# W-boson production measurements with ALICE in p-Pb collisions at 5.02 $\, {\rm TeV}$

Kgotlaesele Johnson Senosi for the ALICE collaboration

Department of Nuclear Physics iThemba LABS - Cape Town & Department of Physics University of Cape Town

### 53rd International Winter Meeting on Nuclear Physics

BORMIO, Januray 26-30, 2015









1

# Outline



- 1 Why and How
- 2 ALICE setup
- 3 Data samples

#### 4 Analysis strategy

- $\circ$  Signal (W) and  ${\rm Z}/\gamma^*$  templates
- Heavy-flavour background
- Signal extraction: global fits
- Systematics uncertainties

#### 5 Results

- $\circ$  Cross sections
- $\circ$  Comparison to pQCD calculations
- $\circ$  Yields as a function of event activity

#### 6 Summary

# Why



- $\bullet~\mathrm{W}$  is an electroweak probe produced in hard interactions
- Dominant production process: quark-antiquark annihilation

$${f u}ar {f d} o {f W}^+ {f d} ar {f u} o {f W}^-$$

#### In proton-proton (pp) collisions:

• Sensitive to parton distributions functions (PDFs)

#### In proton-lead (p-Pb) collisions:

- · Sensitive to modification of parton distributions inside the nucleus
- Test binary scaling of hard processes
- Probes the (anti-)shadowing Bjorken-x region in the rapidity ranges  $2.03 < y_{\rm cms} < 3.53$  and  $-4.46 < y_{\rm cms} < -2.96$



#### In lead-lead (Pb-Pb) collisions:

- Not sensitive to strong interaction  $\Rightarrow$  reference for medium-induced effects
- Test binary scaling of hard processes

### How



• Measured in single muon decay: no modification by the QCD medium



- +  $p_{
  m T}$  distribution is a Jacobean peak with maximum at  $p_{
  m T}~\sim M_{
  m W}/2$
- + W boson dominates the single-muon  $p_{\mathrm{T}}$  spectrum at  $p_{\mathrm{T}}~>$  30 GeV/c
- Single-muon decays of  $Z/\gamma^*$  and QCD (muons from heavy-flavour decays) are the main background sources Eur. Phys. J.C(2007)149



+ W-boson signal is extracted by fits to the single-muon  $p_{\mathrm{T}}$  distribution

# ALICE setup



 ALICE setup indicating detectors used for multiplicity (event activity) determination and muon reconstruction \_\_\_\_\_



### **Data samples**



- + p-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{\textit{s}_{\rm NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV ( $\rm E_p=4$  TeV and  $\rm E_{Pb}$  = 1.58 ATeV)
- Two beam configurations with a rapidity shift ( $\triangle y = 0.465$ ) in the proton direction Forward (p-Pb) Backward (Pb-p)





 $2.03 < y_{\rm cms} < 3.53$ 

 $-4.46 < y_{\rm cms} < -2.96$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  y<sub>cms</sub> covered by the muon spectrometer

- Statistics
  - High  $p_{
    m T}$  muon triggered events (V0A & V0C & muon with  $p_{
    m T}~\gtrsim$  4 GeV/c)

	Integrated Luminosity $({ m nb}^{-1})$	
Forward	4.9	
Backward	5.8	

- Muon track selection:
  - Geometrical acceptance cuts
  - Matching of the tracking and trigger tracks to reduce background from punch-through hadrons
  - Correlation of momentum (*p*) and Distance of Closest Approach (DCA) to the interaction point to reduce tracks from beam-gas collisions and particles produced in the absorber

# Analysis strategy



#### Background sources:

- $8 < p_{\rm T} < 40~{\rm GeV}/c$  : heavy-flavour decay muon background is dominant
- $p_{\rm T}~>50~{\rm GeV}/c$  :  ${\rm Z}/\gamma^*$  is the main source of background



•  $\mathrm{W}^{\pm}$  signal is extracted by fitting the single-muon  $p_{\mathrm{T}}$  spectrum with:

$$f(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = N_{\mu \leftarrow \mathrm{QCD}} \cdot f_{\mu \leftarrow \mathrm{QCD}} + N_{\mu \leftarrow \mathrm{W}} \cdot f_{\mu \leftarrow \mathrm{W}} + N_{\mu \leftarrow \mathrm{Z}/\gamma^*} f_{\mu \leftarrow \mathrm{Z}/\gamma^*}$$

where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} f_{\mu\leftarrow QCD} &= \mbox{functions or templates of muons from heavy-flavour decays} \\ f_{\mu\leftarrow W}, f_{\mu\leftarrow Z/\gamma^*} &= \mbox{POWHEG based Monte Carlo (MC) templates [JHEP 0807(2008)060]} \\ N_{\mu\leftarrow QCD}, N_{\mu\leftarrow W} &= \mbox{free normalization parameters} \\ N_{\mu\leftarrow Z/\gamma^*} &= \mbox{fixed to } N_{\mu\leftarrow W}, \mbox{ using ratios of cross-sections from MC } \frac{\sigma_{\mu\leftarrow Z/\gamma^*}}{\sigma_{\mu\leftarrow W}} \end{array}$ 

• Extracted signal is corrected for Acceptance×Efficiency (A imes arepsilon) to obtain the yield

# Signal (W) and ${\rm Z}/\gamma^*$ templates



#### Simulation configuration:

- W and  $Z/\gamma^*$  events generated using POWHEG1 (default) with CTEQ6m2 PDFs in pp and pn collisions
- Forced to decay to  $\mu^\pm$

#### Generators and their roles:

### ♦ POWHEG:

• Generate hard events at Next to Leading order, no showering (no radiative corrections) and no shadowing

### ♦ **PYTHIA6.4**<sup>3</sup>:

- Used to include shadowing parameterized by EPS09<sup>4</sup> (p and n considered inside the Pb)
- Used only for systematic determination

#### Combine pp and pn with

$$\frac{1}{N_{\rm pPb}} \cdot \frac{dN_{\rm pPb}}{dp_{\rm T}} = \frac{Z}{A} \cdot \frac{dN_{\rm pp}}{dp_{\rm T}} + \frac{A-Z}{A} \cdot \frac{dN_{\rm pn}}{dp_{\rm T}}$$

to obtain the templates, where

A = 208 (mass number of the Pb nucleus) Z = 82 (atomic number of the Pb nucleus) <sup>1</sup>JHEP 0807(2008)060

### Heavy-flavour background



- ♦ Fixed Order Next-to-Leading-Log based template (FONLL) [JHEP 1210 (2012) 137]:
  - Muons from B and D mesons in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$  TeV http://www.lpthe.jussieu.fr/~cacciari/fonll/fonllform.html
  - CTEQ6.6 parton distribution functions is used
  - Small effects of nuclear modification of the PDFs at high  $p_{\rm T}$  Nucl. Phys. A931 (2014) 546-551
- **\diamond** Phenomenological functions used by other LHC experiments:
  - ATLAS function [ATLAS-COM-CONF-2011-088]:

$$f_{bkg}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = a \cdot \exp\left(-b \cdot p_{\mathrm{T}}\right) + c \cdot rac{\exp(-d \cdot \sqrt{p_{\mathrm{T}}})}{p_{\mathrm{T}}^{2.5}}$$

•  $2^{nd}$  term of the ATLAS function:

$$f_{bkg}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = c \cdot rac{\exp(-d \cdot \sqrt{p_{\mathrm{T}}})}{p_{\mathrm{T}}^{2.5}}$$

# Signal extraction: global fits







Kgotlaesele Johnson Senosi (UCT& iThemba LABS)

W-boson production

# Systematics uncertainties



- $\diamond$   $N_{\mu \leftarrow W}$  is a weighted average over a large number of fit trials, varying:
  - The  $p_{\mathrm{T}}$  range where the fit is performed
  - QCD or Heavy-flavour decay muons background description
  - Fraction of  ${\rm Z}/\gamma^*$  to  ${\rm W}$  decay muons:  $\Rightarrow$  obtained using PYTHIA and POWHEG
  - Alignment effects  $\Rightarrow$  vary the position of detector elements
- $\diamond$  The statistical error is given by propagating the error on each trial
- $\diamond$  Systematic error is estimated assuming  $\textit{N}_{\mu \leftarrow \mathrm{W}}$  is extracted from a uniform distribution
  - Signal extraction  $\Rightarrow$  vary between  $\sim$  6 % and  $\sim$  10 %
  - Acceptance×Efficiency: A × ε
     ⇒ estimated with two generators: about 1%
  - Alignment effects
    - $\Rightarrow$  systematics from detector configuration found to be < 1%
  - Tracking/trigger efficiencies
    - $\Rightarrow$  tracking 2%, trigger 1% and track and trigger matching 0.5%
    - $\Rightarrow$  propagate to  $\mathrm{N}_{\mu\leftarrow\mathrm{W}}$   $\Rightarrow$  conservative uncertainty of 2.5% considered

#### $\diamond$ These systematics hold for all event activity (multiplicity) bins

### Computing the cross section



• Cross-section is computed as:

$$\sigma_{\mu \leftarrow \mathrm{W}} = \frac{N_{\mu \leftarrow \mathrm{W}}}{\mathrm{A} \times \varepsilon} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{L_{int}}}$$

where the integrated luminosity is:

$$\mathrm{L_{int}} = \frac{\textit{N}_{\mathrm{MB}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{MB}}} = \frac{\textit{N}_{\mathrm{MSH}} \times \textit{F}_{\mathrm{norm}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{MB}}}$$

and  $\mathbf{A}\times\varepsilon$  – acceptance and efficiency factor

- High  $p_{\mathrm{T}}$  muon triggered (MSH) data sample
- Number of MSH events ( $N_{\rm MSH}$ ) must be normalized to the number of minimum-bias (MB) events  $N_{\rm MB}$  to obtain the integrated luminosity:
- $\diamond$  The normalization factor  $\textit{F}_{\rm norm}$  is the fraction of MSH events in the MB triggered data:
  - Computed with two methods

 $Method \ 1: \ uses \ offline \ information \ from \ trigger \ inputs$ 

Method 2: uses online information from trigger counters (scalers)

- Takes into account pile-up
- $\Rightarrow$  Systematic difference between these methods is  $\sim 1\%$

 $\diamond~\sigma_{\rm MB}$  = 2.09  $\pm~$  0.07 b and  $\sigma_{\rm MB}$  = 2.12  $\pm~$  0.06 b for p–Pb and Pb–p, respectively JINST 9 (2014) 11, P11003

### **Cross sections**



- Cross section of  $\mu \leftarrow W$  is measured in two rapidity intervals,  $2.03 < y^{\mu}_{cms} < 3.53$  and  $-4.46 < y^{\mu}_{cms} < -2.96$
- Isospin effects are visible at backward rapidity
- $\Rightarrow$  more d-quarks than u-quarks in Pb compared to p, thus  $\sigma_{W^-}\sim\sigma_{W^+}$  at forward rapidity and  $\sigma_{W^-}>\sigma_{W^+}$  at backward rapidity



# Cross sections vs pQCD at NLO calculations



- Cross section of  $\mu \leftarrow W$  is measured in two rapidity intervals,  $2.03 < y^{\mu}_{cms} < 3.53$  and  $-4.46 < y^{\mu}_{cms} < -2.96$
- pQCD at NLO with CT10 (PDFs) predictions by H. Paukkunen et al<sup>1</sup> are in agreement with measurements within uncertainties



#### <sup>1</sup>JHEP 1103 (2011) 071

Kgotlaesele Johnson Senosi (UCT& iThemba LABS)

# Cross sections vs pQCD at NLO calculations with nuclear PDF



- Cross section of  $\mu \leftarrow W$  is measured in two rapidity intervals,  $2.03 < y^{\mu}_{cms} < 3.53$  and  $-4.46 < y^{\mu}_{cms} < -2.96$
- pQCD at NLO with CT10 (PDFs) and EPS09 (nPDFs) predictions by H. Paukkunen et al  $^{\rm 1}$  are compared with measurements
- With nPDFs the theory is in better agreement with the measured  $\sigma_{\mu^+ \leftarrow W^+}$  and  $\sigma_{\mu^- \leftarrow W^-}$  at forward rapidity within uncertainty



#### <sup>1</sup>JHEP 1103 (2011) 071

Kgotlaesele Johnson Senosi (UCT& iThemba LABS)

# $\langle \textit{N}_{\rm coll} \rangle$ scaling



- $N_{\rm coll}$  is the number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions
- Since  ${\rm W}$  production is a hard process it is expected to scale with  $\textit{N}_{\rm coll}$
- The average number of binary collisions  $\langle N_{\rm coll}\rangle$  is expected to be correlated with event activity/multiplicity
- $\diamond$  Different multiplicity estimators with different approaches were used to extract  $\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle$ :
  - Glauber Model+Negative Binomial Distribution fits to amplitude of  $\Rightarrow$  the signal in the VZERO detectors on either side of the interaction point (V0A and V0C)

 $\Rightarrow$  the number of clusters in the first layer of the SPD detector (CL1)

• Hybrid method:

 $\Rightarrow$  Zero Degree Calorimeters on both sides of the interaction point (ZNA and ZDC): scaling  $\langle N_{\rm part} \rangle$  in minimum-bias collisions by the ratio between the average multiplicity density measured at mid-rapidity in a given zero degree calorimeter energy event class and the one measured in minimum bias collisions

Systematic uncertainty on the normalisation to  $\langle N_{\rm coll}\rangle$  range from 8% to 21% depending on a multiplicity bin

#### ALICE Collaboration, Particle production and centrality in p-Pb, arXiv:1412.6828 [nucl-ex]

# $\mathrm{Yield}/\langle \mathit{N}_\mathrm{coll}\rangle$



- Yield/ $\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle$ : test the binary scaling of hard processes
- In order to increase statistics  $\mu^+ \leftarrow \mathrm{W}^+$  and  $\mu^- \leftarrow \mathrm{W}^-$  were combined
- $\mu \leftarrow W$  yield per binary collision is independent of event activity within systematics



### Summary



• Production of  $\mu^- \leftarrow W^-$  and  $\mu^+ \leftarrow W^+$  was measured in two rapidity ranges in p-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}{=}5.02~{\rm TeV}$ 

#### Cross section:

- Theoretical predictions (pQCD NLO with CT10 PDFs) are in agreement with the measured cross sections with uncertainties
- Theoretical predictions including nPDFs provides a better agreement with the measured cross sections

#### Yield normalized to $\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle$ :

- Estimated with 3 multiplicity estimators
- Independent of the collision multiplicity within systematics



Backup

#### Method 1:

 $\Rightarrow$  offline method which uses trigger inputs

$$F_{\rm norm}^{\rm MSH} = \frac{N_{\rm MB} \times F_{\rm pile-up}}{N_{\rm (MB\&\&0MSL)}} \times \frac{N_{\rm MSL}}{N_{\rm (MSL\&\&0MSH)}}$$

where  $F_{\rm pile-up} = \mu/(1 - e^{-\mu})$  and  $\mu$  is the mean value of the Poisson distribution which describes the probability to have N collisions, MSL is muon single low ( $p_{\rm T} \gtrsim 0.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ )

#### Method 2:

 $\Rightarrow$  which uses L0b counters.

$$\label{eq:F_norm} \textit{F}_{\rm norm}^{\rm MSH} = \frac{\rm L0b_{\rm MB} \times purity_{\rm MB} \times \textit{F}_{\rm pile-up}}{\rm L0b_{\rm MSH} \times \textit{PS}_{\rm MSH}}$$

where  $\rm MB$  is minimum-bias and  $\rm PS_{MSH}$  is the fraction of  $\rm MSH$  which passes physics selection.

### Signal extraction: Global fits



• Fit range  $12 < p_{\rm T} < 80~{\rm GeV}/c$ ,  $\aleph_{\mu \leftarrow {\rm W}}$  extracted by integrating from  $10 < p_{\rm T} < 80~{\rm GeV}/c$ 



 $\langle N_{coll} \rangle$ 

$$\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle = \langle N_{\rm part} \rangle_{\rm MB} \times \Big( \frac{\langle dN/d\eta \rangle_{\rm i}}{\langle dN/d\eta \rangle_{\rm MB}} \Big)_{-1 < \eta < 0} - 1$$



# Summary of the systematics



- $\diamond$  Systematics on the generator based on POWHEG and PYTHIA
  - PYTHIA also used to take into account shadowing effects
- $\diamond$  Other systematics:
  - variation of the input PDFs
  - ${\rm Z}/\gamma^{*}$  to  ${\rm W}^{\pm}$  fraction

both negligible

◊ Summary of the systematics:

Signal extraction	
(includes alignment, fit stability/shape, etc.)	from $\sim 6\%$ to $\sim 10\%$
Acc.×Eff.	
<ul> <li>track./trig. efficiencies</li> </ul>	2.5%
– alignment	< 1 %
Normalisation to MB	
– F <sub>norm</sub>	1%
$-\sigma_{\mathrm{MB}}$	3.2% (forward) 3% (backward)