

Victoria University of Wellington

*Te Whare Wānanga o te Ūpoko o te Ika a Maui*



Why are Casimir energy differences so often finite?

Matt Visser

Quantum Vacuum and Gravitation

Gutenberg University — Mainz

22–26 June 2015



- One of the very first applications of the quantum vacuum was in the development of the notion of Casimir energy.
- Casimir energies, considered individually, are typically infinite.
- But **differences** in Casimir energies are often finite — a fortunate circumstance which luckily made some of the early calculations, (parallel plates and hollow spheres), tractable.
- Can this observation be systematised?
- What are necessary and sufficient conditions for Casimir energy **differences** to be finite?
- And when the Casimir energy **differences** are not finite, can anything useful be said?



- I shall argue (mathematically) that there are a large number of interesting physical situations where Casimir energy differences, (and so Casimir energy forces), are automatically known to be finite, even before starting specific computations.
- I shall argue (mathematically) that one can often develop physically interesting “reference models” such that the Casimir energy difference between the physical system and the “reference models” is known to be finite, even before starting specific computations.



- 1 Introduction
- 2 Being more careful
- 3 Regulated Casimir Energy
- 4 Unchanging Seeley–de Witt coefficients
- 5 And if the Casimir energy differences are not finite?
- 6 Conclusions



# Introduction



## Lemma

Exact result:

$$\omega - \omega_* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}} \left\{ e^{-\omega_*^2 t} - e^{-\omega^2 t} \right\}$$

Exact result:

$$\sum_n \{ \omega_n - (\omega_*)_n \} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_n \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}} \left\{ e^{-(\omega_*^2)_n t} - e^{-\omega_n^2 t} \right\}$$

Formally, (and I will justify this much more carefully later on):

$$\sum_n \{ \omega_n - (\omega_*)_n \} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}} \sum_n \left\{ e^{-(\omega_*)^2_n t} - e^{-\omega_n^2 t} \right\}$$



Then in terms of the heat kernel we formally have:

$$\sum_n \{\omega_n - (\omega_*)_n\} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \{K_*(t) - K(t)\}$$

But by the Seeley–de Witt expansion:

$$K(t) = (4\pi t)^{-d/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^N a_{i/2} t^{i/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(t^{(N+1)/2}\right) \right\}$$

Also:

$$K_*(t) = (4\pi t)^{-d/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^N (a_*)_{i/2} t^{i/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(t^{(N+1)/2}\right) \right\}$$



Then in terms of the heat kernel we formally have:

$$\sum_n \{\omega_n - (\omega_*)_n\} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \{K_*(t) - K(t)\}$$

and

$$K_*(t) - K(t) = (4\pi t)^{-d/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^N \{(a_*)_{i/2} - a_{i/2}\} t^{i/2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{(N+1)/2}) \right\}$$

Now **choose**  $N = d + 1$ , then formally

$$\sum_n \{\omega_n - (\omega_*)_n\} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} (4\pi t)^{-(d+1)/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{d+1} \{(a_*)_{i/2} - a_{i/2}\} t^{i/2} \right\} \\ + (\text{UV finite})$$





That is:

Lemma (Formal only)

$$\Delta(\text{Casimir Energy}) = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} (4\pi t)^{-(d+1)/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{d+1} \Delta a_{i/2} t^{i/2} \right\} \\ + (\text{UV finite})$$

The rest of the talk will involve refinements on this simple theme...

- In 3+1 dimensions want  $\Delta a_0 = \Delta a_{1/2} = \Delta a_1 = \Delta a_{3/2} = \Delta a_2 = 0$ .
- In 2+1 dimensions want  $\Delta a_0 = \Delta a_{1/2} = \Delta a_1 = \Delta a_{3/2} = 0$ .
- In 1+1 dimensions want  $\Delta a_0 = \Delta a_{1/2} = \Delta a_1 = 0$ .



# Being more careful



Let's regulate everything a little more carefully....

## Lemma

*Exact result:*

$$\omega \operatorname{erfc}(\omega/\Omega) = \frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\omega^2/\Omega^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_{\Omega^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}} e^{-\omega^2 t}$$

Exact result:

$$\sum_n \omega_n \operatorname{erfc}(\omega_n/\Omega) = \frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_n e^{-\omega_n^2/\Omega^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_n \int_{\Omega^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}} e^{-\omega_n^2 t}$$

Exact result (no longer just formal):

$$\sum_n \omega_n \operatorname{erfc}(\omega_n/\Omega) = \frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_n e^{-\omega_n^2/\Omega^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_{\Omega^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}} \sum_n e^{-\omega_n^2 t}$$



In terms of the heat kernel:

$$\sum_n \omega_n \operatorname{erfc}(\omega_n/\Omega) = \frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{\pi}} K(\Omega^{-2}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_{\Omega^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}} K(t)$$

Now apply the Seeley–de Witt expansion:

$$K(t) = (4\pi t)^{-d/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^N a_{i/2} t^{i/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(t^{(N+1)/2}\right) \right\}$$

But then (choose  $N = d$ ):

$$\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{\pi}} K(\Omega^{-2}) = 2 \left( \frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \right)^{d+1} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d a_{i/2} \Omega^{-i} \right\} + (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$

The integral is a little trickier...



The integral is a little trickier...

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_{\Omega^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}} K(t) = \int_{\Omega^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} K(t)$$

In this integral **choose**  $N = d + 1$ .

Then, treating the logarithmic term separately, we have

$$\int_{\Omega^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} K(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_{\Omega^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}} (4\pi t)^{-d/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d \{a_{i/2}\} t^{i/2} \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{a_{(d+1)/2}}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \ln(\Omega^2) + (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$



Combine:

$$\int_{\Omega^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} K(t) = \int_{\Omega^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} (4\pi t)^{-(d+1)/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d \{a_{i/2}\} t^{i/2} \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{a_{(d+1)/2}}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \ln(\Omega^2) + (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$

Performing the remaining integrals:

$$\int_{\Omega^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} K(t) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{a_{i/2} \Omega^{d+1-i}}{d+1-i} \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{a_{(d+1)/2}}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \ln(\Omega^2) + (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$



Assembling all the pieces:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_n \omega_n \operatorname{erfc}(\omega_n/\Omega) &= 2 \left( \frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \right)^{d+1} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d \{a_{i/2}\} \Omega^{-i} \right\} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{a_{i/2} \Omega^{d+1-i}}{d+1-i} \right\} + \frac{a_{(d+1)/2}}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \ln(\Omega^2) \\
 &+ (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)
 \end{aligned}$$



## Lemma

*We have:*

$$\sum_n \omega_n \operatorname{erfc}(\omega_n/\Omega) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d k_i a_{i/2} \Omega^{d+1-i} \right\} + k_{(d+1)/2} a_{(d+1)/2} \ln(\Omega^2) \\ + (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$

For our purposes the specific values of the  $k_i$  are not important...





# Regulated Casimir Energy



Consider the regulated Casimir energy:

$$(\text{Regulated Casimir energy}) = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \sum_n \omega_n \operatorname{erfc}(\omega_n/\Omega)$$

Then:

## Theorem

*(Regulated Casimir energy)* =

$$\frac{1}{2} \hbar \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d k_i a_{i/2} \Omega^{d+1-i} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \hbar k_{(d+1)/2} a_{(d+1)/2} \ln(\Omega^2) \\ + (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$



Take differences:

$\Delta(\text{Regulated Casimir energy}) =$

$$\frac{1}{2} \hbar \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d k_i \Delta a_{i/2} \Omega^{d+1-i} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \hbar k_{(d+1)/2} \Delta a_{(d+1)/2} \ln(\Omega^2) \\ + (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$

If the first  $(d+1)/2$  Seeley–de Witt coefficients are unchanged,

$$\Delta a_0 = \Delta a_{1/2} = \dots = \Delta a_{(d+1)/2} = 0,$$

then:

$$\Delta(\text{Regulated Casimir energy}) = (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$

We can now safely take the limit...



In the limit where the cutoff is removed ( $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$ ) we have:

## Theorem

*If the first  $(d + 1)/2$  Seeley–de Witt coefficients are unchanged,*

$$\Delta a_0 = \Delta a_{1/2} = \dots = \Delta a_{(d+1)/2} = 0,$$

*then:*

$$\Delta(\text{Casimir energy}) = (\text{finite}).$$

How general is this phenomenon?



# Unchanging Seeley–de Witt coefficients



There are **very many** physically interesting situations where the Seeley–de Witt coefficients are unchanging...

The pre-eminent cases are these:

- Parallel plates.
- Thin spherical shells.

In both cases an infra-red regulator is needed, and some subtle thought is required...

More radically:

- Take any collection of conductors.
- Move them around relative to each other.  
(Without distorting their shapes and/or volumes.)
- Then the change in Casimir energy is finite.
- Then the Casimir forces are finite.



For a region  $\mathbf{V}$  with boundary  $\partial\mathbf{V}$ :

$$a_0 \propto \int_{\mathbf{V}} 1 \, d^d x = (\text{volume})$$

$$a_{1/2} \propto \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} 1 \, d^{d-1} x = (\text{surface area})$$

$$a_1 \propto \int_{\mathbf{V}} \{R, V\} \, d^d x + \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{K\} \, d^{d-1} x$$

$$a_{3/2} \propto \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{R, V, K^2, K_{ij}K^{ij}\} \, d^{d-1} x$$

$$a_2 \propto \int_{\mathbf{V}} \{\dots\} \, d^d x + \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{\dots\} \, d^{d-1} x$$

Here the  $\{-, -, -\}$  denote species-dependent linear combinations...



In all its glory:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_2 \propto & \int_{\mathbf{V}} \{R^2, V^2, RV, \nabla^2 R, \nabla^2 V, R_{ab}R^{ab}, R_{abcd}R^{abcd}\} d^d x \\
 & + \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{R_{;n}, V_{;n}, K_{ii;jj}, K_{ij;jj}, VK, K^3, \text{tr}(K^2)K, \text{tr}(K^3)\} d^{d-1}x \\
 & + \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{RK, g^{ij}R_{ninj}K, R_{ninj}K^{ij}, g^{ik}R_{ijkl}K^{jl}\} d^{d-1}x
 \end{aligned}$$

Here the  $\{-, -, -\}$  denote species-dependent linear combinations...

(There are also contributions from kinks and corners;  
but let's stay with smooth boundaries for now.)





## Parallel plates:

Working with QED in flat spacetime with flat boundaries:

$$\begin{aligned}a_0 &\propto (\text{volume}) \\ a_{1/2} &\propto (\text{surface area}) \\ a_1 &= 0 \\ a_{3/2} &= 0 \\ a_2 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Just keep volume and surface area fixed...

For example:

Periodic boundary conditions in  $d - 1$  directions...

Conducting box boundary conditions in the remaining direction...



## Hollow spheres:

Working with QED in flat spacetime with thin spherical boundaries:

Step I (QED In flat spacetime):

$$a_0 \propto (\text{volume})$$

$$a_{1/2} \propto (\text{surface area})$$

$$a_1 \propto \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{K\} d^{d-1}x$$

$$a_{3/2} \propto \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{K^2, K_{ij}K^{ij}\} d^{d-1}x$$

$$a_2 \propto \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{g^{ij}g^{kl}K_{ij:kl}, K^{ij}{}_{:ij}, K^3, \text{tr}(K^2)K, \text{tr}(K^3)\} d^{d-1}x$$

Now a little trickier...



## Hollow spheres:

Working with QED in flat spacetime with thin spherical boundaries:

Step II:

As long as the boundaries are thin, then  $K_{\text{inside}} = -K_{\text{outside}}$ , leading to cancellations in  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ .

(The outermost boundary, the IR regulator, is always held fixed.)

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta a_0 &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta a_{1/2} &\propto \Delta(\text{surface area}) \\ \Delta a_1 &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta a_{3/2} &\propto \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{K^2, K_{ij}K^{ij}\} d^{d-1}x \\ \Delta a_2 &\rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$



## Hollow spheres:

Working with QED in flat spacetime with thin spherical boundaries:

Step III:

As long as the inner boundaries are simply rescaled, then  $\int_{\partial V} KK d^2x$  is scale invariant, leading to a cancellation in  $a_{3/2}$ . (The outermost boundary, the IR regulator, is always held fixed.)

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta a_0 &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta a_{1/2} &\propto \Delta(\text{surface area}) \\ \Delta a_1 &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta a_{3/2} &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta a_2 &\rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$



## Hollow spheres:

Working with QED in flat spacetime with thin spherical boundaries:

Step IV:

In spherical symmetry, define TE and TM modes.

Note that they have equal and opposite contributions to  $a_{1/2}$ , leading to a cancellation in  $a_{1/2}$ .

(The outermost boundary is always held fixed.)

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta a_0 &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta a_{1/2} &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta a_1 &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta a_{3/2} &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta a_2 &\rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$



## Hollow spheres:

Working with QED in flat spacetime with thin spherical boundaries:

$$\Delta(\text{Casimir Energy}) = (\text{finite})$$

This underlies the “miraculous cancellations” in Boyer’s calculation of the Casimir energy of a hollow sphere.

Compare two hollow spheres of radius  $a$  and  $b$ ;  
letting the IR regulator move out to infinity:

$$\Delta(\text{Casimir Energy}) = \hbar c B \left( \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b} \right)$$

“All” one needs to do is to calculate the numerical coefficient  $B$ , which is now guaranteed to be finite...



If one has determined

$$\Delta(\text{Casimir Energy}) = (\text{finite})$$

then

$$\Delta(\text{Casimir Energy}) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar \{ \text{any resummation technique} \} (\omega_n - (\omega_*)_n)$$

Boyer uses Riesz resummation.

This is justified only in hindsight...

Blindly calculating

$$\sum_n (\omega_n - (\omega_*)_n)$$

is asking for trouble...



## Generalize — Working with QED in flat spacetime:

$$a_0 \propto (\text{volume})$$

$$a_{1/2} \propto (\text{surface area})$$

$$a_1 \propto \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{K\} d^{d-1}x$$

$$a_{3/2} \propto \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{K^2, K_{ij}K^{ij}\} d^{d-1}x$$

$$a_2 \propto \int_{\partial\mathbf{V}} \{g^{ij}g^{kl}K_{ij:kl}, K^{ij}{}_{:ij}, K^3, \text{tr}(K^2)K, \text{tr}(K^3)\} d^{d-1}x$$

- Take any collection of conductors.
- Move them around relative to each other.  
(Without distorting their shapes and/or volumes.)
- Then the change in Casimir energy is finite.
- Then the Casimir forces are finite.





Working in flat spacetime with periodic boundary conditions:

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}a_0 &\propto (\text{volume}) \\a_{1/2} &= 0 \\a_1 &\propto \int_{\mathbf{V}} \{V\} d^d x \\a_{3/2} &= 0 \\a_2 &\propto \int_{\mathbf{V}} \{V^2\} d^d x\end{aligned}$$

We “**just**” need to keep  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ , and  $a_2$  fixed...



Working in flat spacetime with periodic boundary conditions:

In (1+1) dimensions define

$$\bar{V} = \frac{\int_0^L V dx}{L}$$

Compare the two situations:

- $D = \nabla^2 + V(x)$ ; eigenvalues  $\omega_n^2$ .
- $\bar{D} = \nabla^2 + \bar{V}$ ; eigenvalues  $\bar{\omega}_n^2$ .

Then

$$\sum_n \{ \omega_n \operatorname{erfc}(\omega_n/\Omega) - \bar{\omega}_n \operatorname{erfc}(\bar{\omega}_n/\Omega) \} = (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$(\text{Casimir energy of } D) - (\text{Casimir energy of } \bar{D}) = (\text{finite})$$



Working in flat spacetime with periodic boundary conditions:

In (3+1) dimensions define

$$\overline{V} = \frac{\int_0^{\mathbf{V}} V(x) d^3x}{\text{volume}(\mathbf{V})}; \quad \overline{V^2} = \frac{\int_0^{\mathbf{V}} V(x)^2 d^3x}{\text{volume}(\mathbf{V})};$$

Now solve

$$m_1^2 + m_2^2 = 2\overline{V}; \quad m_1^4 + m_2^4 = 2\overline{V^2}$$

Compare the three situations:

- $D = \nabla^2 + V(x);$  eigenvalues  $\omega_n^2.$
- $\overline{D}_1 = \nabla^2 + m_1^2;$  eigenvalues  $\overline{(\omega_1)}_n^2.$
- $\overline{D}_2 = \nabla^2 + m_2^2;$  eigenvalues  $\overline{(\omega_2)}_n^2.$



Working in flat spacetime with periodic boundary conditions:

Then:

$$\sum_n \left\{ \omega_n \operatorname{erfc}(\omega_n/\Omega) - \frac{1}{2} \overline{(\omega_1)}_n \operatorname{erfc} \left( \overline{(\omega_1)}_n/\Omega \right) - \frac{1}{2} \overline{(\omega_2)}_n \operatorname{erfc} \left( \overline{(\omega_2)}_n/\Omega \right) \right\} \\ = (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$

This implies:

$$(\text{Casimir energy of } D) - \frac{1}{2} (\text{Casimir energy of } \overline{D_1}) - \frac{1}{2} (\text{Casimir energy of } \overline{D_2}) \\ = (\text{finite})$$



**And if the Casimir energy differences are not finite?**



- Real metals and real dielectrics are transparent in the UV.
- The UV cutoff  $\Omega$  is a stand-in for all the complicated physics.
- Let us write a general cutoff function as

$$f\left(\frac{\omega}{\Omega}\right) = \int_0^\infty g(\xi) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\omega}{\xi\Omega}\right) d\xi; \quad \int_0^\infty g(\xi) d\xi = 1.$$

- Note  $f(0) = 1$ , while  $f(\infty) = 0$ , and  $f$  is monotone decreasing.
- Let us now consider

$$\sum_n \omega_n f\left(\frac{\omega_n}{\Omega}\right)$$



Then

$$\sum_n \omega_n \operatorname{erfc}(\omega_n/\Omega) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d k_i a_{i/2} \Omega^{d+1-i} \right\} + k_{(d+1)/2} a_{(d+1)/2} \ln(\Omega^2) \\ + (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$

becomes

$$\sum_n \omega_n f\left(\frac{\omega_n}{\Omega}\right) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d k_i \left( \int_0^\infty g(\xi) \xi^{d+1-i} d\xi \right) a_{i/2} \Omega^{d+1-i} \right\} \\ + k_{(d+1)/2} a_{(d+1)/2} \left\{ \ln(\Omega^2) + 2 \int_0^\infty g(\xi) \ln \xi d\xi \right\} \\ + (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$



## Theorem

- For a general cutoff  $f(\omega/\Omega)$  one has

$$\sum_n \omega_n f\left(\frac{\omega_n}{\Omega}\right) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d [k(f)]_i a_{i/2} \Omega^{d+1-i} \right\} \\ + k_{(d+1)/2} a_{(d+1)/2} \ln(\Omega^2) \\ + (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)$$

- The  $[k(f)]_i$  are phenomenological parameters that depend on the detailed physics of the specific cutoff function  $f(\omega/\Omega)$ .
- However  $k_{(d+1)/2}$  is cutoff independent.
- *The  $\Omega$  dependence represents real physics.*
- *Live with it!*





## Definition

- For a general cutoff  $f(\omega/\Omega)$  one has

$$\Delta(\text{Casimir energies}) = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \Delta \left( \sum_n \omega_n f \left( \frac{\omega_n}{\Omega} \right) \right)$$



## Theorem

- For a general cutoff  $f(\omega/\Omega)$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\text{Casimir energies}) &= \frac{1}{2}\hbar \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d [k(f)]_i \Delta a_{i/2} \Omega^{d+1-i} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\hbar k_{(d+1)/2} \Delta a_{(d+1)/2} \ln(\Omega^2) \\ &+ (\text{finite as } \Omega \rightarrow \infty)\end{aligned}$$

- The  $[k(f)]_i$  are phenomenological parameters that depend on the detailed physics of the specific cutoff function  $f(\omega/\Omega)$ .
- However  $k_{(d+1)/2}$  is cutoff independent.
- *The  $\Omega$  dependence represents real physics. Live with it!*



# Conclusions



- In  $(d + 1)$  dimensions, iff the first few Seeley–de Witt coefficients agree,

$$\Delta a_0 = \Delta a_{1/2} = \dots \Delta a_{(d+1)/2} = 0,$$

then the difference in Casimir energies is guaranteed finite.

- This is a useful thing to check before you start calculating.
- The  $\text{erfc}$  function, in the form  $\text{erfc}(\omega/\Omega)$ , is a perhaps unexpectedly useful regulator

$$\text{erfc}(0) = 1; \quad \text{erfc}(\infty) = 0$$

- Various generalizations, (such as counting eigenstates, or calculating sums of powers of eigenvalues), are also possible.

End:



Thank you.

