# Outline

Preliminary remarks on dimensional schemes

### 2 BMHV treatment of $\gamma_5$ : Overview, illustrations

- $\gamma_5$  problem of DREG
- BMHV breaks chiral gauge invariance
- gauge-invariance restoring counterterm

3 Explicit computation of symmetry-restoring counterterms

# The problem: $\gamma_5$ and DReg

Three properties in 4-dimensions:

$$\{\gamma_5, \gamma^\mu\} = \mathbf{0},\tag{1}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma_5 \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\sigma}) = 4i \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma},\tag{2}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_2\Gamma_1). \tag{3}$$

Inconsistent in  $D \neq 4$  (can prove that trace=0). Give up at least one  $\Rightarrow$  many proposals!

# The problem: $\gamma_5$ and DReg

Three properties in 4-dimensions:

$$\{\gamma_5, \gamma^\mu\} = \mathbf{0},\tag{1}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma_5 \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\sigma}) = 4i \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \qquad (2)$$

$$Tr(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2) = Tr(\Gamma_2\Gamma_1).$$
(3)

Inconsistent in  $D \neq 4$  (can prove that trace=0). Give up at least one  $\Rightarrow$  many proposals!

- "Naive" anticommuting? Reading point? ... Many alternatives!
- Often limited range of applicability
- BMHV (non-anticommuting, very complicated, breaks gauge inv. But unitary, consistent)

# BMHV scheme — non-anticommuting $\gamma_5$

Our idea: No-compromise approach to BMHV — apply it and accept/deal with its difficulties!

- Take seriously, apply to 1-loop, 2-loop ... EW calculations
- Here Technical task: restore gauge invariance
- Progress will feed back to other schemes
- "D-dim space" split into pure 4-dim space  $\oplus$  (-2 $\epsilon$ )-dim space

$$egin{aligned} &X^\mu = ar{X}^\mu + \hat{X}^\mu \ &\gamma_5 = i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3 \ &\{\gamma_5,ar{\gamma}^\mu\} = 0 \ &[\gamma_5,ar{\gamma}^\mu] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similar study by Cornella, Feruglio, Vecchi '22 for non-abelian 1-loop case

ヘロマ ふぼう くほう くほう

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{kin+int}} = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi + \bar{\psi} \mathbf{P}_{\mathsf{L}} \gamma^{\mu} \mathbf{P}_{\mathsf{R}} \mathbf{A}_{\mu} \psi + \dots$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

-

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin+int}} = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi + \bar{\psi} \mathbf{P}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \mathbf{P}_{R} \mathbf{A}_{\mu} \psi + \dots$$

•  $\gamma^{\mu}$  must be *D*-dimensional (else: propagator not regularized)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin+int}} = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi + \bar{\psi} \mathbf{P}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \mathbf{P}_{R} \mathbf{A}_{\mu} \psi + \dots$$

- γ<sup>μ</sup> must be *D*-dimensional (else: propagator not regularized)
- $P_L \gamma^{\mu} P_R$  (or alternatives like  $\gamma^{\mu} P_R$ ) not fully *D*-dim!
- Always: Mismatch D versus 4 breaks gauge invariance of LD

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin+int}} = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi + \bar{\psi} \mathbf{P}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \mathbf{P}_{R} \mathbf{A}_{\mu} \psi + \dots$$

- γ<sup>μ</sup> must be *D*-dimensional (else: propagator not regularized)
- $P_L \gamma^{\mu} P_R$  (or alternatives like  $\gamma^{\mu} P_R$ ) not fully *D*-dim!
- Always: Mismatch D versus 4 breaks gauge invariance of LD
- Leads to breaking of gauge invariance, Ward/Slavnov-Taylor identities, e.g.

$$p_{\mu} \left[ \underbrace{\stackrel{-}{\underset{p}{\longrightarrow}}}_{p} \underbrace{\stackrel{-}{\underset{p}{\longrightarrow}}}_{p} \right] \neq 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{need symmetry-restoring} \\ \text{counterterms} \end{cases}$$

How does the breaking arise and look like?

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overrightarrow{\mu} \\ \overrightarrow{\mu} \\ \overrightarrow{\mu} \\ \overrightarrow{\mu} \end{bmatrix} = \int d^D k \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\bar{k} \overline{\gamma}^{\mu} (\bar{k} + \bar{p}) \overline{\gamma}^{\nu} P_L)}{k^2 (k+p)^2}$$

here, simply the numerator is purely 4-dimensional, and the textbook transversality proof (contract with  $p_{\mu}$ , shift mom, cancel) does not apply!

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{AA}^{\nu\mu}(p)|_{\text{fin, }\chi\text{QED}}^{1} \sim \frac{1}{3} \left[ \left( \frac{10}{3} - 2\ln(-p^{2}) \right) (\overline{p}^{\mu}\overline{p}^{\nu} - \overline{p}^{2}\overline{g}^{\mu\nu}) - \overline{p}^{2}\overline{g}^{\mu\nu} \right]$$

Step 1: Regularization breaks transversality! Gauge invariance is broken by div-evan. plus finite, non-evanescent, **local** terms!

How does the breaking arise and look like?

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r} \end{bmatrix} = \int d^D k \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\bar{k} \bar{\gamma}^{\mu} (\bar{k} + \bar{p}) \bar{\gamma}^{\nu} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{L}})}{k^2 (k + p)^2}$$

here, simply the numerator is purely 4-dimensional, and the textbook transversality proof (contract with  $p_{\mu}$ , shift mom, cancel) does not apply!

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{AA}^{\nu\mu}(p)|_{\text{fin, }\chi\text{QED}}^{1} \sim \frac{1}{3} \left[ \left( \frac{10}{3} - 2\ln(-p^{2}) \right) (\overline{p}^{\mu}\overline{p}^{\nu} - \overline{p}^{2}\overline{g}^{\mu\nu}) - \overline{p}^{2}\overline{g}^{\mu\nu} \right]$$

Step 2: restoring c.t. here:

$$\mathcal{L}^{1}_{\text{fct},\chi \text{QED}} \sim rac{-1}{6} ar{A}_{\mu} \overline{\partial}^{2} ar{A}^{\mu} + \dots$$

16/34

This is how the breaking can look like and how it can be repaired.

But what is a more efficient way to compute the breaking?

Step 3: More direct calculation of breaking using quantum action principle: breaking of STI is given by insertion of  $\widehat{\Delta} = \int \delta \mathcal{L}^{(D)}$ 



The result of this single diagram is equal to the breaking  $\rightarrow$  Backup



and thus sufficient to determine symmetry-restoring c.t.s but the calculation is simpler and more direct

Dominik Stöckinger

regularization schemes and  $\gamma_5$ 

BMHV treatment of  $\gamma_5$ : Overview, illustrations

18/34

# Outline

- Preliminary remarks on dimensional schemes
- 2 BMHV treatment of  $\gamma_5$ : Overview, illustrations
- 3 Explicit computation of symmetry-restoring counterterms

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ



э



Ward identity violated

< A >

→ Ξ →



Ward identity violated

compensated by special c.t.

(our main task)

< 🗇 🕨

- E - F



Ward identity violated

compensated by special c.t.

(our main task) (guarantee completeness!)

< 🗇 🕨

A 30 M



< □ > < 三 >



# Plan here: chiral "QED" (only $P_R\psi$ ) at 1-/2-loop

[Bélusca-Maïto, Ilakovac, Kühler Mađor-Božinović, DS '21]

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

- 1. Define D-dimensional Lagrangian compute symmetry breaking
- 2. Determine 1-loop UV divs  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_{sct}$
- 3. Determine 1-loop violation of Slavnov-Taylor identity
- 4. Determine 1-loop symmetry-restoring counterterms  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_{fct}$
- 5. Repeat at 2-loop new features?

# Plan here: chiral "QED" (only $P_R\psi$ ) at 1-/2-loop

[Bélusca-Maïto, Ilakovac, Kühler Mađor-Božinović, DS '21]

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

#### 1. Define D-dimensional Lagrangian compute symmetry breaking

- 2. Determine 1-loop UV divs  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_{sct}$
- Determine 1-loop violation of Slavnov-Taylor identity
- 4. Determine 1-loop symmetry-restoring counterterms  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_{fct}$
- 5. Repeat at 2-loop new features?

# 1. Define D-dimensional Lagrangian

Abelian theory like U(1)<sub>Y</sub>-part of SM, only  $\psi_{Ri}$  interact

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

# 1. Define D-dimensional Lagrangian

Abelian theory like U(1)<sub>Y</sub>-part of SM, only  $\psi_{Ri}$  interact

Description of symmetry: gauge invariance  $\rightarrow$  BRST invariance  $\rightarrow$  Slavnov-Taylor identity is required for renormalized theory:

$$S(\Gamma_{ren}) = 0$$

summarizes all Ward identities such as

$$egin{aligned} & p_\mu \Gamma^{\mu
u}_{AA} = 0 \ & p_\mu \Gamma^{\mu
u
odots}_{AAAA} = 0 \ & p_\mu \Gamma^{\mu}_{A\psiar\psi} \propto e Q(\Sigma(p_\psi) - \Sigma(p_{ar\psi})) \end{aligned}$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

# 1. Define D-dimensional Lagrangian

Abelian theory like U(1)<sub>Y</sub>-part of SM, only  $\psi_{Ri}$  interact

 $\mathcal{L}$  has *D*-dim kinetic but 4-dim interaction term!

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{fermions}} = i \overline{\psi}_i \partial \!\!\!/ \psi_i + e \mathcal{Y}_{Ri} \overline{\psi}_{Ri} A \!\!\!/ \psi_{Ri}.$$

 $\mathcal{L}$  breaks *D*-dim gauge/BRST invariance  $\Rightarrow$  and leads to breaking of tree-level Slavnov-Taylor identity

$$\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{S}_{0}) = \widehat{\Delta} \equiv \int \mathsf{d}^{d} x \ (e \mathcal{Y}_{Ri}) c \left\{ \overline{\psi}_{i} \left( \overleftarrow{\widehat{\partial}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{R}} + \overrightarrow{\widehat{\partial}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{L}} \right) \psi_{i} \right\}$$

 $= (e\mathcal{Y}_{Ri}) \left( \widehat{p_1} P_{\mathsf{R}} + \widehat{p_2} P_{\mathsf{L}} \right)_{\alpha\beta}$ 

This is the core of the difficulties. Breaking can be written as a local Feynman rule

# 2. Compute Green functions to determine UV divs

Many 1-loop diagrams (not shown) ~> divergent counterterms:

First part as usual

second part is special for BMHV, sym-breaking and "evanescent"

< A >

- - E > - E >

# 3. Determine 1-loop violation of Slavnov-Taylor id.

Ultimate structure at 1-loop (finite ct to be determined)

$$\Gamma^{(1)}_{\mathsf{DReg}} = \Gamma^{(1)} + S^1_{\mathsf{sct}} + S^1_{\mathsf{fct}} \, ,$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

# 3. Determine 1-loop violation of Slavnov-Taylor id.

Ultimate structure at 1-loop (finite ct to be determined)

$$\Gamma^{(1)}_{
m DReg} = \Gamma^{(1)} + S^1_{
m sct} + S^1_{
m fct} \, ,$$

Evaluate STI at 1-loop order, div-parts cancel, fin-parts t.b.d.

$$\mathcal{S}_d(\Gamma_{\mathsf{DReg}}^{(1)}) = \underbrace{\mathcal{S}_d(\Gamma^{(1)})|_{\mathsf{finite}}}_{\mathsf{fct}} + \mathcal{S}_d \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{fct}}^1$$

#### Left term means: breaking of regularized STI; must be computed.

In principle this corresponds to checking all STIs/WIs, e.g. Fermion 2-point/3-point function etc.

# 3. Determine 1-loop violation of Slavnov-Taylor id.

Ultimate structure at 1-loop (finite ct to be determined)

Evaluate STI at 1-loop order, div-parts cancel, fin-parts t.b.d.

$$\mathcal{S}_d(\Gamma_{\mathsf{DReg}}^{(1)}) = \underbrace{\mathcal{S}_d(\Gamma^{(1)})|_{\mathsf{finite}}}_{\mathsf{Fct}} + \mathcal{S}_d \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{fct}}^1$$

#### Left term means: breaking of regularized STI; must be computed.

In principle this corresponds to checking all STIs/WIs, e.g. Fermion 2-point/3-point function etc.

But can be simplified by using quantum action principle (BM)

$$S_d(\Gamma^{(1)}) = \widehat{\Delta} \cdot \Gamma^{(1)},$$

Bonneau (1980): only power-counting divergent diagrams matter!

The complete set of power-counting divergent 1-loop diagrams with insertion of  $\widehat{\Delta}$ :



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

# 4. Determine symmetry-restoring counterterms

 $|\mathcal{S}_d(\Gamma^{(1)})|_{\text{finite}} + \mathcal{S}_d S^1_{\text{fct}} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

э

# 4. Determine symmetry-restoring counterterms

$$\mathcal{S}_d(\Gamma^{(1)})|_{\mathsf{finite}} + \mathcal{S}_d \mathcal{S}^1_{\mathsf{fct}} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

Requiring this renormalized STI to hold leads to the result

$$egin{aligned} S_{ ext{fct}}^1 &= rac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \int \mathsf{d}^4 \, x \, \left\{ rac{-\, ext{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_R^2)}{6} ar{\mathcal{A}}_\mu \overline{\partial}^2 ar{\mathcal{A}}^\mu + rac{e^2\, ext{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_R^4)}{12} (ar{\mathcal{A}}^2)^2 \ &+ \left(rac{5+\xi}{6}
ight) (\mathcal{Y}_R^j)^2 \Big(ar{\psi}_j i ar{\partial}\, P_{ ext{R}}\,\psi_j \Big) 
ight\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the full 1-loop result of symmetry-restoring counterterms for this chiral QED in BMHV scheme for our Lagrangian. Finite, NON-evanescent counterterms. Not gauge invariant! Modify both self-energies and  $A^4$  interaction

# 5. Repeat at 2-loop (subrenormalization!)

Dominik Stöckinger regularization schemes and  $\gamma_5$  Explicit computation of symmetry-restoring counterterms 27/34

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### 2-loop Slavnov-Taylor breaking — many diagrams of four types:



### Determine sym-restoring counterterms at 2-loop

$$\mathcal{S}_d(\Gamma^{(2)})|_{ ext{finite}} + \mathcal{S}_d S^2_{ ext{fct}} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

Requiring this renormalized STI to hold leads to the result

$$S_{\text{fct}}^{2} = \frac{e^{4}}{(16\pi^{2})^{2}} \int d^{4} x \left\{ \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{4}) \frac{11}{48} \bar{A}_{\mu} \overline{\partial}^{2} \bar{A}^{\mu} + e^{2} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{6})}{8} (\bar{A}^{2})^{2} \right. \\ \left. - (\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{j})^{2} \left( \frac{127}{36} (\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{j})^{2} - \frac{1}{27} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{2}) \right) \left( \bar{\psi}_{j} i \bar{\partial} P_{\mathsf{R}} \psi_{j} \right) \right\}$$

This is the full 2-loop result of symmetry-restoring counterterms for this chiral QED in BMHV scheme for our Lagrangian. Finite, NON-evanescent counterterms. Not gauge invariant! Same structure as at 1-loop

4 D b 4 B b 4 B b 4 B b

Application: restoration of 2-loop photon self energy This is how the breaking looks like:

$$\begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ p & &$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Application: restoration of 2-loop photon self energy This is how the breaking looks like:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p & 2 - loop & p \\ p & p \end{bmatrix}$$
fin-part  $\frac{ie^4}{3 \cdot 256\pi^4} \left[ \left( \frac{673}{23} - 6 \log(-\overline{p}^2) - 24\zeta(3) \right) (\overline{p}^{\mu} \overline{p}^{\nu} - \overline{p}^2 \overline{g}^{\mu\nu}) + \frac{11}{8} (\overline{p}^{\mu} \overline{p}^{\nu} - \overline{p}^2 \overline{g}^{\mu\nu}) \right],$ 

The breaking is compensated by the counterterm of the previous slide:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{fin-ct}} \propto - rac{e^4}{3\cdot 256\pi^4} rac{11}{16} ar{A}_\mu \overline{\partial}^2 ar{A}^\mu$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

# Generalization to YM

[Bélusca-Maïto, Ilakovac, Mađor-Božinović, DS, 2020, see also Cornella, Feruglio, Vecchi '22]

symmetry-restoring counterterm for YM+fermions+scalars (1-loop)

$$\begin{split} S^{1}_{\text{fct,restore}} &= \\ \frac{\hbar}{16\pi^{2}} \left\{ g^{2} \frac{S_{2}(R)}{6} \left( 5S_{GG} + S_{GGG} - \int d^{4} x \; G^{a\mu} \partial^{2} G^{a}_{\mu} \right) + \frac{Y_{2}(S)}{3} \overline{S_{\Phi\Phi}} \right. \\ &+ g^{2} \frac{(T_{R})^{abcd}}{3} \int d^{4} x \; \frac{g^{2}}{4} G^{a}_{\mu} G^{b\,\mu} G^{c}_{\nu} G^{d\,\nu} - \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{R})^{ab}_{mn}}{3} \int d^{4} x \; \frac{g^{2}}{2} G^{a}_{\mu} G^{b\,\mu} \Phi^{m} \Phi^{n} \\ &+ g^{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi - 1}{6} \right) C_{2}(R) S_{\overline{\psi}\psi} - \frac{((Y^{m}_{R})^{*} T_{\overline{R}}^{\ a} Y^{m}_{R})_{ij}}{2} \int d^{4} x \; g \overline{\psi}_{i} \mathcal{G}^{a} P_{R} \psi_{j} \\ &- g^{2} \frac{\xi C_{2}(G)}{4} (S_{\overline{R}c\psi_{R}} + S_{Rc\overline{\psi_{R}}}) \right\} \,, \end{split}$$

Finite, NON-evanescent counterterms. Not gauge invariant! Modify all self-energies and some interactions! But rather compact, universal, can be/is implemented e.g. in FeynArts 3-loop outlook — photon self energy breaks transversality (preliminary) [Matthias Weisswange]

$$i\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\nu\mu}_{AA}(p)\Big|_{div}^{3} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} & & \\$$

$$\propto \frac{i e^{6}}{(16\pi^{2})^{3}} \left[ \left( \frac{10}{81} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{2}) \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{4}) - \frac{2}{27} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{6}) \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \right. \\ \left. + \left( \frac{61}{1620} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{2}) \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{4}) + \frac{638}{405} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{6}) \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] \left( \overline{\rho}^{\mu} \overline{\rho}^{\nu} - \overline{\rho}^{2} \overline{g}^{\mu\nu} \right) \\ \left. + \frac{i e^{6}}{(16\pi^{2})^{3}} \left[ - \frac{1}{18} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{6}) \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}} + \left( \frac{61}{540} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{2}) \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{4}) + \frac{529}{1080} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{6}) \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \right. \\ \left. + \left( \frac{4187}{32400} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{2}) \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{4}) + \left( \frac{49427}{64800} - \frac{544}{225} \zeta_{3} \right) \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{6}) \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] \overline{\rho}^{2} \overline{g}^{\mu\nu} \\ \left. + \frac{i e^{6}}{(16\pi^{2})^{3}} \left( \frac{79}{1080} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{2}) \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{4}) + \frac{1}{60} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{6}) \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon} \overline{\rho}^{2} \overline{g}^{\mu\nu} \right\}$$

# **General Summary**

#### **Regularizations:**

- Issue 1: Unitarity, Causality, Equivalence of schemes
- Issue 2: Consistent definition, representation independence
- Issue 3: Quantum action principle
- Issue 4: Symmetries and symmetry violation
- $\gamma_5$  problem:
  - $\gamma_5$  is problematic in DReg, BMHV scheme is rigorous
  - gauge invariance broken already in  $\mathcal{L}_D$  and at loop level

Renormalization in general:  $\Gamma_{ren} = \Gamma_{reg} + \Gamma_{ct}$ 

- $\Gamma_{ren}$  should be finite and satisfy  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma_{ren}) = 0$
- requires symmetry-restoring counterterms in BMHV

# **General Summary**

**Results:** 

- Symmetry-restoring counterterms: 1-loop YM, 2-loop abelian
- Method established: determine violation of Ward/Slavnov-Taylor identities from  $\widehat{\Delta}$ -diagrams
- Result has compact simple structure

Outlook:

- 2-loop YM, 2-loop EWSM, 3-loop
- alternative  $\mathcal{L}_D$ , schemes (FDH, DRed, etc, other  $\gamma_5$  schemes)
- RGEs [Bélusca-Maïto '22]
- automatize, implement in FeynArts, FeynRules etc

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

-

Symmetry identities (Ward, Slavnov-Taylor) are crucial:

"
$$S(\Gamma^{reg} + \Gamma^{ct}) = 0$$
"

- Unphysical states/negative norm
- Unitary and gauge independent physical S-matrix

They are usually manifestly valid in DReg

# Construction of Slavnov-Taylor identity

• Ghosts for all generators  $\longrightarrow$  BRST:

$$\mathbf{s}\varphi = \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{a}}\delta_{\mathrm{gauge},\mathbf{a}}\varphi$$

• BRS transformations of ghosts  $\leftrightarrow s^2 = 0$ :

$$sc_a = \frac{1}{2}gf_{abc}c_bc_c$$

Slavnov-Taylor operator (S(Γ) = 0 aka "Lee identities/Zinn-Justin identity")

$$S(\Gamma) = \int d^4x \underbrace{\langle s\varphi_i(x) \rangle}_{\delta\varphi_i(x)} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\varphi_i(x)}$$

• Add sources  $\mathcal{L}_{ext} = K_{\varphi_i} s \varphi_i$  for composite operators

$$S(\Gamma) = \int d^4x \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K_{\varphi_i}(x)} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \varphi_i(x)}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

# **Concrete identities**

Dominik

In QED-like theories: Slavnov-Taylor identity  $S(\Gamma) = 0 \rightsquigarrow$  "Ward identities"

$$egin{aligned} & oldsymbol{p}_{\mu}\Gamma^{\mu
u}_{\mathcal{AA}} &= 0 \ & oldsymbol{p}_{\mu}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\mathcal{AAAA}} &= 0 \ & oldsymbol{p}_{\mu}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\mathcal{A}\psiar{\psi}} &\propto eQ(\Sigma(p_{\psi})-\Sigma(p_{ar{\psi}})) \end{aligned}$$

Stöckinger	regularization schemes and $\gamma_5$	Backup	37/34
------------	---------------------------------------	--------	-------

<ロ> < 四 > < 四 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > .

э.

# **Concrete identities**

In QED-like theories: Slavnov-Taylor identity  $S(\Gamma) = 0 \rightsquigarrow$  "Ward identities"

$$egin{aligned} & p_{\mu} \Gamma^{\mu
u}_{A\mathcal{A}} = 0 \ & p_{\mu} \Gamma^{\mu
u
ho\sigma}_{A\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = 0 \ & p_{\mu} \Gamma^{\mu}_{A\psiar{\psi}} \propto e Q(\Sigma(p_{\psi}) - \Sigma(p_{ar{\psi}})) \end{aligned}$$

• Case 1: regularization preserves identities

~field/parameter renormalization transformation

• Case 2: regularization breaks identities

			1957 E.	\$) Q (\$
Dominik Stöckinger	regularization schemes and $\gamma_5$	Backup	37/34	

# **Concrete identities**

In QED-like theories: Slavnov-Taylor identity  $S(\Gamma) = 0 \rightsquigarrow$  "Ward identities"

$$egin{aligned} & p_\mu \Gamma^{\mu
u}_{A\mathcal{A}} = 0 \ & p_\mu \Gamma^{\mu
u
odots}_{A\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = 0 \ & p_\mu \Gamma^{\mu}_{A\psiar{\psi}} \propto e Q(\Sigma(p_\psi) - \Sigma(p_{ar{\psi}})) \end{aligned}$$

• Case 1: regularization preserves identities

#### • Case 2: regularization breaks identities

 $\rightsquigarrow$ add also special counterterms which satisfy " $S(\Gamma^{ct}) = -\Delta$ "

Dominik Stöckinger	regularization schemes and $\gamma_5$	Backup	37/34	
		▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶	/ ▲ 문 ▶ / 문	うくで

# Example: QED Ward identity valid in DReg

$$p_{\mu}\Gamma^{\mu
u}_{AA}=0??$$

Check QED transversality of photon self energy

$$p_{\mu}\left[\overbrace{\substack{\rho \\ \rho}}^{\downarrow} \overbrace{\rho}\right] = p_{\mu} \int d^{D}k \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\not{k}\gamma^{\mu}(\not{k}+\not{p})\gamma^{\nu})}{k^{2}(k+p)^{2}}$$

A B > A B

# Example: QED Ward identity valid in DReg

$$p_{\mu}\Gamma^{\mu
u}_{AA}=0??$$

Check QED transversality of photon self energy

$$p_{\mu}\left[\overbrace{p}^{\mu}\right] = p_{\mu}\int d^{D}k \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\not k\gamma^{\mu}(\not k+\not p)\gamma^{\nu})}{k^{2}(k+p)^{2}}$$

using p = (k + p) - k gives zero:

$$= \int d^D k \frac{(k+p)^2}{(k+p)^2} \frac{\text{Tr}(k\gamma^{\nu})}{k^2} - \int d^D k \frac{k^2}{k^2} \frac{\text{Tr}((k+p)\gamma^{\nu})}{(k+p)^2} = 0$$

(4月) (1日) (1日)

Symmetry identities (Ward, Slavnov-Taylor) are usually manifestly valid in DReg!

But sometimes not!

- How can DReg break a symmetry?  $\gamma_5$ -problem!
- What does the breaking look like?
- How can we repair it?

• = • •

### Warm-up exercise:

simple divergent one-loop integral

$$\int d^D k \frac{1}{k^2(k+p)^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \text{ finite}$$

Dominik Stöckinger	regularization schemes and $\gamma_5$	Backup	40/34	

-

500

#### Warm-up exercise:

simple divergent one-loop integral

$$\int d^D k \frac{1}{k^2(k+p)^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \text{ finite}$$

simple tensor integral

$$\int d^D k \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2 (k+p)^2} = \frac{1}{3\epsilon} p^\mu p^\nu - \frac{1}{12\epsilon} p^2 g^{\mu\nu} + \text{ finite}$$

Backup

э

∃ → ∢

#### Warm-up exercise:

simple divergent one-loop integral

$$\int d^D k \frac{1}{k^2(k+p)^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \text{ finite}$$

simple tensor integral

$$\int d^D k \frac{k^{\mu} k^{\nu}}{k^2 (k+p)^2} = \frac{1}{3\epsilon} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} - \frac{1}{12\epsilon} p^2 g^{\mu\nu} + \text{ finite}$$

integral with "evanescent numerator" (multiply with  $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ !)

$$\int d^D k \frac{\hat{k}^2}{k^2 (k+p)^2} = \frac{1}{3\epsilon} \hat{p}^2 + \frac{1}{6} p^2 + \text{ finite}$$

... produces div-evanescent AND finite, non-evanescent terms!

				*) 4 (*
Dominik Stöckinger	regularization schemes and $\gamma_5$	Backup	40/34	

Check transversality of photon self energy in "chiral QED"



Dominik	Stöcking	ler	regula
	0.001	<b>.</b>	·······································

arization schemes and  $\gamma_5$ 

Backup

41/34

Check transversality of photon self energy in "chiral QED"

$$p_{\mu}\left[\overrightarrow{p}_{\mu}, \overrightarrow{p}\right] = p_{\mu}\int d^{D}k \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\not k P_{R}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}(\not k + \not p)P_{R}\gamma^{\nu}P_{L})}{k^{2}(k + p)^{2}}$$

extracts purely 4-dim parts in numerator!

		-			
11000	iniz	L ~ 7.	0.010	10101	01
					-

regularization schemes and  $\gamma_5$  Backup

ckup

# 2. Compute Green functions to determine UV divs

Many 1-loop diagrams (not shown) ~> divergent counterterms:

$$S_{
m sct}^{
m 1} = S_{
m sct,inv}^{
m 1} + S_{
m sct,break}^{
m 1} \, ,$$

First part as usual

$$S_{\rm ct,inv}^1 = \frac{\delta Z_A^1}{2} L_A + \frac{\delta Z_c^1}{2} L_c + \frac{\delta Z_{\psi_B}^1}{2} \overline{L_{\psi_B}} + \frac{\delta e_A^1}{e_A} L_{e_A},$$

second part is special for BMHV, sym-breaking and "evanescent"

$$S^1_{ ext{sct,break}} = rac{-\hbar \, e_{\mathcal{A}}^2}{16 \pi^2 \epsilon} rac{ ext{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_R^2)}{3} \left( 2(\overline{S}_{\mathcal{AA}} - S_{\mathcal{AA}}) + \int ext{d}^d \, x \; rac{1}{2} ar{\mathcal{A}}^\mu \widehat{\partial}^2 ar{\mathcal{A}}_\mu 
ight) \, .$$

# Divergences for evanescent operators with independent coefficients, beyond the usual field/parameter renormalization

well-known in DRed: needed for unitarity/finiteness at higher orders

Dominik Stöckinger

regularization schemes and  $\gamma_5$ 

Backup

42/34

# 2. Determine UV divs at 2-loop

Many 2-loop diagrams (not shown) ~> divergent counterterms:

$$S_{
m sct}^2 = S_{
m sct,inv}^2 + S_{
m sct,break}^2$$
,

First part as usual  $\sim$  field and parameter renormalization second part is special for BMHV, "sym-breaking" (partially non-evan.)

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{sct,break}}^2 &= -\frac{e^4}{256\pi^4\epsilon} \frac{\text{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_R^4)}{3} \left( 2(\overline{S}_{AA} - S_{AA}) + \left(\frac{1}{2\epsilon} - \frac{17}{24}\right) \int d^d x \; \frac{1}{2} \bar{A}^{\mu} \widehat{\partial}^2 \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{e^4}{256\pi^4} \frac{(\mathcal{Y}_R^j)^2}{3\epsilon} \left(\frac{5}{2} (\mathcal{Y}_R^j)^2 - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{Y}_R^2)\right) \overline{S_{\bar{\psi}\psi_R}^j} \end{split}$$

# 3. Determine 2-loop violation of Slavnov-Taylor id.

Ultimate structure at 2-loop (fct to be determined)

$$\Gamma^{(2)}_{
m DReg} = \Gamma^{(2)} + S^2_{
m sct} + S^2_{
m fct} \, ,$$

Evaluate STI at 2-loop order, div-parts cancel, fin-parts t.b.d.

$$\mathcal{S}_d(\Gamma_{\mathsf{DReg}}^{(2)}) = \mathcal{S}_d(\Gamma^{(2)})|_{\mathsf{finite}} + \mathcal{S}_d S_{\mathsf{fct}}^2$$

Left term (breaking of regularized STI) must be computed, use q.a.p.

$$\mathcal{S}_{d}(\Gamma^{(2)}) = \widehat{\Delta} \cdot \Gamma^{(2)} + \Delta^{1}_{\mathrm{ct}} \cdot \Gamma^{(1)}$$

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \to \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$$

How do Green functions behave?

				-) 4 (-
Dominik Stöckinger	regularization schemes and $\gamma_5$	Backup	45/34	

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \to \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$$

Path integral:

				1940
Dominik Stöckinger	regularization schemes and $\gamma_5$	Backup	45/34	

イロト イヨト イモト イモト

-

 $\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \to \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$ Path integral:

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \; e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + J\phi}$$

			이 문지 문	4) Q (4
Dominik Stöckinger	regularization schemes and $\gamma_5$	Backup	45/34	

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \to \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i \int \mathcal{L} + J\phi}$$
$$= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i \int \mathcal{L} + \delta \mathcal{L} + J\phi + J\delta\phi}$$

(measure invariant)

Dominik Stöckinger	regularization schemes and $\gamma_5$	Backup	45/34

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \to \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + J\phi}$$
$$= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + \delta\mathcal{L} + J\phi + J\delta\phi}$$
$$= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ (1 + i\int \delta\mathcal{L} + J\delta\phi) e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + J\phi}$$

(measure invariant)

(1st order in 
$$\delta$$
)

Dominik Stöckingerregularization schemes and  $\gamma_5$ Backup45/34

Image: A math

A B > A B >

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \to \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i\int \mathcal{L}+J\phi}$$
  
=  $\int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i\int \mathcal{L}+\delta\mathcal{L}+J\phi+J\delta\phi}$   
=  $\int \mathcal{D}\phi \ (1+i\int\delta\mathcal{L}+J\delta\phi)e^{i\int\mathcal{L}+J\phi}$   
 $0 = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ (i\int\delta\mathcal{L}+J\delta\phi)e^{i\int\mathcal{L}+J\phi}$ 

(measure invariant)

(1st order in  $\delta$ )

result:

Image: A math

э

(\* ) \* (\* ) \* )

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \to \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + J\phi}$$
(measure invariant)  
(1st order in  $\delta$ )  
result:  

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + \delta\mathcal{L} + J\phi}$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ (1 + i\int \delta\mathcal{L} + J\delta\phi)e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + J\phi}$$
(1st order in  $\delta$ )  

$$0 = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ (i\int \delta\mathcal{L} + J\delta\phi)e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + J\phi}$$

Formal "derivation" for  $\delta \mathcal{L} = 0$  gives form of ST identities

$$\langle (\delta \phi_1) \phi_2 \ldots \rangle + \langle \phi_1 (\delta \phi_2) \ldots \rangle + \ldots = 0$$

Dominik Stöckinger	regularization cohomoo and	Pookun	45/24	
Dominik Stockinger	regularization schemes and $\gamma_5$	Баскир	40/34	

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \to \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + J\phi}$$
(measure invariant) 
$$= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + \delta\mathcal{L} + J\phi + J\delta\phi}$$
(1st order in  $\delta$ ) 
$$= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ (1 + i\int \delta\mathcal{L} + J\delta\phi)e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + J\phi}$$
result: 
$$\mathbf{0} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ (i\int \delta\mathcal{L} + J\delta\phi)e^{i\int \mathcal{L} + J\phi}$$

"derivation" is valid in DReg and gives breaking DREG: [Breitenlohner, Maison '77], DRED: [DS '05],review[2303.09120]

$$\langle (\delta \phi_1) \phi_2 \dots \rangle + \langle \phi_1(\delta \phi_2) \dots \rangle + \dots = -i \langle \phi_1 \phi_2 \dots (\int \delta \mathcal{L}) \rangle$$

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \to \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i\int \mathcal{L}+J\phi}$$
(measure invariant) 
$$= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{i\int \mathcal{L}+\delta\mathcal{L}+J\phi+J\delta\phi}$$
(1st order in  $\delta$ ) 
$$= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ (1+i\int \delta\mathcal{L}+J\delta\phi)e^{i\int \mathcal{L}+J\phi}$$
result:  $\mathbf{0} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ (i\int \delta\mathcal{L}+J\delta\phi)e^{i\int \mathcal{L}+J\phi}$ 

This is exactly true in DREG (where  $\delta \mathcal{L}$  might be  $\neq 0$ )

$$\langle (\delta \phi_1) \phi_2 \dots \rangle + \langle \phi_1 (\delta \phi_2) \dots \rangle + \dots = -i \langle \phi_1 \phi_2 \dots (\int \delta \mathcal{L}) \rangle$$

< A >

Regularized guantum action principle

 $\langle (\delta\phi_1)\phi_2\ldots\rangle + \langle \phi_1(\delta\phi_2)\ldots\rangle + \ldots = -i\langle \phi_1\phi_2\ldots(\int \delta\mathcal{L})\rangle$ 

Interpret this as an identity between regularized Feynman diagrams

- becomes a property of regularization scheme, does not necessarily hold (no fundamental QFT requirement)
- if desired, must be proven for each regularization

DREG: [Breitenlohner, Maison '77],

• valid in BPHZ: [Lowenstein et al '71], DRED: [DS '05]

-

Regularized quantum action principle

 $\langle (\delta \phi_1) \phi_2 \dots \rangle + \langle \phi_1(\delta \phi_2) \dots \rangle + \dots = -i \langle \phi_1 \phi_2 \dots (\int \delta \mathcal{L}) \rangle$ 

Interpret this as an identity between regularized Feynman diagrams

Idea of proof in DREG/DRED: look at possible Wick contractions

• 
$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \delta \mathcal{L}_{quadratic} + \delta \mathcal{L}_{int}, \qquad \delta \mathcal{L}_{quadratic} = (\delta \phi_i) \mathcal{D}_{ij} \phi_j$$

- Use properties of DREG/DRED: *D* is inverse propagator even on regularized level, scaleless integrals vanish
- then, combinatorics leads to above identity

			▶ ▲ 문 ▶   문	4) Q (4
Dominik Stöckinger	regularization schemes and $\gamma_5$	Backup	46/34	