The Elusive Universe: Dark Matter, Cosmic Neutrino, and 5th Forces



Yu-Dai Tsai University of California, Irvine (<u>yudait1@uci.edu</u>) Cornell \rightarrow Fermilab \rightarrow UC Irvine

Outline

- 1. Dark Matter & (Ultra) Precision Astrometry
- 2. Fifth Forces & General Relativity
- 3. Space Quantum Probe for Ultralight Dark Matter
- 4. Summary & Outlook



Vera Rubin Carnegie Institution for Science





Albert Einstein Mount Wilson Observatory, California

How do we know dark matter exist?



Modified from a slide from Tien-Tien Yu (Oregon)

DM Gravity in Smaller Scale?

Size







DM Gravitational Interactions

Precision Astrometry

Tsai *et al.*, arXiv:2210.03749 (under review by Nature Astronomy)



A question we asked



Solar System Objects

We need to go beyond it!

A question we asked



Stars



Solar System Objects

Yu-Dai Tsai (UC Irvine)

 $ho_{
m DM}(r)$ for solar system

 $\rho_{\rm DM}$ for galaxies

Crucial for Direct Detection of DM

Wave-like DM





Beyond Velocity: Perihelion Precession

Newton:
$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) = -G \frac{m_{\odot} m_*}{r^2} \mathbf{\hat{r}}$$
, no precession.

- *a* is the semi-major axis
- e is the eccentricity, quantify how non-spherical the orbit is.



- "Anomalous" precession of Mercury's perihelion
- One of the first ways to confirm General Relativity



Our Project: (Local) Dark Matter Induce Precession





Dark Matter Gravity:
$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2\pi}{3}Gm\rho_0\left(\frac{2r_0^3}{r^2} - 2r\right)\mathbf{\hat{r}}$$

 $\simeq -\frac{4\pi}{3}Gm\rho_0r\mathbf{\hat{r}} + \frac{4\pi}{3}Gm\rho_0\frac{r_0^3}{r^2}\mathbf{\hat{r}}$

Induced Precession: $\Delta arphi \simeq -4\pi^2
ho_0 a^3 (1-e^2)^{1/2}/M_{\odot}$

Asteroids & Planetary Defense



- Tracking asteroids is important to our safety
- We have space missions, like OSIRIS-REx, to track dangerous asteroids like Bennu, return sample.
- NASA Plan: OSIRIS-REx will track Apophis and become OSIRIS-APEX

Bennu Data from OSIRIS-REx

- Using OSIRIS-REx X-band radiometric & optical navigation tracking data from December 2018 to October 2020, detailed in, e.g., Farnocchia et al., Icarus 369 (2021) 114594.
- Geocentric pseudo-range points for the Bennu barycenter were derived; Each pseudo-range point represents the roundtrip light time from the geocenter to the Bennu barycenter corrected by solar relativistic effects.
- The uncertainty is 15 ns, corresponding to about **2 m**.



Robust Analysis: High-Fidelity Force Model

JPL Planetary Ephemerides DE424



Adding Dark Matter to the Force Model

Force terms considered by Davide Farnocchia

$$\begin{split} \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{i} &= \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\mu_{j} \left(\mathbf{r}_{j} - \mathbf{r}_{i} \right)}{r_{ij}^{3}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2(\beta + \gamma)}{c^{2}} \sum_{l \neq i} \frac{\mu_{l}}{r_{il}} - \frac{2\beta - 1}{c^{2}} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\mu_{k}}{r_{jk}} \right. \\ &+ \gamma \left(\frac{\dot{s}_{i}}{c} \right)^{2} + \left(1 + \gamma \right) \left(\frac{\dot{s}_{j}}{c} \right)^{2} - \frac{2(1 + \gamma)}{c^{2}} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{j} \\ &- \frac{3}{2c^{2}} \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j} \right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{j}}{r_{ij}} \right]^{2} + \frac{1}{2c^{2}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{j} - \mathbf{r}_{i} \right) \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{j} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{c^{2}} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\mu_{j}}{r_{ij}^{3}} \left\{ \left[\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j} \right] \cdot \left[(2 + 2\gamma) \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i} - (1 + 2\gamma) \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{j} \right] \right\} \left(\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i} - \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{j} \right) \\ &+ \frac{3 + 4\gamma}{2c^{2}} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\mu_{j} \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \end{split}$$

The **dark matter** contribution

$$egin{aligned} F(r) &= rac{2\pi}{3}Gm
ho_0\left(rac{2r_0^3}{r^2}-2r
ight)\mathbf{\hat{r}}\ &\simeq -rac{4\pi}{3}Gm
ho_0r\mathbf{\hat{r}} \end{aligned}$$

Estimating the ho_0 parameter

List of additional perturbations considered:

 Errors in planetary trajectories and masses; 2) Errors in perturber masses & trajectories; 3) Higher order relativistic terms; 4) Higher order gravity terms; 5) Simplified assumptions in nongravitational force model (non-spherical effects, Yarkovsky, solar torque, physical parameter evolution, etc.); 7) Solar mass loss and solar wind;
 Meteoroid impacts, Spacecraft interaction

New Model-Independent Constraints



- The horizontal lines are NOT error bars, but the coverage of the constraints.
- The effects of minor perturbers and and other perturbations in backup slides
- Bennu result: $ho_{
 m DM} \leq 1.1 imes 10^{-15} \
 m kg/m^3$

Implication of Our Constraints: DM Over-density (I)

1. Strong constraints on DM models predict local over-density in solar system, including solar halo, axion mini-cluster, solar basin, etc.



Implications of the Constraints (II)

2. Strong constraints on DM-SM long-range interaction,

only ~ 4-6 order stronger than gravity: very strong bound



Constraints on particle physics and cosmology motivated models, Tsai, et al, in progress

Implications of the Constraints: CvB (III)

 Close-to-leading constraints on cosmic neutrino background (CvB) over-density profile.

 $\eta \equiv n_{\nu}/\bar{n}_{\nu} \lesssim 3.4 \times 10^{11} (0.1 \text{ eV}/m_{\nu}), 95\% \text{ CL} \text{ [Planets]}$

Tsai, et al, <u>arXiv:2210.03749</u>

 $\eta \leq 1.1 \times 10^{11} (95\% \text{ CL})$, from $\nu_e + {}^{3}H \rightarrow {}^{3}H_e^+ + e^-$ KATRIN Col., *PRL* (2022), the leading lab constraint.

Outline

- 1. Dark Matter & Precision Astrometry
- 2. Fifth Forces & General Relativity
- 3. Space Quantum Probe for Ultralight Dark Matter
- 4. Summary & Outlook



Vera Rubin Carnegie Institution for Science



Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech



Albert Einstein Mount Wilson Observatory, California

Our Results: Fifth Forces



"Yukawa" Gravity Parametrization



Objects of Interest

Minor Planets	a [au]	\sim Numbers
Near-Earth Object (NEO)	$< 1.3^*$	> 25000
Main-Belt Asteroid (M)	$\sim 2-3$	~ 1 million
Hilda (H)	3.7 - 4.2	> 4000
Jupiter Trojan (JT)	5.2	> 9800
Trans-Neptunian Object (TNO)	> 30	2700
Extreme TNO (ETNO)	> 150	12

TABLE I. Targets for our future studies, for which exciting opportunities are provided by sheer numbers and observational programs, classified roughly based on their typical semimajor axes.

*NEOs are defined as having perihelia a(1 - e) < 1.3 au.



Summary of High-Energy Theory Targets

• GR Test:
$$\Delta \varphi = \frac{6\pi G M_{\odot}}{a(1-e^2)c^2} \left[\frac{4-\beta}{3}\right] \propto a^{-1}$$

- Fifth Forces: $|\Delta \varphi_{\phi,A'}| \simeq a(1-e) \left[\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 \frac{g^2}{4\pi G m_p^2} \frac{2\pi}{1+\frac{g^2}{4\pi G m_p^2}} \right] \propto a$ (light mediator limit $m \ll \hbar/ac$)
- Dark Matter: $\Delta \varphi \simeq -4\pi^2 \rho_0 a^3 (1-e^2)^{1/2}/M_\odot \propto a^3$
- Particle theory inputs are crucial
- Calling for modern data-analysis approaches

Outline

- 1. Dark Matter & Precision Astrometry
- 2. Fifth Forces & General Relativity
- 3. Space Quantum Probe for Ultralight Dark Matter
- 4. Summary & Outlook



NASA DSAC & Parker Solar Probe



- NASA Deep Space Atomic Clock (DSAC) loses one second every 10 million years, proven in controlled Earth tests.
- The clock has operated for more than 12 months in space; demonstrated long-term fractional frequency stability of 3 × 10⁻¹⁵ Burt et al., Nature 595 (2021) 43.
- Exceeds previous space clock performance by up to an order of magnitude



Size of PSP ~ 1.0 \times 3.0 \times 2.3 m (685 kg \rightarrow 555 kg)

Parker Solar Probe (PSP)

see, "Probing the energetic particle environment near the Sun," McComas, William Matthaeus et al, Nature (2019).

• Excited to learn from the experts!

My Question: Why don't we put a quantum clocks on a solar probe? What fundamental physics can we study?

SpaceQ Mission Concept





$$\phi(t, \vec{x}) = \phi_0 \cos(m_\phi t - \vec{k}_\phi \cdot \vec{x} + \dots).$$

(Non-relativistic solution)

 $\omega \simeq m_{\phi}.$

- **Oscillation frequency ~ dark matter mass**
- Propose a two-clock comparison experiment onboard a future Solar Probe

Projected Sensitivity for ULDM



Spatial Variation of Fundamental Constants

Tsai, Eby, Safronova, Nature Astronomy (2022)

$$k_X \equiv c^2 \frac{\delta X}{X \, \delta U}$$
. $K = \alpha, \mu, \text{ or } m_q / \Lambda_{QCD}$.

 δU : change in gravitational potential .

 $\delta U/c^2\simeq 3.3 imes 10^{-10},~$ Earth variation.

Safronova et al, Rev. Mod. Phys. (2018) Lange et al, PRL (2021)

 $\delta U/c^2 \sim 9 imes 10^{-8},~$ from Earth to Solar probe at 0.1 AU.

• Achieve constraints on k_X that are a factor of ~ 300 stronger!



Frederick Reines

Nobel Prize Laureate; Professor at UC Irvine Utilized a **nuclear reactor to study free neutrinos**

The Elusive Universe is at the horizon We have a practical roadmap forward to explore it wide & deep Thank you for listening!



A Strong Probe of the Elusive Universe

Coupling strength



Yu-Dai Tsai (UC Irvine)

Asteroids & Other Solar System Objects





Radar (Goldstone)



Optical (Pan-STARRS)



Space Missions

Use **millions of solar-system objects** to study many **fundamental physics topics.** Need **theory** & **data** expertise to realize the full potential of the dataset.