Higgs-Pair Production in EFT

Higgs Pair Production at Colliders MITP Workshop

28.4.2015

Florian Goertz CERN

Introduction & Framework

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Introduction

• The SM works extremely well 19.7 fb⁻¹ (8 TeV) + 5.1 fb⁻¹ (7 TeV) Combined m_u = 125 GeV $\mu = 1.00 \pm 0.13$ H \rightarrow bb (VH tag) CMS o(stat) ATI AS Preliminary Total uncertainty Preliminary $H \rightarrow bb$ (ttH tag) m_u = 125.36 GeV 📕 ± 1σ on μ up to energies that are $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (untagged) $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (VBF tag) $n = 1.17^{+0}$ $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (VH tag) $H \rightarrow ZZ^*$ $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (ttH tag) $\mu = 1.46^{+}$ $H \rightarrow WW (0/1 \text{ iet})$ $H \rightarrow WW$ currently probed at the LHC! $H \rightarrow WW (VBF tag)$ $\mu = 1.18^{+0.2}$ $H \rightarrow WW (VH tag)$ $H \rightarrow bb$ $H \rightarrow WW$ (ttH tag) $u = 0.63^{+0}$ $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (0/1 jet) $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (VBF tag) $u = 1.44^{+1}$ $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (VH tag) $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (ttH tag) ATLAS Exotics Searches* - 95% CL Exclusion ATLAS Preliminar $\mu = -0.7^{+1}$ $\int \mathcal{L} dt = (1.0 - 20.3) \text{ fb}^{-1}$ $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$ $H \rightarrow ZZ (0/1 \text{ jet})$ $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ Mode Jets Entire (c. dt/fb- $H \rightarrow ZZ$ (2 jets) $\mu = 2.7^{+4}$ $\overline{\overset{2}{\text{Best}}}_{\text{fit}}^{4} \sigma/\sigma_{_{\text{SM}}}^{6}$ -2 0 6 -2 μ (66) ≥ 1 κ,μ $IO^{meas} - O^{fit} | / \sigma^{meas}$ Combined Fit Measurement 2 e.p 2 y 2 e.p 1 e.p $\mu = 1.18^{+0.1}$ -1 0 2 m₇ [GeV1 91.1875 + 0.0021 91.1874 (s = 7 TeV, 4.5-4.7 fb⁻¹ 1405.412 1582.0717 1407.7454 1409.4459 1409.019 Profestione Profestione Profestione Profestione Profestione Profestione Profestione 1502.0151 SSM 2' → SSM 2' → SSM W' -EGM W' -EGM W' -HVT W' -LRSM W'_ LRSM W'_ Yes Signal strength (µ) Γ₇ [GeV] 2.4952 ± 0.0023 2.4959 vs = 8 TeV 20.3 fb 2j/1J 2b 25,0-1 j 21,0,1 s Ves Yes σ_{had}^0 [nb] 41.478 41.540 ± 0.037 20.742 R 20.767 ± 0.025 Yes 0.01714 ± 0.00095 0.01645 0.1465 ± 0.0032 0.1481 A_I(P_r) 1 e.g 252 e.g 253 e.g 1 e.g 1 e.g bospin singlet T in (1,5) doublet D in (0,7) doublet bosoin since? ≥10,≥3 ≥22≥10 ≥22≥10 ≥10,≥5 ≥10,≥5 G-COMF-00 1409,5500 Predmissoy Predmissoy Predmissoy 1509,1200 1402,1305 1509,1200 1509,1200 1509,1200 1509,1200 1509,1200 1509,1200 1411,200 1412,200 1402,100 1400,100 1400,100 1400,1000 1400,10000000 10⁻⁴ measurement R, 0.21629 ± 0.00066 0.21579 EPS 2013 0.1721 ± 0.0030 0.1723 only if and $\phi^*, h = m[q]$ only if and $\phi^*, h = m[q]$ ∇ 0.1038 0.0992 ± 0.0016 1 е.р. 1 у 2 е.р 2 е.р (SS) 3 е.р. т 0.0707 ± 0.0035 0.0742 ⁻¹ anti-k_T R=0.7 0.923 ± 0.020 0.935 ● 0.0 < |y|_{max} < 0.5 0.670 ± 0.027 0.668 2011 2012 2013 201/ Α, ъ ^{10⁺} Θ = 0.5 < |y|_{max} < 1.0 (x 10¹) = 1.0 < |y|_{max} < 1.5 (x 10²) *0 A(SLD) 0.1513 ± 0.0021 0.1481 /qd 10⁶ Ú 10⁻⁷ $-\Box$ 1.5 < $|y|_{max}$ < 2.0 (x 10³) $sin^2 \theta_{off}^{lept}(Q_f$ 0.2324 ± 0.0012 0.2314 ັ_ສ 10^ະ 80.377 80.385 ± 0.015 m_w [GeV] 01C(95%) ARGUS BABAR ۸ d²ơ/dM_{ii}d|y Γ_w [GeV] 2.085 ± 0.042 2.092 10 CLEO D0 * 0 104 173.20 ± 0.90 173.26 UA1 LHCb m, [GeV] v 10 CDF CMS ☆ • **SM:** $B_{a}^{0} \rightarrow u^{+}u$ щ 10⁻⁹ 2 3 Ξ March 2012 0 1 ∇ L3 ATLAS ۵ 10 Belle Λ SM: $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ 10 10⁻¹⁰ 10-3 άT $\mu = \mu = p^{av}$ 2010 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005 2015 10-4 Year 10 DOCD at NLO NNPDF 2.1 @ NP correction 10⁻⁶ 10-7 10^{3} M_{ii}(GeV)

Physics Beyond the SM

E [GeV] • If NP resides at high scale E>>M_{FW}, can be described by operators with $dim[\mathcal{O}] > 4$, independently of the concrete theory that completes the SM!

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM}^{D \leq 4} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i^{D=6} + \dots$$

local operators built of SM field content, respecting gauge symmetries = New Physics

LHC 10^{2} MEW $\mathcal{L}_{eff} = -\frac{g^2}{8m_{ev}^2} C_1(\mu) (\bar{e}\,\nu_e)_{V-A} (\bar{u}\,d)_{V-A}$

Weinberg, Wilson, Callen, Coleman, Wess, Zumino, ...

c.f. Fermi Theory

 10^{19}

 10^{15}

 $M_{\rm PL}$

 $M_{\rm CUT}$

 $M_{\rm NP}$

Physics Beyond the SM

E [GeV] • If NP resides at high scale E>>M_{FW}, can be described by operators with $dim[\mathcal{O}] > 4$, independently of the concrete theory that completes the SM!

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SM}}^{D \leq 4} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i^{D=6} + \dots$$

 $\phi(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{\rho(\vec{x}\,')}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}\,'|} d^3 x' d^3 x$ $\rho(\vec{x})$

 10^{19}

 10^{15}

LHC

 $M_{\rm PL}$

 $M_{\rm CUT}$

 $M_{\rm NP}$

Physics Beyond the SM

E [GeV] • If NP resides at high scale $E >> M_{FW}$, can be described by operators with $dim[\mathcal{O}] > 4$, independently of the concrete theory that completes the SM!

for new physics! \rightarrow suppressed by mass scale of heavy new physics LHC 10^{2} [leading effects: D=6, D=8 further suppressed] MFW

> SM as IR limit, *expected* to work perfectly well at low E new fundamental theory takes over at large E

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Florian Goertz

 10^{19}

 10^{15}

 $M_{\rm PL}$

EFT Approach to New Physics

• Full set of non-redundant operators (i.e., basis):

59 D=6 operators (2499 including full flavor structure)

[assuming B&L conservation]

Buchmuller, Wyler, NPB 268(1986)621–653
Grzadkowski, Iskrzynski, Misiak, Rosiek, 1008.4884

Alonso, Jenkins, Manohar, Trott, 1312.2014

X 3		φ^{0} and $\varphi^{4}D^{2}$		$\psi^2 \varphi^3$			
	Q_G	$f^{ABC}G^{A\nu}_{\mu}G^{B\rho}_{\nu}G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	Q_{φ}	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)^{3}$	$Q_{e\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{l}_{p}e_{r}\varphi)$	
	$Q_{\widetilde{G}}$	$f^{ABC} \widetilde{G}^{A\nu}_{\mu} G^{B\rho}_{\nu} G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{\varphi \Box}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)\Box(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)$	$Q_{u\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}u_{r}\widetilde{\varphi})$	
	Q_W	$\varepsilon^{IJK}W^{I\nu}_{\mu}W^{J\rho}_{\nu}W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{\varphi D}$	$\left(\varphi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\varphi\right)^{\star}\left(\varphi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\varphi\right)$	$Q_{d\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}d_{r}\varphi)$	
	$Q_{\widetilde{W}}$	$\varepsilon^{IJK}\widetilde{W}^{I\nu}_{\mu}W^{J\rho}_{\nu}W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$					
$X^2 \varphi^2$		$\psi^2 X \varphi$		$\psi^2 \varphi^2 D$			
	$Q_{\varphi G}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi G^{A}_{\mu\nu}G^{A\mu\nu}$	Q_{eW}	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi l}^{(1)}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\overline{l}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}l_{r})$	
	$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{G}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{G}^{A}_{\mu\nu}G^{A\mu\nu}$	Q_{eB}	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \varphi B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi l}^{(3)}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}^{I}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{l}_{p}\tau^{I}\gamma^{\mu}l_{r})$	
	$Q_{\varphi W}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi W^{I}_{\mu\nu}W^{I\mu\nu}$	Q_{uG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^A u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} G^A_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi e}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{e}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}e_{r})$	
	$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{W}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi \widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu\nu}W^{I\mu\nu}$	Q_{uW}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \tau^I \widetilde{\varphi} W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi q}^{(1)}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}q_{r})$	
	$Q_{\varphi B}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{uB}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi q}^{(3)}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}\tau^{I}\gamma^{\mu}q_{r})$	
	$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{B}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^A d_r) \varphi G^A_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi u}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}u_{r})$	
	$Q_{\varphi WB}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\varphiW^{I}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dW}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi d}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{d}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}d_{r})$)(I
	$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{W}B}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\varphi\widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dB}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_r) \varphi B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi ud}$	$i(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}d_{r})$	

Table 2: Dimension-six operators other than the four-fermion ones.

	$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{L}L)$		$(\bar{R}R)(\bar{R}R)$		$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{R}R)$		
		$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (\bar{l}_s \gamma^\mu l_t)$	Q_{ee}	$(\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r)(\bar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$	Q_{le}	$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r)(\bar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$	
		$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu q_r)(\bar{q}_s \gamma^\mu q_t)$	Q_{uu}	$(\bar{u}_p \gamma_\mu u_r)(\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	Q_{lu}	$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r)(\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	
)		$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu \tau^I q_r) (\bar{q}_s \gamma^\mu \tau^I q_t)$	Q_{dd}	$(\bar{d}_p \gamma_\mu d_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	Q_{ld}	$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	
$_{r})$		$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r)(\bar{q}_s \gamma^\mu q_t)$	Q_{eu}	$(\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r)(\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	Q_{qe}	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (\bar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$	
)		$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu \tau^I l_r) (\bar{q}_s \gamma^\mu \tau^I q_t)$	Q_{ed}	$(\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{qu}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu q_r)(\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	
)			$Q_{ud}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{u}_p \gamma_\mu u_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{qu}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu T^A q_r) (\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu T^A u_t)$	
,)			$Q_{ud}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{u}_p \gamma_\mu T^A u_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu T^A d_t)$	$Q_{qd}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	
3					$Q_{qd}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu T^A q_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu T^A d_t)$	
$\frac{1}{2}$	$(\bar{R}L)$ and $(\bar{L}R)(\bar{L}R)$		<i>B</i> -violating				
		$(ar{l}_p^j e_r)(ar{d}_s q_t^j)$	Q_{duq}	$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\varepsilon_{jk}\left[\left(d_{p}^{\alpha}\right)\right.$	$^{T}Cu_{r}^{\beta}$	$\left[(q_s^{\gamma j})^T C l_t^k\right]$	
/	!	$(\bar{q}_p^j u_r) \varepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k d_t)$	Q_{qqu}	$Q_{qqu} = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\varepsilon_{jk} \left[(q_p^{\alpha j}) \right]$		$^{T}Cq_{r}^{\beta k}\right]\left[(u_{s}^{\gamma})^{T}Ce_{t} ight]$	
- 90	yu	$(\bar{q}_p^j T^A u_r) \varepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k T^A d_t)$	$Q_{qqq}^{(1)}$	$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{mn}\left[\left(q_{p}^{\alpha}\right)\right]$	$^{j})^{T}Cq_{r}^{\beta}$	$[a_s^{\beta k}] \left[(q_s^{\gamma m})^T C l_t^n \right]$	
$Q_{lequ}^{(1)}$		$(\bar{l}_p^j e_r) \varepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k u_t)$	$Q_{qqq}^{(3)}$	$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\tau^I\varepsilon)_{jk}(\tau^I\varepsilon)_{mn}$	$\left[(q_p^{\alpha j})^T C q_r^{\beta k}\right] \left[(q_s^{\gamma m})^T C l_t^n\right]$		
$Q_{lequ}^{(3)}$		$(\bar{l}_p^j \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_r) \varepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_t)$	Q_{duu}	$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\left[(d_p^{\alpha})^T\right]$	$^{T}Cu_{r}^{\beta}\left[(u_{s}^{\gamma})^{T}Ce_{t}\right]$		
				×			

Table 3: Four-fermion operators.

 Constrain coefficients of these operators [One way to go, given the lack of evidence in

favor of concrete models]

For non-linear realization, see Grinstein, Trott 0704.1505 Contino, Grojean, Moretti, Piccinini, Rattazzi 1002.1011

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

The Higgs Sector...

... offers a unique window to NP Is it the SM-Higgs Boson? Scale of New Physics?



One of the biggest discoveries of mankind



Higgs Boson EFT

• Neglecting operators strongly constrained from precision tests

See e.g.: Elias-Miro, Espinosa, Masso, Pomarol, 1308.1879; Pomarol, Riva, 1308.2803; Corbett, Eboli, Gonzalez-Fraile, Gonzalez-Garcia 1207.1344, 1211.4580, 1304.1151; Falkowski, Riva, Urbano, 1303.1812; Contino, Ghezzi, Grojean, Muhlleitner, Spira, 1303.3876; Dumont, Fichet, von Gersdorff 1304.3369; Trott 1409.7605; Falkowski, Riva, 1411.0669,...

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{c_H}{2\Lambda^2} (\partial^{\mu} |H|^2)^2 - \frac{c_6}{\Lambda^2} \lambda |H|^6 \\ &- \left(\frac{c_t}{\Lambda^2} y_t |H|^2 \bar{Q}_L H^c t_R + \frac{c_b}{\Lambda^2} y_b |H|^2 \bar{Q}_L H b_R + \frac{c_\tau}{\Lambda^2} y_\tau |H|^2 \bar{L}_L H \tau_R + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_s c_g}{4\pi\Lambda^2} |H|^2 G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a + \frac{\alpha' c_\gamma}{4\pi\Lambda^2} |H|^2 B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i c_{WW}}{16\pi^2\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_{WW} \left(+ \mathcal{L}_{\rm CP} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm 4f} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{WW} = g(D^{\mu}H)^{\dagger} \sigma_k (D^{\nu}H) W^k_{\mu\nu} - g'(D^{\mu}H)^{\dagger} (D^{\nu}H) B_{\mu\nu} - g/2 (H^{\dagger} \sigma_k \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu}H) D^{\nu} W^k_{\mu\nu} + g'/2 (H^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu}H) \partial^{\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$$

Use EOMs + IBP to translate between different bases

Higgs Boson EFT

• Neglecting operators strongly constrained from precision tests

See e.g.: Elias-Miro, Espinosa, Masso, Pomarol, 1308.1879; Pomarol, Riva, 1308.2803; Corbett, Eboli, Gonzalez-Fraile, Gonzalez-Garcia 1207.1344, 1211.4580, 1304.1151; Falkowski, Riva, Urbano, 1303.1812; Contino, Ghezzi, Grojean, Muhlleitner, Spira, 1303.3876; Dumont, Fichet, von Gersdorff 1304.3369; Trott 1409.7605; Falkowski, Riva, 1411.0669

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{c_H}{2\Lambda^2} (\partial^{\mu} |H|^2)^2 - \frac{c_6}{\Lambda^2} \lambda |H|^6 \\ &- \left(\frac{c_t}{\Lambda^2} y_t |H|^2 \bar{Q}_L H^c t_R + \frac{c_b}{\Lambda^2} y_b |H|^2 \bar{Q}_L H b_R + \frac{c_\tau}{\Lambda^2} y_\tau |H|^2 \bar{L}_L H \tau_R + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_s c_g}{4\pi\Lambda^2} |H|^2 G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a + \frac{\alpha' c_\gamma}{4\pi\Lambda^2} |H|^2 B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i c_{WW}}{16\pi^2\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_{WW} \left(+ \mathcal{L}_{\rm CP} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm 4f} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Basically unconstrainable from single-Higgs physics: C_6 \rightarrow enters Higgs potential \implies self couplings (Important test of SM)

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

The Higgs Potential

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

The Higgs Potential

Very important test of SM/NP: Higgs potential — self couplings

The Higgs Potential

Very important test of SM/NP: Higgs potential — self couplings

$$V(h) = \frac{1}{2}m_h^2 h^2 + \lambda_{3h}v h^3 + \frac{\lambda_{4h}}{4} h^4 + \cdots$$

$$M = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1 \\ i=1$$

The Higgs Potential

 $\frac{c_6}{\Lambda^2}\lambda|H|^6$ enters Higgs potential \implies self couplings

$$V(H) = \mu^2 |H|^2 + \lambda |H|^4 + \frac{c_6}{\Lambda^2} \lambda |H|^6$$

The Higgs Potential

 $\frac{c_6}{\Lambda^2}\lambda|H|^6$ enters Higgs potential \longrightarrow self couplings

$$V(h) = \frac{\mu^2}{2} (2hv + h^2) + \frac{\lambda}{4} (4hv^3 + 6h^2v^2 + 4h^3v + h^4) + \frac{c_6\lambda}{8\Lambda^2} (6hv^5 + 15h^2v^4 + 20h^3v^3 + 15h^4v^2) + \dots$$

The Higgs Potential

 $\left|rac{c_6}{\Lambda^2}\lambda|H|^6
ight|$ enters Higgs potential \implies self couplings

$$V(h) = \frac{1}{2}m_h^2 h^2 + \lambda_{3h} v h^3 + \frac{\lambda_{4h}}{4} h^4 + \cdots$$

$$\lambda_{3h} = \frac{m_h^2}{2v^2} \left[1 + \frac{c_6 v^2}{\Lambda^2} \right]$$
$$\neq \lambda_{4h} = \frac{m_h^2}{2v^2} \left[1 + \frac{6c_6 v^2}{\Lambda^2} \right]$$

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

The Higgs Potential

 $rac{c_H}{2\Lambda^2} (\partial^\mu |H|^2)^2$ enters after canonical normalization of kinetics

$$V(h) = \frac{1}{2}m_h^2 h^2 + \lambda_{3h} v h^3 + \frac{\lambda_{4h}}{4} h^4 + \cdots$$

$$\lambda_{3h} = \frac{m_h^2}{2v^2} \left[1 + \frac{c_6 v^2}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{\frac{3c_H v^2}{2\Lambda^2}}{\frac{2}{2\Lambda^2}} \right]$$
$$\neq \lambda_{4h} = \frac{m_h^2}{2v^2} \left[1 + \frac{6c_6 v^2}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{\frac{25c_H v^2}{3\Lambda^2}}{\frac{3\Lambda^2}{2}} \right]$$

$$h \to \left(1 - \frac{c_H v^2}{2\Lambda^2}\right)h - \frac{c_H v}{2\Lambda^2}h^2 - \frac{c_H}{6\Lambda^2}h^3$$

removes also momentum-dependent interactions

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

The Higgs Potential

$$V(h) = \frac{1}{2} m_h^2 h^2 + \lambda_{3h} v h^3 + \frac{\lambda_{4h}}{4} h^4 + \cdots$$

 $\mathrm{m}_h\simeq 125\,\mathrm{GeV}$ established @LHC

$$\lambda_{3h} = \frac{m_h^2}{2v^2} \left[1 + \frac{c_6 v^2}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{3c_H v^2}{2\Lambda^2} \right]$$
$$\lambda_{4h} = \frac{m_h^2}{2v^2} \left[1 + \frac{6c_6 v^2}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{25c_H v^2}{3\Lambda^2} \right]$$

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

The Higgs Potential



 C_6 accessible in Higgs pair production: $\lambda_{3h} = \lambda_{3h}(c_6)$

Challenge: Many more operators contribute

The Higgs Potential

$$V(h) = \frac{1}{2}m_h^2 h^2 + \frac{\lambda_{3h}}{\lambda_{3h}}vh^3 + \frac{\lambda_{4h}}{4}h^4 + \cdots$$

$$\int_{g \text{ common } f} \int_{g \text{ common } f} \int_{H} \int_{H} H$$
Triple Higgs production:
extremely challenging @(V)LHC
0.06 fb @LHC14; 9.45 fb @VLHC (200 TeV)
Plehn, Rauch, hep-ph/0507321

 C_6 accessible in Higgs pair production: $\lambda_{3h} = \lambda_{3h}(c_6)$

Challenge: Many more operators contribute

The Higgs Potential

$$V(h) = \frac{1}{2}m_h^2 h^2 + \frac{\lambda_{3h}}{\lambda_{3h}}vh^3 + \frac{\lambda_{4h}}{4}h^4 + \cdots$$

Most stringent projected constraint on λ_{3h} alone @ LHC14

 $\Delta \lambda_{3h} = (40 - 50)\% @ 600 \,\text{fb}^{-1}$ $\Delta \lambda_{3h} = 30\% @ 3000 \,\text{fb}^{-1}$

FG, Papaefstathiou, Yang, Zurita 1301.3492; 1309.3805 [test of SM]

See also Dolan, Englert, Spannowski, 1206.5001, Baur, Plehn, Rainwater, hep-ph/0211224, Baglio, Djouadi, Gröber, Mühlleitner, Quevillon, Spira, 1212.5581, etc. Florian Goertz 21

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Higgs Boson EFT

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{c_H}{2\Lambda^2} (\partial^{\mu} |H|^2)^2 - \frac{c_6}{\Lambda^2} \lambda |H|^6 \quad \text{Pure Higgs} \\ &- \left(\frac{c_t}{\Lambda^2} y_t |H|^2 \bar{Q}_L H^c t_R + \frac{c_b}{\Lambda^2} y_b |H|^2 \bar{Q}_L H b_R + \frac{c_\tau}{\Lambda^2} y_\tau |H|^2 \bar{L}_L H \tau_R + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_s c_g}{4\pi\Lambda^2} |H|^2 G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a + \frac{\alpha' c_\gamma}{4\pi\Lambda^2} |H|^2 B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \end{split}$$

Higgs Boson EFT

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{c_H}{2\Lambda^2} (\partial^{\mu} |H|^2)^2 - \frac{c_6}{\Lambda^2} \lambda |H|^6 \quad \text{Pure Higgs}$$

$$- \left(\frac{c_t}{\Lambda^2} y_t |H|^2 \bar{Q}_L H^c t_R + \frac{c_b}{\Lambda^2} y_b |H|^2 \bar{Q}_L H b_R + \frac{c_\tau}{\Lambda^2} y_\tau |H|^2 \bar{L}_L H \tau_R + \text{h.c.} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_s c_g}{4\pi\Lambda^2} |H|^2 G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a + \frac{\alpha' c_\gamma}{4\pi\Lambda^2} |H|^2 B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\text{Need to consider light generations?} \qquad \int f - ---$$

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Strong Correlation $\Delta Y \leftrightarrow FCNC$

e.g.: K^o-oscillation



HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Higgs Pair Production in gg Fusion

 $99 \rightarrow hh$

$$EWSB \rightarrow Relevant Terms:$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{hh} = -\frac{m_h^2}{2v} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}c_H + c_6\right) h^3$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_s c_g}{4\pi} \left(\frac{h}{v} + \frac{h^2}{2v^2}\right) G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a$$

$$- \left[\frac{m_t}{v} \left(1 - \frac{c_H}{2} + c_t\right) \bar{t}_L t_R h + \text{h.c.}\right]$$

 $c_i \to c_i \Lambda^2 / v^2$



HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Cross Section in D=6 EFT



 $\sigma(gg \to hh)_{\rm NNLO}^{\rm LHC14} \sim 40 \,{\rm fb}$

Higgs Decays in D=6 EFT

Mode	tree	1 loop QCD	1 loop
$h \rightarrow bb$	c_H, c_b	c_H, c_b	c_H, c_b, c_t, c_6, c_W
$h \to \tau \tau$	c_H, c_{τ}	-	$c_H, c_{ au}, c_6, c_W$
$h\to\gamma\gamma$	c_{γ} Loop + $1/\Lambda^2$ sup	pressed wrt SM	$c_H, c_b, c_t, c_\tau, c_W$
$h \rightarrow WW$	c_{H}, c_{HW}, c_{W}	-	$c_H, c_W, c_b, c_t, c_\tau, c_6$
$gg \rightarrow hh$	c_g	c_t,c_b	c_t, c_b, c_H, c_6
$gg \rightarrow h$	c_g	c_t,c_b,c_H	c_t,c_b,c_H

Bold coefficients included in FG, Papaefstathiou, Yang, Zurita, 1410.3471 (via eHDECAY: Contino, Ghezzi, Grojean, Muhlleitner, Spira, 1403.3381) Don't include suppressed (loop) operators in loop topologies

6 Parameters:
$$\{c_6, c_H, c_t, c_b \!=\! c_{ au}, c_g, c_{\gamma}\}$$

Unique accessibility in hh production!

 $\mathcal{O}_{W,B,HW,HB} \in \mathcal{O}_{WW}$

Explicit Analysis

Explicit Analysis

• Focus on $hh \rightarrow b\overline{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ @LHC14

Dolan, Englert, Spannowsky, 1206.5001 Baglio, Djouadi, Grober, Muhlleitner, Quevillon; 1212.5581 Barr, Dolan, Englert, Spannowsky,, 1309.6318 Maierhoefer, Papaefstathiou, 1401.0007

 $hh \to b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$ Baur, Plehn, Rainwater, hep-ph/0310056 Significance @ 600 fb^{-1} (SM)

 $\lesssim 2\sigma$ (S/B=6/12)

 $hh \to b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ Dolan, Englert, Spannowsky, 1206.5001

 $\sim 4.5\sigma$ (S/B=57/119)

Reading OSO2.2470

 $hh \to b\bar{b}W^+W^-$

 $\sim 3\sigma$ (S/B=12/8)

Papaefstathiou, Yang, Zurita, 1209.1489

Theorists' analyses!

Analysis: $hh \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$

Generated with aMC@NLO (+ HERWIG++)

- Main backgrounds: $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^- (+E_{mis})$
 - $pp \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$

Frixione et. al., 1010.0568 Frederix et. al., 1104.5613 Alwall et. al., 1405.0301

• $pp \to hZ \to b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$

Cuts:

- Two τ -tagged jets with $p_{\perp} > 20 \,\text{GeV}$
- one fat jet with R = 1.4 (CA), two hardest sub-jets b-tagged ($|\eta| < 2.5$)
- $m_{\tau^+\tau^-}, m_{\text{fat}} \in [m_h 25 \,\text{GeV}, m_h + 25 \,\text{GeV}]$
- $p_{\perp}^{\text{fat}}, p_{\perp}^{\tau\tau} > 100 \text{ GeV}, \ \Delta R(h,h) > 2.8, \ p_{\perp}^{hh} < 80 \text{ GeV}$

b, τ -tagging efficiencies: 70 %

see: Dolan, Englert, Spannowsky, 1206.5001; Maierhoefer, Papaefstathiou, 1401.0007

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

gg→hh Cross Section in EFT



• Effect of varying individual Wilson coefficients

MSTW2008nlo_nf4 PDF

Dashed: parameter-range excluded from current h data at the LHC
 → used HiggsBounds, HiggsSignals on cross sections calculated via eHDECAY

Bechtle et.al., 1311.0055, 1305.1933

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

 $gg \rightarrow hh$ after cuts



 \rightarrow describe distributions, which determine efficiencies $\epsilon(c_i)$

 $gg \rightarrow hh$ after cuts



MC generator important for analysis \rightarrow describe distributions, which determine efficiencies $\epsilon(c_i)$

Analysis

- Start with model where only $c_6 \neq 0$ (unconstrained from single h) Vary only λ (as in previous studies)
 - $S(c_6)$ signal + B background events @ given L_{int}
 - $N(c_6) = S(c_6) + B$, $\delta N^2 = \delta S^2 + \delta B^2 + S^2 f_{\rm th}^2$

$$\delta N^2 = N + S^2 f_{\rm th}^2$$

30% ~ 10% (scale) + 10% (pdf + $\alpha_{\rm s}$) + 10% (m_t)


• Start with model where only $c_6 \neq 0$ (unconstrained from single h) Vary only λ (as in previous studies)

$$\delta N^2 = N + S^2 f_{\rm th}^2$$

• Expected constraint on c_6 , assuming the SM to be true ($c_6=0$):

Compute how many standard deviations $\delta N(c_6)$ away a given $N(c_6)$, as predicted from theory, is from $N(c_6 = 0)$.

Analysis



 $c_6^{1\sigma}(600 \text{ fb}^{-1}) \in (-0.4, 0.5), \ c_6^{1\sigma}(3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}) \in (-0.3, 0.3), \ f_{\text{th}} = 0$ $c_6^{1\sigma}(600 \text{ fb}^{-1}) \in (-0.5, 0.8), \ c_6^{1\sigma}(3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}) \in (-0.4, 0.4), \ f_{\text{th}} = 0.3$

 $(c_6 > 0)$ -region more challenging as cross section reduced \rightarrow larger uncertainty

Full D=6 Theory

- Again assume SM ($c_i=O$) and calculate distance of predicted $N(c_6,..,c_b)$ from $N(c_6=0,..,c_b=0)$ in units of $\delta N(c_6,..,c_b)$
- Show results in 2D grids (c_6, c_i) , $i=H,g,\gamma,t,b$
- Marginalize over other directions with a Gaussian weight,
- given by projected errors on the coefficients from single h $(\sim 10\% @ (600-3000) \text{ fb}^{-1})$

in the future use real constraints (like p-values from HiggsBounds/Signals)

• Draw iso-contours corresponding to probability-drop of 1σ

Results: Ct-C6



• Clear correlation visible: Enhanced hh cross section due to negative c_t can be compensated by reduction due to positive c_6

127



• Precise knowledge on 'top Yukawa' c_t helpful to improve the range for c_6

• On the other hand, could also obtain meaningful information on c_t in hh



HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

6



• Precise knowledge on 'top Yukawa' c_t helpful to improve the range for c_6

• On the other hand, could also obtain meaningful information on c_t in hh



HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015



• Again compensation of effects from different operators possible \rightarrow range for c_6 depends significantly on other coefficients



• As expected: negligible dependence on c_{γ}

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

 $(C_h = C_{\tau})$ 66



• Reduced BR due $(c_b=c_\tau)<0$ to can be compensated by enhanced production cross section due to negative c_6 and vice versa

 $C_{H}^{-}C_{6}$



HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015



 Marginalize over other directions with current p-values for coefficients from single-h measurements (using HiggsBounds/Signals)

 $c_{H} c_{6}$



- Precise knowledge of other Wilson coefficients necessary for reasonable bounds on c_6

Full Marginalization → c₆



Final Results

Expected 1σ constraints at the 14 TeV LHC, assuming $f_{th} = 30\%$

model	$L = 600 \ {\rm fb}^{-1}$	$L=3000~{\rm fb}^{-1}$
c ₆ -only	$c_6 \in (-0.5, 0.8)$	$c_6 \in (-0.4, 0.4)$
full (future)	$c_6 \in (-0.8, 0.9)$	$c_6 \in (-0.6, 0.6)$

FG, Papaefstathiou, Yang, Zurita, 1410.3471

• Use real p-values from *current* single Higgs measurements in marginalization:

See also Azatov, Contino, Panico, Son, 1502.00539 (bbyy) and Roberto's talk!

Next Steps

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Some Directions to explore:

- Include other decay channels
- Optimize analysis for different regions of parameter space
- Consider distributions to improve bounds
- Include NLO QCD corrections (feasible in $m_t \to \infty$)

See yesterday's 1504.06577: Gröber, Mühlleitner, Spira, Streicher

- Sensitivity on c_{t/c_g}
- Test presence of μ^2

See also Roberto's talk on thursday! \rightarrow 100 TeV Collider

Some Directions to explore:

- Include other decay channels
- Optimize analysis for different regions of parameter space
- Consider distributions to improve bounds
- Include NLO QCD corrections (feasible in $m_t \to \infty$)
- Sensitivity on c_t/c_g
- Test presence of μ^2

See yesterday's 1504.06577: Gröber, Mühlleitner, Spira, Streicher

See also Roberto's talk on thursday! \rightarrow 100 TeV Collider

 $c_t c_g$

• Add additional information on $C_t - C_g$ plane



 $c_t - c_q$

• Add additional information on $C_t - C_g$ plane



HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Presence of μ^2

- $\mu^2 |H|^2$: only relevant operator in SM
- Origin of hierarchy problem
- Have so far not tested if actually there!

$$V(H) = \mu^2 |H|^2 + \lambda |H|^4$$

Presence of μ^2

- $\mu^2 |H|^2$: only relevant operator in SM
- Origin of hierarchy problem
- Have so far not tested if actually there!

$$V(H) = \lambda |H|^4$$

$$v = 0, \ m_h = 0$$

Presence of μ^2

- $\mu^2 |H|^2$: only relevant operator in SM
- Origin of hierarchy problem
- Have so far not tested if actually there!

Coleman-Weinberg
$$V(H) = \lambda |H|^4 (1 + c \log(|H|^2/\mu_r^2))$$

Higgs Boson much too light (in SM)

Presence of μ^2

- $\mu^2 |H|^2$: only relevant operator in SM
- Origin of hierarchy problem
- Have so far not tested if actually there!

Coleman-Weinberg
$$V(H) = \lambda |H|^4 (1 + c \log(|H|^2/\mu_r^2))$$

Higgs Boson much too light (in SM)
Add NP, regenerate μ^2 again spontaneously (CSI),...

Hempfling, hep-ph/9604278;

Englert, Jaeckel, Khoze, Spannowsky, 1301.4224;

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Florian Goertz

Bardeen,

Presence of μ^2

- $\mu^2 |H|^2$: only relevant operator in SM
- Origin of hierarchy problem
- Have so far not tested if actually there!

$$V(H) = \langle \Phi \rangle^2 |H|^2 + \lambda |H|^4 + \cdots$$
Higgs Boson much too light (in SM)

Add NP, regenerate μ^2 again spontaneously (CSI),...

Hempfling, hep-ph/9604278;

Englert, Jaeckel, Khoze, Spannowsky, 1301.4224;

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Florian Goertz

Bardeen,

Presence of μ^2

- $\mu^2 |H|^2$: only relevant operator in SM
- Origin of hierarchy problem
- Have so far not tested if actually there!

$$V(H) = \lambda |H|^4 + \frac{c_6}{\Lambda^2} |H|^6$$

- Alternative: replace by D=6 operator FG, 1504.00355
- Λ <0, EWSB not triggered by negative μ^2 term
- $\mu^2=0$ really possible by adding D=6 op. in consistent EFT ??

Presence of μ^2

- Yes, due to the lightness of the Higgs Boson!
- v only fixes ratio of terms

$$v^2 = -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\lambda}{c_6}\Lambda^2$$

•
$$m_h^2 = 3v^2\lambda + \frac{15}{4}\frac{c_6}{\Lambda^2}v^4$$

Presence of μ^2

• Yes, due to the lightness of the Higgs Boson!

$$\lambda = -\frac{m_h^2}{2v^2} \approx -0.13, \quad c_6 = \frac{2m_h^2}{3v^2} \frac{\Lambda^2}{v^2} \approx 2.8 \frac{\Lambda^2}{\text{TeV}^2}$$



SM one-loop CW \rightarrow small correction: ______ FG, 1504.00355

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Presence of μ^2

• Yes, due to the lightness of the Higgs Boson!



SM one-loop CW \rightarrow small correction: FG, 1504.00355

Limits from EWPT \rightarrow see Grojean, Servant, Wells, hep-ph/0407019

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Testable at LHC!

$$c_6 = \frac{2m_h^2}{3v^2} \approx 2.8 \frac{\Lambda^2}{\text{TeV}^2} \quad \frac{\text{conventions of GPYZ, 1410.3471}}{\text{incl. CW shift}} \quad \boxed{c_6 \approx -1.2}$$

14TeV LHC, 15:

model	$L = 600 \ \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$	$L=3000~{\rm fb^{-1}}$
c_6 -only	$c_6 \in (-0.5, 0.8)$	$c_6 \in (-0.4, 0.4)$
full (future)	$c_6 \in (-0.8, 0.9)$	$c_6 \in (-0.6, 0.6)$

• Use real p-values from *current* single Higgs measurements in marginalization:

full $c_6 \gtrsim -1.3$ $c_6 \gtrsim -1.2$	
--	--

The Higgs discovery is not the end, it is just the beginning.



Analysis of hh productions can offer viable additional information on the (D=6) extension of the SM!

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Conclusions

Thank you for your attention!

Backup: hh @LHC

• Other production channels $qq' \rightarrow hhqq', Vhh, t\bar{t}hh$ ~10-30 times smaller (neglect in the following)



See [e.g.] Baglio, Djouadi, Grober, Muhlleitner, Quevillon, Spira, 1212.5581, and refs. therein

Backup: hh @ LHC

• Most important mechanism: $gg \rightarrow hh$





Eboli, Marques, Novaes, Natale, PLB 197(1987)269 Glover, van der Bij, NPB 309(1988)282 Dawson, Dittmaier, Spira, PRD 58(1998)115012 Grigo, Hoff, Melnikov, Steinhauser, 1305.7340 de Florian, Mazzitelli, 1305.5206, 1309.6594 see also Maltoni, Vryonidou, Zaro, 1408.6542

 $\sigma(gg \to hh)_{\rm LO} \sim 17 \,{\rm fb}$ $\sigma(gg \to hh)_{\rm NLO} \sim 33 \,{\rm fb}$ $\sigma(gg \to hh)_{\rm NNLO} \sim 40 \,{\rm fb}$

Theoretical error (NNLO): $f_{th} \sim 10\%$ (scale) + 10\% (pdf+ α_s) + 10% (m_t^{-1})

LHC@14TeV m_h~125 GeV

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Backup: hh @ LHC

• Most important mechanism: $gg \rightarrow hh$



Backup: Hbounds/Signals Ranges

$\operatorname{coefficient}$	μ_f	σ_{f}
c_H	-0.035	0.225
c_t	-0.04	0.17
Сь	0.0	0.18
c_{g}	-0.01	0.06
c_{γ}	-0.25	0.62

assuming gaussian distributions

HH in EFT, MITP Workshop, 28.4.2015

Backup: Parameter-Space Scan

- Show results in 2D grids (c_6, c_i) , $i=H,g,\gamma,t,b$
- Marginalize over other directions, varying the coefficients in the 95% CL allowed regions, obtained from HiggsBounds/Signals (with a Gaussian weight)

$$p(c_i, c_6) = \frac{\bar{p}(c_i, c_6)}{\max(\bar{p}(c_i, c_6))}, \ \bar{p}(c_i, c_6) = \frac{\sum_{\{c_f\}} p(c_6, c_i, \{c_f\}) \times p_{\text{Gauss.}}(\{c_f\})}{\sum_{\{c_f\}} p_{\text{Gauss.}}(\{c_f\})}$$
$$p_{\text{Gauss.}}(\{c_f\}) = \prod_f \frac{1}{\sigma_f \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(c_f - \mu_f)^2}{2\sigma_f^2}\right\}$$
$$Projections: \qquad \underbrace{c_f \qquad \Delta c_f}_{c_f \qquad \Delta c_f}$$

 Draw iso-contours corresponding to probability-drop of 1σ

ctions:	c_f	Δc_f
effects)	c_g	$0.05 \times \frac{1}{3}$
	c_H	0.05×2
	c_t, c_b, c_τ	0.05
	c_{γ}	$0.05\times\tfrac{47}{18}$
Backup: Distributions



Backup: Distributions



c;=0.5

Florian Goertz