

Energy-energy correlators in inclusive jets in heavy ion collisions

EXCELENCIA MARÍA DE MAEZTU 2024-2029

Energy Correlators at the Collider Frontier, MITP, Mainz, Germany, July 9th, 2024.

In collaboration with C. Andres, J. Holguin, C. Marquet, and I. Moult















Fabio Dominguez IGFAE, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela





Cofinanciado por la Unión Europea





- Quark-initiated jet with known initial energy $E(\gamma/Z)$ -jet) \bullet

$$\frac{d\Sigma^{(n)}}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{qg}} \int dz \left(\frac{d\sigma_{qg}^{\text{vac}}}{d\theta dz} + \frac{d\sigma_{qg}^{\text{med}}}{d\theta dz} \right) z^n (1-z)^n + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu_s}{E}\right)$$

+ In this case energy loss effects are subleading and there is no need for resummation of soft emissions



- Quark-initiated jet with known initial energy $E(\gamma/Z)$ -jet) ullet

$$\frac{d\Sigma^{(n)}}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{qg}} \int dz \left(\frac{d\sigma_{qg}^{\text{vac}}}{d\theta dz} + \frac{d\sigma_{qg}^{\text{med}}}{d\theta dz} \right) z^n (1-z)^n + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu_s}{E}\right)$$
Includes collinear resummation

+ In this case energy loss effects are subleading and there is no need for resummation of soft emissions



- Quark-initiated jet with known initial energy $E(\gamma/Z)$ -jet) \bullet
 - +



In this case energy loss effects are subleading and there is no need for resummation of soft emissions

$$z^n(1-z)^n + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu_s}{E}\right)$$

eading order in the number of emissions



- Quark-initiated jet with known initial energy $E(\gamma/Z)$ -jet)



- Medium-splittings calculated on a brick
- Two available approximations:

 - Opacity expansion (GLV): possible unitarity issues, no eikonal assumptions involved +

+ In this case energy loss effects are subleading and there is no need for resummation of soft emissions

$$z^n (1-z)^n + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu_s}{E}\right)$$

eading order in the number of emissions



Soft approximation ($z \rightarrow 0$, keeping zE finite) common in energy loss calculations not suitable here

Semi-hard approximation: resums multiple scatterings, ignores momentum broadening in an eikonal approach FD, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila <u>1907.03653</u> Isaksen, Tywoniuk 2107.02542

Sievert, Vitev <u>1807.03799</u>



General expected features of EECs in heavy ion collisions

- Medium-modifications are not enhanced by large logs and can be computed to LO in the number of splittings
- Shape of correlators is not modified at very small angles
- Medium-enhancement at large angles deviates from vacuum power-law behavior
 - Amplitude of enhancement is model dependent



What is missing to have a meaningful comparison to data?

- In-medium splitting calculations must be improved ●
 - Go beyond the semi-hard approximation ◆
 - Implement evolving media
- Energy loss effects must be included for inclusive jets measurements given the dependence on the hard scale
- Wake, medium response not included in this talk





Improvements to calculations of in-medium splittings

Single scattering: allow medium parameters to change with evolving medium \bullet

- Multiple scatterings:
 - broadening
 - Allow medium parameters to change with evolving medium ◆
 - ◆ (harmonic) approximation in an evolving medium, but it takes much longer to run

Computed first corrections to semi-hard approximation to account for transverse momentum

We can now completely remove the semi-hard approximation in the soft multiple scattering Not yet implemented



Realistic medium evolution

• Express medium parameters entering our calculations in terms of temperature extracted from hydro simulations

Multiple scatterings: $\hat{q}(t) = k_{\rm HO} T^3(\xi(t))$

Single scattering: $n(t) = k_{\text{GLV}}T(\xi(t))$

Average over sample of possible trajectories for a given centrality class

$$\langle A_{\xi} \rangle_{\xi} = \frac{1}{N} \int \mathrm{d}\phi \,\mathrm{d}x_0 \,\mathrm{d}y_0 \,w(x_0, y_0) \,A_{\xi}$$

 $w(x_0, y_0) = T_A(x_0, y_0) T_A(\boldsymbol{b} - (x_0, y_0))$

$$\mu^2(t) = 6\pi\alpha_s T^2(\xi(t))$$





Energy loss in heavy ion collisions

- Medium interactions enhance the probability of having soft emissions at large angles
- Reconstructed jet energy is lower than the initial parton energy
- Energy lost by a jet susceptible to jet-by-jet fluctuations
- Narrow jet expected to lose less energy than broader jets







Energy loss in EECs in heavy ion collisions

- shape of the correlator
- hard scale. Selection bias
- In particular, the hadronization transition will be shifted towards smaller angles ullet



• For the γ/Z -jet case energy loss is expected to be subdominant and not change significantly the

• For inclusive jets measurements, energy loss effects become important given that they shift the

Model for the hadronization transition in pp

Interpolate smoothly between the hadron gas region and the perturbative result \bullet



Y. L. Dokshitzer, G. Marchesini and B. R. Webber, hep-ph/9512336

$$=\frac{R_L}{B_0 + B_2 R_L^2},$$

with $\frac{B_0}{B_2} \sim \theta_{\rm NP}^2$



Model for the hadronization transition in pp

Interpolate smoothly between the hadron gas region and the perturbative result \bullet



 \bullet massive particle

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{qg}}{\mathrm{d}R_L}\Big|_{R_L < 1} \sim \frac{R_L}{R_L^2 + \Theta^2}, \qquad \text{with}$$

Y. L. Dokshitzer, G. Marchesini and B. R. Webber, hep-ph/9512336

$$= \frac{R_L}{B_0 + B_2 R_L^2}, \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{B_0}{B_2} \sim \theta_{\text{NP}}^2$$

Same result can be obtained from the small-angle limit of the cross-section for radiation off a



$$\Theta = \frac{m}{E}$$



Energy loss through quenching weights Salgado, Wiedemann hep-ph/0302184

- For sufficiently narrow jets, the medium does not resolve the jet substructure and it losses energy \bullet as a single source (totally coherent limit)
- \bullet spectrum of soft medium-induced emissions

$$P_{\xi}(\epsilon) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left[\int \mathrm{d}\omega_i \, \frac{\mathrm{d}I_{\xi}}{\mathrm{d}\omega} \right] \, \delta\left(\epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_i\right) \exp\left[-\int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I_{\xi}}{\mathrm{d}\omega}\right]$$

 \bullet trajectories

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma(Q)}{\mathrm{d}R_L} = \int \mathrm{d}\epsilon \quad \left\langle P_{\xi}(\epsilon) \; \frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma_{\xi}^{\mathrm{NP}}(Q+\epsilon)}{\mathrm{d}R_L} \right\rangle_{\xi} \; \frac{1}{\sigma_{q+X}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{q+X}}{\mathrm{d}E_q} \Big|_{E_q = Q+\epsilon}$$

• Corrections to this approach due to jet fluctuations can be calculated Mehtar-Tani, Tywoniuk 1707.07361

Assuming independent emissions, the distribution of lost energy can be computed from the

This distribution is then convoluted with the observable at a higher hard scale and averaged over

Barata, Caucal, Soto-Ontoso, Szafron 2312.12527



- No modification to the shape of the correlators at small angles
- Normalization chosen such that quotient is 1 at small angles

EECs for γ/Z -jets

EEC for inclusive jets



angle enhancement does not seem to change

• Shift in the energy scale appears as not trivial structure at small angles, while large

EEC for inclusive jets



angle enhancement does not seem to change

• Shift in the energy scale appears as not trivial structure at small angles, while large

EEC for inclusive jets



angle enhancement does not seem to change

• Shift in the energy scale appears as not trivial structure at small angles, while large

Summary and outlook

- Selection bias appears in the correlators as a negative slope in the small angle region
- Medium-induced splittings create an enhancement at larger angles \bullet
- One free parameter + normalization is not fixed lacksquare

- ullet
- Comparisons with several p_T bins and centralities will provide a more complete picture

Further improvements in the calculation will allow us to fix the free parameter using other measurements

Thank you!

Results in the semi-hard approximation



- No modification at small angles
- Transition towards medium-induced enhancement at larger angles lacksquare
- Varying \hat{q} has different effects depending on medium resolution

C. Andres, FD, R. K. Elayavalli, J. Holguin, C. Marquet, I. Moult, arXiv:2209.11236 C. Andres, FD, J. Holguin, C. Marquet, I. Moult, arXiv:2303.03413

Results for first order in opacity



- Similar qualitative features compared to the semi-hard approach lacksquare
- Transition towards medium-enhancement not so well defined ullet
- Enhancement at large angles has a much smaller amplitude \bullet

C. Andres, FD, J. Holguin, C. Marquet, I. Moult, arXiv:2303.03413

Calculation of in-medium splittings

- DGLAP limit)
- the medium are suppressed by powers of the energy



of propagators

 Collinear (high-energy) limit: All particles have a large longitudinal momentum compared to their transverse momenta (small angles,

• Decoupling of transverse and longitudinal dynamics: Effects coming from the transverse (with respect to the direction of the jet) structure of

Medium interactions are resummed through in-medium propagators

Cross section are expressed in terms of medium averages of products

FD, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila <u>1907.03653</u>

Semi-hard approximation

Isaksen, Tywoniuk <u>2107.02542</u>

Isaksen, Tywoniuk <u>2107.02542</u> FD, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila <u>1907.03653</u>

as a Wilson line in a straight trajectory in coordinate space

Semi-hard approximation

as a Wilson line in a straight trajectory in coordinate space

 $\mathcal{G}_R(t_2, \boldsymbol{x}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1; \omega) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_1}^{\boldsymbol{x}_2} \mathcal{D} \boldsymbol{r} \exp \left\{$

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0,R}(t_2, \boldsymbol{x}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1; \omega) V_R(t_2, t_1; [\boldsymbol{x}_{cl}])$

Semi-hard approximation

$$\left\{\frac{i\omega}{2}\int_{t_1}^{t_2} d\xi \ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^2(\xi)\right\} \underbrace{\operatorname{Pexp}\left\{ig\int_{t_1}^{t_2} d\xi \ A_R^-(\xi, \boldsymbol{r}(\xi))\right\}}_{V_R(t_2, t_1; [\boldsymbol{r}])}$$

as a Wilson line in a straight trajectory in coordinate space

$$\mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}; t_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}; \omega) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{r} \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}(\xi)\right\} \underbrace{\operatorname{P} \exp\left\{ig \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ A_{R}^{-}(\xi, \boldsymbol{r}(\xi))\right\}}_{V_{R}(t_{2}, t_{1}; [\boldsymbol{r}])}$$

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0,R}(t_2, \boldsymbol{x}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1; \omega) V_R(t_2, t_1; [\boldsymbol{x}_{cl}])$

Neglect additional transverse momentum broadening \bullet

Semi-hard approximation

as a Wilson line in a straight trajectory in coordinate space

$$\mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}; t_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}; \omega) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{r} \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}(\xi)\right\} \underbrace{\operatorname{P} \exp\left\{ig \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ A_{R}^{-}(\xi, \boldsymbol{r}(\xi))\right\}}_{V_{R}(t_{2}, t_{1}; [\boldsymbol{r}])}$$

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0,R}(t_2, \boldsymbol{x}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1; \omega) V_R(t_2, t_1; [\boldsymbol{x}_{cl}])$

Neglect additional transverse momentum broadening \bullet

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{p}_{2};t_{1},\boldsymbol{p}_{1};\omega) &\to e^{-i\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{2\omega}(t_{2}-t_{1})} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}} e^{-i(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}-\boldsymbol{p}_{0})\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{n}t]) \\ &\to (2\pi)^{2} \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}-\boldsymbol{p}_{1}) e^{-i\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{2\omega}(t_{2}-t_{1})} V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{n}t]) \end{aligned}$$

Semi-hard approximation

as a Wilson line in a straight trajectory in coordinate space

$$\mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}; t_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}; \omega) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{r} \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}(\xi)\right\} \underbrace{\operatorname{P} \exp\left\{ig \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ A_{R}^{-}(\xi, \boldsymbol{r}(\xi))\right\}}_{V_{R}(t_{2}, t_{1}; [\boldsymbol{r}])}$$

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0,R}(t_2, \boldsymbol{x}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1; \omega) V_R(t_2, t_1; [\boldsymbol{x}_{cl}])$

Neglect additional transverse momentum broadening ullet

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{p}_{2};t_{1},\boldsymbol{p}_{1};\omega) &\to e^{-i\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{2\omega}(t_{2}-t_{1})} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}} e^{-i(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}-\boldsymbol{p}_{0})\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{n}t]) \\ &\to (2\pi)^{2} \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}-\boldsymbol{p}_{1}) e^{-i\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{2\omega}(t_{2}-t_{1})} V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{n}t]) \end{aligned}$$

ulletbut it is not necessary

Semi-hard approximation

• Take in-medium propagators in the extreme eikonal limit where they can be written

The harmonic approximation (HO) is usually employed when using this approach

as a Wilson line in a straight trajectory in coordinate space

$$\mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}; t_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}; \omega) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{r} \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}(\xi)\right\} \underbrace{\operatorname{P} \exp\left\{ig \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ A_{R}^{-}(\xi, \boldsymbol{r}(\xi))\right\}}_{V_{R}(t_{2}, t_{1}; [\boldsymbol{r}])}$$

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0,R}(t_2, \boldsymbol{x}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1; \omega) V_R(t_2, t_1; [\boldsymbol{x}_{cl}])$

Neglect additional transverse momentum broadening ullet

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{p}_{2};t_{1},\boldsymbol{p}_{1};\omega) &\to e^{-i\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{2\omega}(t_{2}-t_{1})} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}} e^{-i(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}-\boldsymbol{p}_{0})\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{n}t]) \\ &\to (2\pi)^{2} \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}-\boldsymbol{p}_{1}) e^{-i\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{2\omega}(t_{2}-t_{1})} V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{n}t]) \end{aligned}$$

- ulletbut it is not necessary
- Angular and time scales are easily identifiable from the analytic formulas \bullet

Semi-hard approximation

• Take in-medium propagators in the extreme eikonal limit where they can be written

The harmonic approximation (HO) is usually employed when using this approach

Isaksen, Tywoniuk 2107.02542 FD, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila <u>1907.03653</u>

as a Wilson line in a straight trajectory in coordinate space

$$\mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}; t_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}; \omega) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{r} \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}(\xi)\right\} \underbrace{\operatorname{P} \exp\left\{ig \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ A_{R}^{-}(\xi, \boldsymbol{r}(\xi))\right\}}_{V_{R}(t_{2}, t_{1}; [\boldsymbol{r}])}$$

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0,R}(t_2, \boldsymbol{x}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1; \omega) V_R(t_2, t_1; [\boldsymbol{x}_{cl}])$

Neglect additional transverse momentum broadening \bullet

$$\mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2}, \boldsymbol{p}_{2}; t_{1}, \boldsymbol{p}_{1}; \omega) \to e^{-i\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{2}^{2}}{2\omega}(t_{2}-t_{1})} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}} e^{-i(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}-\boldsymbol{p}_{0})\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} V_{R}(t_{2}, t_{1}; [\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{n}t])$$
$$\to (2\pi)^{2} \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}-\boldsymbol{p}_{1}) e^{-i\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{2}^{2}}{2\omega}(t_{2}-t_{1})} V_{R}(t_{2}, t_{1}; [\boldsymbol{n}t])$$

- ulletbut it is not necessary
- Angular and time scales are easily identifiable from the analytic formulas \bullet
- Numerical evaluations are straightforward in the large- N_c limit

Semi-hard approximation

• Take in-medium propagators in the extreme eikonal limit where they can be written

The harmonic approximation (HO) is usually employed when using this approach

• Corrections due to momentum broadening can be easily included

 $\mathcal{G}_R(t_2, \boldsymbol{p}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{p}_1; \omega) \rightarrow e^{-i \frac{p_2^2}{2\omega}(t_2, \boldsymbol{p}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{p}_1; \omega)}$

 $\rightarrow (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}$

• Corrections due to momentum broadening can be easily included

$$\sum_{x}^{2-t_{1}} \int_{x} e^{-i(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}-\boldsymbol{p}_{0})\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{n}t])$$

$$\sum_{x}^{2} (\boldsymbol{p}_{2}-\boldsymbol{p}_{1}) e^{-i\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{2\omega}(t_{2}-t_{1})} V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{n}t])$$

•



Corrections due to momentum broadening can be easily included

•



Corrections due to momentum broadening can be easily included

FD, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila <u>1907.03653</u>



• In the large- N_c limit, eikonal approximation can also be relaxed, with increased complexity in the formulas and higher computational cost for numerical evaluations

Corrections due to momentum broadening can be easily included

FD, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila 1907.03653

 \bullet

$$\mathcal{G}_R(t_2, \boldsymbol{p}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{p}_1; \omega) \to e^{-i \frac{p_2^2}{2\omega}(t_2, \omega)}$$

 $\to (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2\pi)}$

numerical evaluations

$$\mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}; t_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}; \omega) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{r} \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}(\xi)\right\} \underbrace{\operatorname{P} \exp\left\{ig \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ A_{R}^{-}(\xi, \boldsymbol{r}(\xi))\right\}}_{V_{R}(t_{2}, t_{1}; [\boldsymbol{r}])}$$

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0,R}(t_2, \boldsymbol{x}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1; \omega) V_R(t_2, t_1; [\boldsymbol{x}_{cl}])$

Corrections due to momentum broadening can be easily included



FD, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila 1907.03653

• In the large- N_c limit, eikonal approximation can also be relaxed, with increased complexity in the formulas and higher computational cost for

 \bullet

$$\mathcal{G}_R(t_2, \boldsymbol{p}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{p}_1; \omega) \to e^{-i \frac{p_2^2}{2\omega}(t_2)}$$

 $\to (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}$

numerical evaluations

$$\mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{x}_{2};t_{1},\boldsymbol{x}_{1};\omega) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{r} \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}(\xi)\right\} \underbrace{\operatorname{P}\exp\left\{ig \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ A_{R}^{-}(\xi,\boldsymbol{r}(\xi))\right\}}_{V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{r}])} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0,R}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{wrt},\boldsymbol{r}_{1};\omega) \underbrace{V_{L}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{r}])}_{V_{L}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{r}])}$$

Corrections due to momentum broadening can be easily included



FD, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila <u>1907.03653</u>

• In the large- N_c limit, eikonal approximation can also be relaxed, with increased complexity in the formulas and higher computational cost for

 \bullet

$$\mathcal{G}_R(t_2, \boldsymbol{p}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{p}_1; \omega) \to e^{-i \frac{p_2^2}{2\omega}(t_2)}$$

 $\to (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}$

numerical evaluations

$$\mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{x}_{2};t_{1},\boldsymbol{x}_{1};\omega) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{r} \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}(\xi)\right\} \underbrace{\operatorname{P} \exp\left\{ig \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ A_{R}^{-}(\xi,\boldsymbol{r}(\xi))\right\}}_{V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{r}])}$$

$$\rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{G}_{0,R}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{t}_{2},\boldsymbol{r}_{2};\omega)}_{(t_{2},\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{t}_{2},\boldsymbol{t}_{2};\omega)} \underbrace{V_{L}(t_{2},t_{2};t_{1};[\boldsymbol{r}])}_{V_{L}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{t}_{2};\boldsymbol{t}_{1};[\boldsymbol{r}])} \\\operatorname{Blaizot, FD, Iancu, Mehtar-Tani 1209.4585}_{Apolinario, Armesto, Milhano, Salgado 1407.0599}$$

Corrections due to momentum broadening can be easily included



FD, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila <u>1907.03653</u>

• In the large- N_c limit, eikonal approximation can also be relaxed, with increased complexity in the formulas and higher computational cost for

$$\mathcal{G}_R(t_2, \boldsymbol{p}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{p}_1; \omega) o e^{-i rac{p_2^2}{2\omega}(t_2, \omega)}$$

 $o (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}$

numerical evaluations

$$\mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{x}_{2};t_{1},\boldsymbol{x}_{1};\omega) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{r} \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}(\xi)\right\} \underbrace{\operatorname{P} \exp\left\{ig \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ A_{R}^{-}(\xi,\boldsymbol{r}(\xi))\right\}}_{V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{r}])}$$

$$\rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{G}_{0,R}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{\omega},t_{2},\boldsymbol{x}_{1};(\omega)) V_{\omega}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{x}_{c}])}_{V_{w}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{x}_{c}])} \underset{\text{Blaizot, FD, lancu, Mehtar-Tani 1209.4585}}{\operatorname{Blaizot, FD, lancu, Mehtar-Tani 1209.4585}}_{Apolinario, Armesto, Milhano, Salgado 1407.0599}$$

$$\rightarrow g_{0,R(\iota_2,\ldots,\iota_n)}$$

solved

Corrections due to momentum broadening can be easily included



FD, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila <u>1907.03653</u>

• In the large- N_c limit, eikonal approximation can also be relaxed, with increased complexity in the formulas and higher computational cost for

• Going beyond the large- N_c limit requires a much more complex setup where a system of coupled differential equations must be numerically

Isaksen, Tywoniuk 2303.12119

$$\mathcal{G}_R(t_2, \boldsymbol{p}_2; t_1, \boldsymbol{p}_1; \omega) \to e^{-i \frac{p_2^2}{2\omega}(t_2, \omega)}$$

 $\to (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}$

numerical evaluations

$$\mathcal{G}_{R}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{x}_{2};t_{1},\boldsymbol{x}_{1};\omega) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{r} \exp\left\{\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}(\xi)\right\} \underbrace{\operatorname{P} \exp\left\{ig \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} d\xi \ A_{R}^{-}(\xi,\boldsymbol{r}(\xi))\right\}}_{V_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{r}])}$$

$$\rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{G}_{0,R}(t_{2},\boldsymbol{w}_{2},\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2},\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2},\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2};\omega)}_{(t_{2},t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{x}_{c}])} \underbrace{\mathcal{V}_{R}(t_{2},t_{1};[\boldsymbol{r}])}_{\text{Blaizot, FD, lancu, Mehtar-Tani 1209.4585}}$$
Apolinario, Armesto, Milhano, Salgado 1407.0599

Goin wher SOIVE

Corrections due to momentum broadening can be easily included



FD, Milhano, Salgado, Tywoniuk, Vila 1907.03653

<u>3.12119</u>

• In the large- N_c limit, eikonal approximation can also be relaxed, with increased complexity in the formulas and higher computational cost for

> Done only for $\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ and the code for this solution has stability problems beyond a restricted area in parameter and the stability problems beyond a restricted area in parameter space and will not be used for this talk

Results for a brick with the harmonic approximation



- Large reduction of the enhancement amplitude
- broadening correction is included
- medium-enhancement at large angles still valid



• Small dip before transitioning to medium-enhancement, already present when the

Overall picture of no modification at small angles followed by a transition to