Searching for sub-GeV dark matter in celestial objects

Javier Acevedo November 7th 2023

Based on: JA, Leane & Santos-Olmsted, 2309.10843 JA, Leane & Smirnov, 2303.01516





J. F. Acevedo - MITP 2023 jfacev@stanford.edu

Celestial bodies as dark matter probes



J. F. Acevedo - MITP 2023 jfacev@stanford.edu

Celestial bodies as dark matter probes



potential DM signal

Celestial bodies as dark matter probes



- Kinetic heating
- Stellar transients
- Type-la supernovae
- Annihilation to various states
- Transport processes
- Gravitational waves

Celestial bodies as dark matter probes



- Kinetic heating
- Stellar transients
- Type-la supernovae
- Annihilation to various states
- Transport processes
- Gravitational waves

Celestial bodies as dark matter probes



dwarfs

- Kinetic heating
- Stellar transients
- Type-la supernovae
- Annihilation to various states
- Transport processes
- Gravitational waves

discuss potential for light DM searches in these



Capture rate in the optically thin limit:

$$C_{\rm WD} \sim \rho_{\chi} \times \left(\frac{\sigma_{n\chi}}{\sigma_{n\chi}^{\rm geom}}\right) \times F\left(m_{\chi}, m_N, v_{\chi}, v_N, R_{\rm WD}\dots\right)$$



Capture rate in the optically thin limit:

$$C_{\rm WD} \sim \rho_{\chi} \times \left(\frac{\sigma_{n\chi}}{\sigma_{n\chi}^{\rm geom}}\right) \times F\left(m_{\chi}, m_{N}, v_{\chi}, v_{N}, R_{\rm WD} \dots\right)$$

density



Capture rate in the optically thin limit:

$$C_{\rm WD} \sim \rho_{\chi} \times \left(\frac{\sigma_{n\chi}}{\sigma_{n\chi}^{\rm geom}}\right) \times F\left(m_{\chi}, m_{N}, v_{\chi}, v_{N}, R_{\rm WD} \dots\right)$$

density fraction



Capture rate in the optically thin limit:

$$C_{\rm WD} \sim \rho_{\chi} \times \left(\frac{\sigma_{n\chi}}{\sigma_{n\chi}^{\rm geom}}\right) \times F\left(m_{\chi}, m_N, v_{\chi}, v_N, R_{\rm WD}\dots\right)$$

density fraction

some complicated function of kinematics

(ion velocity, WD motion, etc.)

for more details:

JA, Leane & Santos-Olmsted, 2309.10843

* will revisit later



Compared to other objects:

- High density
- Relatively large radius
- Low evaporation mass*
- Distances O(pc)
- High internal temperature

* will revisit later



Compared to other objects:

- High density
- Relatively large radius
- Low evaporation mass*

- Distances O(pc)

- High internal temperature

* will revisit later



Compared to other objects:

- High density
- Relatively large radius
- Low evaporation mass*
- Distances O(pc)

- High internal temperature

some works circumvent this by considering Globular Cluster M4

DM content is uncertain there

Annihilation to long-lived mediators



Goal: target the Galactic Center where DM content is known to be high and WDs are abundant

J. F. Acevedo - MITP 2023 jfacev@stanford.edu











Sub-GeV (and TeV) DM Limits



JA, Leane & Santos-Olmsted, 2309.10843



Evaporation: thermal upscattering of the DM to the escape velocity

e.g. for the Sun:

$$\frac{3}{2}T_{\text{central}} \sim \frac{GM_*m_{\chi}}{R_*} \longrightarrow m_{\chi} \gtrsim 0.91 \text{ GeV}$$



Accurate evaporation rate:

$$\Gamma_{\text{evap}} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\phi_{\text{grav}}(r)}{T(r)}\right) \exp\left(-\tau(r)\right)$$



Accurate evaporation rate:

$$\Gamma_{\text{evap}} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\phi_{\text{grav}}(r)}{T(r)}\right) \exp\left(-\tau(r)\right)$$

escape energy vs. temperature

for full details see e.g. Gould, Astrophys. J. 356 (1990)



Accurate evaporation rate:

$$\Gamma_{\text{evap}} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\phi_{\text{grav}}(r)}{T(r)}\right) \exp\left(-\tau(r)\right)$$

escape energy vs. temperature optical depth to surface

for full details see e.g. Gould, Astrophys. J. 356 (1990)



Accurate evaporation rate:

$$\Gamma_{\text{evap}} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\phi_{\text{grav}}(r)}{T(r)}\right) \exp\left(-\tau(r)\right)$$
escape energy vs. optical depth to surface

Usual assumptions:

- DM-SM contact interactions

- Only gravity and temperature matters

Net DM number given by:
$$\dot{N}_{\chi} = \Gamma_{\rm cap} - \Gamma_{\rm evap} N_{\chi} - \Gamma_{\rm ann} N_{\chi}^2$$

SLAC

Net DM number given by:
$$\dot{N}_{\chi} = \Gamma_{\rm cap} - \Gamma_{\rm evap} N_{\chi} - \Gamma_{\rm ann} N_{\chi}^2$$



27



SLAC

SLAC



- Light scalars, or vectors w/ correct charge assignment mediate long-range DM-SM attractive forces.

- Light scalars, or vectors w/ correct charge assignment mediate long-range DM-SM attractive forces.

- DM particles "see" the large density of particles in celestial objects.



- Light scalars, or vectors w/ correct charge assignment mediate long-range DM-SM attractive forces.

- DM particles "see" the large density of particles in celestial objects.



- Celestial objects source a potential for the DM:

$$\phi_{\text{barrier}}(r) \sim \frac{n_{\text{SM}}(r)}{m_{\phi}^2}$$

JA, Leane & Smirnov, 2303.01516 32



Gravity Only



Gravity + Barrier



- Enhanced annihilation rate
- Increased overburden for evaporation
- Increased escape energy

Gravity Only



Gravity + Barrier



- Enhanced annihilation rate
- Increased overburden for evaporation
- Increased escape energy

exponential suppression to evaporation rate

Evaporation mass changes when: $\phi_{\text{barrier}}(r) \gtrsim \phi_{\text{grav}}(r)$





JA, Leane & Smirnov, 2303.01516

 $m_{\gamma}^{\rm evap}$



JA, Leane & Smirnov, 2303.01516





JA, Leane & Smirnov, 2303.01516

J. F. Acevedo - MITP 2023 jfacev@stanford.edu

New Parameter Space - Jupiter & Brown Dwarfs



SLAC

JA, Leane & Smirnov, 2303.01516

38

New Parameter Space - Earth & Sun



Final Remarks

Part I

- Galactic Center WDs can be very sensitive sub-GeV DM detectors.
- Depending on the halo profile, they outperform direct detection by up to 9 orders of magnitude.

Final Remarks

Part I

- Galactic Center WDs can be very sensitive sub-GeV DM detectors.
- Depending on the halo profile, they outperform direct detection by up to 9 orders of magnitude.

Part II

- Other non-compact objects can also serve as light DM detectors if attractive long-range forces exist in the dark sector.

- Data from celestial objects should be analyzed to the fullest extent that experimental thresholds allow (i.e. no cutoffs at the usually quoted evaporation mass).

SLAC