

Talk prepared for DMLAND, MITP (12 Sept 2024)

Work with Djuna Croon

Current Constraints on

Extended Dark matter Objects

Based on arXiv:2403.13072

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

Introduction to EDOs

Extended Dark matter Objects are a popular option for dark matter

-Macroscopical objects that only interact gravitationally with matter



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

Cosmology from Home

Extended Dark matter Objects are a popular option for dark matter -Macroscopical objects that only interact gravitationally with matter

-They have multiple formation mechanisms:

-Uniform sphere (Witten 1984)

$$M(r) = M \begin{cases} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^3 & r \le R\\ 1 & R < r. \end{cases}$$

Extended Dark matter Objects are a popular option for dark matter -Macroscopical objects that only interact gravitationally with matter

-They have multiple formation mechanisms:

-Uniform sphere (Witten 1984)

-Boson stars (Bar, Blas, et al. 2018)



$$i\partial_t \psi = -\frac{1}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + m \Phi \psi,$$

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G |\psi|^2.$$

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Extended Dark matter Objects are a popular option for dark matter -Macroscopical objects that only interact gravitationally with matter

-They have multiple formation mechanisms:

-Uniform sphere (Witten 1984)

-Boson stars (Bar, Blas, et al. 2018)

-NFW sub-halos

$$M(r) = \int_0^r \mathrm{d}\hat{r} \, 4\pi \hat{r}^2 \rho_{\rm NFW}(\hat{r}),$$

$$\rho_{\rm NFW}(\hat{r}) = \frac{\rho_0}{\frac{\hat{r}}{R_s} \left(1 + \frac{\hat{r}}{R_s}\right)^2},$$

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Extended Dark matter Objects are a popular option for dark matter

-Macroscopical objects that only interact gravitationally with matter

-They have multiple formation mechanisms:

- -Uniform sphere (Witten 1984)
- -Boson stars (Bar, Blas, et al. 2018)
- -NFW sub-halos
- -Ultracompact minihalos (Bertschinger 1985)

$$M(r) = M \begin{cases} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{3/4} & r \le R\\ 1 & R < r. \end{cases}$$

Extended Dark matter Objects are a popular option for dark matter

-Macroscopical objects that only interact gravitationally with matter



Introduction to EDOs

However, the different formation mechanisms also makes it necessary to test them case-by-case; for example, see Microlensing/Weak lensing:





Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Introduction to EDOs

However, the different formation mechanisms also makes it necessary to test them case-by-case; for example, see Microlensing/Weak lensing:





Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Introduction to EDOs

However, the different formation mechanisms also makes it necessary to test them case-by-case; for example, see Microlensing/Weak lensing:



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Introduction to EDOs

That's what we will be doing today!

The theoretical framework was already developed by Bai, Long and Lu (2020) where they work with the uniform sphere case, and get these constrains:

-Only the uniform sphere -> Analytically

-Just for 100% dark matter fraction



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Introduction to EDOs

That's what we will be doing today!

The theoretical framework was already developed by where they work with the uniform sphere case, and g

- -Only the uniform sphere -> Analytically
- -Just for 100% dark matter fraction

This is the context for our work, we allow for: -Any mass function-> Numerically

-Any dark matter fraction



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz



We expect <u>matter</u> to interact gravitationally with it



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Matter accretion

Let's start with the accretion of matter into a single, isolated EDO,

like the one here:

We expect matter to interact gravitationally with it

-Number of electrons=protons

The emitted luminosity depends on the following parameters:

-Density

-Ionisation fraction

$$x_e = \frac{n_e}{n_e + n_H}$$

 $\rho = m_e n_e + m_p n_p + m_H n_H$

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz



Matter accretion

Given that baryons can be modeled as a fluid, they will be described by Navier-Stokes equations

$$\dot{\rho} + \frac{1}{r^2} (r^2 \rho v)' = 0,$$

$$\rho \dot{v} + \rho v v' + P' = \rho g,$$

$$\rho (\dot{\mathcal{E}/\rho}) + \rho v (\mathcal{E}/\rho)' + P \frac{1}{r^2} (r^2 v)' = \dot{q},$$

But we will need to take a series of approximations to simplify this system

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Matter accretion

Approximations!

- 1. Static solutions
- 2. Hydrostatic approximation: v(r) = 0
- 3. $x_e(r)$ constant
- 4. Allow for adiabaticity

$$P(r) = K\rho(r)^{\gamma} \qquad T(r) = Km_p \frac{\rho(r)^{\gamma-1}}{1 + x_e f_P}$$

$$\frac{GM(r)}{r^2} + \gamma K\rho(r)^{\gamma-2}\frac{d\rho(r)}{dr} = 0$$

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Matter accretion

We will solve this system imposing the boundary conditions at infinity to be given by the universe's background.

Use Peebles case B recombination, or three level atom



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Matter accretion

We will solve this system imposing the boundary conditions at infinity to be given by



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz	DMLAND, Mainz	12 th September

We will solve this equation:

With this boundary conditions:

$$\rho_{\infty} \equiv m_p n(z), \quad T_{\infty} \equiv T_{\rm M}(z),$$
 $\bar{x}_e \equiv x_e(z)$

And the uniform sphere mass function:

$$M(r) = M \begin{cases} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^3 & r \le R \\ 1 & R < r. \end{cases}$$



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

We will solve this equation:





But that is not enough! Add corrections: -Interactions with CMB

$$\dot{\rho} + \frac{1}{r^2} (r^2 \rho v)' = 0,$$

$$\rho \dot{v} + \rho v v' + P' = \rho g,$$

$$\rho (\dot{\mathcal{E}/\rho}) + \rho v (\mathcal{E}/\rho)' + P \frac{1}{r^2} (r^2 v)' = \dot{q},$$



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

We will solve this equation:



We will solve this equation:



But that is not enough! Add corrections:

-Interactions with CMB

Only affects high redshifts, as density is higher





Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

We will solve this equation:



-Interactions with CMB

Only affects high redshifts, as density is higher



$$---z = 200$$



 $\frac{GM(r)}{r^2} + \gamma K\rho(r)^{\gamma-2}\frac{d\rho(r)}{dr} = 0$

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

We will solve this equation:



-Interactions with CMB

-Collisional ionisation:

 $H + H \rightarrow H + e + p + \gamma$

 $T_{\rm ion} \simeq 1.5 \times 10^4 \ {\rm K} \approx 1.3 \ {\rm eV}$

Temperature distributes into new particles, ionization increases

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

We will solve this equation:





But that is not enough! Add corrections: -Interactions with CMB

-Collisional ionisation:

 $H + H \rightarrow H + e + p + \gamma$

 $T_{\rm ion} \simeq 1.5 \times 10^4 \ {\rm K} \approx 1.3 \ {\rm eV}$

Temperature distributes into new particles, ionization increases

Photoionization also important, but these are the tightest constraints



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

We will solve this equation:





But that is not enough! Add corrections:

-Interactions with CMB

-Collisional ionisation:

-Relativistic effects:

Relativistic electrons contribute differently to the internal energy

 $T(r) \ge 2m_e/3$



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

We will solve this equation:





But that is not enough! Add corrections:

-Interactions with CMB

-Collisional ionisation:

-Relativistic effects:

Relativistic electrons contribute differently to the internal energy

 $T(r) \ge 2m_e/3$



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz



Comparing different mass profiles, all the same until R:

Now we can focus on the effect they will have on the background!

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Now we need to calculate the energy deposited into the background

The internal interactions of particles will emit light via bremsstrahlung

$$j_{\nu}(r) = \frac{8}{3} \left(\frac{2\pi m_e}{3T(r)}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\alpha^3}{m_e^2} g_{ff}(\nu, T(r)) e^{-2\pi\nu/T(r)} n_e(r) n_p(r)$$



Integrate frequency:

$$\mathcal{L}(r) = n_e(r)n_p(r)\alpha\sigma_{\rm T}T(r)\mathcal{J}(T(r)/m_e)$$

Integrate over space:

$$L = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}r \, 4\pi r^2 \left[\mathcal{L}(r) - \mathcal{L}(\infty) \right]$$

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Placing EDOs in our Universe



$$\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle = \frac{4\pi}{(2\pi \langle v_s^2 \rangle/3)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}v_{\rm rel} \, v_{\rm rel}^2 e^{-\frac{v_{\rm rel}^2}{2\langle v_s^2 \rangle/3}} \mathcal{L}|_{c_\infty \to \sqrt{c_\infty^2 + v_{\rm rel}^2}} \qquad \langle v_s^2 \rangle^{1/2} = \min[1, z/10^3] \times 30 \rm{km/s}$$

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Placing EDOs in our Universe



We can rescale uniform sphere to other solutions!!



Once we have the luminosity, we need to calculate the power density

$$\langle P(z) \rangle = \langle L(z) \rangle n_{\rm EDO}(z)$$

 $n_{\rm EDO}(z) = f_{\rm DM} \, \rho_{\rm DM}(z) / M$

Only a fraction of this energy that will get deposited in the background

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}T_{\mathrm{M}}}{\mathrm{d}z} = \frac{1}{(1+z)} \left[2T_{\mathrm{M}} + \frac{8\pi^{2}\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}T_{\mathrm{cmb}}^{4}}{45H(z)m_{e}} \frac{x_{e}}{1+x_{e}} (T_{\mathrm{M}} - T_{\mathrm{cmb}}) \right] - \frac{2}{3n} \frac{1+2x_{e}}{3H(z)(1+z)} \dot{\rho}_{\mathrm{dep}},$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{e}}{\mathrm{d}z} = C_{r}(z) \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{B}}(T_{\mathrm{M}})}{H(z)(1+z)} \left[nx_{e}^{2} + \left(\frac{m_{e}T_{\mathrm{M}}}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{E_{\mathrm{I}}}{T_{\mathrm{M}}}} (1-x_{e}) \right] - \frac{1-x_{e}}{3H(z)(1+z)} \frac{\dot{\rho}_{\mathrm{dep}}}{E_{\mathrm{I}}n}$$

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Solving the system of ODEs for the EDOs, we find the following modifications





At this point, we should use a Boltzmann code to constrain the ionisation history. However, we can recast previous results from PBHs (Ali-Haïmoud, Kamionkowski)



We will take $\,\Delta x_e(z\,=\,50)\,<\,10^{-4}\,$ as a constraining condition

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

<u>Results</u>

Uniform sphere:





Is the scaling reliable?

The important question: how can we extend this?

1)Plot the luminosity of a new Mass function, and find the necessary rescaling

2) Rescale the bounds from

However, getting to the luminosity was quite complicated already...



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz



Is the scaling reliable?

Different shapes on CMB accretion





Is the scaling reliable? YES!

Different shapes on CMB accretion





Finally combining with existing constraints, we obtain



DMLAND, Mainz



Is the scaling reliable?

YES!

The important question: how can we extend this?

Just rescale to R90 for your mass profile



<u>CMB constraints on Extended dark matter objects</u>



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Finally combining with existing constraints, we obtain





Finally combining with existing constraints, we obtain



DMLAND, Mainz

Extra!

Recently new bounds from dynamical heating of stars due to energetic EDOs (Graham and Ramani)



NFW mini-halo

Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Extra!

Recently new bounds from dynamical heating of stars due to energetic EDOs (Graham and Ramani)



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz

Extra!



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz



Sergio Sevillano Muñoz

DMLAND, Mainz