

# BSM Physics

(informal\* discussion)

# BSM Physics

(informal\* discussion)



informal  
adjective

**1** *an informal chat*: unofficial, casual, relaxed, easygoing, unceremonious; open, friendly, intimate; simple, unpretentious, easy; informal unstuffy, laid-back, chummy.

ANTONYMS official, formal.

# Categorizing NP (I)

# Categorizing NP (I)

- Models:
  1. Weakly coupled (i.e MSSM) vs strongly coupled (i.e MCHM5).
  2. Simplified models (plainer version of a complete model).
  3. EFT: judicious parameterization of our ignorance.

# Categorizing NP (I)

- Models:
  1. Weakly coupled (i.e MSSM) vs strongly coupled (i.e MCHM5).
  2. Simplified models (plainer version of a complete model).
  3. EFT: judicious parameterization of our ignorance.
- Issues:
  - Easier to report results in 2, 3 than 1.
  - Blurry lines between:
    - 1 and 2 : Nomenclature. # new particles > 2, 3, ... ?
    - 1 and 3 : Adopt EFT for  $\Lambda$  [TeV] = 1, 2, 5, 10, 100,  $10^{16}$ ?
  - 1, 2. Benchmarking (last slide!). Choice of “representative” models?
  - 3. Choice of parameterization (wait for Florian’s talk!)

# Categorizing NP (II)

# Categorizing NP (II)

- Effects:
  1. Anomalous couplings (hhh, hhhh, hff, hVV, hhff, hhVV, VVVV, etc)
  2. New particles in loops (triangles vs boxes)
  3. New resonances (i.e: H, S, rho, ...)
  4. hh from chain decays ( $n_2 > n_1$  h?)
  5. h/ hh exotic decays ( $h > n_1 n_1?$   $h h > n_1 n_1?$ )

# Categorizing NP (II)

- Effects:
  1. Anomalous couplings (hhh, hhhh, hff, hVV, hhff, hhVV, VVVV, etc)
  2. New particles in loops (triangles vs boxes)
  3. New resonances (i.e: H, S, rho, ...)
  4. hh from chain decays ( $n_2 > n_1$  h?)
  5. h/ hh exotic decays ( $h > n_1 n_1?$   $h h > n_1 n_1?$ )

- Issues:

1: Parameterization: SB realization (L vs NL). Gauge invariance?

2: Some may affect h production (i.e: tth coupling!)

2, 3: Catalog by spin, color rep, ... ? Width: free?  $f(\text{mass})?$

4, 5: Will h always dominate over hh?

# Benchmarks for BSM HH Studies?

- LHC HXSWG seeks input. **Strawman proposal:**
  - 1.) Higgs singlet
    - Fix mixing angle to largest allowed by precision EW
    - Free parameters:  $M_H, \Gamma(H \rightarrow hh), BR(h \rightarrow \text{invisible})$
  - 2.) Non-resonant 2HDM
    - Small  $\tan \beta$ ,  $M_H < 2m_h$
    - Free parameters:  $M_H, \cos \alpha, M_A, M_{H^\pm}, \tan \beta, m_{12}^2$
  - 3.) Enhanced  $b, \tau$  2HDM
    - Large  $\tan \beta$
  - 4.) EFT following Higgs Cross section working group
    - Neglect  $b$ 's, assume no CP or flavor /violation
    - Free parameters:  $c_{gg}, \delta y_t, y_t^{(2)}, \delta \lambda_3$

[Dawson, Englert, Gouzevitch, Salerno, Slawinska ]