QED corrections to $B \rightarrow \mu \bar{\nu}$

Claudia Cornella (JGU Mainz) based on 2212.14430 and ongoing work with M. Neubert and M.König

Motivation

Why $B \rightarrow \ell \nu$?



- direct determination of $|V_{ub}|$, largely unaffected by hadronic uncertainties
- chirality suppressed \rightarrow powerful probe of (pseudo)scalar NP.
- testing Lepton Flavor Universality in charged currents

Motivation

Why $B \rightarrow \ell \nu$?



- direct determination of $|V_{ub}|$, largely unaffected by hadronic uncertainties
- chirality suppressed \rightarrow powerful probe of (pseudo)scalar NP.
- testing Lepton Flavor Universality in charged currents

Why QED corrections?

- Belle II will measure the τ, μ channels with 5 7% uncertainty [Belle II Physics Book]
- QCD matrix element is know with $\mathcal{O}(<1\%)$ accuracy:

 $\langle 0 | \bar{u} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 b | B_q(p) | \rangle = i f_{B_u} p^{\mu} \qquad f_{B_u} = 189.4 \pm 1.4 \text{ MeV} \quad \text{[FNAL/MILC 1712.09262]}$

• QED corrections can be of similar magnitude or even larger, due to presence of large logarithms $\alpha \ln(m_b/m_\ell)$ and $\alpha \ln(m_\ell/E_s)$

- QED effects are well under control for $\mu > m_b$ as well as for $\mu \ll \Lambda_{\text{OCD}}$:
 - all short distance ($\mu > m_b$) QED effects can be included in the weak effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \left(\bar{u} \gamma^{\mu} P_L b \right) \left(\bar{\ell} \gamma_{\mu} P_L \nu_{\ell} \right)$$

• photons with energy much smaller than Λ_{QCD} cannot resolve the hadron structure and can be computed treating the B as **point-like**.

g y

- QED effects are well under control for $\mu > m_b$ as well as for $\mu \ll \Lambda_{\text{OCD}}$:
 - all short distance ($\mu > m_b$) QED effects can be included in the weak effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \left(\bar{u} \gamma^{\mu} P_L b \right) \left(\bar{\ell} \gamma_{\mu} P_L \nu_{\ell} \right)$$

- photons with energy much smaller than Λ_{QCD} cannot resolve the hadron structure and can be computed treating the B as **point-like**.
- Things are more complicated for $\Lambda_{QCD} < \mu < m_b$: very active research topic. QED factorization theorems available only for a few processes:

-
$$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$
 [Beneke, Bobeth, Szafron, 1708.09152,1908.07011]

-
$$B \to \pi K, B \to D\pi$$
 [Beneke, Böer et al 2008.10615,2107.03819]

-
$$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$$
 [Beneke, Bobeth, Wang 2008.12494]

g y

Main challenges in formulating a factorization theorem:

unlike in QCD, external states can be charged in QED

Bunn

 \Rightarrow "universal" hadronic quantities become process-

Main challenges in formulating a factorization theorem:

 ▶ unlike in QCD, external states can be charged in QED
 ⇒ "universal" hadronic quantities become processdependent, e.g. decay constants are not constants anymore



- beyond leading power convolutions have endpoint divergences.
 - cannot be dealt with using standard renormalization techniques and require appropriate subtractions
 - ▶ relevant here: the chiral suppression makes $B \rightarrow \mu \bar{\nu}$ is a genuine next-to-leading power process!

Scales

In the presence of QED corrections $\mu \bar{\nu}$

 $B \rightarrow \mu \bar{\nu}$ is sensitive to eight different driver scales:



Strategy

We want to disentangle all these scales by

- identifying the appropriate EFT description
- deriving a factorization theorem to describe the multi-scale problem in terms of convolutions of single-scale objects

Strategy

We want to disentangle all these scales by

- identifying the appropriate EFT description
- deriving a factorization theorem to describe the multi-scale problem in terms of convolutions of single-scale objects

In the following, we will

- describe the EFT construction across all scales
- discuss the factorization & refactorization of the "virtual" amplitude
- sketch the low-energy theory describing real emissions

In the B-meson rest frame, the muon and the neutrino are back to back. \Rightarrow identify the **muon** direction of the "**collinear**" one.



In the B-meson rest frame, the muon and the neutrino are back to back. \Rightarrow identify the **muon** direction of the "**collinear**" one.

$$v^{\mu} \xrightarrow{B} n^{\mu} p^{\mu}_{B} = m_{B}v^{\mu}, \quad v^{\mu} = (1,0,0,0)$$

$$p^{\mu}_{\mu} = \frac{m_{B}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}}, 0, 0, +1 - \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}} \right) \approx \frac{m_{B}}{2} (1,0,0,+1) = \frac{m_{B}}{2} n^{\mu}$$

$$p^{\mu}_{\nu} = \frac{m_{B}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}}, 0, 0, -1 + \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}} \right) \approx \frac{m_{B}}{2} (1,0,0,-1) = \frac{m_{B}}{2} \bar{n}^{\mu}$$

$$\lambda_{\ell} = \frac{m_{\ell}}{m_{b}} \ll 1$$

Initial state quark are bound in the meson, with residual momenta of $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\text{OCD}})$

$$p_b = m_b v + k_b, \quad p_q = k_q \qquad k_b, k_q \sim m_b(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda) \qquad \lambda = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_b}$$

In the B-meson rest frame, the muon and the neutrino are back to back. \Rightarrow identify the **muon** direction of the "**collinear**" one.

$$v^{\mu} \xrightarrow{B} n^{\mu} p^{\mu}_{B} = m_{B}v^{\mu}, \quad v^{\mu} = (1,0,0,0)$$

$$p^{\mu}_{\mu} = \frac{m_{B}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}}, 0, 0, +1 - \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}} \right) \approx \frac{m_{B}}{2} (1,0,0,+1) = \frac{m_{B}}{2} n^{\mu}$$

$$p^{\mu}_{\nu} = \frac{m_{B}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}}, 0, 0, -1 + \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}} \right) \approx \frac{m_{B}}{2} (1,0,0,-1) = \frac{m_{B}}{2} \bar{n}^{\mu}$$

$$\lambda_{\ell} = \frac{m_{\ell}}{m_{b}} \ll 1$$

Initial state quark are bound in the meson, with residual momenta of $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\text{OCD}})$

$$p_b = m_b v + k_b, \quad p_q = k_q \qquad k_b, k_q \sim m_b(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda) \qquad \lambda = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_b}$$

Exp. cut on real radiation introduces a third expansion parameter $\lambda_E = \frac{E_s}{m_b}$

Expansion parameters:

$$\lambda = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_b}$$

$$\lambda_{\ell} = \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_b} \sim \lambda$$

$$\lambda_E = \frac{E_s}{m_b} \sim \lambda_{\ell}^2$$



- Relevant modes for virtual QED corrections:
 - hard (1,1,1)
 hard-collinear (\lambda, 1, \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})
 soft (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)
 collinear (\lambda^2, 1, \lambda_\ell)
 - soft-collinear

- $(\lambda_\ell, 1, \lambda_\ell)$ $\lambda(\lambda_\ell^2, 1, \lambda_\ell)$
- Relevant modes for real QED corrections:
 - ultra-soft
 - ultra-soft-collinear
- $egin{aligned} & (\lambda_E,\lambda_E,\lambda_E) \ & \lambda_E(\lambda_\ell^2,1,\lambda_\ell) \end{aligned}$

$\mu \sim m_b$: from the Fermi Theory to HQET X SCET-1

$\mu \sim m_b$: from the Fermi Theory to HQET \times SCET-1

- Below m_b , radiation is too soft to affect the *b*-quark momentum
 - \Rightarrow the right description is **HQET**

$\mu \sim m_b$: from the Fermi Theory to HQET \times SCET-1

- Below m_b , radiation is too soft to affect the *b*-quark momentum \Rightarrow the right description is **HQET**
- Remaining fields can have large momenta, but small invariant mass
 the right EFT is SCET-1

$\mu \sim m_b$: from the Fermi Theory to HQET \times SCET-1

- Below m_b , radiation is too soft to affect the *b*-quark momentum \Rightarrow the right description is **HQET**
- Remaining fields can have large momenta, but small invariant mass
 the right EFT is SCET-1
- In practice, the fields & power counting we need at this stage are:

$$\begin{split} \nu &\to \chi_{hc}^{(\nu)} & \chi_{hc}^{(\ell)}, \chi_{hc}^{(q)}, \chi_{hc}^{(\nu)} \sim \lambda^{1/2} \\ \ell &\to \chi_{hc}^{(\ell)} & h_v, q_s \sim \lambda^{3/2} \\ b &\to h_v & \mathcal{A}_{hc\perp}^{\mu}, \mathcal{G}_{hc\perp}^{\mu} \sim \lambda^{1/2} \\ q &\to \chi_{hc}^{(q)}, q_s & \swarrow & \uparrow \\ (\text{+ photons, gluons}) & \text{photons} & \text{gluons} \end{split}$$

To keep in mind when building the basis:

All operators stem from the Fermi Lagrangian
 massless fields (neutrino and spectator) have to be left-handed

- All operators stem from the Fermi Lagrangian
 massless fields (neutrino and spectator) have to be left-handed
- The leading contribution to the amplitude is of order λ^6 \Rightarrow need operators with SCET-2 power counting λ^6 and mass dim 6

- All operators stem from the Fermi Lagrangian \Rightarrow massless fields (neutrino and spectator) have to be left-handed
- The leading contribution to the amplitude is of order λ^6 \Rightarrow need operators with SCET-2 power counting λ^6 and mass dim 6
- Only two irreducible Dirac structures can enter the leptonic current in SCET-1: $\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \Gamma_{\ell} P_L \chi_{\overline{hc}}^{(\nu)}$ with $\Gamma_{\ell} = 1, \gamma_{\mu}^{\perp}$

- All operators stem from the Fermi Lagrangian \Rightarrow massless fields (neutrino and spectator) have to be left-handed
- The leading contribution to the amplitude is of order λ^6 \Rightarrow need operators with SCET-2 power counting λ^6 and mass dim 6
- Only two irreducible Dirac structures can enter the leptonic current in SCET-1: $\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \Gamma_{\ell} P_L \chi_{\overline{hc}}^{(\nu)}$ with $\Gamma_{\ell} = 1, \gamma_{\mu}^{\perp}$
 - for $\Gamma_{\ell} = 1$ the muon is right-handed \Rightarrow the chirality flip gives a factor m_{ℓ} , which we include in the operator definition

Given this, we have five classes of 4-fermion operators:

- A. Operators with soft spectator
- B. Operators with hard-collinear spectator
- C. Operators with soft spectator + hard-collinear photon
- D. Operators with hard-collinear spectator + hard-collinear photon
- E. Operators hard-collinear spectator + two perp objects

Given this, we have five classes of 4-fermion operators:

- A. Operators with soft spectator
- B. Operators with hard-collinear spectator
- C. Operators with soft spectator + hard-collinear photon
- D. Operators with hard-collinear spectator + hard-collinear photon
- E. Operators hard-collinear spectator + two perp objects

Only these are needed at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$.

A. Operators with soft spectator

power counting

- A. Operators with soft spectator
- Obtained from hard matching:



power counting

$$\mathcal{O}_{A,1}^{(5)} = m_{\ell} \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{\not{n}}{2} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} P_L \chi_{\overline{hc}}^{(\nu)} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{A,2}^{(5)} = m_{\ell} \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{\not{n}}{2} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \frac{1}{in \cdot \overrightarrow{\partial}} P_L \chi_{\overline{hc}}^{(\nu)} \right)$$

- A. Operators with soft spectator
- Obtained from hard matching:



power counting

$$\mathcal{O}_{A,1}^{(5)} = m_{\ell} \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{\not{n}}{2} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} P_L \chi_{\overline{hc}}^{(\nu)} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{A,2}^{(5)} = m_{\ell} \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{\not{n}}{2} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \frac{1}{in \cdot \overrightarrow{\partial}} P_L \chi_{\overline{hc}}^{(\nu)} \right)$$

Only the first contributes at tree level:

$$i\mathcal{A}_{\text{tree}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ub} \left[(\bar{v}_q \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_L u_b) (\bar{u}_\ell \gamma_{\perp \mu} P_L v_\nu) + \frac{2m_\ell}{m_B} \left(\bar{v}_q \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2} P_L u_b \right) (\bar{u}_\ell P_L v_\nu) \right]$$

lepton EOM: $\bar{u}_\ell \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2} = \frac{m_\ell}{m_B} \bar{u}_\ell - \frac{2m_\ell^2}{m_B^2} \bar{u}_\ell \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2} , \qquad \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2} v_\nu = 0$

- A. Operators with soft spectator
- Obtained from hard matching:



power counting

$$\mathcal{O}_{A,1}^{(5)} = m_{\ell} \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{\not{h}}{2} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} P_L \chi_{\overline{hc}}^{(\nu)} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{A,2}^{(5)} = m_{\ell} \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{\not{h}}{2} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \frac{1}{in \cdot \overrightarrow{\partial}} P_L \chi_{\overline{hc}}^{(\nu)} \right)$$

Only the first contributes at tree level:

$$i\mathcal{A}_{\text{tree}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ub} \begin{bmatrix} \text{no projection on } \mathcal{B} \text{ meson} \\ \left(\bar{v}_q \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_L u_b \right) (\bar{u}_\ell \gamma_{\perp \mu} P_L v_\nu) + \frac{2m_\ell}{m_B} \left(\bar{v}_q \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2} P_L u_b \right) (\bar{u}_\ell P_L v_\nu) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{lepton EOM:} \qquad \bar{u}_\ell \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2} = \frac{m_\ell}{m_B} \bar{u}_\ell - \frac{2m_\ell^2}{m_B^2} \bar{u}_\ell \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2}, \qquad \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2} v_\nu = 0$$

- A. Operators with soft spectator
- Obtained from hard matching:



power counting $\mathcal{O}_{A,1}^{(5)} = m_{\ell} \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{\not{n}}{2} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} P_L \chi_{\overline{hc}}^{(\nu)} \right)$ $\mathcal{O}_{A,2}^{(5)} = m_{\ell} \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{\not{n}}{2} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \frac{1}{in \cdot \overrightarrow{\partial}} P_L \chi_{\overline{hc}}^{(\nu)} \right)$

Only the first contributes at tree level:

$$i\mathcal{A}_{\text{tree}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ub} \begin{bmatrix} \text{no projection on } \mathcal{B} \text{ meson} \\ (\bar{v}_q \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_L u_b) (\bar{u}_\ell \gamma_{\perp \mu} P_L v_{\nu}) + \underbrace{\frac{2m_\ell}{m_B} \left(\bar{v}_q \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2} P_L u_b \right) (\bar{u}_\ell P_L v_{\nu}) \\ m_B \left(\bar{v}_q \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2} P_L u_b \right) (\bar{u}_\ell P_L v_{\nu}) \end{bmatrix}$$
Hepton EOM:
$$\bar{u}_\ell \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2} = \frac{m_\ell}{m_B} \bar{u}_\ell - \frac{2m_\ell^2}{m_B^2} \bar{u}_\ell \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2}, \qquad \frac{\vec{\eta}}{2} v_\nu = 0$$

B. Operators with hard-collinear spectator



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{B,1}^{(7/2)} &= \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(q)} \frac{1}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}} i\overleftarrow{\not{p}}_{\perp s} \frac{\vec{n}}{2} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_L h_v\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \gamma_{\perp \mu} P_L \chi_{\bar{C}}^{(\nu)}\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_{B,2}^{(7/2)} &= \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(q)} \frac{\vec{n}}{2} P_L h_v\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \frac{1}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}} i\overleftarrow{\not{p}}_{\perp s} P_L \chi_{\bar{hc}}^{(\nu)}\right), \\ \mathcal{O}_{B}^{(4)} &= m_\ell \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(q)} \frac{\vec{n}}{2} P_L h_v\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{hc}^{(\ell)} \frac{1}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}} P_L \chi_{\bar{hc}}^{\nu}\right). \end{aligned}$$

B. Operators with hard-collinear spectator



Their one-loop matrix elements reproduce the hard-collinear loops in the Fermi theory

B. Operators with hard-collinear spectator



- Their one-loop matrix elements reproduce the hard-collinear loops in the Fermi theory
- B operators are power-enhanced with respect to A ones, but need one insertion of the (power-suppressed) soft-collinear interactions
 - \Rightarrow they contribute at the same order

C. Operators with with soft spectator + hard-collinear photon
Construction of SCET-1 basis

- C. Operators with with soft spectator + hard-collinear photon
- Arise from hard-collinear emission from muon, b or spectator quark

Construction of SCET-1 basis

- C. Operators with with soft spectator + hard-collinear photon
- Arise from hard-collinear emission from muon, b or spectator quark



Moving to SCET-2, these reproduce the collinear loops of the Fermi theory

$$\mu \sim \sqrt{m_b \Lambda}$$
: from SCET-1 to SCET-2

 $\mu \sim \sqrt{m_b}\Lambda$: from SCET-1 to SCET-2

• At $\mu \sim \sqrt{m_b \Lambda}$, integrate out hard-collinear modes and lower virtuality \Rightarrow now collinear and soft modes live at the same scale: **SCET-2**

$$\mu \sim \sqrt{m_b \Lambda}$$
: from SCET-1 to SCET-2

At $\mu \sim \sqrt{m_b \Lambda}$, integrate out hard-collinear modes and lower virtuality \Rightarrow now collinear and soft modes live at the same scale: **SCET-2**

$$p_c \sim (1, \lambda^2, \lambda), \qquad p_s \sim (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda), \qquad p_c^2 \sim p_s^2 \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^2\right)$$

When integrating out hard-collinear modes, intermediate propagators introduce non-local operators:

$$\begin{split} \psi_{hc} & \rightarrow & \psi_c + & \psi_c \cdot \psi_s + & \psi_c \cdot \psi_s^2 + \dots \\ & & & & & & \\ \bullet & & & & \\ \bullet & & c + & & \\ & & & hc \bullet & s + \\ & & & hc \bullet & hc \bullet & s + \\ & & & & \\ & & & \frac{1}{n \cdot \partial} q_s, \quad \left(\frac{1}{n \cdot \partial} \mathcal{A}_{\perp s}^{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n \cdot \partial} q_s\right), \quad \dots \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow now contain more fields, but are of the same order!

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \left(\frac{1}{n \cdot \partial} \overline{q}_s \right) \dots h_v \right| B \right\rangle \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_B} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1} \right)$$

Inverse derivative operators can probe the meson structure, and possibly overcome the chiral suppression

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \left(\frac{1}{n \cdot \partial} \overline{q}_s \right) \dots h_v \left| B \right\rangle \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_B} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1} \right) \right.$$

Inverse derivative operators can probe the meson structure, and possibly overcome the chiral suppression

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \left(\frac{1}{n \cdot \partial} \overline{q}_s \right) \dots h_v \left| B \right\rangle \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_B} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1} \right) \right.$$

• Happens for $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$, but not for $B \to \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ [Beneke, Bobeth, Szafron 2017, 2019]

Inverse derivative operators can probe the meson structure, and possibly overcome the chiral suppression

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \left(\frac{1}{n \cdot \partial} \overline{q}_s \right) \dots h_v \left| B \right\rangle \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_B} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1} \right) \right\rangle$$

- Happens for $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$, but not for $B \to \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ [Beneke, Bobeth, Szafron 2017, 2019]
- For left-handed currents, these contributions come with evanescent Dirac structures:

Inverse derivative operators can probe the meson structure, and possibly overcome the chiral suppression

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \left(\frac{1}{n \cdot \partial} \overline{q}_s \right) \dots h_v \left| B \right\rangle \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_B} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1} \right) \right.$$

- Happens for $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$, but not for $B \to \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ [Beneke, Bobeth, Szafron 2017, 2019]
- For left-handed currents, these contributions come with evanescent Dirac structures:

$$\left(\bar{v}\frac{\not{n}}{2}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}\gamma_{\perp}^{\nu}P_{L}u\right)_{h}\left(\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}^{\perp}\gamma_{\nu}^{\perp}\left[\frac{v-a\gamma_{5}}{2}\right]v\right)_{\ell}=2(v-a)\left(\bar{v}\frac{\not{n}}{2}P_{L}u\right)_{h}\left(\bar{u}P_{R}v\right)_{\ell}+\mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon\right)$$

 \Rightarrow structure-dependent contributions to $B \rightarrow \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ carry the same suppression as the tree level result!

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{A,1} &= \frac{m_{\ell}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s}\frac{\not{n}}{2}P_{L}h_{v}\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)}P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)}\right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{A,2} &= \frac{m_{\ell}}{in\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s}\frac{\not{n}}{2}P_{L}h_{v}\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)}P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)}\right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,1} &= \left(\bar{q}_{s}\frac{1}{in\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{s}}\mathcal{A}_{c}^{(u)\perp}\frac{i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp,s}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{c}}\frac{\not{n}\not{n}}{4}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}P_{L}h_{v}\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)}\gamma_{\mu}^{\perp}P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)}\right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,2} &= \left(\bar{q}_{s}\frac{1}{in\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{s}}\mathcal{A}_{c}^{(u)\perp}\frac{\not{n}\not{n}}{4}P_{L}h_{v}\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)}\frac{i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp,s}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{c}}P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)}\right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,3} &= \left(\bar{q}_{s}\frac{1}{in\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{s}}\mathcal{A}_{c}^{(u)\perp}\frac{\not{n}\not{n}}{4}P_{L}h_{v}\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)}\frac{m_{\ell}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{c}}P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)}\right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,4} &= \frac{1}{in\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s}\frac{\not{n}}{2}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}\gamma_{\perp}^{\nu}P_{L}h_{v}\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)}\gamma_{\mu}^{\perp}\mathcal{A}_{c\nu}^{(b)\perp}P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)}\right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,5} &= \frac{1}{i\bar{n}\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s}\frac{\not{n}}{2}P_{L}h_{v}\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)}\mathcal{A}_{c}^{(\ell)\perp}P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)}\right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,6} &= \left(\bar{q}_{s}\mathcal{A}_{c,\perp}^{(u)}\frac{1}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{c}}\frac{\not{n}}{2}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}P_{L}h_{v}\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)}\gamma_{\mu}^{\perp}P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{Q}_{A,1} = \frac{m_{\ell}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{\not{p}}{2} P_{L} h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} P_{L} \chi_{c}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ & \mathcal{Q}_{A,2} = \frac{m_{\ell}}{in\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{\not{p}}{2} P_{L} h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} P_{L} \chi_{c}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ & \mathcal{Q}_{B,1} = \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{1}{in\cdot\overline{\partial}_{s}} \mathcal{A}_{c}^{(u)\perp} \frac{i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{\perp,s}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overline{\partial}_{c}} \frac{\not{q}}{4} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_{L} h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \gamma_{\mu}^{\perp} P_{L} \chi_{c}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ & \mathcal{Q}_{B,2} = \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{1}{in\cdot\overline{\partial}_{s}} \mathcal{A}_{c}^{(u)\perp} \frac{\not{q}}{i} \frac{\not{q}}{4} P_{L} h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \frac{i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{\perp,s}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overline{\partial}_{c}} P_{L} \chi_{c}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ & \mathcal{Q}_{B,3} = \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{1}{in\cdot\overline{\partial}_{s}} \mathcal{A}_{c}^{(u)\perp} \frac{\not{q}}{4} P_{L} h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \frac{m_{\ell}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overline{\partial}_{c}} P_{L} \chi_{c}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ & \mathcal{Q}_{B,4} = \frac{1}{in\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{\not{q}}{2} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{\perp}^{\nu} P_{L} h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \gamma_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathcal{A}_{c\nu}^{(b)\perp} P_{L} \chi_{c}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ & \mathcal{Q}_{B,5} = \frac{1}{i\bar{n}\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{\not{q}}{2} P_{L} h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \mathcal{A}_{c}^{(\ell)\perp} P_{L} \chi_{c}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ & \mathcal{Q}_{B,6} = \left(\bar{q}_{s} \mathcal{A}_{c,\perp}^{(u)} \frac{1}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overline{\partial}_{c}} \frac{\not{q}}{2} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_{L} h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \gamma_{\mu}^{\perp} P_{L} \chi_{c}^{(\nu)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

May 2023 || 10 years MITP

SCET-1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{A,1} &= \frac{m_{\ell}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{\not{\bar{n}}}{2} P_{L}h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{A,2} &= \frac{m_{\ell}}{in\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{\not{\bar{n}}}{2} P_{L}h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,1} &= \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{1}{in\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{s}} \mathcal{A}_{c}^{(u)\perp} \frac{i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp,s}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{c}} \frac{\not{\bar{n}}}{4} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_{L}h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \gamma_{\perp}^{\perp} P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,2} &= \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{1}{in\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{s}} \mathcal{A}_{c}^{(u)\perp} \frac{\not{\bar{n}}}{4} P_{L}h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \frac{i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp,s}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{c}} P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,3} &= \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{1}{in\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{s}} \mathcal{A}_{c}^{(u)\perp} \frac{\not{\bar{n}}}{4} P_{L}h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \frac{m_{\ell}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{c}} P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,4} &= \frac{1}{in\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{\not{\bar{n}}}{2} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{\perp}^{\nu} P_{L}h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \gamma_{\perp}^{\perp} \mathcal{A}_{c\nu}^{(b)\perp} P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,5} &= \frac{1}{i\bar{n}\cdot\partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{\not{\bar{n}}}{2} P_{L}h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \mathcal{A}_{c}^{(\ell)\perp} P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{B,6} &= \left(\bar{q}_{s} \mathcal{A}_{c,\perp}^{(u)} \frac{1}{i\bar{n}\cdot\overleftarrow{\partial}_{c}} \frac{\not{\bar{n}}}{2} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_{L}h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_{L}\chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

descend directly from A-type operators in SCET-1

descend directly from C-type operators in SCET-1

 $\mathcal{Q}_{A,1} = \frac{m_{\ell}}{i\bar{n}\cdot\partial_c} \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{\bar{n}}{2} P_L h_v\right) \left(\bar{\chi}_c^{(\ell)} P_L \chi_{\overline{c}}^{(\nu)}\right)$ descend directly from A-type operators in SCET-1 $\mathcal{Q}_{A,2} = \frac{m_{\ell}}{in \cdot \partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{\not{h}}{2} P_{L} h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} P_{L} \chi_{\overline{c}}^{(\nu)} \right)$ $\mathcal{Q}_{B,1} = \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{1}{in \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \mathcal{A}_c^{(u)\perp} \frac{i \not\!\!\!D_{\perp,s}}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \frac{\not\!\!\!/ \vec{n}}{4} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_c^{(\ell)} \gamma_{\mu}^{\perp} P_L \chi_{\overline{c}}^{(\nu)} \right)$ stem from matching B-type SCET-1 operators to SCET-2 at tree level $\mathcal{Q}_{B,2} = \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{1}{in \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}_s} \mathcal{A}_c^{(u)\perp} \frac{\not n \vec{n}}{4} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_c^{(\ell)} \frac{i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp,s}}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}_s} P_L \chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right)$ $\chi_{c}^{(\ell)}$ h \Longrightarrow χ^(ε) $\mathcal{Q}_{B,3} = \left(\bar{q}_s \frac{1}{i \bar{r}_c} \mathcal{A}_c^{(u) \perp} \frac{\not n \bar{\not n}}{4} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_c^{(\ell)} \frac{m_\ell}{i \bar{r}_c} P_L \chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right)$ $\chi_{\overline{z}}^{(\nu)}$ $\mathcal{Q}_{B,4} = \frac{1}{in \cdot \partial_{c}} \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{\not{h}}{2} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{\perp}^{\nu} P_{L} h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \gamma_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathcal{A}_{c\nu}^{(b)\perp} P_{L} \chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right)$ $\mathcal{Q}_{B,5} = \frac{1}{i\bar{n}\cdot\partial_{z}} \left(\bar{q}_{s} \frac{\not{h}}{2} P_{L} h_{v} \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} \mathcal{A}_{c}^{(\ell)\perp} P_{L} \chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right)$ descend directly from C-type operators in SCET-1 $\mathcal{Q}_{B,6} = \left(\bar{q}_s \mathcal{A}_{c,\perp}^{(u)} \frac{1}{i\bar{n}} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}^{-} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_L h_v \right) \left(\bar{\chi}_c^{(\ell)} \gamma_{\mu}^{\perp} P_L \chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right)$

$$\mathscr{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = \sum_{j} H_j S_j K_j + \sum_{i} H_i \otimes J_j \otimes S_i \otimes K_i,$$

$$\mathscr{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = \sum_{j} H_{j} S_{j} K_{j} + \sum_{i} H_{i} \otimes J_{j} \otimes S_{i} \otimes K_{i},$$

• hard function: matching corrections at $\mu \sim m_b$

$$\mathscr{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = \sum_{j} H_j S_j K_j + \sum_{i} H_i \otimes J_j \otimes S_i \otimes K_i,$$

- hard function: matching corrections at $\mu \sim m_b$
- hard-collinear function: matching corrections at $\mu \sim (m_b \Lambda_{\rm QCD})^{1/2}$

$$\mathscr{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = \sum_{j} H_j S_j K_j + \sum_{i} H_i \otimes J_j \otimes S_i \otimes K_i,$$

- hard function: matching corrections at $\mu \sim m_b$
- hard-collinear function: matching corrections at $\mu \sim (m_b \Lambda_{\rm OCD})^{1/2}$
- collinear function: leptonic matrix elements, $\mu \sim m_{\mu}$

$$\mathscr{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = \sum_{j} H_j \, \underbrace{S_j}_{i} \, K_j \, + \sum_{i} H_i \, \otimes \, J_j \, \bigotimes \, \underbrace{S_i}_{i} \otimes K_i \,,$$

- hard function: matching corrections at $\mu \sim m_b$
- hard-collinear function: matching corrections at $\mu \sim (m_b \Lambda_{\rm OCD})^{1/2}$
- collinear function: leptonic matrix elements, $\mu \sim m_{\mu}$
- **soft** (& soft-collinear) function: HQET *B* meson matrix elements

$$\mathscr{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = \sum_{j} H_j S_j K_j + \sum_{i} H_i \otimes J_j \otimes S_i \otimes K_i,$$

SCET-1 operators with soft spectator (A-type)

- hard function: matching corrections at $\mu \sim m_b$
- hard-collinear function: matching corrections at $\mu \sim (m_b \Lambda_{\rm OCD})^{1/2}$
- collinear function: leptonic matrix elements, $\mu \sim m_{\mu}$
- **soft** (& soft-collinear) function: HQET *B* meson matrix elements

$$\mathscr{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = \sum_{j} H_j S_j K_j + \sum_{i} H_i \otimes J_j \otimes S_i \otimes K_i,$$
SCET-1 operators with soft spectator (A-type) SCET-1 operators with hc

- hard function: matching corrections at $\mu \sim m_b$
- hard-collinear function: matching corrections at $\mu \sim (m_b \Lambda_{\rm OCD})^{1/2}$
- collinear function: leptonic matrix elements, $\mu \sim m_{\mu}$
- **soft** (& soft-collinear) function: HQET *B* meson matrix elements

$$\mathcal{A}_{B\to\ell\bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} K_A(m_\ell) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \left[H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) \, J_B(m_b\omega, x) S_B(\omega) \right] \qquad \omega = n \cdot p_u$$

Neglecting $\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_s)$ corrections, two main contributions

$$\mathcal{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} K_A(m_\ell) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \left[H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) \, J_B(m_b \omega, x) S_B(\omega) \right] \qquad \omega = n \cdot p_u$$

SCET-1 operators with soft spectator (A-type)

Neglecting $\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_s)$ corrections, two main contributions

$$\mathcal{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} K_A(m_\ell) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \left[H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) \, J_B(m_b \omega, x) S_B(\omega) \right] \qquad \omega = n \cdot p_u$$

SCET-1 operators with soft spectator (A-type)

 $S_A = \langle O_A \rangle$ $O_A = \bar{n}_\mu \, \bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu P_L h_\nu \, S^{\dagger}_{\nu_\ell}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} &= -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_{\ell}}{m_b} K_A(m_{\ell}) \bar{u}(p_{\ell}) P_L v(p_{\nu}) \\ & \left[H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) \, J_B(m_b \omega, x) S_B(\omega) \right] \quad (\omega = n \cdot p_u) \\ \text{SCET-1 operators with soft} \\ \text{spectator (A-type)} \\ S_A &= \langle O_A \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$O_A = \bar{n}_\mu \, \bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu P_L h_\nu \, S^{\dagger}_{\nu_\ell}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} &= -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_{\ell}}{m_b} K_A(m_{\ell}) \bar{u}(p_{\ell}) P_L v(p_{\nu}) \\ & \left[H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) \, J_B(m_b \omega, x) S_B(\omega) \right] \quad & \omega = n \cdot p_u \\ \text{SCET-1 operators with soft} & \text{SCET-1 operators with hc} \\ \text{spectator (A-type)} & \text{SCET-1 operators with hc} \\ \text{S}_A &= \langle O_A \rangle \\ O_A &= \bar{n}_{\mu} \bar{u}_s \gamma^{\mu} P_L h_{\nu} S_{\nu_{\ell}}^{\dagger} & O_B(\omega) = \bar{n}_{\mu} \int \frac{dt}{2\pi} e^{i\omega t} \bar{u}_s(tn) [tn,0] \gamma^{\mu} P_L h_{\nu}(0) \, S_{\nu_{\ell}}^{\dagger}(0) \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{B\to\ell\bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} K_A(m_\ell) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \left[H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) \, J_B(m_b\omega, x) S_B(\omega) \right] \qquad \omega = n \cdot p_u$$

Neglecting $\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_s)$ corrections, two main contributions

$$\mathcal{A}_{B\to\ell\bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} K_A(m_\ell) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \left[H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) J_B(m_b \omega, x) S_B(\omega) \right] \qquad (\omega = n \cdot p_u)$$

- Focus on second term:
 - Hard and jet function share a variable x = collinear momentum momentum fraction carried by the spectator
 - They scale as $H_B \sim x^{-\epsilon}, J_B \sim x^{-1-\epsilon}$

 \Rightarrow $H_B \otimes J_B$ has an endpoint divergence in x = 0!

Neglecting $\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_s)$ corrections, two main contributions

$$\mathcal{A}_{B\to\ell\bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} K_A(m_\ell) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \left[H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) J_B(m_b\omega, x) S_B(\omega) \right] \qquad \omega = n \cdot p_u$$

- Focus on second term:
 - Hard and jet function share a variable x = collinear momentum momentum fraction carried by the spectator \bar{x}
 - They scale as $H_B \sim x^{-\epsilon}, J_B \sim x^{-1-\epsilon}$

 \Rightarrow $H_B \otimes J_B$ has an endpoint divergence in x = 0!

This cannot be removed with standard RG techniques, but is systematically treatable with refactorization-based subtraction (RBS) scheme

> [Liu, MN 2019; Liu, Mecaj, MN, Wang 2020; Beneke et al. 2022; Liu, MN, Schnubel, Wang 2022]

$$\mathcal{A}_{B\to\ell\bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} K_A(m_\ell) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \left[H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) \, J_B(m_b\omega, x) S_B(\omega) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{B\to\ell\bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} K_A(m_\ell) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \\ \left[H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) \, J_B(m_b\omega, x) S_B(\omega) \right]$$

Start from the second term

$$\mathcal{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} K_A(m_\ell) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \left[H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) \, J_B(m_b \omega, x) S_B(\omega) \right] \left[\int_0^1 dx \left[H_B(m_b, x) J_B(m_b \omega, x) - \theta(\lambda - x) [[H_B(m_b, x)]] [[J_B(m_b \omega, x)]] \right] \right] \\ 0 < \lambda < 1 4^{-\nu} \left[[f]] = \text{singular part of } f \text{ for } x \to 0 \right]$$

- Start from the second term
- Remove the divergence from $H_B \otimes J_B$ with a plus subtraction

$$\mathcal{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} K_A(m_\ell) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \begin{bmatrix} H_A(m_b) S_A + \int d\omega \int_0^1 dx \, H_B(m_b, x) \, J_B(m_b \omega, x) S_B(\omega) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_A(m_b) S_A^{(\Lambda)} \\ \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^1 dx \, \left[H_B(m_b, x) J_B(m_b \omega, x) - \theta(\lambda - x) \left[[H_B(m_b, x)] \right] \left[J_B(m_b \omega, x) \right] \right] \\ 0 < \lambda < 1 \overset{i}{\checkmark} \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ 0 < \lambda < 1 \overset{i}{\checkmark} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Start from the second term
- Remove the divergence from $H_B \otimes J_B$ with a plus subtraction
- Add the subtraction term back, combining it with the other term in the factorization formula

- Start from the second term
- Remove the divergence from $H_B \otimes J_B$ with a plus subtraction
- Add the subtraction term back, combining it with the other term in the factorization formula

Decay constant

$$\begin{split} S_{A}^{(\Lambda)} &= \langle 0 \mid O_{A}^{(\Lambda)} \mid B^{-}(v) \rangle = -\frac{i\sqrt{m_{B}}}{2} F(\mu,\Lambda) \ \langle 0 \mid S_{\nu_{B}}^{(B)} S_{\nu_{\ell}}^{(\ell)\dagger} \mid 0 \rangle \\ O_{A}^{(\Lambda)} &= \bar{u}_{s} \, \not\!\!\!/ P_{L} h_{\nu_{B}} \, S_{\nu_{\ell}}^{(\ell)\dagger} \left[1 + Q_{\ell} Q_{u} \, \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \, \frac{e^{\epsilon \gamma_{E}} \, \Gamma(\epsilon)}{\epsilon \left(1 - \epsilon\right)} \int d\omega \, \phi_{-}(\omega) \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega \Lambda}\right)^{\epsilon} \right] \end{split}$$

Decay constant

The "new" soft function $S_A^{(\Lambda)}$ defines a renormalized decay "constant":

$$S_{A}^{(\Lambda)} = \langle 0 | O_{A}^{(\Lambda)} | B^{-}(v) \rangle = -\frac{i\sqrt{m_{B}}}{2} F(\mu, \Lambda) \langle 0 | S_{\nu_{B}}^{(B)} S_{\nu_{\ell}}^{(\ell)\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$
$$O_{A}^{(\Lambda)} = \bar{u}_{s} \not \!\!/ P_{L} h_{\nu_{B}} S_{\nu_{\ell}}^{(\ell)\dagger} \left[1 + Q_{\ell} Q_{u} \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{e^{\epsilon \gamma_{E}} \Gamma(\epsilon)}{\epsilon (1 - \epsilon)} \int d\omega \phi_{-}(\omega) \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega \Lambda} \right)^{\epsilon} \right]$$
Decay constant

The "new" soft function $S_A^{(\Lambda)}$ defines a renormalized decay "constant":

$$S_{A}^{(\Lambda)} = \langle 0 | O_{A}^{(\Lambda)} | B^{-}(v) \rangle = -\frac{i\sqrt{m_{B}}}{2} F(\mu, \Lambda) \langle 0 | S_{v_{B}}^{(B)} S_{v_{\ell}}^{(\ell)\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$
$$O_{A}^{(\Lambda)} = \bar{u}_{s} \, \bar{\eta} P_{L} h_{v_{B}} S_{v_{\ell}}^{(\ell)\dagger} \left[1 + Q_{\ell} Q_{u} \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{e^{\epsilon \gamma_{E}} \Gamma(\epsilon)}{\epsilon (1 - \epsilon)} \int d\omega \, \phi_{-}(\omega) \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega \Lambda} \right)^{\epsilon} \right]$$

Evolution in μ and Λ well-defined and insensitive to IR regulators:

$$\frac{d\ln F}{d\ln\mu} = C_F \frac{3\alpha_s}{4\pi} - \frac{3\alpha}{4\pi} \left(Q_\ell^2 - Q_b^2 + \frac{2}{3} Q_\ell Q_u \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2} \right)$$
$$\frac{d\ln F}{d\ln\Lambda} = Q_\ell Q_u \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[\int d\omega \phi_-(\omega) \ln \frac{\omega \Lambda}{\mu^2} - 1 + \dots \right]$$

Decay constant

The "new" soft function $S_A^{(\Lambda)}$ defines a renormalized decay "constant":

$$S_{A}^{(\Lambda)} = \langle 0 | O_{A}^{(\Lambda)} | B^{-}(v) \rangle = -\frac{i\sqrt{m_{B}}}{2} F(\mu, \Lambda) \langle 0 | S_{v_{B}}^{(B)} S_{v_{\ell}}^{(\ell)\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$
$$O_{A}^{(\Lambda)} = \bar{u}_{s} \not \!\!\!/ P_{L} h_{v_{B}} S_{v_{\ell}}^{(\ell)\dagger} \left[1 + Q_{\ell} Q_{u} \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{e^{\epsilon \gamma_{E}} \Gamma(\epsilon)}{\epsilon (1 - \epsilon)} \int d\omega \phi_{-}(\omega) \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega \Lambda}\right)^{\epsilon} \right]$$

Evolution in μ and Λ well-defined and insensitive to IR regulators:

$$\frac{d\ln F}{d\ln\mu} = C_F \frac{3\alpha_s}{4\pi} - \frac{3\alpha}{4\pi} \left(Q_\ell^2 - Q_b^2 + \frac{2}{3} Q_\ell Q_u \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2} \right)$$
$$\frac{d\ln F}{d\ln\Lambda} = Q_\ell Q_u \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[\int d\omega \phi_-(\omega) \ln \frac{\omega \Lambda}{\mu^2} - 1 + \dots \right]$$

Related to lattice QCD result for the B decay constant:

$$\sqrt{m_B} f_B^{\text{QCD}} = \left[1 - C_F \frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{2\pi} \right] F(m_b, m_b) \bigg|_{\alpha \to 0}$$

.

Virtual corrections to $B \rightarrow \mu \bar{\nu}$

Decay amplitude including virtual QED corrections at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{B \to \ell \bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = i\sqrt{2}G_F K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_{\ell}}{m_b} \sqrt{m_B} F(\mu, m_b, w) \bar{u}(p_{\ell}) P_L v(p_{\nu}) \Big[\mathcal{M}_{2p}(\mu) + \mathcal{M}_{3p}(\mu) \Big]$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{2p}(\mu) &= 1 + \frac{C_F \alpha_s}{4\pi} \left[\frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} - 2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left\{ Q_b^2 \left[\frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} - 2 \right] - Q_\ell Q_b \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} + 2 \ln \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} - 3 \ln \frac{m_\ell^2}{\mu^2} + 1 + \frac{5\pi^2}{12} \right] \\ &+ 2Q_\ell Q_u \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \phi_-(\omega) \ln \frac{m_b \omega}{\mu^2} + Q_\ell^2 \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}} \left(\ln \frac{m_B^2}{m_\ell^2} - 2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{m_\ell^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{m_\ell^2}{\mu^2} + 2 + \frac{5\pi^2}{12} \right] \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}_{3p}(\mu) &= \frac{\alpha}{\pi} Q_\ell Q_u \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_0^\infty d\omega_g \, \phi_{3g}(\omega, \omega_g) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_g} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\omega_g}{\omega} \right) - \frac{1}{\omega + \omega_g} \right] \end{aligned}$$

 \Rightarrow significant hadronic uncertainties in $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ terms! [CC, König, Neubert 2022]

May 2023 || 10 years MITP

Virtual corrections to $B \rightarrow \mu \bar{\nu}$

Decay amplitude including virtual QED corrections at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{B\to\ell\bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = i\sqrt{2}G_F K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} \sqrt{m_B} F(\mu, m_b, w) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \Big[\mathcal{M}_{2p}(\mu) + \mathcal{M}_{3p}(\mu) \Big]$$

with:

$$\mathcal{M}_{2p}(\mu) = 1 + \frac{C_F \alpha_s}{4\pi} \left[\frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} - 2 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left\{ Q_b^2 \left[\frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} - 2 \right] - Q_\ell Q_b \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} + 2 \ln \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} - 3 \ln \frac{m_\ell^2}{\mu^2} + 1 + \frac{5\pi^2}{12} \right] \right\}$$

$$+ 2Q_\ell Q_u \int_0^\infty d\omega \phi_-(\omega) \ln \frac{m_b \omega}{\mu^2} + Q_\ell^2 \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\rm IR}} \left(\ln \frac{m_B^2}{m_\ell^2} - 2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{m_\ell^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{m_\ell^2}{\mu^2} + 2 + \frac{5\pi^2}{12} \right] \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{3p}(\mu) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} Q_\ell Q_u \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_0^\infty d\omega_g \phi_{3g}(\omega, \omega_g) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_g} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\omega_g}{\omega} \right) - \frac{1}{\omega + \omega_g} \right]$$

 \Rightarrow significant hadronic uncertainties in $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ terms! [CC, König, Neubert 2022]

Virtual corrections to $B \rightarrow \mu \bar{\nu}$

Decay amplitude including virtual QED corrections at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{B\to\ell\bar{\nu}}^{\text{virtual}} = i\sqrt{2}G_F K_{\text{EW}}(\mu) V_{ub} \frac{m_\ell}{m_b} \sqrt{m_B} F(\mu, m_b, w) \bar{u}(p_\ell) P_L v(p_\nu) \Big[\mathcal{M}_{2p}(\mu) + \mathcal{M}_{3p}(\mu) \Big]$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{2p}(\mu) &= 1 + \frac{C_F \alpha_s}{4\pi} \left[\frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} - 2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left\{ Q_b^2 \left[\frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} - 2 \right] - Q_\ell Q_b \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} + 2 \ln \frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2} - 3 \ln \frac{m_\ell^2}{\mu^2} + 1 + \frac{5\pi^2}{12} \right] \\ &+ 2Q_\ell Q_u \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \phi_{-}(\omega) \ln \frac{m_b \omega}{\mu^2} + Q_\ell^2 \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}} \left(\ln \frac{m_B^2}{m_\ell^2} - 2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{m_\ell^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{m_\ell^2}{\mu^2} + 2 + \frac{5\pi^2}{12} \right] \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}_{3p}(\mu) &= \frac{\alpha}{\pi} Q_\ell Q_u \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_0^\infty d\omega_g \, \phi_{3g}(\omega, \omega_g) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_g} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\omega_g}{\omega} \right) - \frac{1}{\omega + \omega_g} \right] \end{aligned}$$

 \Rightarrow significant hadronic uncertainties in $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ terms! [CC, König, Neubert 2022]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}} = y \, e^{-im_B(v \cdot x)} \varphi_B \left(\bar{\chi}_c^{(\ell)} P_L \chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

Below $\mu \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$ quarks hadronize: move to effective description with a **Yukawa theory**, with the meson treated as a **heavy scalar**

$$\mathcal{L}_{y} = y e^{-im_{B}(v \cdot x)} \varphi_{B} \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} P_{L} \chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

Below $\mu \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ quarks hadronize: move to effective description with a **Yukawa theory**, with the meson treated as a **heavy scalar**

$$\mathcal{L}_{y} = y e^{-im_{B}(v \cdot x)} \varphi_{B} \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} P_{L} \chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

Yukawa coupling is fixed by matching hadronic matrix elements between this and the previous description:

 $\langle \ell \nu | \mathcal{L}_{\text{SCET II} \otimes \text{HQET}} | B \rangle = \langle \ell \nu | \mathcal{L}_{\text{SCET II} \otimes \text{HSET}} | B \rangle$

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\$$

Below $\mu \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ quarks hadronize: move to effective description with a **Yukawa theory**, with the meson treated as a **heavy scalar**

$$\mathcal{L}_{y} = y e^{-im_{B}(v \cdot x)} \varphi_{B} \left(\bar{\chi}_{c}^{(\ell)} P_{L} \chi_{\bar{c}}^{(\nu)} \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

Yukawa coupling is fixed by matching hadronic matrix elements between this and the previous description:

 $\langle \ell \nu | \mathcal{L}_{\text{SCET II} \otimes \text{HQET}} | B \rangle = \langle \ell \nu | \mathcal{L}_{\text{SCET II} \otimes \text{HSET}} | B \rangle$

Since $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \sim m_{\mu}$, we integrate out the **muon** in the same step and describe it as a **boosted heavy lepton** field: $\ell(x) = e^{-im_{\ell}v_{\ell}\cdot x}\chi_{v_{\ell}}(x)$

 \Rightarrow low-E theory is a heavy scalar effective theory \otimes bHLET

$$Y_{v}^{(s)}(x) = \mathcal{P} \exp\left\{ie \int_{-\infty}^{0} ds \, v \cdot A_{s}(x+sv)\right\}$$
$$Y_{v}^{(sc)}(x) = \mathcal{P} \exp\left\{ie \int_{-\infty}^{0} ds \, v \cdot A_{sc}(x+sv)\right\}$$

$$W_{s}(\omega_{s},\mu) = \left[\sum_{n_{s}=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n_{s}} \int d\Pi_{i}(q_{i})\right] \left| \left\langle n_{s}\gamma_{s}(q_{i}) \right| Y_{v}^{(\mathrm{s})}Y_{n}^{(\mathrm{s})\dagger} \left| 0 \right\rangle \right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega_{s} - q_{0}^{(\mathrm{s})}\right) ,$$
$$W_{sc}(\omega_{sc},\mu) = \left[\sum_{n_{sc}=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{s}} \int d\Pi_{j}(q_{j})\right] \left| \left\langle n_{sc}\gamma_{sc}(q_{j}) \right| Y_{\bar{n}}^{(\mathrm{sc})\dagger}Y_{v_{l}}^{(\mathrm{sc})} \left| 0 \right\rangle \right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega_{sc} - q_{0}^{(\mathrm{sc})}\right)$$

It's a theory of Wilson lines: all interactions of the B and the muon with ultrasoft and ultrasoft-collinear photons can be moved into Wilson lines, and decoupled from them via field redefinitions:

$$Y_{v}^{(s)}(x) = \mathcal{P} \exp\left\{ie \int_{-\infty}^{0} ds \, v \cdot A_{s}(x+sv)\right\}$$
$$Y_{v}^{(sc)}(x) = \mathcal{P} \exp\left\{ie \int_{-\infty}^{0} ds \, v \cdot A_{sc}(x+sv)\right\}$$

Real corrections are matrix elements of these Wilson lines:

$$W_{s}(\omega_{s},\mu) = \left[\sum_{n_{s}=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n_{s}} \int d\Pi_{i}(q_{i})\right] \left| \left\langle n_{s}\gamma_{s}(q_{i}) \right| Y_{v}^{(\mathrm{s})}Y_{n}^{(\mathrm{s})\dagger} \left| 0 \right\rangle \right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega_{s} - q_{0}^{(\mathrm{s})}\right) ,$$
$$W_{sc}(\omega_{sc},\mu) = \left[\sum_{n_{sc}=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{s}} \int d\Pi_{j}(q_{j})\right] \left| \left\langle n_{sc}\gamma_{sc}(q_{j}) \right| Y_{\bar{n}}^{(\mathrm{sc})\dagger}Y_{v_{l}}^{(\mathrm{sc})} \left| 0 \right\rangle \right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega_{sc} - q_{0}^{(\mathrm{sc})}\right)$$

Convoluted with the measurement function involving the experimental cut, they yields the complete radiative function:

$$S(E_s,\mu) = \int_0^\infty d\omega_s \int_0^\infty d\omega_{sc} \left(\theta \left(\frac{E_s}{2} - \omega_s - \omega_{sc} \right) W_s(\omega_s,\mu) W_{sc}(\omega_{sc},\mu) \right)$$

- Integration and renormalisation of the bare functions can be carried out in Laplace space \Rightarrow resummation of ultra-soft and ultrasoft-collinear logs.
- Full factorization formula:

Convoluted with the measurement function involving the experimental cut, they yields the complete radiative function:

$$S(E_s,\mu) = \int_0^\infty d\omega_s \int_0^\infty d\omega_{sc} \left(\theta \left(\frac{E_s}{2} - \omega_s - \omega_{sc} \right) W_s(\omega_s,\mu) W_{sc}(\omega_{sc},\mu) \right)$$

- Integration and renormalisation of the bare functions can be carried out in Laplace space \Rightarrow resummation of ultra-soft and ultrasoft-collinear logs.
- Full factorization formula:

$$\Gamma = |\mathcal{A}^{\text{virtual}}|^2 \otimes W_{us}(\mu) \otimes W_{usc}(\mu)$$
non-radiative radiative

Conclusions

- We derived a factorization formula for QED corrections to $B \rightarrow \mu \nu$, which **separates all scales** in the process and allows for the resummation of the associated logarithms.
- We used a multi-step matching procedure involving a combination of HQET, SCET 1
 & 2, and boosted HLET. The derivation can be systematically extended to higher orders in the coupling constants and power counting.
- The exchange of virtual hard collinear photons gives rise to structure-dependent corrections. Unlike in $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$, these are not enhanced. Nevertheless, they are present and constitute a potentially important source of uncertainty in the prediction.
- Channels with other lepton flavors cannot be obtained simply by replacing the muon mass: they have different scale hierarchies, matching threshold, EFT constructions....