MITP Workshop - ``Pulsar Timing Arrays: A Star Way to New Physics", 2023



## **PULSAR POLARIZATION ARRAYS**

Tao LIU Hong Kong Univ. of Science and Technology



## **Pulsar Timing Arrays (PTAs)**



- A network of widely distributed and well-timed millisecond pulsars (MSPs)
- A galactic timing interferometer to detect ~nanoHz gravitational waves (GWs) [S. L. Detweiler, Atrophy's. J. 234 (1979)]





### **Cross-correlation of Pulsars**

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, **265**:L39–L42, 1983 February 15 © 1983. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in U.S.A.

#### UPPER LIMITS ON THE ISOTROPIC GRAVITATIONAL RADIATION BACKGROUND FROM PULSAR TIMING ANALYSIS<sup>1</sup>

R. W. HELLINGS AND G. S. DOWNS Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology Received 1982 October 1; accepted 1982 October 20



per limit of about 10<sup>-</sup> s for the periods to which a are sensitive. It should also be noted from h(t) that data from any pulsar contain informabut h(t) at the time and place of reception (i.e., a) and about the value h(t) had at the pulsar at of emission of the signal. Thus, data from any will have a gravitational wave signal in common other pulsars (though with an amplitude scaled  $\cos \theta$ ) as well as a component of the signal

which will be independent of the others due to the long light times between pulsars compared with the 12 yr data span. When data from several pulsars are cross-correlated, this common signal will allow one to dig into the pulsar noise to detect a possible common gravitational wave signal.

#### b) Cross-Correlation

The fractional frequency shift observed in the data on pulsar number *i* may be written

Hellings-Downs Curve

Encodes exactly the cross-correlation of pulsar timing data that would indicate a common GW signal.

3



### **A Milestone**









### **Pulsars as A Precision Astronomical Laboratory**

<u>Catal</u>	ogue Tutorial	<u>Docum</u>	ATN entation   Expert		Pulsar Ho		and and	Slitch tabl	Auren	ick   D	ownload	History		RI rotation measure
#	PSRJ		F0 (Hz)	laiog	jue v	DM (cm^-3 pc)	1.07	/	RM (rad m^-2			DIST (kpc)		
1 2 3 4 5	J0002+6216 J0006+1834 J0007+7303 J0011+08 J0014+4746	<u>cwp+17</u> <u>cnt96</u> <u>aaa+09c</u> <u>dsm+16</u> dth78	8.6682478274 1.4414462816 3.165827392 0.391716 0.805997239145	3 <u>c</u> 3 <u>a</u> 0 d	wp+17 n95 wd+12 lsm+16 ilk+04	218.6 11.41 * 24.9 30.405	6 55 0 0 13	wcp+18 bkk+16 * dsm-16 bkk+16	* -20 * * -15.56		* <u>npn+20</u> * * <u>sbg+19</u>	6.357 0.860 1.400 5.399 1.776		
111 112 113 114	J0211-8159 J0212+5222 J0214+5222 J0215+6218	<u>lml+98</u> bck+13 slr+14 llc98	0.9282183663 2.65684439046 40.6912718043 1.821892452777	1 <u>1</u> 4 s	<u>sb+98</u> sk+18 lr+14 lk+04	24.36 38.21 22.0354 84.00	3 3 34 5	<u>dsb+98</u> <u>lsk+18</u> <u>slr+14</u> hlk+04	54 -13.68 -16.44 380.9	9 8 7 10	hml+06 sbg+19 sbg+19 hmvd18	1.523 1.558 1.161 2.004	0	Timing
115 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	J0218+4232 J1810+1744 J1810-1820 J1810-2005 J1810-5338 J1811-0154	<u>nbf+95</u> <u>hrm+11</u> <u>mhl+02</u> <u>clm+01</u> <u>mlt+78</u> <u>ebvb01</u>	430.461054545748 602.409639 6.5054918751 30.467142155106 3.8306868647 1.08114557226	15 du 0 h 3 m 7 j 4 l 5 e	cl+16 rm+11 hl+02 sb+10 bs+20 bvb01	61.252 39.7 452.2 241.0 45 148.1	5 0 25 3 2 2	hlk+04 hrn+11 mhl+02 jsb+10 nmc81 mss-20	-61.40 88.5 110.3 -15 58 46	8 1 14 3 11	<u>sbg+19</u> <u>sbg+19</u> <u>hmvd18</u> <u>hmvd18</u> <u>qmlg95</u> <u>njkk08</u>	3.150 2.361 4.237 3.514 1.647 11.112	0	Polariza measur observa
3276 3277 3278 3279	J2257+5909 J2301+5852 J2302+4442 J2302+6028	<u>dls72</u> <u>fg81</u> <u>cgj+11</u> <u>snt97</u>	2.71557265035 0.14328554678 192.5919636477142 0.828909520456	3 d 8 a	<u>lk+04</u> <u>lk14</u> ab+21a ant97	151.082 * 13.788120 156.7	6 0 1	<u>hlk+04</u> * aab+21a snt97	-323.5 * 19.1 -129.7	4 0 16 8	fdr15 * npn+20 hmvd18	3.000 3.300 0.863 3.166		

 $RM = \frac{PA}{\lambda^{2}}$ rotation
measure
wavelength

 Polarization (linearly-polarized; measured for calibrating pulsar observation)

Can we cross-correlate pulsar polarization data, as done for the timing data, to give full play to its capability in exploring astrophysics and fundamental physics?



#### PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 130, 121401 (2023)

#### [arXiv:2111.10615]

#### **Pulsar Polarization Arrays**

Tao Liu,<sup>1,\*</sup> Xuzixiang Lou<sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>1,†</sup> and Jing Ren<sup>(D)</sup><sup>2,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong S.A.R., People's Republic of China <sup>2</sup>Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China

Received 21 February 2022; revised 31 December 2022; accepted 27 February 2023; published 23 March 2023)

Pulsar timing arrays (PTAs) consisting of widely distributed and well-timed millisecond pulsars can serve as a galactic interferometer to measure gravitational waves. With the same data acquired for PTAs, we propose to develop pulsar polarization arrays (PPAs), to explore astrophysics and fundamental physics. As in the case of PTAs, PPAs are best suited to reveal temporal and spatial correlations at large scales that are hard to mimic by local noise. To demonstrate the physical potential of PPAs, we consider detection of ultralight axionlike dark matter (ALDM), through cosmic birefringence induced by its Chern-Simons coupling. Because of its tiny mass, the ultralight ALDM can be generated as a Bose-Einstein condensate, characterized by a strong wave nature. Incorporating both temporal and spatial correlations of the signal, we show that PPAs have a potential to probe the Chern-Simons coupling up to  $\sim 10^{-14} - 10^{-17}$  GeV<sup>-1</sup>, with a mass range  $\sim 10^{-27} - 10^{-21}$  eV.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.121401

PTAs: suited for revealing physics with a common correlated timing signal PPAs: suited for revealing physics with a common correlated polarization signal





### **Big Questions for Particle Physicists**



#### [Working group report (particle physics) for Snowmass 2013]

As one scientific case, we consider the detection of axion-like wave Dark Matter



## Wave Dark Matter (WDM)



Wave Dark Matter: Bosonic and m<sub>a</sub> << 30 eV => Large occupation number per de Broglie volume (NdB >> 1) in a Milky-Way-like environment => Formation of a coherent state with strong wave nature

8



## **Axion-like WDM**



Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017)]

[Also see Geraldine Servant's talk]

Axion-like particles are probably the most important WDM candidate. Their coherent state usually oscillates as

$$a(\mathbf{x},t) = a_0(\mathbf{x},t)\cos(m_a t + m_a \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \phi)$$

- Period is determined by the axion mass in temporal direction and its momentum in spatial direction;
- Amplitude is determined by energy density of DM halo

$$\rho_{\rm DM}(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{1}{2}m_a^2 a_0^2(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathcal{O}(v^2)$$





Fuzzy DM [Hu, et. al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 85 (2000)]: ma ~ 10^-21 - 10^-22 eV (oscillation period 2\*pi/ma ~ 1 yr, with a dB wavelength ~ O(100) pc), where small-scale problems on astronomical structure could be addressed.







A joint Fermilab/SLAC publication

follow +

ρ

Illustration by Sandbox Studio, Chicago with Ariel Davis Is dark matter the most powerful wave in the universe?

04/04/23 | By Kimberly Hiskok

Dark matter could consist of particles so ultralight, they behave more like waves.





Axion-like WDM can affect pulsar polarization via an effect known as ``cosmological birefringence''





$$\omega_{\pm} \simeq k \pm g \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \nabla a \cdot \frac{\mathbf{k}}{k} \right) \xrightarrow[\text{relativistic}]{\text{non} -} k \pm g \frac{\partial a}{\partial t}$$

Parity-violating Chern-Simons term => Different dispersion relations for left- and right-circular polarized light => Position angle rotated for the linearly polarized light traveling across an axion field (including axion-like WDM halo)



## **Comparison with Faraday Rotation (FR)**



- CB: determined by the difference of axion field profile between two endpoints of the light path due to the topological nature of Chern-Simons coupling. VS FR: relies on path length directly.
- CB: no frequency dependence. VS FR: increases with wavelength square.
- CB: features oscillation with a period of 2\*pi/ma. VS FR: no characteristic time dependence is expected.



#### [Lue, Wang, KamionKowski, PRL(1999)]

### **CMB-Based Detection**



PA rotation - Determined by the difference of axion field between at recombination and for the Universe today

NASA/WMAP Science Test

### **CMB-Based Detection**

A&A 596, A110 (2016) DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201629018 © ESO 2016

### Astronomy Astrophysics

### **Planck** intermediate results

#### XLIX. Parity-violation constraints from polarization data

Planck Collaboration: N. Aghanim<sup>47</sup>, M. Ashdown<sup>57,4</sup>, J. Aumont<sup>47</sup>, C. Baccigalupi<sup>67</sup>, M. Ballardini<sup>23, 38, 41</sup>, A. J. Banday<sup>77,7</sup>, R. B. Barreiro<sup>52</sup>, N. Bartolo<sup>22, 53</sup>, S. Basak<sup>67</sup>, K. Benabed<sup>48, 76</sup>, J.-P. Bernard<sup>77, 7</sup>, M. Bersanelli<sup>26, 39</sup>, P. Bielewicz<sup>65, 7, 67</sup>, L. Bonavera<sup>12</sup>, J. R. Bond<sup>6</sup>, J. Borrill<sup>9, 73</sup>, F. R. Bouchet<sup>48,72</sup>, C. Burigana<sup>38,24,41</sup>, E. Calabrese<sup>74</sup>, J.-F. Cardoso<sup>60,1,48</sup>, J. Carron<sup>17</sup>, H. C. Chiang<sup>19,5</sup>, L. P. L. Colombo<sup>15,54</sup>, B. Comis<sup>61</sup>, D. Contreras<sup>14</sup>, F. Couchot<sup>58</sup>, A. Coulais<sup>59</sup>, B. P. Crill<sup>54,8</sup>, A. Curto<sup>52,4,57</sup>, F. Cuttaia<sup>38</sup>, P. de Bernardis<sup>25</sup>, A. de Rosa<sup>38</sup>, G. de Zotti<sup>35,67</sup>, J. Delabrouille<sup>1</sup>, F.-X. Désert<sup>45</sup>, E. Di Valentino<sup>48,72</sup>, C. Dickinson<sup>55</sup>, J. M. Diego<sup>52</sup>, O. Doré<sup>54,8</sup>, A. Ducout<sup>48,46</sup>, X. Dupac<sup>30</sup>, S. Dusini<sup>53</sup>, F. Elsner<sup>16,48,76</sup>, T. A. Enßlin<sup>63</sup>, H. K. Eriksen<sup>50</sup>, Y. Fantaye<sup>29</sup>, F. Finelli<sup>38,41</sup>, F. Forastieri<sup>24,42</sup>, M. Frailis<sup>37</sup>, E. Franceschi<sup>38</sup>, A. Frolov<sup>71</sup>, S. Galeotta<sup>37</sup>, S. Galli<sup>56</sup>, K. Ganga<sup>1</sup>, R. T. Génova-Santos<sup>51,11</sup>, M. Gerbino<sup>75,66,25</sup>, Y. Giraud-Héraud<sup>1</sup>, J. González-Nuevo<sup>12,52</sup>, K. M. Górski<sup>54,79</sup>, A. Gruppuso<sup>38,41,\*</sup>, J. E. Gudmundsson<sup>75,66,19</sup>, F. K. Hansen<sup>50</sup>, S. Henrot-Versillé<sup>58</sup>, D. Herranz<sup>52</sup>, E. Hivon<sup>48,76</sup>, Z. Huang<sup>6</sup>, A. H. Jaffe<sup>46</sup>, W. C. Jones<sup>19</sup>, E. Keihänen<sup>18</sup>, R. Keskitalo<sup>9</sup>, K. Kiiveri<sup>18, 34</sup>, N. Krachmalnicoff<sup>26</sup>, M. Kunz<sup>10, 47, 2</sup>, H. Kurki-Suonio<sup>18, 34</sup>, J.-M. Lamarre<sup>59</sup>, M. Langer<sup>47</sup>, A. Lasenby<sup>4,57</sup>, M. Lattanzi<sup>24,42</sup>, C. R. Lawrence<sup>54</sup>, M. Le Jeune<sup>1</sup>, J. P. Leahy<sup>55</sup>, F. Levrier<sup>59</sup>, M. Liguori<sup>22,53</sup>, P. B. Lilje<sup>50</sup>, V. Lindholm<sup>18, 34</sup>, M. López-Caniego<sup>30</sup>, Y.-Z. Ma<sup>55, 68</sup>, J. F. Macías-Pérez<sup>61</sup>, G. Maggio<sup>37</sup>, D. Maino<sup>26, 39</sup>, N. Mandolesi<sup>38, 24</sup>, M. Maris<sup>37</sup>, P. G. Martin<sup>6</sup>, E. Martínez-González<sup>52</sup>, S. Matarrese<sup>22, 53, 32</sup>, N. Mauri<sup>41</sup>, J. D. McEwen<sup>64</sup>, P. R. Meinhold<sup>20</sup>, A. Melchiorri<sup>25, 43</sup>, A. Mennella<sup>26, 39</sup> M. Migliaccio<sup>49,57</sup>, M.-A. Miville-Deschênes<sup>47,6</sup>, D. Molinari<sup>24,38,42</sup>, A. Moneti<sup>48</sup>, G. Morgante<sup>38</sup>, A. Moss<sup>70</sup>, P. Natoli<sup>24,3,42</sup>, L. Pagano<sup>25,43</sup>, D. Paoletti<sup>38,41</sup>, G. Patanchon<sup>1</sup>, L. Patrizii<sup>41</sup>, L. Perotto<sup>61</sup>, V. Pettorino<sup>33</sup>, F. Piacentini<sup>25</sup>, L. Polastri<sup>24,42</sup>, G. Polenta<sup>3,36</sup>, J. P. Rachen<sup>13,63</sup>, B. Racine<sup>1</sup>, M. Reinecke<sup>63</sup>, M. Remazeilles<sup>55,47,1</sup>, A. Renzi<sup>29,44</sup>, G. Rocha<sup>54,8</sup>, C. Rosset<sup>1</sup>, M. Rossetti<sup>26,39</sup>, G. Roudier<sup>1,59,54</sup>, J. A. Rubiño-Martín<sup>51,11</sup>, B. Ruiz-Granados<sup>78</sup>, M. Sandri<sup>38</sup>, M. Savelainen<sup>18,34</sup>, D. Scott<sup>14</sup>, C. Sirignano<sup>22,53</sup>, G. Sirri<sup>41</sup>, L. D. Spencer<sup>69</sup>, A.-S. Suur-Uski<sup>18,34</sup>, J. A. Tauber<sup>31</sup>, D. Tavagnacco<sup>37,27</sup>, M. Tenti<sup>40</sup>, L. Toffolatti<sup>12,52,38</sup>, M. Tomasi<sup>26,39</sup>, M. Tristram<sup>58</sup>, T. Trombetti<sup>38,24</sup>, J. Valiviita<sup>18,34</sup>, F. Van Tent<sup>62</sup>, P. Vielva<sup>52</sup>, F. Villa<sup>38</sup>, N. Vittorio<sup>28</sup>, B. D. Wandelt<sup>48,76,21</sup>, I. K. Wehus<sup>54,50</sup>, A. Zacchei<sup>37</sup>, and A. Zonca<sup>20</sup>

Becomes a standard task for the CMB missions today





### [TL, G. Smoot, Y. Zhao, arXiv:1901.10981] Pulsar Light-Based Detection

PA rotation - Determined by the difference of axion field between near the PSR at the time of photon emission and around the Earth at the moment of photon receiving.





### **Detecting Axion-like WDM with PPAs**

WDM Two important facts on the axion-like WDM It can influence the polarization of pulsar light while it travels across galactic halo • As it is wave-like, its influence for the array of pulsars is common and correlated => PPAs are especially suited for its detection !

### Cross-correlation: GWs VS WDM



Pulsar term

Timing residue caused by stochastic GWs

$$\Delta T(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \frac{1}{2} u^a u^b h_{ab}(f, \hat{n}) \frac{1}{i2\pi f} \frac{1}{1 + \hat{n} \cdot \hat{u}} \left[ e^{i2\pi f(t_2 + \hat{n} \cdot \vec{r_2}/c)} - e^{i2\pi f(t_1 + \hat{n} \cdot \vec{r_1}/c)} \right]$$

PA rotation caused by axion-like WDM

$$\Delta \theta_p(t) = \frac{g}{m_a} \int \alpha_{\mathbf{v}} \left\{ \sqrt{\rho_p f_p(\mathbf{v})} \cos[m_a(t - L_p - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}_p) + \phi_{\mathbf{v}}] - \sqrt{\rho_e f_e(\mathbf{v})} \cos(m_a t + \phi_{\mathbf{v}}) \right\} d^3 \mathbf{v}$$

	SGWB (PTAs)	Axion-like WDM (PPAs)
Earth-Earth Term	quadrupolar correlation (Hellings-Downs curve)	monopolar correlation
Pulsar-Pulsar Term	spatial correlation degrades quickly (L≫ldB~1/w)	spatial correlation degrades much slower (L»ldB»1/ma), enhanced at galactic center



[TL, X. Lou, J. Ren, PRL (2023)]



- NPPA: 100 MSPs around the Earth; 10 years' observation with a cadence 10/ yr; noise variance - 1deg<sup>2</sup>
- FPPA: 100 MSPs near the galactic center; 10 years' observation with a cadence 10/yr; noise variance - 1deg<sup>2</sup>
- OPPA: 100 MSPs following the ATNF pulsar distribution; 30 years' observation with a cadence 1/week; noise variance - 1deg<sup>2</sup>

- The projected PPA limits form a complementarity with the existing CMB bounds
- With noise variance  $\sim$  (0.1 deg)<sup>2</sup>, the limits can be improved by one more order of magnitude
- The analyses with real data (from some PTA programs) are being carried out or planned





# High-F band detection

### A Wider Landscape





Radio band detection





PPA detection (non-gravitational)

PTA detection (gravitational) [Also see Nataliya Porayko's talk]





### **PTA Detection**

### $\exists \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V} > astro-ph > arXiv:1309.5888$

Search...

Help | Advance

#### Astrophysics > Cosmology and Nongalactic Astrophysics

[Submitted on 23 Sep 2013]

### Pulsar timing signal from ultralight scalar dark matter

#### Andrei Khmelnitsky, Valery Rubakov

An ultralight free scalar field with mass around  $10^{-23} - 10^{-22}$  eV is a viable dark mater candidate, which can help to resolve some of the issues of the cold dark matter on subgalactic scales. We consider the gravitational field of the galactic halo composed out of such dark matter. The scalar field has oscillating in time pressure, which induces oscillations of gravitational potential with amplitude of the order of  $10^{-15}$  and frequency in the nanohertz range. This frequency is in the range of pulsar timing array observations. We estimate the magnitude of the pulse arrival time residuals induced by the oscillating gravitational potential. We find that for a range of dark matter masses, the scalar field dark matter signal is comparable to the stochastic gravitational wave signal and can be detected by the planned SKA pulsar timing array experiment.





$$\Delta t(t) = \frac{2\Psi_c}{\omega} \sin\left(\frac{\omega D}{2} + \alpha(\mathbf{x}) - \alpha(\mathbf{x}_p)\right) \cos\left(\omega t + \alpha(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha(\mathbf{x}_p) - \frac{\omega D}{2}\right)$$





### **First Parkes PTA Measurement**



**Editors' Suggestion** 

Parkes Pulsar Timing Array constraints on ultralight scalar-field dark matter

Nataliya K. Porayko *et al.* (PPTA Collaboration) Phys. Rev. D **98**, 102002 – Published 5 November 2018



### **Gamma-Ray PTA**



# Detecting Stochastic Wave Dark Matter with Fermi-LAT $\gamma$ -ray Pulsar Timing Array

## Hoang Nhan Luu, Tao Liu, Jing Ren, Tom Broadhurst, Ruizhi Yang, Jie-Shuang Wang, Zhen Xie

Wave dark matter (DM) represents a class of the most representative DM candidates. Due to its periodic perturbation to spacetime, the wave DM can be detected with a galactic interferometer – pulsar timing array (PTA). We perform in this Letter a first analysis of applying the  $\gamma$ -ray PTA to detect the wave DM, with the data of Fermi Large Area Telescope (Fermi-LAT). Despite the limitation in statistics, the  $\gamma$ -PTA demonstrates a promising sensitivity potential for a mass  $\sim 10^{-23} - 10^{-22}$  eV. We show that the upper limits not far from those of the dedicated radio-PTA projects can be achieved. Particularly, we have fulfilled an analysis to cross-correlate the pulsar data, which has been essentially missing so far in real data analysis but is known to be crucial for identifying the nature of potential signals, with the Fermi-LAT data of two pulsars.



### **Gamma-Ray PTA**



Based on the current statistics, a sensitivity gap can be clearly seen





The PPA and PTA detections can be further correlated to strengthen their capability in identifying the nature of signals.

- PTA detection is essentially gravitational (measuring energy density of DM halo), while the PPA detection is non-gravitational (measuring axion Chern-Simons coupling)
- Non-gravitational detection is highly important for exploring the DM properties. Our ignorance on the DM properties to a great extent is due to the limitation of our main knowledge source to gravitational detections
- A combination of PPA and PTA can certainly strengthen our understanding on the nature of DM





- As one scientific case, we demonstrated that the PPAs can be applied to detect the axion-like WDM as a common correlated signal. This approach forms a complementarity with the CMB measurement
- In view of its non-gravitational nature, a combination of the PPA with the PTA detections will further benefit our understanding on the nature of DM





The second lands of

Mill Sil

#### CRF under Grant No. C6017-20G



MANK 4