Charged VS Neutral correlators Isospin breaking in τ data for $(g-2)_{\mu}$

Mattia Bruno work in collab. with T. Izubuchi, C. Lehner, A. Meyer, X. Tuo for the RBC/UKQCD collaborations



Isospin-breaking effects on precision observables in Lattice QCD University of Mainz, Germany, July 24th

INTRODUCTION

Hadronic spectral densities

at low energies dominated by non-perturbative effects

extracted from experiments

extracted from lattice calculations, require solving inverse problems

Integrated hadronic densities relevant for SM phenomenology

Hadronic-Vacuum-Polarization piece of $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ directly in Euclidean space-time [Blum '02][Bernecker,Meyer '11] neutral (EM current) spectral density inclusive τ decays (mild) inverse problem [ETMC '23] charged (weak current) spectral density

Goal: study relation charged vs neutral densities for $(g-2)_{\mu}$



NEW YORK TIMES Aug 10 2023

Compared with the traditional prediction, the latest g-2 measurement has a discrepancy of over 5-sigma, which corresponds to a one in 3.5 million chance that the result is a fluke, ...

A newer technique called a lattice calculation, which uses supercomputers to model the universe as a four-dimensional grid of space-time points, has also emerged. There's just one problem: It generates a g-2 prediction that differs from the traditional approach.

Rarely in physics does an experiment surpass the theory, but this is one of those times, Dr. Pitts said. "The attention is on the theoretical community," he added. "The limelight is now on them."



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

DISPERSIVE APPROACH Method

$$a_{\mu} = rac{lpha}{\pi} \int rac{ds}{s} rac{K(s,m_{\mu})}{\pi} rac{{
m Im}\Pi(s)}{\pi}$$
 [Brodsky, de Rafael '68]

analyticity
$$\hat{\Pi}(k^2) = \Pi(k^2) - \Pi(0) = \frac{k^2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty ds \frac{\mathrm{Im}\Pi(s)}{s(s-k^2-i\varepsilon)}$$

unitarity
Im
$$\sqrt{\left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right|} = \sum_{X} \left| \sqrt{\left| \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right|}^{2} \qquad \frac{4\pi^{2}\alpha}{s} \frac{\mathrm{Im}\Pi(s)}{\pi} = \sigma_{e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma^{\star} \rightarrow \mathrm{had}}$$

$$v_0(s) = \frac{\text{Im}\Pi(s)}{\pi} = \frac{s}{4\pi\alpha^2}\sigma_{\text{had}}(s)$$
 spectral function



DISPERSIVE APPROACH Breakdown

[White Paper '20, DHMZ19]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Combination of m_{μ} and $\rho\text{-meson}$ physics $\rightarrow\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ dominant channel



DISPERSIVE APPROACH

Tensions in $\pi^+\pi^-$ channel

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

5/34

[CMD3 2302.08834]

Large tensions among experiments: BaBar, KLOE, CMD3



combination of different experiments?

error of $\pi\pi$ contribution to a_{μ} ?



$\underset{\tau \text{ decays}}{\text{MOTIVATIONS}}$



V - A current Final states I = 1 charged

au data can improve $a_{\mu}[\pi\pi]$ o 72% of total Hadronic LO

 \rightarrow competitive precision on a^W_{μ}

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

ISOSPIN CORRECTIONS

Status

Restriction to $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$ and $\tau^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\,\nu_\tau$

$$v_0(s) = \frac{s}{4\pi\alpha^2} \sigma_{\pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)}(s)$$

$$v_{-}(s) = \frac{m_{\tau}^{2}}{6|V_{ud}|^{2}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\pi\pi^{0}}}{\mathcal{B}_{e}} \frac{1}{N_{\pi\pi^{0}}} \frac{dN_{\pi\pi^{0}}}{ds} \left(1 - \frac{s}{m_{\tau}^{2}}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{2s}{m_{\tau}^{2}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{S_{\rm EW}}$$

Isospin correction $v_0 = R_{\rm IB}v_ R_{\rm IB} = \frac{\text{FSR}}{G_{\rm EM}}\frac{\beta_0^3 |F_{\pi}^0|^2}{\beta_-^3 |F_{\pi}^-|^2}$ [Alemani et al. '98]

- 0. $S_{\rm EW}$ electro-weak radiative correct. [Marciano, Sirlin '88][Braaten, Li '90]
- **1.** Final State Radiation of $\pi^+\pi^-$ system [Schwinger '89][Drees, Hikasa '90]
- 3. Phase Space ($eta_{0,-}$) due to $(m_{\pi^{\pm}}-m_{\pi^0})$



RADIATIVE CORRECTIONS

Long-distance effects

 At low energies relevant degrees of freedom are mesons

 Chiral Perturbation Theory
 [Cirigliano et al. '01, '02]

 Meson dominance model
 [Flores-Talpa et al. '06, '07]

Corrections casted in one function $v_{-}(s) \rightarrow v_{-}(s)G_{\rm EM}(s)$

Real photon corrections



Real + virtual

A B A B A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

 \rightarrow IR divergences cancel

Virtual photon corrections





FORM FACTORS

Pheno models



Sources of IB breaking in phenomenological models

$$m_{
ho^0}
eq m_{
ho^\pm}$$
, $\Gamma_{
ho^0}
eq \Gamma_{
ho^\pm}$, $m_{\pi^0}
eq m_{\pi^\pm}$
 $ho - \omega$ mixing $\delta_{
ho\omega} \simeq O(m_u - m_d) + O(e^2)$



STATUS

From the (g-2) White Paper

" ... it appears that, at the required precision to match the e^+e^- data, the present understanding of the IB corrections to τ data is unfortunately not yet at a level allowing their use for the HVP dispersion integrals. "

"The ratio $|F_0(s)/F_-(s)|^2$ is the most difficult to estimate reliably, since a number of different IB effects may contribute."



ROADMAP

- 1. EM corrections to hadronic τ decays
- 2. connect differential rates w/ Euclidean correlators
- 3. charged vs neutral correlators in Lattice $\mathsf{QCD}{+}\mathsf{QED}$



DEFINITIONS

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

DI MILANO

12/34

э

Hadronic currents

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{\gamma}_{\mu} &= Q_{\mathrm{u}} \overline{u} \gamma_{\mu} u + Q_{\mathrm{d}} \overline{d} \gamma_{\mu} d \\ \mathcal{J}^{-}_{\mu} &= \overline{u} \gamma_{\mu} d \,, \quad \mathcal{J}^{1}_{\mu} = \frac{Q_{\mathrm{u}} - Q_{\mathrm{d}}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{u} \gamma_{\mu} d \end{aligned}$$

Hadronic phase-space factor, i labels hadrons

$$d\Phi_f(p) \equiv (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p - \sum_i p_i) S_f \prod_i \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_i}$$

Charged spectral densities

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\mu\nu}^{\mathsf{w}}(p) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^4x \, e^{ipx} \, \langle 0 | \mathcal{J}_{\mu}^+(x) \, \mathcal{J}_{\nu}^-(0) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_f \int d\Phi_f \, \langle 0 | \mathcal{J}_{\mu}^+(0) | p_1 \cdots, \text{out} \rangle \langle p_1 \cdots, \text{out} | \mathcal{J}_{\nu}^-(0) | 0 \rangle \\ &= (g_{\mu\nu} - p_{\mu} p_{\nu}) \, \rho^{\mathsf{w}}(s) \qquad [s = p^2] \end{split}$$

HADRONIC au DECAYS Fermi theory

$$\mathcal{M}_f(P,q,p_1\cdots p_{n_f}) = \frac{G_{\rm F}V_{\rm ud}}{\sqrt{2}} \,\bar{u}_\nu(-q)\gamma_\mu^L u_\tau(P)\,\langle \text{out}, p_1\cdots p_{n_f}|\mathcal{J}_\mu^-(0)|0\rangle$$



Charged spectral density isospin limit = $\rho^{w,0}$ $\left[d\Phi_q = \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_q} \right]$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma(s)}{ds} &= G_{\rm F}^2 |V_{\rm ud}|^2 \frac{m^3}{16\pi^2} \left(1 + \frac{2s}{m^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{s}{m^2}\right)^2 \rho^{\rm w,0}(s) \\ &= G_{\rm F}^2 |V_{\rm ud}|^2 \frac{m^3}{16\pi^2} \,\kappa(s) \,\rho^{\rm w,0}(s) \end{aligned}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Electronic rate} \\ \text{Eliminating } \textit{G}_{\mathrm{F}} \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_e &= \Gamma(\tau \to e \overline{\nu} \nu) = \frac{\mathcal{B}_e \Gamma}{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{G_{\rm F}^2 m_\tau^5}{192 \pi^3} \\ \text{conventionally } \rho^{\rm w,0}(s) = \frac{m_\tau^2}{12 \pi^2 |V_{\rm ud}|^2 \kappa(s)} \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{B}_e} \frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{ds} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} O(\alpha) \text{ correction finite in Fermi theory} & [\text{Kinoshita, Sirlin '59}] \\ \Gamma_e &= \frac{G_{\rm F}^2 m_{\tau}^5}{192\pi^3} \Big[1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \Big(\frac{25}{4} - \pi^2 \Big) \Big] \Big[1 + O(m_W^2/m_{\tau}^2) + O(m_e^2/m_{\tau}^2) \Big] \\ &\rightarrow 0.4\% \text{ correction} \end{split}$$

BICOCCA

W REGULARIZATION

Short-distance effects

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

[Sirlin '82][Marciano, Sirlin '88][Braaten, Li '90]

Effective Hamiltonian $H_W \propto G_F O_{\mu\nu}$

 $G_{
m F}$ low-energy constant; 4-fermion operator $O_{\mu
u}$

At $O(\alpha)$ new divergences in EFT \rightarrow need regulator, Z factors



 $\frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{1}{k^2 - m_W^2} - \frac{m_W^2}{k^2(k^2 - m_W^2)}$

[Sirlin '78]

1. universal UV divergences re-absorbed in $G_{\rm F}$

2. process-specific corrections in ${\cal S}_{EW}$, like a ${\cal Z}$ factor

Effective Hamiltonian at $O(\alpha)$: $H_W \propto G_F S_{EW}^{1/2} O_{\mu\nu}$ matching required as noted by [Carrasco et al '15][Di Carlo et al '19]



IR DIVERGENCES

Book-keeping tool

Collect Feynman graphs in 3 classes which are individually IR safe: Factorizable leptonic corrections (initial state) Factorizable QCD corrections (final state) Non-factorizable corrections (initial-final state)



ISOSPIN BREAKING

Wave-function renormalization

$$Z_{\tau} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[\log \frac{m_{\tau}}{\mu} + 2 \log \frac{m_{\gamma}}{m_{\tau}} + \cdots \right]$$
$$\frac{d\Gamma}{ds} \simeq 2 \times \frac{1}{2} [Z_{\tau} - 1] |\mathcal{M}|^2$$
$$\delta Z_{\tau} \equiv \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \log(m_W/m_{\tau}) \qquad \text{[Sirlin '82]}$$



 τ Bremsstrahlung

 $\frac{d\Gamma}{ds} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left[G_{\log}(s, m_{\gamma}) + G_1(s) + G_2(s) \right]$ $G_{\log}(s, m_{\gamma}) = \log \frac{m_{\gamma}}{m_{\tau}} + \cdots$ $\delta \kappa(s) \equiv G_{\log}(s, m_{\tau}) + G_1(s) + G_2(s)$ [Cirigliano et al '00, '01][MB et al, in prep]





ISOSPIN BREAKING

Initial-final state

Virtual photon loop



Lepton-Hadrons bremsstrahlung interfence From EFT and 2π [Cirigliano et al' 00, '01] Structure-independent captured by EFT _____ Structure-dependent meson dominance [Flores-Talpa et al. '06, '07]





LONG-DISTANCE CORRECTIONS



 $\delta\kappa$ is channel and m_{γ} independent [MB et al, in prep] $\Delta_{\kappa\rho} \rightarrow 2\pi$, point-like, m_{γ} independent [Cirigliano et al '01, '02]



< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三)

INTERMEZZO

Capitano

A numerical *n*-particle phase-space integrator Grid/GPT backend, support for several parallelization schemes partial support for 1-loop Passarino-Veltman functions no support for MCMC yet (needed for >=6 particles) currently private, soon public github.com/mbruno46



Used to cross-check analytic formulae Example: Dalitz plot τ Bremsstrahlung \rightarrow wrong boundary: finite m_{γ} effects



a_μ ON THE LATTICE Window fever

Hadronic Vacuum Polarization (HVP) contribution to a_{μ}

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Time-momentum representation} & \mbox{[Bernecker, Meyer, '11]} \\ G^{\gamma}(t) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k} \int d\vec{x} \ \langle j_{k}^{\gamma}(x) j_{k}^{\gamma}(0) \rangle & \rightarrow & a_{\mu} = 4\alpha^{2} \sum_{t} w_{t} G^{\gamma}(t) \\ \end{array}$

Windows in Euclidean time

 $\begin{aligned} a^W_\mu &= 4\alpha^2 \sum_t w_t \, G^\gamma(t) \left[\Theta(t,t_0,\Delta) - \Theta(t,t_1,\Delta) \right] \\ t_0 &= 0.4 \text{ fm} \quad t_1 = 1.0 \text{ fm} \quad \Delta = 0.15 \text{ fm} \end{aligned}$

allow for in-depth cross-checks

BICOCCA 21/34

[RBC/UKQCD '18]

TENSIONS

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



DEGLI STUDI DEGLI STUDI DI C O C C A E $\mathcal{O} \land \mathcal{O}$ 22/34







23/34

DI MILANC



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶





ISOSPIN BREAKING Final state





・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

ISOSPIN BREAKING

Strategy

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- 1. take experimental $d\Gamma/ds$ (e.g. Aleph13, Belle08)
- 2. $\delta\kappa$ initial state corrections: analytic, under control

4. define
$$\delta\Gamma_{EM} \equiv \delta\kappa(s) + \Delta_{\kappa\rho}(s)$$
 and calculate:

$$\frac{m_{\tau}^2}{12\pi^2 G_{\rm F}^2 |V_{\rm ud}|^2 \kappa(s)} \frac{1}{S_{EW}} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \delta \Gamma_{EM}(s)} \Big[\frac{\mathcal{B}_e}{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{ds} \Big]_{\rm exp} = \rho^{\rm w,0}(s) + \delta \rho(s)$$

- 5. Laplace transfrom to Euclidean time
- 6. add difference $ee-\tau$ evaluated from LQCD+QED



SYNERGY



from QCD we need a 4-point function f(x, y, z, t): known kernel with details of photons and muon line 1 pair of point sources (x, y), sum over z, t exact at sink stochastic sampling over (x, y) (based on |x - y|) Successfull strategy: x10 error reduction [RBC '16]



from QCD we need a 4-point function f(x, y, z, t): $(g-2)_{\mu}$ kernel + photon propagator Similar problem \rightarrow re-use HLbL point sources!



The RBC & UKQCD collaborations

University of Bern & Lund Dan Hoying

BNL and BNL/RBRC

Peter Boyle (Edinburgh) Taku Izubuchi Yong-Chull Jang Chulwoo Jung Chulwoo Jung Christopher Kelly Meifeng Lin Nobuyuki Matsumoto Shigemi Ohta (KEK) Amarjit Soni Raza Sufian Tianle Wang

<u>CERN</u>

Andreas Jüttner (Southampton) Tobias Tsang

Columbia University

Norman Christ Sarah Fields Ceran Hu Yikai Huo Joseph Karpie (JLab) Erik Lundstrum Bob Mawhinney Bigeng Wang (Kentucky)

University of Connecticut

Tom Blum Luchang Jin (RBRC) Douglas Stewart Joshua Swaim Masaaki Tomii

Edinburgh University

Matteo Di Carlo Luigi Del Debbio Felix Frhen Vera Gülpers Maxwell T Hansen Tim Harris Rvan Hill Raoul Hodgson Nelson Lachini 7i Yan Li Michael Marshall Fionn Ó hÓgáin Antonin Portelli James Richings Azusa Yamaguchi Andrew Z.N. Yong

Liverpool Hope/Uni. of Liverpool Nicolas Garron

Nicolas Garron

LLNL

Aaron Meyer

<u>University of Milano Bicocca</u> Mattia Bruno

<u>Nara Women's University</u> Hiroshi Ohki <u>Peking University</u> Xu Feng

University of Regensburg

Davide Giusti Andreas Hackl Daniel Knüttel Christoph Lehner Sebastian Spiegel

RIKEN CCS

Yasumichi Aoki

University of Siegen

Matthew Black Anastasia Boushmelev Oliver Witzel

University of Southampton

Alessandro Barone Bipasha Chakraborty Ahmed Elgaziari Jonathan Flynn Nikolai Husung Joe McKeon Rajnandini Mukherjee Callum Radley-Scott Chris Sachraida

Stony Brook University

Fangcheng He Sergey Syritsyn (RBRC)

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト



Contribution to
$$a_{\mu}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Time-momentum representation} & [\text{Bernecker, Meyer, '11}] \\ G^{\gamma}(t) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k} \int d\vec{x} \ \langle j_{k}^{\gamma}(x) j_{k}^{\gamma}(0) \rangle & \rightarrow & a_{\mu} = 4\alpha^{2} \sum_{t} w_{t} G^{\gamma}(t) \end{array}$

Isospin decomposition of u, d current

$$j_{\mu}^{\gamma} = \frac{i}{6} \left(\bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} u + \bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} d \right) + \frac{i}{2} \left(\bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} u - \bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} d \right) = j_{\mu}^{(0)} + j_{\mu}^{(1)}$$

$$\begin{split} &\frac{i}{2} \left(\bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} u - \bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} d \right), \begin{bmatrix} I = 1 \\ I_3 = 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow j^{(1,-)}_{\mu} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} d \right), \begin{bmatrix} I = 1 \\ I_3 = -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\text{Isospin 1 charged correlator } G^W_{11} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_k \int d\vec{x} \, \left\langle j^{(1,+)}_k(x) j^{(1,-)}_k(0) \right\rangle \end{split}$$

$$G_{II'}^{\gamma} \equiv \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k} \int d\vec{x} \langle j_{k}^{(I)}(x) \, j_{k}^{(I')}(0) \rangle \,, \quad \delta G^{11} \equiv G_{11}^{\gamma} - G_{11}^{W}$$



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

SAMPLING STRATEGY

$$\tilde{V}_{\Gamma}(x_0, z_0, r) = \sum_{\vec{x}, \vec{z}} \operatorname{tr} \Big[\Gamma D^{-1}(x, 0) \gamma_{\nu} D^{-1}(0, z) \Gamma D^{-1}(z, r) \gamma^{\nu} D^{-1}(r, x) \Big]$$
$$V_{\Gamma}(|x_0 - z_0|) = \sum_{r} \Delta(r) \tilde{V}_{\Gamma}(x_0, z_0, r)$$





contract photon offline \rightarrow study QED_L vs $\operatorname{QED}_\infty$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Sparse propagators

Vector effective mass observable dependent, low stat. but good guidance





EXAMPLE

Diagram V

IB corrections for charged (τ) and neutral (ee) [MB et al PoS'18] difference of τ and ee spectral densities in Euclidean time



first calculations of all diagrams [BMWc '20,'24] ongoing RBC/UKQCD effort significant stat. improvement for leading-diagrams first results for sub-leading diagrams



INCLUSIVITY PROBLEM

Take $\Delta_{\kappa\rho}$ from EFT \rightarrow restrict to two-pion channel discard G_{00}^{γ} , keep G_{01}^{γ}

Lattice calculation fully inclusive in energy (cut at m_{τ}) and channels G_{01} mostly dominated by $\pi\pi$. Is it correct? simple estimate $a^{W}[3\pi] \leq 20\%$ of $a^{W}[2\pi]$ [MB Edinburgh '22]

Isospin-breaking in 2π and 3π from [Colangelo et al 22][Hofericther et al '23] IB correction of $a^W[3\pi]\approx -1\cdot 10^{-10}$ IB correction of $a^W[2\pi]\approx +1\cdot 10^{-10}$ warning if precision from Lattice $\ll 2\cdot 10^{-10}$



LONG-DISTANCE

Intermediate two-pion channel effective field theory meson dominance models

[Cirigliano et al '01, '02] [Flores-Talpa et al. '06, '07]

<ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Full estimate in LQCD+QED integral of inf.vol. kernel w/ 3-point QCD correlators



CONCLUSIONS

Windows very powerful quantities: intermediate window a^W_μ hadronic τ -decays can shed light on tension lattice vs e^+e^-

au data very competitive on intermediate window historic tension w/ ee data and in IB au effects ongoing blinded analysis of Aleph < 1% accuracy on a_{μ}^{W}

Work in progress to finalize full formalism W-regularization and short-distance corrections (re-)calculation of initial state rad.cor. numerical calculation of final state IB corrections relevant also for QED correction to HVP

Thanks for your attention



[MB et al, in prep]