995)] [Kovchegov (1999)]
- Evolution at the NLO [Balitsky, Chirilli (2007)] [Kovner, Lublinsky, Malian (2013)] 10/32

# Theoretical framework

• Effective background field operator formalism of small-*x* physics 
$$\begin{split} \left[\psi_{\text{eff}} \ (z_0)\right]_{z_0^+ < 0} &= \psi \left(z_0\right) - \int d^D z_2 G_0 \left(z_{02}\right) \left(V_{\boldsymbol{z}_2}^{\dagger} - 1\right) \gamma^+ \psi \left(z_2\right) \delta(z_2^+) \\ &\left[\bar{\psi}_{\text{eff}} \ (z_0)\right]_{z_0^+ < 0} = \bar{\psi} \left(z_0\right) + \int d^D z_1 \bar{\psi} \left(z_1\right) \gamma^+ \left(V_{\boldsymbol{z}_1} - 1\right) G_0 \left(z_{10}\right) \delta(z_1^+) \\ &\left[A_{\text{eff}}^{\mu a} \ (z_0)\right]_{z_0^+ < 0} = A^{\mu a} \left(z_0\right) + 2i \int d^D z_3 \delta(z_3^+) F_{-\sigma}^b \left(z_3\right) G^{\mu \sigma_{\perp}} \left(z_{30}\right) \left(U_{\boldsymbol{z}_3}^{ab} - \delta^{ab}\right) \end{split}$$

e.g. of antiquark effective operator:

or: 
$$z_0^+$$
  $\bar{\psi}(z_1)$ 

free quark propagator:  $G_0(z) = \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} e^{-il \cdot z} \frac{i \not k}{k^2 + i0}$ 

- ▶ A fermionic line starts at the light-cone time  $z_0^+ < 0$
- freely propagates to  $z_1^+$
- it interacts eikonally at  $z_1^+$  with the background shockwave field.

## Theoretical framework

#### Effective background field operators cntd

- Such operators serve to construct amplitudes involving non-perturbative matrix elements of general off light-cone correlators, i.e. without any reference to the twist-expansion
- Proof by induction

Shockwave effective Feynman rules are reproduced

$$\begin{split} \left[ v_{\alpha}^{ij}\left(p_{\bar{q}},z_{0}\right) \right]_{z_{0}^{+}<0} &\equiv \left[ \psi_{\text{eff},\alpha}^{j}\left(z_{0}\right) \right]_{z_{0}^{+}<0} |i,p_{\bar{q}}\rangle = -\frac{(-i)^{d/2}}{2(2\pi)^{d/2}} \left( \frac{p_{\bar{q}}^{+}}{-z_{0}^{+}} \right)^{d/2} \theta(p_{\bar{q}}^{+})\theta(-z_{0}^{+}) \\ &\times \int d^{d}z_{2} V_{\bar{z}_{2}}^{ij\dagger} \frac{-z_{0}^{+}\gamma^{-} + \hat{z}_{20\perp}}{-z_{0}^{+}} \gamma^{+} \frac{v(p_{\bar{q}})}{\sqrt{2p_{\bar{q}}^{+}}} \exp\left\{ ip_{\bar{q}}^{+} \left( z_{0}^{-} - \frac{\vec{z}_{20}^{2}}{2z_{0}^{+}} + i0 \right) - i\vec{p}_{\bar{q}} \cdot \vec{z}_{20} \right\} \\ &\quad G_{ij}\left(z_{2}, z_{0}\right)|_{z_{2}^{+}>0>z_{0}^{+}} \equiv \overline{\psi_{i}(z_{2})} \left[ \overline{\psi}_{\text{eff},j}\left(z_{0}\right) \right]_{z_{0}^{+}<0} \\ &= \frac{i\Gamma(d+1)}{4(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^{d}\vec{z}_{1} V_{ij}\left(\vec{z}_{1}\right) \frac{\left(z_{2}^{+}\gamma^{-} + \hat{z}_{21\perp}\right) \gamma^{+} \left(-z_{0}^{+}\gamma^{-} + \hat{z}_{10\perp}\right)}{\left(-z_{0}^{+}z_{2}^{+}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}} \left(-z_{20}^{-} + \frac{\vec{z}_{21}^{2}}{2z_{2}^{+}} - \frac{\vec{z}_{20}^{2}}{2z_{0}^{+}} + i\varepsilon \right)^{d+1}} \theta(z_{2}^{+})\theta(-z_{0}^{+}) \\ &= \frac{i\Gamma(d+1)}{4(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^{d}\vec{z}_{1} V_{ij}\left(\vec{z}_{1}\right) \frac{\left(z_{2}^{+}\gamma^{-} + \hat{z}_{21\perp}\right) \gamma^{+} \left(-z_{0}^{+}\gamma^{-} + \hat{z}_{10\perp}\right)}{\left(-z_{0}^{+}z_{2}^{+}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}} \left(-z_{20}^{-} + \frac{\vec{z}_{21}^{2}}{2z_{2}^{+}} - \frac{\vec{z}_{20}^{2}}{2z_{0}^{+}} + i\varepsilon \right)^{d+1}} \theta(z_{2}^{+})\theta(-z_{0}^{+}) \\ &= \frac{i\Gamma(d+1)}{4(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^{d}\vec{z}_{1} V_{ij}\left(\vec{z}_{1}\right) \frac{\left(z_{2}^{+}\gamma^{-} + \hat{z}_{21\perp}\right) \gamma^{+}}{\left(-z_{0}^{+}+z_{2}^{+}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}} \left(-z_{20}^{-} + \frac{\vec{z}_{21}^{2}}{2z_{0}^{+}} - \frac{\vec{z}_{20}^{2}}{2z_{0}^{+}} + i\varepsilon \right)^{d+1}} \theta(z_{2}^{+})\theta(-z_{0}^{+}) \\ &= \frac{i\Gamma(d+1)}{4(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^{d}\vec{z}_{1} V_{ij}\left(\vec{z}_{1}\right) \frac{\left(z_{2}^{+}\gamma^{-} + \hat{z}_{21\perp}\right) \gamma^{+}}{\left(-z_{0}^{+}+z_{2}^{+}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \left(-z_{0}^{-}+z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}\right)^{d+1}} \theta(z_{2}^{+})\theta(-z_{0}^{+}) \\ &= \frac{i\Gamma(d+1)}{4(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^{d}\vec{z}_{1} V_{ij}\left(\vec{z}_{1}\right) \frac{\left(z_{2}^{+}\gamma^{-}+z_{0}^{+}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \left(-z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}\right)^{d+1}} \theta(z_{2}^{+})\theta(-z_{0}^{+}) \\ &= \frac{i\Gamma(d+1)}{4(2\pi)^{d+1}} \left(-z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}} \left(-z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}} \left(-z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}} \left(-z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}} \left(-z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}} \left(-z_{0}^{+}+z_{0}^{+}+z$$

## $\rho$ -meson production: diagrams

• Two-body contribution



*i*. Dependence of the leading Fock state wave function – with a minimal number of (valence) partons – on **transverse momentum** 

$$\mathcal{A}_{2} = -ie_{f} \int \mathrm{d}^{D} z_{0} \theta(-z_{0}^{+}) \left\langle P\left(p'\right) M\left(p_{M}\right) \left| \overline{\psi}_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(z_{0}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{q} \mathrm{e}^{-i(q \cdot z_{0})} \psi_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(z_{0}\right) \right| P\left(p\right) \right\rangle$$

• Three-body contribution



i. Distribution with a non-minimal parton configuration

$$\mathcal{A}_{3,q} = (-ie_q) (ig) \int \mathrm{d}^D z_4 \mathrm{d}^D z_0 \theta(-z_4^+) \theta(-z_0^+)$$

$$\times \left\langle P\left(p'\right) M\left(p_M\right) \left| \overline{\psi}_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(z_4\right) \gamma_\mu A_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mu a}(z_4) t^a G(z_{40}) \hat{\varepsilon}_q \mathrm{e}^{-i(q \cdot z_0)} \psi_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(z_0\right) \right| P\left(p\right) \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{eff}}}$$

# $\rho$ -meson production: factorization

• Two-body contribution



<ロト < 団 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 王 の へ で 14/32

#### $\rho$ -meson production: factorization



Expression of  $U_{\mathbf{z}_3}^{ab}$  in adjoint through fundamental representation:

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(V_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}}t^{a}U_{\boldsymbol{z}_{3}}^{ab}V_{\boldsymbol{z}_{2}}^{\dagger}t^{b}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(V_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}}V_{\boldsymbol{z}_{3}}^{\dagger}\right)\operatorname{tr}\left(V_{\boldsymbol{z}_{3}}V_{\boldsymbol{z}_{2}}^{\dagger}\right) - \frac{1}{N_{c}}\operatorname{tr}\left(V_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}}V_{\boldsymbol{z}_{2}}^{\dagger}\right)\right]$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ ≧ → ◆ ≧ → ○ ◆ ○ 15/32

## Results: two-body contribution

#### • Dipole amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \int \mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{r} \Psi_{2}\left(x,\boldsymbol{r}\right) \int \mathrm{d}^{d}\boldsymbol{b} \, \mathrm{e}^{i(\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{p}_{M})\cdot\boldsymbol{b}} \left\langle P\left(p'\right) \left| 1 - \frac{1}{N_{c}} \mathrm{tr}\left(V_{\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{r}}V_{\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{r}}^{\dagger}\right) \right| P\left(p\right) \right\rangle$$

Coordinate-space impact factor

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{2}\left(x,\boldsymbol{r}\right) &= e_{q}\delta\left(1-\frac{p_{M}^{+}}{q^{+}}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{q\mu}-\frac{\varepsilon_{q}^{+}}{q^{+}}q_{\mu}\right)\\ \times \left[\phi_{\gamma^{+}}(x,\boldsymbol{r})\left(2x\bar{x}q^{\mu}-i(x-\bar{x})\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{\perp\mu}}\right)+\epsilon^{\mu\nu+-}\phi_{\gamma^{+}\gamma^{5}}(x,\boldsymbol{r})\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{\perp}^{\nu}}\right]K_{0}\left(\sqrt{x\bar{x}Q^{2}\boldsymbol{r}^{2}}\right) \end{split}$$

• Two-body vacuum to meson matrix elements

$$\phi_{\gamma^{+}}(x,\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dr^{-} e^{ixp_{M}^{+}r^{-}} \left\langle M\left(p_{M}\right) \left| \overline{\psi}\left(r\right)\gamma^{+}\psi\left(0\right) \right| 0 \right\rangle_{r^{+}=0}$$
  
$$\phi_{\gamma^{+}\gamma^{5}}(x,\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dr^{-} e^{ixp_{M}^{+}r^{-}} \left\langle M\left(p_{M}\right) \left| \overline{\psi}\left(r\right)\gamma^{+}\gamma^{5}\psi\left(0\right) \right| 0 \right\rangle_{r^{+}=0}$$

at this stage,  $r^2$  is arbitrary, in principle off the light-cone.

#### Results: three-body contribution

• Three-body amplitude: involves dipole and double dipole contributions

$$\mathcal{A}_{3} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{3} \int dx_{i} \theta(x_{i})\right) \delta(1 - x_{1} - x_{2} - x_{3}) \int d^{2} \mathbf{z}_{1} d^{2} \mathbf{z}_{2} d^{2} \mathbf{z}_{3} e^{i\mathbf{q}(x_{1}\mathbf{z}_{1} + x_{2}\mathbf{z}_{2} + x_{3}\mathbf{z}_{3})}$$

 $\times \Psi_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \boldsymbol{z}_{1}, \boldsymbol{z}_{2}, \boldsymbol{z}_{3}\right) \left\langle P\left(p'\right) \left| \mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}\boldsymbol{z}_{3}}\mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{3}\boldsymbol{z}_{2}} - \mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}\boldsymbol{z}_{3}} - \mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{3}\boldsymbol{z}_{2}} + \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}}\mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}\boldsymbol{z}_{2}} \right| P\left(p\right) \right\rangle$ 

• Coordinate-space impact factor (with  $Z = \sqrt{x_1 x_2 z_{12}^2 + x_1 x_3 z_{13}^2 + x_2 x_3 z_{23}^2}$ )

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \boldsymbol{z}_{1}, \boldsymbol{z}_{2}, \boldsymbol{z}_{3}\right) &= \frac{e_{q}q^{+}}{2(4\pi)} \frac{N_{c}^{2}}{N_{c}^{2}-1} \left(\varepsilon_{q\rho} - \frac{\varepsilon_{q}^{+}}{q^{+}}q_{\rho}\right) \\ \times \left\{\chi_{\gamma^{+}\sigma} \left[ \left(4ig_{\perp\perp}^{\rho\sigma} \frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{1-x_{2}} \frac{Q}{Z}K_{1}(QZ) + T_{1}^{\sigma\rho\nu}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) \frac{z_{23\perp\nu}}{\boldsymbol{z}_{23}^{2}} K_{0}(QZ)\right) - (1\leftrightarrow2) \right] \\ -\chi_{\gamma^{+}\gamma^{5}\sigma} \left[ \left(4\epsilon^{\sigma\rho+-} \frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{1-x_{2}} \frac{Q}{Z}K_{1}(QZ) + T_{2}^{\sigma\rho\nu}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) \frac{z_{23\perp\nu}}{\boldsymbol{z}_{23}^{2}} K_{0}(QZ)\right) + (1\leftrightarrow2) \right] \right\} \\ \bullet \text{ Three-body vacuum to meson non-perturbative matrix elements} \end{split}$$

$$\chi_{\Gamma^{\lambda},\sigma} \equiv \chi_{\Gamma^{\lambda},\sigma}(x_{1},x_{2},x_{3},\boldsymbol{z}_{1},\boldsymbol{z}_{2},\boldsymbol{z}_{3}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_{1}^{-}}{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_{2}^{-}}{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_{3}^{-}}{2\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-ix_{1}q^{+}z_{1}^{-}-ix_{2}q^{+}z_{2}^{-}-ix_{3}q^{+}z_{3}^{-}} \left\langle M\left(p_{M}\right) \left| \overline{\psi}\left(z_{1}\right) \Gamma^{\lambda}gF_{-\sigma}\left(z_{3}\right)\psi\left(z_{2}\right) \right| 0 \right\rangle_{z_{1,2,3}^{+}=0}$$

Again, at this stage,  $z_1^2$ ,  $z_2^2$ ,  $z_3^2$  are arbitrary, in principle off the light-cone on 17/32

## Light-cone collinear factorization

• Light-cone collinear factorization

[Ellis, Furmanski, Petronzio (1982)] [Anikin, Teryaev (2002)]

- Factorization around the dominant **light-cone direction** is naturally implemented in **momentum space**
- **Overcomplete set of distributions** must be reduced exploiting QCD equations of motion

$$\langle i(\hat{D}(0)\psi(0))_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}_{\beta}(z)\rangle = 0 \qquad \langle i\psi_{\alpha}(0)(\bar{\psi}(z)\overleftarrow{\hat{D}}(z))_{\beta}\rangle = 0$$

• Invariance of the amplitude under rotation on the light-cone

[Anikin, Ivanov, Pire, LS, Wallon (2009)]

i. Independence of the amplitude from the choice of n

*ii.* Given a "natural" choice  $n_0$ , we can define

$$n^\mu = \alpha p^\mu + \beta n^\mu_0 + n^\mu_\perp$$

iii. Imposing  $p\cdot n=1$  and  $n^2=0\longrightarrow \beta=1$  ,  $\alpha=-n_{\perp}^2/2$ 

iiii. The freedom is parametrized in terms of the transverse component

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial n_{\perp}^{\mu}} = 0$$

# Covariant collinear factorization

#### Covariant collinear factorization = non-local OPE (1)

• expansion in powers of the hard scale = expansion of string operators in powers of deviation from the light-cone [Balitsky, Braun (1989)]

#### e.g. up to twist 3:

• 2-body: expansion in powers of 
$$r^2$$
  $(r^2 \to 0)$  of  $\left\langle M(p_M) \left| \overline{\psi}(r) \gamma^+ \psi(0) \right| 0 \right\rangle_{r^+=0}$  and  $\left\langle M(p_M) \left| \overline{\psi}(r) \gamma^+ \gamma^5 \psi(0) \right| 0 \right\rangle_{r^+=0}$ 

• 3-body: expansion in powers of 
$$(z_3 - z_1)^2$$
,  $(z_2 - z_3)^2$   
 $((z_3 - z_1)^2, (z_2 - z_3)^2 \to 0)$  of  
 $\left\langle M(p_M) \left| \overline{\psi}(z_1) \Gamma^{\lambda} g F_{-\sigma}(z_3) \psi(z_2) \right| 0 \right\rangle_{z_{1,2,3}^+=0}$ 

- each coefficient of this OPE expansion
   = finite sum of on-light-cone non-local correlators
- for each term in this Taylor expansion: vacuum-to-meson matrix elements contribute to **different kinematic twist**:
  - matrix element = linear combination of  $p_{M\mu}$ ,  $r_{\mu}$ ,  $\varepsilon^*_{M\mu}$  (now  $r^2 = 0$ )
  - coefficients depend on the available Lorentz inv.  $p_M \cdot r$ ,  $\varepsilon_M \cdot r$ ,  $m_M^2$
  - these quantities have different scaling in the  $Q \to \infty$  limit

## Covariant collinear factorization

#### Covariant collinear factorization = non-local OPE (2)

• example: parametrization of the 2-body vector matrix element (up to twist 3)

$$\left\langle M(p_M) \left| \overline{\psi}(r) \gamma^{\mu}[r,0] \psi(0) \right| 0 \right\rangle \Big|_{r^2 = 0}$$

$$\sim f_M m_M \int_0^1 dx e^{ix(p_M \cdot r)} \left[ p_M^{\mu} \frac{(\varepsilon_M^* \cdot r)}{(p_M \cdot r)} \phi(x) + \varepsilon_{M,T}^{*\mu} g_{\perp}^{(v)}(x) \right]$$

$$\sim Q \qquad \sim 1$$
twist 2 twist 3

• up to twist 3:

only the first term in the Taylor expansion of the off-light-cone matrix elements survives

the next one is twist 4, i.e.  $z^2$  suppressed

we keep twist 2 and twist 3 terms:

2-body:  $\rightarrow$  twist-2 and twist-3 (kinematic) (see above example)

3-body:  $\rightarrow$  twist-3 (genuine)

#### Covariant collinear factorization

• Covariant collinear factorization

[Braun, Filyanov (1990)]

[Ball, Braun, Koike, Tanaka (1998)]

- i. Minimal basis of independent distributions
- ii. Minimal numbers of parameters
- iii. Easy to perform the calculation directly into coordinate space
- 2 and 3-body operators in gauge invariant form, on the light-cone  $z^2 = 0$

$$\begin{split} &\langle M(p_M) | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma_{\lambda} \left[ z, 0 \right] \psi(0) | 0 \rangle \\ &\langle M(p_M) | \overline{\psi}(z) \gamma_{\lambda} \left[ z, tz \right] g F^{\mu\nu}(tz) \left[ tz, 0 \right] \psi(0) | 0 \rangle \\ &\langle M(p_M) | \overline{\psi}(z) \gamma_{\lambda} \left[ z, tz \right] g \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}(tz) \left[ tz, 0 \right] \psi(0) | 0 \rangle \end{split}$$

where

$$[z,0] = \mathcal{P}_{\exp}\left[ig\int_0^1 dt A^{\mu}(tz) z_{\mu}\right]$$

#### A subtlety: making contact with covariant collinear factorization

- before twist expansion, our result does do not contain gauge links between fields
- this should be taken into account, through:

$$\mathcal{P} \exp \left[ ig \int_{0}^{1} dt A^{\mu}(tz) z_{\mu} \right] = 1 + ig \int_{0}^{1} dt A^{\mu}(tz) z_{\mu} + \dots ,$$

- it does not affect the 3-body twist-3 result
- it does contribute to the 2-body twist-3 result

#### Results

#### Reminder:

• Dipole amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \int \mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{r} \Psi_{2}\left(x,\boldsymbol{r}\right) \int \mathrm{d}^{d}\boldsymbol{b} \,\mathrm{e}^{i(\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{p}_{M})\cdot\boldsymbol{b}} \left\langle P\left(p'\right) \left| 1 - \frac{1}{N_{c}} \mathrm{tr}\left(V_{\boldsymbol{b}+\overline{x}\boldsymbol{r}}V_{\boldsymbol{b}-x\boldsymbol{r}}^{\dagger}\right) \right| P\left(p\right) \right\rangle$$

• Three-body amplitude: with **dipole** and **double dipole** contributions

$$\mathcal{A}_{3} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{3} \int dx_{i}\theta(x_{i})\right) \delta(1 - x_{1} - x_{2} - x_{3}) \int d^{2}\boldsymbol{z}_{1} d^{2}\boldsymbol{z}_{2} d^{2}\boldsymbol{z}_{3} e^{i\boldsymbol{q}(x_{1}\boldsymbol{z}_{1} + x_{2}\boldsymbol{z}_{2} + x_{3}\boldsymbol{z}_{3})} \\ \times \Psi_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \boldsymbol{z}_{1}, \boldsymbol{z}_{2}, \boldsymbol{z}_{3}\right) \left\langle P\left(p'\right) \left| \mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}\boldsymbol{z}_{3}} \mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{3}\boldsymbol{z}_{2}} - \mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}\boldsymbol{z}_{3}} - \mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{3}\boldsymbol{z}_{2}} + \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}} \mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}\boldsymbol{z}_{2}} \right| P\left(p\right) \right\rangle$$

#### 2-body twist-3 expanded result

coordinate space

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{2}\left(x,\boldsymbol{r}\right) &= e_{q}m_{M}f_{M}\delta\left(1-\frac{p_{M}^{+}}{q^{+}}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{q\mu}-\frac{\varepsilon_{q}^{+}}{q^{+}}q_{\mu}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{M\alpha}^{*}-\frac{\varepsilon_{M}^{+}}{p_{M}^{+}}p_{M\alpha}\right)\\ &\times\left[-ir_{\perp}^{\alpha}(h(x)-\tilde{h}(x))\left(2x\bar{x}q^{\mu}+(x-\bar{x})\frac{-i\partial}{\partial r_{\perp\mu}}\right)\right.\\ &\left.+\epsilon^{\mu\nu+-}\epsilon^{+\alpha-\delta}r_{\perp\delta}\left(\frac{g_{\perp}^{(a)}(x)-\tilde{g}_{\perp}^{(a)}(x)}{4}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{\perp}^{\nu}}\right]K_{0}\left(\sqrt{x\bar{x}Q^{2}r^{2}}\right), \end{split}$$

with

$$h(x) = \int_0^x \mathrm{d}u \left(\phi(u) - g_{\perp}^{(v)}(u)\right),$$

$$\widetilde{h}(x) = \frac{f_{3M}^V}{f_M} \int_0^x \mathrm{d}x_q \int_0^{1-x} \mathrm{d}x_{\overline{q}} \frac{V\left(x_q, x_{\overline{q}}\right)}{\left(1 - x_q - x_{\overline{q}}\right)^2} \,,$$

$$\widetilde{g}_{\perp}^{(a)}\left(x\right) = 4 \frac{f_{3M}^{A}}{f_{M}} \int_{0}^{x} \mathrm{d}x_{q} \int_{0}^{1-x} \mathrm{d}x_{\overline{q}} \frac{A\left(x_{q}, x_{\overline{q}}\right)}{\left(1 - x_{q} - x_{\overline{q}} + i\epsilon\right)^{2}} \,.$$

<ロ > < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > の へ つ 24/32

#### 3-body twist-3 expanded result

coordinate space

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \boldsymbol{z}_{1}, \boldsymbol{z}_{2}, \boldsymbol{z}_{3}\right) \\ &= \frac{e_{q}m_{M}c_{f}}{8\pi}\delta\left(1 - \frac{p_{M}^{+}}{q^{+}}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{q\rho} - \frac{\varepsilon_{q}^{+}}{q^{+}}q_{\rho}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{M}^{*\mu} - \frac{p_{M}^{\mu}}{p_{M}^{+}}\varepsilon_{M}^{*+}\right)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{3}\theta(x_{j})\theta(1 - x_{j})e^{-ix_{j}\boldsymbol{p}_{M}\boldsymbol{z}_{j}}\right) \\ &\times \left\{-if_{3M}^{V}g_{\sigma\mu}V(x_{1}, x_{2})\left[\left(4ig_{\perp\perp}^{\rho\sigma}\frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{1 - x_{2}}\frac{Q}{Z}K_{1}(QZ) + T_{1}^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x_{i}\})\frac{z_{23\perp\nu}}{\boldsymbol{z}_{23}^{2}}K_{0}(QZ)\right) - (1\leftrightarrow2)\right] \right. \\ &\left.-\epsilon_{-+\sigma\beta}f_{3M}^{A}g_{\perp\perp\mu}^{\beta}A(x_{1}, x_{2})\left[\left(4\epsilon^{\sigma\rho+-}\frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{1 - x_{2}}\frac{Q}{Z}K_{1}(QZ) + T_{2}^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x_{i}\})\frac{z_{23\perp\nu}}{\boldsymbol{z}_{23}^{2}}K_{0}(QZ)\right) + (1\leftrightarrow2)\right]\right]\right] \end{split}$$

 $V(x_1, x_2) =$  genuine twist-3 vector DAs  $A(x_1, x_2) =$  genuine twist-3 axial DAs  $f_M^V$  and  $f_M^A =$  normalization constants

#### 3-body twist-3 expanded result

momentum space

• Fourier transform

$$\Phi_{3}(\{x\},\{p\}) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{3} \int d^{2} \boldsymbol{z}_{j} e^{-i\boldsymbol{z}_{j} \boldsymbol{p}_{j}}\right) \Psi_{3}(\{x\},\{\boldsymbol{z}\})$$

• Momentum space impact factor (after twist expansion)

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{3}\left(\{x\},\{p\}\right) &= \frac{e_{q}m_{M}}{4}c_{f}\left(\varepsilon_{q\rho} - \frac{\varepsilon_{q}^{+}}{q^{+}}q_{\rho}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{M}^{*\beta} - \frac{p_{M}^{\beta}}{p_{M}^{+}}\varepsilon_{M}^{*+}\right)\delta\left(1 - \frac{p_{M}^{+}}{q^{+}}\right)\\ &\times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{3}\frac{\theta(1-x_{j})\theta(x_{j})}{x_{j}}\right)\frac{(2\pi)^{3}\delta^{(2)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3}p_{i}+x_{i}p_{M}\right)}{\left[Q^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3}(p_{i}+x_{i}p_{M})^{2}/x_{i}\right]}\left\{g_{\beta\sigma}f_{3M}^{V}V(x_{1},x_{2})\left(4g_{\perp\perp}^{\rho\sigma}\frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{1-x_{2}}\right)\right.\\ &\left. +\tilde{T}_{1}^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x\})\right|_{\boldsymbol{k}_{i}=-x_{i}p_{M}}\frac{x_{1}x_{2}(p_{3}+x_{3}p_{M})_{\perp\nu}-x_{1}x_{3}(p_{2}+x_{2}p_{M})_{\perp\nu}}{(p_{1}+x_{1}p_{M})^{2}+x_{1}(1-x_{1})Q^{2}}\right) - \epsilon_{-+\sigma\beta}f_{3M}^{A}A(x_{1},x_{2})\\ &\times \left(4\frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{1-x_{2}}\epsilon^{\sigma\rho+-} + i\tilde{T}_{2}^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x\})\right)|_{\boldsymbol{k}_{i}=-x_{i}p_{M}}\frac{x_{1}x_{2}(p_{3}+x_{3}p_{M})_{\perp\nu}-x_{1}x_{3}(p_{2}+x_{2}p_{M})_{\perp\nu}}{(p_{1}+x_{1}p_{M})^{2}+x_{1}(1-x_{1})Q^{2}}\right)\right\}\\ &+ \left(1\leftrightarrow2\right) = 0 \Rightarrow 4\beta \neq 4\beta \neq 4\beta \neq 2\beta \neq 2\beta \neq 2\beta/32 \end{split}$$

3-body twist-3 expanded result cntd

momentum space

where

$$\begin{split} \tilde{T}_{1}^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x\}) &= 4 \left[ 2 \frac{x_{1}(\bar{x}_{1}+x_{2})}{x_{3}} g_{\perp}^{\sigma\nu} q^{\rho} - (k_{1}-p_{1})_{\perp\mu} \right. \\ & \left. \times \left( \frac{(\bar{x}_{1}+x_{2})(\bar{x}_{1}-x_{1})}{\bar{x}_{1}x_{3}} g_{\perp}^{\sigma\nu} g_{\perp}^{\rho\mu} - \frac{1}{\bar{x}_{1}} \left( g_{\perp}^{\nu\rho} g_{\perp}^{\sigma\mu} - g_{\perp}^{\rho\sigma} g_{\perp}^{\nu\mu} \right) \right) \right] \end{split}$$

$$\tilde{T}_{2}^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x\}) = \frac{4i}{\bar{x}_{1}} \left[ 2x_{1}\bar{x}_{1}q^{\rho}\epsilon^{\nu\sigma+-} + (k_{1}-p_{1})_{\perp\mu} \right] \times \left( \left( 1 + \frac{2x_{2}}{x_{3}} \right) \left( g_{\perp}^{\sigma\mu}\epsilon^{\nu\rho+-} - g_{\perp}^{\rho\sigma}\epsilon^{\nu\mu+-} \right) + (x_{1}-\bar{x}_{1})g_{\perp}^{\rho\mu}\epsilon^{\nu\sigma+-} \right) \right] ,$$

<ロト < 団ト < 臣ト < 臣ト 王 のへで 27/32

## Dilute regime: two-body contribution

• **Reggeon** definition [Caron-Huot (2013)]  $R^{a}(z) \equiv$ 

$$\equiv \frac{f^{abc}}{gC_A} \ln \left( U^{bc}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \right)$$

• Expansion of the Wilson line in Reggeized gluons

$$V_{z_{1}} = 1 + igt^{a}R^{a}(z_{1}) - \frac{1}{2}g^{2}t^{a}t^{b}R^{a}(z_{1})R^{b}(z_{1}) + O(g^{3})$$



• BFKL k<sub>T</sub>-factorization

$$\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\text{dilute}} = \frac{g^{2}}{4N_{c}}(2\pi)^{d}\delta^{d}(\boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{p}_{M} - \boldsymbol{\Delta})\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d}\ell}{(2\pi)^{d}}\mathcal{U}(\ell)\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x$$
$$\times \underbrace{\left[\Phi_{2}\left(x, \ell - \frac{x - \bar{x}}{2}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\right) + \Phi_{2}\left(x, -\ell - \frac{x - \bar{x}}{2}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\right) - \Phi_{2}(x, \bar{x}\boldsymbol{\Delta}) - \Phi_{2}(x, -x\boldsymbol{\Delta})\right]}_{\Phi_{2,\text{BFKL}}(x, \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\Delta})}$$

•  $\mathcal{U}(l) \rightarrow k_T$ -unintegrated gluon density (UGD) in the BFKL sense

$$\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) \equiv \int \mathrm{d}^{d} \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{e}^{-i(\boldsymbol{\ell} \cdot \boldsymbol{v})} \left\langle P\left(\boldsymbol{p}'\right) \left| R^{a}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{2}\right) R^{a}\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{2}\right) \right| P(\boldsymbol{p}) \right\rangle$$

Φ<sub>2</sub> is the Fourier transform of Ψ<sub>2</sub>

<ロト < 部 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 28/32

## Explicit two-body term in the dilute and $\Delta = 0$ limit

• BK impact factor

$$\Phi_{2,\Delta=0}(x,l) = 2\pi m_M f_M e_q \delta(1-p_M^+/q^+) \\ \times \left[\frac{2l^2}{\left[l^2 + x\bar{x}Q^2\right]^2} T_{\rm f.} \phi_{2,\rm f.}(x) - \frac{x\bar{x}Q^2}{\left[l^2 + x\bar{x}Q^2\right]^2} T_{\rm n.f.} \phi_{2,\rm n.f.}(x)\right]$$

• Helicity (flip and non-flip) structures and DAs combinations

$$T_{\rm n.f.} = \epsilon_q \cdot \epsilon_M^* \qquad \phi_{2,\rm n.f.}(x) = (2x - 1)(h(x) - \tilde{h}(x)) + \frac{g_{\perp}^{(a)}(x) - \tilde{g}_{\perp}^{(a)}(x)}{4}$$

$$T_{\rm f.} = \frac{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_q \cdot \boldsymbol{l})(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_M^* \cdot \boldsymbol{l})}{\boldsymbol{l}^2} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_q \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_M^*}{2} \qquad \phi_{2,\rm f.}(x) = (2x-1)(h(x) - \tilde{h}(x)) - \frac{\boldsymbol{g}_{\perp}^{(a)}(x) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_{\perp}^{(a)}(x)}{4}$$

• Forward limit matching

$$\Phi_{2,\boldsymbol{\Delta}=0}^{\mathrm{BFKL}}\left(x,\boldsymbol{l}\right)=2\left(\Phi_{2,\boldsymbol{\Delta}=0}\left(x,\boldsymbol{l}\right)-\Phi_{2,\boldsymbol{\Delta}=0}\left(x,\boldsymbol{0}\right)\right)$$

• BFKL impact factor

$$\Phi_{2,\Delta=0}^{\rm BFKL}(x,\boldsymbol{l}) = 4\pi m_M f_M e_q \delta(1-p_M^+/q^+) \\ \times \left[\frac{2l^2}{\left[l^2 + x\bar{x}Q^2\right]^2} T_{\rm f.} \phi_{\rm f.}(x) + \frac{l^2(l^2 + 2x\bar{x}Q^2)}{x\bar{x}Q^2 \left[l^2 + x\bar{x}Q^2\right]^2} T_{\rm n.f.} \phi_{\rm n.f.}(x)\right]$$

#### Explicit three-body term in the dilute and $\Delta = 0$ limit

• The 3-body BFKL impact factor is a combination of 12 BK impact factors

$$\Phi_3\left(\{x\},\{\boldsymbol{p}\}\right) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 \int d^2 \boldsymbol{z}_j e^{-i\boldsymbol{z}_j \boldsymbol{p}_j}\right) \Psi_3\left(\{x\},\{\boldsymbol{z}\}\right)$$

- Transverse to transverse transition in the **forward** and **dilute** limit  $c_f = N_c^2/(N_c^2-1)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{3T, \mathbf{\Delta}=\mathbf{0}}^{\text{dilute}} &= e_q m_M \frac{g^2}{N_c} (2\pi) \delta \left( 1 - \frac{p_M^+}{q^+} \right) (2\pi)^2 \delta^2 \left( \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}_M \right) \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \boldsymbol{\ell}}{(2\pi)^d} \mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) \\ &\times \left( \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_0^1 \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \right) \frac{\delta (1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3)}{x_3} \frac{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2}{Q^2} \left\{ T_{\text{f.}} \left[ f_{3M}^V \left( x_1, x_2 \right) - f_{3M}^A \left( x_1, x_2 \right) \right] \right. \\ &\times 2x_1 \left( \frac{x_3 c_f}{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2 + \frac{x_2 x_3}{x_2 + x_3} Q^2} + \frac{x_3 c_f}{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2 + \frac{x_1 x_3}{x_1 + x_3} Q^2} - \frac{\bar{x}_3 \left( 1 - c_f \right)}{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2 + \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_1 + x_2} Q^2} + \frac{x_2 - \bar{x}_1 c_f}{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2 + x_1 \bar{x}_1 Q^2} + \frac{x_1 - \bar{x}_2 c_f}{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2 + x_2 \bar{x}_2 Q^2} \right) \\ &- T_{\text{n.f.}} \left[ f_{3M}^V \left( x_1, x_2 \right) + f_{3M}^A \left( x_1, x_2 \right) \right] \\ &\times \left( \frac{\left( 1 - c_f \right) x_1 \bar{x}_3}{\bar{x}_3 \boldsymbol{\ell}^2 + x_1 x_2 Q^2} - \frac{c_f x_3^2}{\bar{x}_1 \boldsymbol{\ell}^2 + x_2 x_3 Q^2} - \frac{\left( x_2 - \bar{x}_1 c_f \right) x_1 x_2}{\bar{x}_1 \left( \boldsymbol{\ell}^2 + x_1 \bar{x}_1 Q^2 \right)} - \frac{\left( x_1 - \bar{x}_2 c_f \right) \bar{x}_2}{\left( \boldsymbol{\ell}^2 + x_2 \bar{x}_2 Q^2 \right)} \right) \right\} \end{split}$$

• The forward and dilute limit matches our previous result [Anikin, Ivanov, Pire, LS, Wallon (2009)]

BFKL approach + twist-expansion via light-cone collinear factorization

# Summary

- Transversally polarized light vector meson production
- DVMP in the **non-linear** regime in the transversely polarized case
- Both forward and non-forward results and s-channel non-conserving helicity amplitudes
- Coordinate and momentum space representations
- Reggeized gluon expansion [Caron-Huot (2013)]  $\implies$  BFKL results
- To be used for a complete description of HERA and future EIC data
- Higher-twist corrections are essential to describe medium energy data of exclusive processes:

data for  $ep \rightarrow e\pi^0 p$  need a twist 3  $\pi^0$  DA [M. Defurne et al. (2016)]

- Method to deal with twist corrections at small-x including saturation
- what's next? what about the NLO frontier?
  - Wandzura-Wilczek approximation: no genuine twist-3, i.e. no  $q\bar{q}g$  3-body in principle, "straightforward"
  - Full NLO? Out of reach for the moment without a full automatization of the calculations...



# THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION !!