A novel dual-mode tracking device for online dose monitoring in hadron therapy



#### 53<sup>rd</sup> International Winter Meeting on Nuclear Physics Bormio 26-30 January 2015

#### Tumor treatment with radiation/particle



#### Hadrontherapy protons, carbon ions...

#### Main difference is shape of dose release (dose=dE/dm)



#### Radiotherapy vs Hadrontherapy

#### Radiotherapy

- Used for ~60% of patiens
  (also together with surgery)
- "Easy" but implies large doses to healty tissues
- Problems with radioresistent tumors and close to critical organs



#### Hadrontherapy

- Localized energy distribution spares healthy tissues
- Great efficiency in killing cells
- Needs more sophisticated facilities

Spread Out Bragg Peak (more beams combined)



Cecilia Voena

#### Radiotherapy vs Hadrontherapy

- Combination of many radiation fields allows improving the performances for localized tumors and preserve healty tissues
- The combination of few proton fields is extremely powerful in preserving healty tissues



#### Proton vs Carbon beams

- Protons suffer more multiple scattering but are less affected by fragmentation
- Carbon ions have reduced multiple scattering but more fragmentation. More efficient in killing cells (higher ionization density), more effective in hypoxic tumors.
   Drawback: need bigger facilities



#### Particle Theraphy in Europe



## Dose monitoring in particle therapy

- Together with improving precision of hadrontherapy in tumor irradiation comes the necessity of new dose release monitoring technique:
- cannot exploit trasmitted beam as in radiotherapy
- can exploit secondary particles produced in the interactions of the beam within the patient
- stringent requirements due to space constraints in treatment room
- should provide feedback "on-line"

#### Dose monitoring in particle therapy

# Point production is correlated with Bragg peak!



β<sup>+</sup> emitters results in 2 back-to-back photons with E=511 keV

prompt photons emitted in nuclear de-excitation E<10 MeV

charged particles(p) produced in nuclear fragmentation E<200MeV

### $\gamma$ from $\beta^+$ emitters

- β<sup>+</sup> produced in de-excitation of isotopes (<sup>11</sup>C,<sup>15</sup>O..)
- Can use PET (Positron Emission Tomography) technique to detect the two photons

The activity emission shape is correlated with dose ditribution



- Spatial constraints in treatment room prevent standard PET
- Offline PET can be used, but metabolic wash out deteriorates resolution
- In-beam solutions under R&D

#### **Prompt Photons**

- Advantage: more abundant than other secondaries
- Disadvantages:
  - High background due to neutrons
  - Not easy back-pointing γ direction, can take profit by SPECT technique but energy range (1-10MeV) not favorable. R&D in progress



A.Ferrari and FLUKA collaboration (73 MeV/u C ion)

#### Flux and spectrum measured at different energy and angle e.g: 200MeV/u <sup>12</sup>C beam (GSI, Germany)

$$\begin{split} \Phi^{\gamma}(E > 2MeV @ 60^{\circ}) &= (6.59 \pm 0.22_{stat} \pm 1.07_{syst}) \times 10^{-3} sr^{-1} \\ \Phi^{\gamma}(E > 2MeV @ 90^{\circ}) &= (7.39 \pm 0.38_{stat} \pm 1.27_{syst}) \times 10^{-3} sr^{-1} \\ \Phi^{\gamma}(E > 2MeV @ 120^{\circ}) &= (5.02 \pm 0.24_{stat} \pm 1.34_{syst}) \times 10^{-3} sr^{-1} \end{split}$$

<u>Under preparation: "Precise measurement of prompt photon</u> <u>emission from 220 MeV/u carbon ion beam irradiation"</u>

Cecilia Voena

### **Charged particles**

- Protons, deutons, tritium...
- Advantages:

Detection efficiency very high and can be easily backtracked

 Disadvantages: there is an escape threshold (50-100MeV) they are not so many especially for proton beams



**GSI** measurement

$$\begin{split} \Phi^{p}(\Omega_{LYSO})_{\theta=60^{o}} &= (8.78 \pm 0.07_{stat} \pm 0.64_{syst}) \times 10^{-3} sr^{-1} \\ \Phi^{d}(\Omega_{LYSO})_{\theta=60^{o}} &= (3.71 \pm 0.04_{stat} \pm 0.37_{syst}) \times 10^{-3} sr^{-1} \\ \Phi^{t}(\Omega_{LYSO})_{\theta=60^{o}} &= (0.91 \pm 0.01_{stat} \pm 0.21_{syst}) \times 10^{-3} sr^{-1} \\ \Phi^{p}(\Omega_{LYSO})_{\theta=90^{o}} &= (1.83 \pm 0.02_{stat} \pm 0.14_{syst}) \times 10^{-3} sr^{-1} \\ \Phi^{d}(\Omega_{LYSO})_{\theta=90^{o}} &= (0.78 \pm 0.01_{stat} \pm 0.09_{syst}) \times 10^{-3} sr^{-1} \\ \Phi^{t}(\Omega_{LYSO})_{\theta=90^{o}} &= (0.128 \pm 0.005_{stat} \pm 0.028_{syst}) \times 10^{-3} sr^{-1} \end{split}$$

L.Piersanti et al. <u>"Measurement of charged</u> <u>particles yields from PMMA irradiated by</u> <u>220 MeV/ u <sup>12</sup>C beam</u>" **PMB 59 (2014) 1857-1872** 

### The dose profiler project

- Dual-mode detector for measurement of both charged particles and prompt photons
- Part of INSIDE project which foresees also a PET detector
- Designed to be installed in CNAO treatment rooms
   Dose profiler

at 60° to maximize flux

**PET-heads** 

#### The dose profiler design



- 6 fiber planes X+Y BFC-12 scintillator 0.5mm thick with 2cm pitch, area of 19x19cm<sup>2</sup>, readout with SiPM
- 2 pairs of plastic scintillators (electron absorber) 6mm thick (each) with SiPM readout

#### The dose profiler design



 4x4 LYSO crystals matrices, 16x16 pixel (3mmx3mmx2cm) read out by multianode PMTs

## Dose profiler principle

- Charged particles cross all layers
- Prompt photons back-traced by reconstructing Compton interaction



#### **Dose profiler realization status**



Complete simulation (FLUKA) and reconstruction software have been developed to optimize design and estimate perfomances

#### Charged particles reconstruction



Simulation: protons of different energies and depths

E= 90-250 MeV depth = 25cm or 30cm from dose profiler

**Reconstruction efficiency** 



#### Charged particles reconstruction



## Spatial resolution on point of origin (single proton)

Simulation: protons of different energies and depths

E= 90-250 MeV depth = 25cm or 30cm from dose profiler

Preliminary Extrapolating to a realistic treatement (for a single slice, dose= 2Gy/fraction) the expected global resolution is ~0.4mm



Cecilia Voena

#### Prompt photon reconstruction



#### Particle "identification"

Exploit different energy release in the calorimeter



#### Conclusions

- Particle therapy is very effective in curing localized tumors (expecially radio-resistent tumors) preserving surrounding healty tissues
- On-line dose monitoring is curcial to improve performances:
- Secondary particles coming out from the patient can be exploited
- A dual-mode dose-profiler is under construction to detect prompt photons and charged particles (part of INSIDE project that includes also 2 PET heads)
- Test in treatment room at CNAO forseen end of 2016

#### Backup

## Secondary particles: measurements



#### The **fluxes of secondary particles are largely unknown:** MonteCarlo simulation not reliable => need of measurements

• PET Photons

Prompt Photons

• Fragmentation

(charged particles)

flux and profile for different energies:

- 80 MeV/u <sup>12</sup>C beam
- 102,125,144 MeV/u <sup>4</sup>He beam
  flux and spectrum for different energies:
- 80, 220 MeV/u <sup>12</sup>C beam
- 50-300 MeV/u <sup>16</sup>O beam 60°, 90°,120°
- 50-300 MeV/u <sup>4</sup>He beam

flux and spectrum for different energies:

- 80, 220 MeV/u <sup>12</sup>C beam 60°, 90°
- 50-300 MeV/u <sup>16</sup>O beam 0°,5°,10°20°,30°
- 50-300 MeV/u <sup>4</sup>He beam

## The INSIDE Project





#### fondazione CNAO

Centro Nazionale di Adroterapia Oncologica per il trattamento dei tumori















Centro Fermi project

## INnovative Solutions for In-beam DosimEtry in Hadrontherapy

24<sup>[OBJ]</sup>

