Super-leading logarithms from effective field theory

Thomas Becher University of Bern

Festsymposium "The EFT Kaleidoscope" on the occasion of the 60th birthday of Matthias Neubert MITP Mainz, May 11, 2023

UNIVERSITÄT BERN

AEC ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS

Festsymposium "The EFT Kaleidoscope" on the occasion of the 60th birthday of Matthias Neubert MITP Mainz, May 11, 2023

UNIVERSITÄT BERN

AEC ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS

A long-standing and super-pleasant collaboration with a leading physicist on the resummation of large logarithms from effective field theory

> **Thomas Becher** University of Bern

Festsymposium "The EFT Kaleidoscope" on the occasion of the 60th birthday of Matthias Neubert MITP Mainz, May 11, 2023

A 20 year journey to exclusivity Super-leading logarithms from effective field theory

> **Thomas Becher** University of Bern



UNIVERSITÄT

BERN

23 years,36 common papers,5700 email messages ...

Kaffeetassen und Becher sind im Hörsaal nicht erlaubt No coffee cups in the lecture hall

from Hartmut Wittig



Source: Tina Neubert

High-school band "solution", Siegen, < 1980

High-school band "solution", Siegen, < 1980

PhD in Heidelberg, 1990

SLAC–PUB–6263 June 1993 T/E

Heavy Quark Symmetry

Matthias Neubert¹ Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309

Abstract

We review the current status of heavy quark symmetry and its applications to weak decays of hadrons containing a single heavy quark. After an introduction to the underlying physical ideas, we discuss in detail the formalism of the heavy quark effective theory, including a comprehensive treatment of symmetry breaking corrections. We then illustrate some nonperturbative approaches, which aim at a dynamical, QCD-based calculation of the universal form factors of the effective theory. The main focus is on results obtained using QCD sum rules. Finally, we perform an essentially model-independent analysis of semileptonic B meson decays in the context of the heavy quark effective theory.

(to appear in Physics Reports)

 $^1\mathrm{Supported}$ by the Department of Energy under contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

postdoc at SLAC, 1992

CERN (1993-1998)

Cornell (1999-2006)

Cornell (1999-2006)

Lieber Herr Neubert,

Ich bin eben von meinem Besuch am Forschungszentrum Juelich zurueckgekehrt und kann Ihnen noch meine Ankunftszeit in Ithaca mitteilen. [...] Ich fliege am Montag, dem 6. Maerz in Zuerich ab und [...] werde Groessenordnung 21:00 in Ithaca eintreffen und kann dann meine Wohnung beziehen.

Ich habe einen Stadtplan von Cornell und weiss, wo das Newman Laboratory liegt. Ich waere froh, wenn Sie mir das Stockwerk und die Nummer ihres Bueros angeben koennten, sodass ich Sie am Dienstag morgen finde.

On Sun, 13 Feb 2000, Thomas Becher wrote:

Mit freundlichen Gruessen,

Thomas Becher

An effective field theory for collinear and soft gluons: Heavy to light decays

Christian W. Bauer,¹ Sean Fleming,² Dan Pirjol,¹ and Iain W. Stewart¹

¹*Physics Department, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California* 92093 ²*Physics Department, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213* (Received 30 November 2000; published 7 May 2001)

VOLUME 83, NUMBER 10

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

6 September 1999

QCD Factorization for $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ Decays: Strong Phases and *CP* Violation in the Heavy Quark Limit

M. Beneke,¹ G. Buchalla,¹ M. Neubert,² and C. T. Sachrajda³

¹Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

²Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom (Received 17 May 1999)

We show that, in the heavy quark limit, the hadronic matrix elements that enter B meson decays into two light mesons can be computed from first principles, including "nonfactorizable" strong interaction corrections, and expressed in terms of form factors and meson light-cone distribution amplitudes. The conventional factorization result follows in the limit when both power corrections in $1/m_b$ and radiative corrections in α_s are neglected. We compute the order- α_s corrections to the decays $B_d \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $B_d \to \pi^0 \pi^0$, and $B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$ in the heavy quark limit and briefly discuss the phenomenological implications for the branching ratios, strong phases and CP violation.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 11.30.Er, 12.38.Bx, 12.39.Hg

PHYSICAL REVIEW D, VOLUME 63, 114020

Effective field theory methods for collider physics

- Momentum regions as fields
- Factorization using operator methods
- Resummation by RG evolution in EFT
- On-shell matching: partonic processes are EFT Wilson coefficients

- Operator definitions of hard, jet and soft functions
- First two-loop computations in SCET (J and S)

TB, Neubert, Phys.Lett.B 633 (2006) 739, Phys.Lett.B 637 (2006) 251

Ø the **ONION**[®] 1 August 2001

Bush Finds Error In Fermilab Calculations

BATAVIA, IL--President Bush met with members of the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory research team Monday to discuss a mathematical error he recently discovered in the famed laboratory's "Improved Determination Of Tau Lepton Paths From Inclusive Semileptonic *B*-Meson Decays" report.

"I'm somewhat out of my depth here," said Bush, a longtime Fermilab follower who describes himself as "something of an armchair physicist." "But it seems to me that, when reducing the perturbative uncertainty in the determination of V_{ub} from semileptonic Beta decays, one must calculate the rate of Beta events with a standard dilepton invariant mass at a subleading order in the hybrid expansion. The Fermilab folks' error, as I see it, was omitting that easily overlooked mathematical transformation and, therefore, acquiring incorrectly re-summed logarithmic corrections for the b-quark mass. Obviously, such a miscalculation will result in a precision of less than 25 percent in predicting the resulting path of the tau lepton once the value for any given decaying tau neutrino is determined."

The Bush correction makes it possible for scientists to further study the tau lepton, a subatomic particle formed by the collision of a tau neutrino and an atomic nucleus.

Bush resisted criticizing the Fermilab scientists responsible for the error, saying it was "actually quite small" and that "anyone could have made the mistake."

"High-energy physics is a complex and demanding field, and even top scientists drop a decimal point or two every now and then," Bush said. "Also, I **went wrong in their calculations.** might hasten to add that what I pointed out was more a correction of method than of mathematics. Experimental results on the Tevatron accelerator would have exposed the error in time, anyway."

Fermilab director Michael Witherell said the president was being too modest "by an order of magnitude."

"In addition to gently reminding us that even the best minds in the country are occasionally fallible, President Bush has saved his nation a few million dollars," Witherell said. "We would have made four or five runs on the particle accelerator with faulty data before figuring out what was wrong. But, thanks to Mr. Bush, we're back on track."

"It's true, I dabbled in the higher maths during my Yale days," said Bush, who spent three semesters as an assistant to Drs. Kasha and Slaughter at Yale's renowned Sloane High-Energy Physics Lab. "But I didn't have the true gift for what Gauss called 'the musical language in which is spoken the very universe.' If I have any gift at all, it's my instinct for process and order."

Continued Bush: "As much as I enjoyed studying physics at Yale, by my junior year it became apparent that I could far better serve humanity through a career in statecraft."

Above: Bush circles the crucial misstep. While he says he is "flattered and honored" by the tau-neutrino research team's request that he review all subsequent Fermilab publications on lepton-path determination, Bush graciously declined the "signal honor."

"This sort of thing is best left to the likes of [Thomas] Becher and [Matthias] Neubert, not a dilettante such as myself," Bush said. "I just happened to have some time on the plane coming back from the European G8 summit, decided to catch up on some reading, and spotted one rather small logarithmic branching-ratio misstep in an otherwise flawless piece of scientific scholarship. Anyone could have done the same."

 $i \int d^{4}y e^{-i\tau_{B}Y} \langle p(p) | T(V \cdot A) p(0) j_{B}^{\dagger}(y) \langle p($

Above: Bush shows Fermilab scientists where they went wrong in their calculations.

Ø the **ONION**[®] 1 August 2001

Bush Finds Error In Fermilab Calculations

BATAVIA, IL--President Bush met with members of the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory research team Monday to discuss a mathematical error he recently discovered in the famed laboratory's "Improved Determination Of Tau Lepton Paths From Inclusive Semileptonic *B*-Meson Decays" report.

"I'm somewhat out of my depth here," said Bush, a longtime Fermilab follower who describes himself as "something of an armchair physicist." "But it seems to me that, when reducing the perturbative uncertainty in the determination of V_{ub} from semileptonic Beta decays, one must calculate the rate of Beta events with a standard dilepton invariant mass at a subleading order in the hybrid expansion. The Fermilab folks' error, as I see it, was omitting that easily overlooked mathematical transformation and, therefore, acquiring incorrectly re-summed logarithmic corrections for the b-quark mass. Obviously, such a miscalculation will result in a precision of less than 25 percent in predicting the resulting path of the tau lepton once the value for any given decaying tau neut

ator

mist

mıg

"This sort of thing is best left to the likes of [Thomas] Becher and [Matthias] Neubert, not a dilettante such as myself," Bush said. "I just happened to have some time on the plane coming back from the European G8 summit, decided to catch up on some reading, and spotted one rather small logarithmic branching-ratio misstep in an otherwise flawless piece of scientific scholarship. Anyone could have done the same."

renowned Sloane High-Energy Physics Lab. "But I didn't have the true gift for what Gauss called 'the musical language in which is spoken the very universe.' If I have any gift at all, it's my instinct for process and order."

Continued Bush: "As much as I enjoyed studying physics at Yale, by my junior year it became apparent that I could far better serve humanity through a career in statecraft."

Above: Bush circles the crucial misstep. While he says he is "flattered and honored" by the tau-neutrino research team's request that he review all subsequent Fermilab publications on lepton-path determination, Bush graciously declined the "signal honor."

"This sort of thing is best left to the likes of [Thomas] Becher and [Matthias] Neubert, not a dilettante such as myself," Bush said. "I just happened to have some time on the plane coming back from the European G8 summit, decided to catch up on some reading, and spotted one rather small logarithmic branching-ratio misstep in an otherwise flawless piece of scientific scholarship. Anyone could have done the same."

e're

- No need for numerical Mellin inversion

Threshold resummation

Aspen February 2006

3rd SCET workshop, Tucson March 2006

Infrared Singularities of *n*-Leg Amplitudes

- On-shell amplitudes are EFT Wilson coefficients
 - Correspondence of IR to UV divergences. Renormalization

$$|\mathcal{M}_n(\{\underline{p}\},\mu)\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0}$$

Z-factor from anomalous dimension

$$\boldsymbol{Z}(\epsilon, \{\underline{p}\}, \mu) = \mathbf{P} \exp\left[\int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu'}{\mu'} \, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\{\underline{p}\}, \mu')\right]$$

TB, Neubert, Phys.Rev.Lett. 102 (2009) 162001, JHEP 06 (2009) 081

$$\mathbf{Z}^{-1}(\epsilon, \{\underline{p}\}, \mu) | \mathcal{M}_n(\epsilon, \{\underline{p}\}) \rangle$$

Strong constraints on the anomalous dimension $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$

- Soft-collinear factorization
- Collinear limits, forward limit, ...
- Non-abelian exponentiation for S

TB, Neubert, Phys.Rev.Lett. 102 (2009) 162001, JHEP 06 (2009) 081 Gardi, Magnea *JHEP* 03 (2009) 079

+Dixon JHEP 02 (2010) 081

$d\sigma \sim H(\{s_{ij}\},\mu) \prod_{i} J_i(M_i^2,\mu) \otimes S(\{\Lambda_{ij}^2\},\mu)$

INSPIRE HEP @inspirehep · Apr 24 Steven Weinberg's 1965 Phys.Rev. article "Infrared photons and gravitons" inspirehep.net/literature/487... reaches 1,000 citations.

#topcites @APSPublishing

This is an extreme case of a sleeping beauty. With very few citations in its first 40 years.

a very active reseach area!

see talks by Einan, Leonardo, Lorenzo and Ze Long

...

Rigi October 2008

q_T resummation for Z production

Factorization theorem

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq_T dy} = \sum_{ab=q,\bar{q}} \int d^2 x_\perp e^{-iq_\perp \cdot x_\perp} H_{ab}(Q^2,\mu) \mathcal{B}_{ab}(Q^2,\mu) \mathcal$$

- Ingredients fulfil RG evolution equations
- S corresponds to emissions off initial hard $q\bar{q}$ -pair
- Additional large logarithms in **BBS**

*m*_p

U

UNIVERSITÄT BERN

ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER

 \bigcirc

 q_T

g and s need additional regulator α

 $\mathcal{J}(\mu) \, \mathcal{J}(\mu) \, \mathcal{S}(\mu) =$

but product well-defined in limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$

Anomaly: additional regularization breaks rescaling symmetry of SCET, which would guarantee Q-independence. Symmetry is not recovered in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Resummations up to N⁴LL (3-loop matching, 4-loop anomalous)

- CuTe TB and Neubert, Wilhelm JHEP 02 (2012) 124
- Event based resummation TB, Hager 2019
- CuTe-MCFM TB and Neumann '20
- N⁴LL_p + NNLO Neumann, Campbell '22
- see also: Chen et. al., 2203.01565 Radish+NNLOJet
- Comparison plot from ALTAS LHC Seminar by S. Camarda, April 18, 2023
- dimensions!), but only for very inclusive observables (`global event shapes")

Channel islands, Santa Barbara, 2011

Traditional resummation methods (such as SCET) restricted to global observables which do not involve angular cuts on hadronic radiation.

Non-global observables such as

production)

involve very intricate structure of soft radiation

- Salam '02
- Seymour '06

jet cross sections or isolation-cone cross sections (relevant for γ

secondary emissions: non-global logarithms (NGLs) Dasgupta,

 hadronic collisions: complex phases & breakdown of color coherence: super-leading logarithms SLL Forshaw, Kyrieleis,

Simplest example of non-global observable: gap between jets aka interjet energy flow aka rapidity slice

 \rightarrow large logarithms $\alpha_s^n L^m$ with $L = \ln(Q/Q_0)$

Will discuss case of large cone radius $R \sim 1$.

- In (massive) QED, logarithmic terms would exponentiate: full result is exponential of one loop!
- For global observables in QCD, non-abelian higherorder corrections ("non-abelian exponentiation")

Non-global logarithms (NGLs)

- Soft gluons from secondary emissions inside the jets
- Not captured by standard resummation methods. Even leading NGLs $(\alpha_s L)^n$ do not simply exponentiate!
- At large N_c leading NGLs can be obtained with parton shower Dasgupta, Salam '02 or by solving a non-linear integral equation Banfi, Marchesini, Smye '02, the BMS equation

Factorization for gap between jets in e^+e^-

TB, Neubert, Rothen, Shao Phys.Rev.Lett. 116 (2016) 19, 192001, see also Caron-Huot '15

Hard function *m* hard partons along fixed directions {n₁, ..., n_m} $\mathcal{H}_m \propto |\mathcal{M}_m\rangle \langle \mathcal{M}_m|$

color trace

Soft function squared amplitude with *m* Wilson lines

 $\sigma(Q,Q_0) = \sum \left\langle \mathcal{H}_m(\{\underline{n}\},Q,\mu) \otimes \mathcal{S}_m(\{\underline{n}\},Q_0,\mu) \right\rangle$

integration over directions

of the Wilson-line operators

 $S_1(n_1) S_2(n_2) \ldots S_n$

Wilson lines: $S_i(n_i) = \epsilon$

(For outgoing particle! Incoming has integration from $-\infty$ to 0)

To get the amplitudes with additional soft partons, one takes the matrix element of the multi-Wilson-line operators:

 $\langle X_s | \mathbf{S}_1(n_1)$

Soft emissions are obtained from the matrix elements

$$\mathbf{S}_m(n_m)|\mathcal{M}_m(\{\underline{p}\})\rangle$$

$$\exp\left[ig_s\int_0^\infty ds\,n_i\cdot A^a(sn_i)\,\boldsymbol{T}_i^a\right]$$

$$\ldots \boldsymbol{S}_m(n_m) \ket{0}$$

Resummation by RG evolution

Wilson coefficients fulfill RG equations

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu} \mathcal{H}_m(Q,\mu) = -\sum_{l=1}^n \mathcal{H}_l(Q,\mu) = -\sum_{l=1}^n \mathcal{H}_l(Q,$$

1. Compute \mathcal{H}_m at a characteristic high scale $\mu_h \sim Q$

2. Evolve \mathcal{H}_m to the scale of low energy physics $\mu_s \sim Q_0$

3. Evaluate $S_{\rm m}$ at low scale $\mu_s \sim Q_0$

Avoids large logarithms $\alpha_s^n \ln^n(Q/Q_0)$ of scale ratios which spoil convergence of perturbation theory.

$\sum_{l=0}^{m} \mathcal{H}_{l}(Q,\mu) \, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{lm}^{H}(Q,\mu)$

RG = Parton Shower

Ingredients for LL

$$\mathcal{H}_2(\mu = Q) = \sigma_0$$

 $\mathcal{H}_m(\mu = Q) = 0 ext{ for } m > 2$
 $\mathcal{S}_m(\mu = Q_0) = 1$

• RG $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H}_m(t) = \mathcal{H}_m(t)V_m + \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(t)R$

equivalent to parton shower equation

 $\mathcal{H}_m(t) = \mathcal{H}_m(t_1)e^{(t-t_1)V_n}$

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{V}_2 \ \boldsymbol{R}_2 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \\ 0 \ \boldsymbol{V}_3 \ \boldsymbol{R}_3 \ 0 \ \cdots \\ 0 \ 0 \ \boldsymbol{V}_4 \ \boldsymbol{R}_4 \ \cdots \\ 0 \ 0 \ \boldsymbol{V}_5 \ \cdots \\ \vdots \ \vdots \ \vdots \ \vdots \ \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{m-1}. \qquad t \equiv t(\mu_h, \mu_s) = \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_s)}^{\alpha_s(\mu_h)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \frac{\alpha}{4\pi}$$

$$f_{m}$$
 + $\int_{t_1}^t dt' \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(t') \mathbf{R}_{m-1} e^{(t-t') \mathbf{V}_{m}}$

Progress on NGLs

- Schoenherr '22
- based on Weigert '03; De Angelis, Forshaw and Plätzer '20
- First NLL numerical results in the large-N_c limit

 - preparation

• PanScales, a general-purpose shower, which correctly resums leading large-N_c NGLs (and global logs!) Dasgupta, Dreyer, Hamilton, Monni, Salam and Soyez '20, + ..., '21 Alaric Herren, Höche, Krauss, Reichelt,

• Finite- N_c results for leading NGLs in e^+e^- Hatta, Ueda '13 + Hagiwara '15

• Extension of BMS framework to NLL (2104.06416) and numerical implementation in MC code Gnole (2111.02413) Banfi, Dreyer, Monni

 Two-loop anomalous dimension in factorization framework TB, Rauh, Xu, 2112.02108; implementation into shower code TB, Schalch, Xu, in

Next-to-leading non-global logarithms

Ingredients:

- $N_c = 3$ leading logs obtained from Hatta, Ueda '13.
- Two-loop anomalous dimension **I**⁽²⁾ TB, Rauh, Xu, '21
- Implementation of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ in parton shower framework TB, Schalch, Xu, in preparation

Corrections scale as $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ or $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s/N_c^2)$ terms. First NGL resummation at this accuracy level!

Super-Leading Logs (SLLs)

around beam direction

Large logarithms $\alpha_s^n L^m$ with $L = \ln(Q/Q_0)$

• e^+e^- : $m \le n$, leading logs m = n

• $pp: \alpha_s L, \alpha_s^2 L^2, \alpha$

Forshaw, Kyrieleis, Seymour '06 '08

Analyze gap between jets at hadron collider, cone

$$\alpha_s^3 L^3, \alpha_s^4 L^5 \dots, \alpha_s^{3+n} L^{3+2n}$$

missing in large-N_c parton showers! (Deductor? Soper and Nagy ... '19)

Non-cancellation of collinear logs

Forshaw, Kyrieleis, Seymour '06 '08; Catani, de Florian, Rodrigo '11, ...

Double logarithms due to soft+collinear configurations.

Blue: collinear emission. Red: Glauber/Coulomb phase Note: Glauber phases cancel in e^+e^- and in large- N_c limit

Earlier results on SLLs

Since effect first arises at $O(\alpha_s^4)$, only few results

- \bullet '06
- Kyrieleis, Seymour '08
- \bullet

$$\begin{split} S_O^{(4)} &= \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^4 L_Q^5 \Delta Y \pi^2 \frac{8}{15} \left(3N_c^2 - 4\right) \sigma_0 \,, \\ S_O^{(5)} &= \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^5 L_Q^7 \Delta Y \pi^2 \frac{4}{315} N_c \left(-27N_c^2 + 44\right) \sigma_0 \\ _{39} \text{ Glauber (in)}^2 \end{split}$$

Discovery of effect, computation of first SLL in gaps between jets for $qq \rightarrow qq$ Forshaw, Kyrieleis, Seymour

Colour space calculation of leading SLL Forshaw,

• Note that SLLs vanish in the large- N_c limit.

Diagrammatic calculation, first *two* orders, different channels qq, qg, gg Keates and Seymour '09

Factorization for hadronic collisions

$$\sigma_{2\to M}(Q_0) = \int dx_1 \int dx_2 \sum_{m=2+M}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{H}_n \rangle$$

Hard functions *m* hard partons along fixed directions $\{n_1, \ldots, n_m\}$ ${\cal H}_m \propto |{\cal M}_m
angle \langle {\cal M}_m|$

TB, Neubert, Shao Phys.Rev.Lett. 127 (2021) 21, 212002 + Stillger, in preparation

Soft + collinear function squared amplitude for *m* Wilson lines +collinear fields

Remarks

Effective theory

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SCET}} = \mathcal{L}_{c_1} + \mathcal{L}_{c_2} + \mathcal{L}_s + \mathcal{L}_G$$

- Additional regulator
- Low energy matrix elements \mathcal{W}_m will suffer from rapidity logarithms
- RG evolution

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}\mathcal{H}_m(\{\underline{n}\},s,\mu) = -\sum_{l=2+M}^m\mathcal{H}_l$$

mixes multiplicities + colors!

Glauber s+c interactions

Stewart, Rothstein '16

Mellin convolution $\mathcal{L}_{l}(\{\underline{n}\}, s, \mu) \star \mathbf{\Gamma}_{lm}^{H}(\{\underline{n}\}, s, \mu)$

One-loop anomalous dimension

$$\Gamma^{H}(\{\underline{n}\},\xi_{1},\xi_{2},s,\mu) = \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi}\Gamma^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}_{k} \ \mathbf{R}_{k} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 \ \mathbf{V}_{k+1} \ \mathbf{R}_{k+1} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 \ \mathbf{V}_{k+2} \ \mathbf{R}_{k+2} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 \ \mathbf{V}_{k+3} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Split into soft(+collinear) and purely collinear

 $\Gamma^{(1)}(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \Gamma_1^C(\xi_1)\delta(1-\xi_2) + \epsilon$

Split soft part

 $\mathbf{\Gamma}^S = \overline{\mathbf{\Gamma}} + \mathbf{I}$

wide-angle soft Gla k: number of partons at Born-level

$$\delta(1-\xi_1)\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2^C(\xi_2) + \delta(1-\xi_1)\,\delta(1-\xi_2)\,\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^S$$

$$\Gamma^{G} + \Gamma^{c} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\hat{s}}$$
uber cusp: soft+collinear
see also Forshaw, Holguin, and Plätzer '19

42

Soft wide-angle emissions $\overline{\Gamma}$

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} = 2 \sum_{(ij)} \left(\boldsymbol{T}_{i,L} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j,L} + \boldsymbol{T}_{i,R} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j,R} \right) \int \frac{d\Omega(n_k)}{4\pi} \, \overline{W}_{ij}^k$$

soft dipolesoft dipole with collinear subtraction
$$W_{ij}^q = \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{n_i \cdot n_q n_j \cdot n_q}$$
 $\overline{W}_{ij}^q = W_{ij}^q - \frac{1}{n_i \cdot n_q} \delta(n_i - n_q) - \frac{1}{n_j \cdot n_q} \delta(n_j - n_q)$

extra hard parton!

see Forshaw, Holguin, and Plätzer '19

Used color conservation $\sum_{i} T_{i} = 0$ to simplify Glauber terms in $1 + 2 \rightarrow 3 + ... + m$ $\Pi_{ij} = 1$ if both inc./out.

(ij)

 $V^{G} = -8i\pi \left(T_{1,L} \cdot T_{2,L} - T_{1,R} \cdot T_{2,R} \right)$

 $\sum \left(\boldsymbol{T}_{i,L} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j,L} - \boldsymbol{T}_{i,R} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j,R} \right) \Pi_{ij} = 4 \left(\boldsymbol{T}_{1,L} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{2,L} - \boldsymbol{T}_{1,R} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{2,R} \right)$

$(Soft+)Collinear Cusp Term \Gamma^{c}$

 $egin{aligned} m{R}^c_i &= -4 m{T}_{i,l} \ m{V}^c_i &= 4 C_i \, m{1} \end{aligned}$

- state terms cancel!
- Multiplied by $\ln \frac{\mu^2}{\hat{s}} \rightarrow \text{double logarithms!}$

$$L \circ \boldsymbol{T}_{i,R} \,\delta(n_{m+1} - n_i)$$

• Only present for initial-state partons i=1,2. Final

Computation of SLLs

Cannot use large N_c : compute order by order

 $\left\langle \mathcal{H}_{4} \boldsymbol{U}(\{\underline{n}\},\mu_{s},\mu_{h})\hat{\otimes} \mathbf{1} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathcal{H}_{4} \right\rangle$ $=ig\langle \mathcal{H}_4ig
angle + \int_{\mu_s}^{\mu_h} rac{d\mu}{\mu}ig\langle \mathcal{H}_4\,\Gamma(Q,\mu)\hat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}ig
angle + \mathcal{H}_4 \, .$ $\hat{\sigma}_{LO}$ $\alpha_s L$

Need products of anomalous dimensions. Each μ integral produces single log ($\overline{\Gamma}$, Γ^G) or double logs (Γ^c), i.e. SLLs!

Will set $\mu_h = Q$ and $\mu_s = Q_0$ and ignore running of α_s .

$${}_{4} \operatorname{\mathbf{P}} \exp\left[\int_{\mu_{s}}^{\mu_{h}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\{\underline{n}\},\mu)\right] \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{1} \right\rangle$$
$$\int_{\mu_{s}}^{\mu_{h}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \int_{\mu}^{\mu_{h}} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \langle \mathcal{H}_{4} \mathbf{\Gamma}(Q,\mu) \mathbf{\Gamma}(Q,\mu') \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{1} \rangle + \dots$$
$$\alpha_{s}^{2} L^{2}$$

+ many more diagrams: Glauber(s) on the right side, different attachents for wide-angle soft, virtuals ...

Properties $[\mathbf{\Gamma}^c, \overline{\mathbf{\Gamma}}] = 0$ $\langle \mathcal{H}_m \, \mathbf{\Gamma}^c \otimes \mathbf{1} \rangle = 0$ $\langle \mathcal{H}_m \, V^G \otimes \mathbf{1} \rangle = 0$

Evaluation of Crn

- vanish.
- After a lot of color algebra, one finds

$$C_{rn} = -16 \left(4\pi\right)^2 \left(4N_c\right)^n \sum_{i=1}^7 v_i^r \left\langle \mathcal{H}_{2\to M} \mathbf{Q}_i \right\rangle$$

• Eigenvalues

$$v_1 = 0, \quad v_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad v_3 = 1, \quad v_4 = \frac{3N_c - 2}{2N_c}, \quad v_4 = \frac$$

structures.

• Basic strategy: commute Γ^c 's and Γ^G to the right where they

power-like *n* and *r* dependence

$$v_5 = \frac{3N_c + 2}{2N_c}, \quad v_6 = \frac{2(N_c - 1)}{N_c}, \quad v_7 = \frac{2(N_c + 1)}{N_c}$$

Eigenoperators are Q_i are combinations color 10 basic

Eigenoperators, color structures O_i and S_i

$$\begin{array}{ll} Q_{1} = J_{12} \left[\frac{4N_{c}}{N_{c}^{2} - 1} C_{1} C_{2} S_{b} \right], \\ Q_{2} = \sum_{j=3}^{M+2} J_{j} \left[-\frac{N_{c}}{N_{c}^{2} - 1} O_{4}^{(j)} \right] + J_{12} \left[\frac{2N_{c}}{N_{c}^{2} - 1} (C_{1} + C_{2}) S_{5} - \frac{4N_{c}}{N_{c}^{2} - 1} C_{1} C_{2} S_{b} \right], \\ Q_{3} = \sum_{j=3}^{M+2} J_{j} \left[-\frac{N_{c}}{N_{c}^{2} - 1} O_{4}^{(j)} \right] + J_{12} \left[\frac{N_{c}^{2}}{N_{c}^{2} - 4} S_{5} - \frac{N_{c}^{2}}{3} S_{5} \right] \\ Q_{4} = \sum_{j=3}^{M+2} J_{j} \left[-\frac{N_{c}}{2(N_{c}^{2} - 4)} O_{2}^{(j)} \right] + J_{12} \left[\frac{N_{c}^{2}}{N_{c}^{2} - 4} S_{5} - \frac{N_{c}^{2}}{3} S_{5} \right] \\ Q_{4} = \sum_{j=3}^{M+2} J_{j} \left[\frac{1}{2} O_{1}^{(j)} + \frac{N_{c}}{4(N_{c} - 2)} O_{2}^{(j)} - \frac{1}{2} O_{3}^{(j)} + \frac{1}{2(N_{c} - 1)} O_{4}^{(j)} \right] \\ + J_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2} S_{1} + \frac{N_{c}}{4(N_{c} - 2)} S_{2} - \frac{N_{c}}{2(N_{c} - 2)} S_{3} - \frac{1}{2} S_{4} \\ + \left((C_{1} + C_{2}) \frac{N_{c} - 2}{N_{c} - 1} + \frac{N_{c}(N_{c} - 4)}{6} \right) S_{5} + \frac{2C_{1}C_{2}}{N_{c} - 1} S_{b} \right], \\ Q_{5} = \sum_{j=3}^{M+2} J_{j} \left[\frac{1}{2} O_{1}^{(j)} + \frac{N_{c}}{4(N_{c} + 2)} O_{2}^{(j)} + \frac{1}{2} O_{3}^{(j)} + \frac{1}{2(N_{c} - 1)} O_{4}^{(j)} \right] \\ + J_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2} S_{1} + \frac{N_{c}}{4(N_{c} + 2)} O_{2}^{(j)} + \frac{1}{2} O_{3}^{(j)} + \frac{1}{2(N_{c} - 1)} O_{4}^{(j)} \right] \\ + J_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2} S_{1} + \frac{N_{c}}{4(N_{c} + 2)} O_{2}^{(j)} + \frac{1}{2} O_{3}^{(j)} + \frac{1}{2(N_{c} - 1)} O_{4}^{(j)} \right] \\ + J_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2} S_{1} + \frac{N_{c}}{4(N_{c} - 2)} O_{2}^{(j)} + \frac{1}{2(N_{c} - 1)} O_{4}^{(j)} \right] \\ + J_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2} S_{1} + \frac{N_{c}}{4(N_{c} - 2)} S_{2} - \frac{N_{c}}{2N_{c} + 1} S_{4} + \frac{1}{2(N_{c} - 1)} O_{4}^{(j)} \right] \\ + J_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2} S_{1} + \frac{N_{c}}{4(N_{c} - 2)} S_{2} - \frac{N_{c}}{2N_{c} + 1} S_{4} + \frac{1}{2(N_{c} - 1)} S_{5} \right], \\ Q_{6} = -J_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2} S_{1} + \frac{N_{c}}{4(N_{c} - 2)} S_{2} - \frac{1}{2} S_{4} + \frac{2C_{1}C_{2}}{2N_{c} - 1} S_{b} \right], \\ Q_{6} = -J_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2} S_{1} + \frac{N_{c}}{4(N_{c} - 2)} S_{2} - \frac{1}{2} S_{4} + \frac{2C_{1}C_{2}}{2N_{c} - 1} S_{b} \right], \\ Q_{7} = -J_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2} S_{1} + \frac{N_{c}}{4(N_{c} - 2)} S_{2} + \frac{1}{2} S_{4} + \frac{2C_{1}C_{2}}{2N_{c} - 1} S_{b} \right]. \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

TB, Neubert, Stillger, Shao, in preparation

$$\Sigma(v,w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-4)^n \, 3! \, n!}{(2n+3)!} \, \frac{(2r)!}{4^r \, (r!)^2} \, v^r \, w^n = {}^{1+1}F_{2+0}\Big(\begin{array}{c} 1:1,\frac{1}{2};\\ 2,\frac{5}{2}:\\ \end{array}; -w, -vw\Big)$$

Resummed result

Combine C_{rn} with μ integrals and carry out the sums.

$$\Delta \hat{\sigma}^{(S)} = -\hat{\sigma}_B \frac{4C_F}{3\pi} \alpha_s^3 L^3 \Delta Y_2 F_2(1, 1; 2, \frac{5}{2}; -w)$$

with
$$w = \frac{N_c \alpha_s}{\pi} L^2$$
.

Note: Standard Sudakov has form e

TB, Neubert, Shao Phys.Rev.Lett. 127 (2021) 21, 212002

Simplest case is $qq \rightarrow qq$ scattering with photon exchange

 $J_i = \pm \Delta Y$

$$\sim \frac{\ln w}{w}$$
 for large w

-cw

Outlook

- Resummation of leading superleading logs is now available Next steps and open questions
 - phenomenological applications
 - analysis of low-energy matrix elements, Glauber contributions
 - single logarithmic resummation? NGL × SLL?
 - systematics of the expansion? "exponentiation"?
 - factorization breaking

Congratulations to Matthias for winning a 2nd ERC Advanced Grant EFT4jets "An Effective Field Theory for Non-Global Observables at Hadron Colliders"

Congratulations Matthias! I'm looking forward to the next 20 years of collaboration!

Albufeira 2007

Extra slides

Large N_c versus $N_c = 3$

Hatta, Ueda '13

Forward gluon-gluon scattering

- - running!

Slow convergence $(w \sim 2)^{\leq}$ necessary to include eight terms (10 loops!) to converge to resummed result • Very sensitive to choice of μ in α_s : should include

- limit.

Terms of order $\alpha_s^{n+3}L^{2n+3}$

Hard function for gluon exchange in t-channel.

• n=0 term is not SLL, but missing in large N_c

 $\langle \mathcal{H}_m \left(\mathbf{R}_i^c + \mathbf{V}_i^c \right) \otimes \mathbf{1} \rangle \propto \langle \mathbf{T}_i^a \, \mathcal{H}_m \, \mathbf{T}_i^a - C_i \, \mathcal{H}_m \rangle = 0$ cyclicity of trace

• $\langle \mathcal{H}_m V^G \otimes \mathbf{1} \rangle = 0$

cyclicity of trace

Leading SLLs

- 2. Need Γ^G to prevent Γ^c from commuting to the right and vanishing. Two insertions of Γ^G since cross section is real.
- 3. Need one emission Γ at the end to prevent Γ^G from vanishing
- Taken together, this implies that the leading SLLs at (n+3)-rd order arise from matrix elements

 $C_{rn} = \left\langle \mathcal{H}_4 \left(\mathbf{\Gamma}^c \right)^r \mathbf{V}^G \right\rangle$

1. Want maximum number of Γ^c 's at given order.

$$(\mathbf{\Gamma}^c)^{n-r} \mathbf{V}^G \overline{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \otimes \mathbf{1} \rangle \quad 0 \leq r \leq n$$