

Non-Supersymmetric Heterotic Branes

Justin Kaidi

Strings Breaking SUSY

November 22, 2023



UNIVERSITY *of* WASHINGTON

[2303.17623] **JK**, Ohmori, Tachikawa, Yonekura

[2010.10521] **JK**

Non-Supersymmetric Heterotic Strings

- We all are familiar with the $(E_8 \times E_8) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\text{Spin}(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ heterotic string theories.

- But there are known to be 7 other heterotic strings:

$$\mathfrak{e}_8, \quad \mathfrak{u}(16), \quad (\mathfrak{e}_7 \times \mathfrak{su}(2))^2, \quad \mathfrak{o}(8) \times \mathfrak{o}(24), \\ \mathfrak{o}(16) \times \mathfrak{e}_8, \quad \mathfrak{o}(32), \quad \mathfrak{o}(16) \times \mathfrak{o}(16)$$

- These were originally found in [Kawai, Lewellen, Tye '86; Dixon, Harvey '86].
 - Until very recently, it was unknown if these were all of the possibilities, or if there could be others. The completeness of this list was proven in [Boyle Smith, Lin, Tachikawa, Zheng '23] (see also [Rayhaun '23; Höhn, Möller '23]), where the set of all $c = 16$ spin-CFTs was classified.
- All of these theories are non-supersymmetric. All but the last one has a closed string tachyon.

Non-Supersymmetric Heterotic Strings

- The closed string tachyon is worrying, but not fatal. It simply indicates that we are expanding around the wrong vacuum.
- We will see that we can *condense* the tachyon to obtain theories in $d = 9, 8, 6, 2$, which have no tachyon, but have a linear dilaton [JK '20].
 - Stable, but the linear dilaton is a bit weird.
- What do these stable vacua describe? Why do they exist??
- One place where we are familiar with a linear dilaton is in the near-horizon region of an NS5-brane.
- So maybe these non-supersymmetric strings exist in order to describe the near-horizon regions of some branes?
 - The $d = 9, 8, 6, 2$ vacua would describe near-horizons of 7-, 6-, 4-, 0-branes.
- The branes would be in the supersymmetric $(E_8 \times E_8) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\text{Spin}(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ heterotic strings, and would break all supersymmetries.

Non-Supersymmetric Heterotic Branes

- No such branes are known in heterotic string theory. . .
- A related question: the old quantum gravity lore states that (see [McNamara, Vafa '19] for a modern version):

Any consistent theory of quantum gravity must contain objects carrying all possible charges.

- Basically any topological invariant should count as a charge. Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0((E_8 \times E_8) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) &\simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 & \pi_1(\text{Spin}(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2) &\simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ \pi_3((E_8 \times E_8) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) &\simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} & \pi_7(\text{Spin}(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2) &\simeq \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

- What carries the charges?
- They would capture non-trivial configurations on S^1, S^2, S^4, S^8 , which is just what is needed to surround a 7-,6-,4-,0-brane!

Outline

- **In the rest of this talk we will try to:**

Part I: Review the tachyonic heterotic strings, and show how to condense the tachyons to get stable, lower-dimensional vacua. [JK '20]

Part II: Explicitly construct the non-supersymmetric branes whose existence we hinted at earlier. [JK, Ohmori, Tachikawa, Yonekura '23]

- **If time permits, we will also discuss one of the branes in M-theory.**

Part I

Part I: Stable vacua for heterotic strings

Tachyonic Heterotic Strings

- The standard heterotic strings have the following worldsheet content:
 - Bosons: $X_{L,R}^i$ with $i = 1, \dots, 8$
 - Right-moving fermions: ψ_R^i with $i = 1, \dots, 8$
 - Left-moving fermions: λ_L^a with $a = 1, \dots, 32$
- When we perform the GSO projection, we have the freedom of assigning different spin structures for the left- and right-movers. Standard options:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_{T^2}^{so(32)} &= \frac{1}{4|\eta|^{16}} \sum_{gh=hg} \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Square with 4 tick marks]} \\ \hline \text{[Green vertical line]} \\ \hline \text{[Red horizontal line]} \\ \hline \end{array} \right)^8 \times \sum_{gh=hg} \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Square with 4 tick marks]} \\ \hline \text{[Blue horizontal line]} \\ \hline \text{[Orange vertical line]} \\ \hline \end{array} \right)^{32} \\
 Z_{T^2}^{\epsilon_8 \times \epsilon_8} &= \frac{1}{8|\eta|^{16}} \sum_{gh=hg} \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Square with 4 tick marks]} \\ \hline \text{[Green vertical line]} \\ \hline \text{[Red horizontal line]} \\ \hline \end{array} \right)^8 \times \sum_{gh=hg} \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Square with 4 tick marks]} \\ \hline \text{[Blue horizontal line]} \\ \hline \text{[Orange vertical line]} \\ \hline \end{array} \right)^{16} \times \sum_{gh=hg} \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Square with 4 tick marks]} \\ \hline \text{[Purple horizontal line]} \\ \hline \text{[Purple vertical line]} \\ \hline \end{array} \right)^{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

- This gives the two SUSY heterotic strings.

Tachyonic Heterotic Strings

- If we identify the left- and right-moving spin structures, we get a non-SUSY string [Kawai, Lewellen, Tye '86; Dixon, Harvey '86]

$$Z_{T^2}^? = \frac{1}{2|\eta|^{16}} \sum_{gh=hg} \left(\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \text{with 4 spin structures} \end{array} \right)^8 \times \left(\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \text{with 4 spin structures} \end{array} \right)^{32}$$

- To understand the properties of this string, we consider the level-matched partition function:

$$\int_0^1 d\tau_1 Z_{T^2}^? = 32(q\bar{q})^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 4032 + 188928(q\bar{q})^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(q\bar{q})$$

- The result is non-zero, so no spacetime SUSY. The spectrum contains:
 - 32 tachyons
 - 4032 massless bosons: can split into graviton (35), B-field (28), dilaton (1), and 496 gauge bosons of $\mathfrak{so}(32)$.
- So this is a non-SUSY, tachyonic $\mathfrak{so}(32)$ string.

Tachyonic Heterotic Strings

- Can construct other heterotic strings by further splitting the spin structures. Alternatively, note that the worldsheet has a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^5$ global symmetry generated by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1 &= \sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 , & g_2 &= \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 , \\
 g_3 &= \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 , & g_4 &= \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 , \\
 g_5 &= \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \sigma_3 .
 \end{aligned}$$

- We can now gauge $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, which breaks $\mathfrak{so}(32) \not\rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(2^{5-n}) \times \mathfrak{so}(32 - 2^{5-n})$

n	tachyons	massless fermions	gauge bosons	gauge algebra
0	32	0	496	$\mathfrak{so}(32)$
1	16	256	368	$\mathfrak{o}(16) \times \mathfrak{e}_8$
2	8	384	304	$\mathfrak{o}(8) \times \mathfrak{o}(24)$
3	4	448	272	$(\mathfrak{e}_7 \times \mathfrak{su}(2))^2$
4	2	480	256	$\mathfrak{u}(16)$
5	1	496	248	\mathfrak{e}_8

Tachyon Condensation

- All of the heterotic strings above have tachyons. We now try to condense them [Hellerman, Swanson '06; '07]
- Say $\tilde{\lambda}^a$ are the subset of λ_L^a covariant under $\mathfrak{so}(2^{5-n})$. Condensation produces a superpotential

$$W = \sum_{a=1}^{2^{5-n}} \tilde{\lambda}^a \mathcal{T}^a(X)$$

- Equation of motion for $\mathcal{T}^a(X)$ is

$$\partial^\mu \partial_\mu \mathcal{T}^a - 2\partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \mathcal{T}^a + \frac{2}{\alpha'} \mathcal{T}^a = 0$$

which admits the following solution:

$$\phi = -\frac{2^{\frac{3-n}{2}}}{\sqrt{\alpha'}} X^-, \quad \mathcal{T}^a = m \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha'}} e^{\beta X^+} X^{a+1}$$

Condensation to $d > 2$

- Plugging back in and computing the scalar potential gives

$$V = A e^{2\beta X^+} \sum_{a=1}^{2^{5-n}} (X^{a+1})^2 - B e^{\beta X^+} \sum_{a=1}^{2^{5-n}} \tilde{\lambda}_a \psi^{a+1} + \dots$$

- As $X^+ \rightarrow \infty$, fluctuations along $X^2, \dots, X^{2^{5-n}+1}$ are suppressed, and we get a theory in $d = 10 - 2^{5-n}$ localized at $X^2 = \dots = X^{2^{5-n}+1} = 0$.

n	d	massless fermions	gauge bosons	gauge algebra
3	6	112	266	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \times \mathfrak{e}_7$
4	8	240	255	$\mathfrak{su}(16)$
5	9	248	248	\mathfrak{e}_8

- Low-energy gravity+gauge theories can be checked to be anomaly-free!

Condensation to $d = 2$

- For $n < 2$, then $d = 10 - 2^{5-n} < 0$ so it's a bit different.
- In these cases we simply condense to $d = 2$, where dilaton background lifts remaining tachyons. This gives 2d strings found in [Davis, Larsen, Seiberg '05].
- To summarize, we have found that all non-SUSY heterotic strings admit lower-dimensional stable vacua, given by:

d	gauge algebra
9	\mathfrak{e}_8
8	$\mathfrak{su}(16)$
6	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \times \mathfrak{e}_7$
2	$\mathfrak{so}(24), \mathfrak{o}(8) \times \mathfrak{e}_8, \times \mathfrak{so}(24)$

- All of these vacua are anomaly-free and perturbatively stable. They all have a linear dilaton though.

Part II

Part II: Non-supersymmetric heterotic branes

The NS5-brane

- Recall another context in which a linear dilaton arises: the NS5-brane.
- In supergravity, we have the following extremal solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 ds_{\text{NS5}}^2 &= dx_{\parallel}^2 + e^{2\phi} dx_{\perp}^2 & H_{mnp} &= -\epsilon_{mnp}{}^q \partial_q \phi \\
 e^{2\phi} &= e^{2\phi(\infty)} + \frac{r_0^2}{r^2} & A_m &= -2\rho^2 \overline{\Sigma_{nm}} \frac{x^n}{r^2(r^2 + \rho^2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

where r is the transverse radial direction. [Callan, Harvey, Strominger '91]

- In the near-horizon limit $r \rightarrow 0$:

$$ds_{\text{NS5}}^2 = dx_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{r_0^2}{r^2} dr^2 + r_0^2 d\Omega_3^2 \qquad e^{2\phi} = \frac{r_0^2}{r^2}$$

- Or defining $y := \log \frac{r}{r_0}$,

$$ds_{\text{NS5}}^2 = dx_{\parallel}^2 + dy^2 + r_0^2 d\Omega_3^2 \qquad \phi = -y$$

The NS5-brane

- So the extremal, near-horizon solution is:

$$ds_{\text{NS5}}^2 = dx_{\parallel}^2 + dy^2 + r_0^2 d\Omega_3^2 \quad \phi = -y$$

with one unit of H flux through S^3 (in general r_0 is small though!)

- There is an exact worldsheet description for this near-horizon solution
[Callan, Harvey, Strominger '91]

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,5} \times \mathbb{R}_{\text{linear dilaton}} \times \mathfrak{su}(2)_{\bullet} \times \mathfrak{g}$$

- Intuitively: think of this as a 7d vacuum with a linear dilaton and $\mathfrak{su}(2) \times \mathfrak{g}$ gauge group.
- This is expected to be the holographic dual to the 6d LST living on the NS5 brane. [Aharony, Berkooz, Kutasov, Seiberg '98]

The 6-brane

- We now try an analogous thing for the 6-brane. Our considerations before suggest that the near-horizon limit is described by

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,6} \times \mathbb{R}_{\text{linear dilaton}} \times \mathfrak{su}(16).$$

- To reproduce this, we note that there exists a black 6-brane solution for the $\mathfrak{so}(32)$ heterotic string [Horowitz, Strominger '91]

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\left(1 - \frac{r_+}{r}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{r_-}{r}\right)} dt^2 + d\vec{x}^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{r_+}{r}\right)\left(1 - \frac{r_-}{r}\right)} + r^2 d\Omega_2^2$$

$$e^{-2\phi} = e^{-2\phi(\infty)} \left(1 - \frac{r_-}{r}\right) \quad 8r_+r_- = \alpha' \sum_{i=1}^{16} q_i^2$$

$$\frac{F_{\mathfrak{so}(32)}}{2\pi} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{16} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_i \\ -q_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\text{vol}(S^2)}{4\pi}$$

The 6-brane

- Taking the extremal, near-horizon limit gives:

$$ds^2 = dx_{\parallel}^2 + dy^2 + r_0^2 d\Omega_2^2 \quad \phi = -y$$

$$\frac{F_{\mathfrak{so}(32)}}{2\pi} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{16} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_i \\ -q_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\text{vol}(S^2)}{4\pi}$$

so we have an infinite throat with S^2 of constant size $r_0 := \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{16} q_i^2}$.

- An important fact about the $\mathfrak{so}(32)$ heterotic string is that the global form of the gauge group is $\text{Spin}(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2$. This makes it possible to choose $q_i = 1/2$.
- If we choose $q_i = 1/2$ for all i , then we preserve $\mathfrak{u}(16) \subset \mathfrak{so}(32)$.
- We expect this to give the six-brane, but note that $r_0 = (\alpha'/2)^{1/2}$, so that supergravity is not reliable.

The 6-brane

- At this point we transition to a worldsheet analysis. Worldsheet version of near-horizon limit would be (ignoring flux):

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,6} \times \mathbb{R}_{\text{linear dilaton}} \times (\mathcal{N} = (1, 0) S^2) \times \mathfrak{so}(32)_1$$

- It turns out that

$$\mathfrak{so}(32)_1 = [\mathfrak{su}(16)_1 \times \mathfrak{so}(2)_1] / (-1)^{F_L}$$

- With the flux, we can reorganize the worldsheet theory as

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,6} \times \mathbb{R}_{\text{linear dilaton}} \times [(\mathcal{N} = (1, 1) S^2) \times \mathfrak{su}(16)_1] / (-1)^{F_L}$$

(because vector bundle of $\mathfrak{so}(2)_1 = \text{tangent bundle of } S^2$)

- This is not a solution to the string equations of motion since it is not conformal. But it flows in the IR to the following theory:

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,6} \times \mathbb{R}_{\text{linear dilaton}} \times \mathfrak{su}(16)_1$$

The 6-brane

- The interpretation of this RG flow is that the S^2 dynamically shrinks away, leaving an 8d spacetime with linear dilaton and $su(16)$ gauge group. This is precisely the 8d vacuum from before!
- So indeed, the near-horizon limit of the 6-brane is described by this vacuum.
- What charge does the 6-brane carry?

- Recall that it sources the following flux: $\int_{S^2} \frac{F_{so(32)}}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{16} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- This is incompatible with the vector representation, but is compatible with the adjoint and one of the spinor representations.
- Given a $Spin(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ bundle, the obstruction to it being a $SO(32)$ bundle is captured by a class \tilde{w}_2 (c.f. $\pi_1(Spin(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$).
- This is the charge carried by the brane.

Other branes

- The key tool in the above analysis was the identity

$$\mathfrak{so}(32)_1 = [\mathfrak{su}(16)_1 \times \mathfrak{so}(2)_1] / (-1)^{F_L}$$

- There are three (actually 5) similar identities:

$$\mathfrak{so}(32)_1 = [\mathfrak{so}(24)_1 \times \mathfrak{so}(8)_1] / (-1)^{F_L}$$

$$(\mathfrak{e}_8 \times \mathfrak{e}_8)_1 = [(\mathfrak{e}_7 \times \mathfrak{e}_7)_1 \times \mathfrak{so}(4)_1] / (-1)^{F_L}$$

$$(\mathfrak{e}_8 \times \mathfrak{e}_8)_1 = [(\mathfrak{e}_8)_2 \times \mathfrak{so}(1)_1] / (-1)^{F_L}$$

- These can be used to give exact worldsheet descriptions for 0-, 4-, and 7-branes, respectively:
 - The 0-brane is an endpoint for the $\mathfrak{so}(32)$ heterotic string [Polchinski '05].
 - The 4-brane can be interpreted as an $M5$ stretched between two $M9$ s [Bergshoeff, Gibbons, Townsend '06] .
 - Going around the 7-brane flips the two \mathfrak{e}_8 factors.

Summary

- We have found 0- and 6-branes in the $Spin(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ heterotic string, as well as 4- and 7-branes in the $(E_8 \times E_8) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ heterotic string.
- The near-horizon limits of these branes were shown to be described by the stable, lower-dimensional vacua of the non-SUSY heterotic strings.
- By the holographic dictionary, the latter should provide holographic descriptions of the worldvolume theories of the branes.
- Phrased provocatively: the reason that the non-SUSY heterotic strings exist is to describe the worldvolume theories of the non-SUSY branes!
- There exist even more non-SUSY branes, which we are in the process of uncovering! [Dierigl, Heckman, Montero, Torres '22; . . .]

The End (for now)

Thank you!