

DOUBLED-YET-GAUGED, SEMI-COVARIANCE & TWOFOLD SPIN

JEONG-HYUCK PARK

Sogang University, Seoul

Stringy Geometry, Mainz

September 2015

Three themes in this talk:

- **Doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system**
- **Semi-covariant formulation of DFT/SDFT**
- **Twofold spin and Standard Model**

To summarize collaborated works with Imtak Jeon (8), Kanghoon Lee (8), Yoonji Suh (3),
 Chris Blair (1), Emanuel Malek (1), Wonyoung Cho (1), Jose Fernández-Melgarejo (1),
 Kang-Sin Choi (1: *Phenomenologist*), Soo-Jong Rey (1), Woohyun Rim (1),
 Yuho Sakatani (1), Sung Moon Ko (1), Charles Melby-Thompson (1), Rene Meyér (1).

● <i>Differential geometry with a projection: Application to double field theory</i>	1011.1324
● <i>Stringy differential geometry, beyond Riemann</i>	1105.6294
● <i>Incorporation of fermions into double field theory</i>	1109.2035
● <i>Ramond-Ramond Cohomology and $O(D,D)$ T-duality</i>	1206.3478
● <i>Supersymmetric Double Field Theory: Stringy Reformulation of Supergravity</i>	1112.0069
● <i>Stringy Unification of IIA and IIB Supergravities under $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ Supersymmetric Double Field Theory</i>	1210.5078
● <i>Supersymmetric gauged Double Field Theory: Systematic derivation by virtue of 'Twist'</i>	1505.01301
● <i>Comments on double field theory and diffeomorphisms</i>	1304.5946
● <i>Covariant action for a string in doubled yet gauged spacetime</i>	1307.8377
● <i>Double field formulation of Yang-Mills theory \Rightarrow Standard Model Double Field Theory</i>	1102.0419/1506.05277
● <i>$O(D, D)$ Covariant Noether Currents and Global Charges in Double Field Theory</i>	1507.07545
● <i>Dynamics of Perturbations in Double Field Theory & Non-Relativistic String Theory</i>	1508.01121
● <i>U-geometry: $SL(5) \Rightarrow$ U-gravity: $SL(N)$</i>	1302.1652/1402.5027
● <i>M-theory and F-theory from a Duality Manifest Action</i>	1311.5109

- Capital Latin letters denote the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ vector indices,

$$A, B, C, \dots, L, M, N, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, D+D.$$

- They can be freely raised or lowered by the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ invariant constant metric,

$$\mathcal{J}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system

1304.5946/1307.8377

- The section condition in DFT,

$$\partial_M \partial^M = 0,$$

implies that, arbitrary functions and their arbitrary derivatives, collectively Φ , are invariant under translations generated by a **derivative-index-valued vector**,

$$\Phi_0(x + \Delta) = \Phi_0(x), \quad \Delta^M = \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2.$$

- In fact, the converse is also true. *c.f.* 1307.8377

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system

- Start with any D -dimensional coordinate system, x^μ , e.g. (t, x, y, z) or (t, r, θ, ϕ) etc.
- The doubled coordinates,

$$x^M = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu),$$

are then required **to be gauged**: they are subject to an **equivalence relation**,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2,$$

which we call **coordinate gauge symmetry**.

- Each equivalence class, or gauge orbit, represents a single physical point.
- (Strongly constrained) DFT employs such a **doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system**.
- Diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’, rather than ‘points’ in the doubled coordinate space:
 - Hohm-Zwiebach ansatz for finite transformations $\equiv \exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$.

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system

- Start with any D -dimensional coordinate system, x^μ , e.g. (t, x, y, z) or (t, r, θ, ϕ) etc.
- The doubled coordinates,

$$x^M = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu),$$

are then required **to be gauged**: they are subject to an **equivalence relation**,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2,$$

which we call **coordinate gauge symmetry**.

- Each equivalence class, or gauge orbit, represents a single physical point.
- (Strongly constrained) DFT employs such a **doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system**.
- Diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’, rather than ‘points’ in the doubled coordinate space:
 - Hohm-Zwiebach ansatz for finite transformations $\equiv \exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$.

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system

- Start with any D -dimensional coordinate system, x^μ , e.g. (t, x, y, z) or (t, r, θ, ϕ) etc.
- The doubled coordinates,

$$x^M = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu),$$

are then required **to be gauged**: they are subject to an **equivalence relation**,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2,$$

which we call **coordinate gauge symmetry**.

- Each equivalence class, or gauge orbit, represents a single physical point.
- (Strongly constrained) DFT employs such a **doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system**.
- Diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’, rather than ‘points’ in the doubled coordinate space:
 - Hohn-Zwiebach ansatz for finite transformations $\equiv \exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$.

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system

- Start with any D -dimensional coordinate system, x^μ , e.g. (t, x, y, z) or (t, r, θ, ϕ) etc.
- The doubled coordinates,

$$x^M = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu),$$

are then required **to be gauged**: they are subject to an **equivalence relation**,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2,$$

which we call **coordinate gauge symmetry**.

- Each equivalence class, or gauge orbit, represents a single physical point.
- (Strongly constrained) DFT employs such a **doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system**.
- Diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’, rather than ‘points’ in the doubled coordinate space:
 - Hohm-Zwiebach ansatz for finite transformations $\equiv \exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\chi)$.

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system

- Start with any D -dimensional coordinate system, x^μ , e.g. (t, x, y, z) or (t, r, θ, ϕ) etc.
- The doubled coordinates,

$$x^M = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu),$$

are then required **to be gauged**: they are subject to an **equivalence relation**,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2,$$

which we call **coordinate gauge symmetry**.

- Each equivalence class, or gauge orbit, represents a single physical point.
- (Strongly constrained) DFT employs such a **doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system**.
- Diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’, rather than ‘points’ in the doubled coordinate space:
 - Hohm-Zwiebach ansatz for finite transformations $\equiv \exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$.

JHP, Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Hull

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system

- Start with any D -dimensional coordinate system, x^μ , e.g. (t, x, y, z) or (t, r, θ, ϕ) etc.
- The doubled coordinates,

$$x^M = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu),$$

are then required **to be gauged**: they are subject to an **equivalence relation**,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2,$$

which we call **coordinate gauge symmetry**.

- Each equivalence class, or gauge orbit, represents a single physical point.
- (Strongly constrained) DFT employs such a **doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system**.
- Diffeomorphism is generated by the generalized Lie derivative Siegel, Courant, Grana

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega_T \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{A_i} X_B - \partial_B X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} \quad B \quad A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

where ω_T denotes the weight.

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system

- Start with any D -dimensional coordinate system, x^μ , e.g. (t, x, y, z) or (t, r, θ, ϕ) etc.
- The doubled coordinates,

$$x^M = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu),$$

are then required **to be gauged**: they are subject to an **equivalence relation**,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2,$$

which we call **coordinate gauge symmetry**.

- Each equivalence class, or gauge orbit, represents a single physical point.
- (Strongly constrained) DFT employs such a **doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system**.
- Diffeomorphism is generated by the generalized Lie derivative Siegel, Courant, Grana

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega_T \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{A_i} X_B - \partial_B X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

where ω_T denotes the weight.

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinates & Gauged infinitesimal one-form

- The usual infinitesimal one-form, dx^M , is NOT a covariant vector in DFT: it does not transform covariantly under DFT diffeomorphisms, obeying the way the ‘generalized Lie derivative’ would dictate.
- Hence, $dx^M dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}$ can NOT give a ‘proper length’ in DFT.
- Further, it is NOT coordinate gauge symmetry invariant,

$$dx^M \longrightarrow d(x^M + \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2) \neq dx^M.$$

- These can be all cured by introducing a **gauged infinitesimal one-form**,

$$Dx^M := dx^M - \mathcal{A}^M,$$

where \mathcal{A}^M is the ‘coordinate gauge potential’. Being a derivative-index-valued vector, it satisfies $\mathcal{A}^M \partial_M = 0$, $\mathcal{A}_M \mathcal{A}^M = 0$, or suggestively the ‘gauged section condition’,

$$(\partial_M + \mathcal{A}_M)(\partial^M + \mathcal{A}^M) = 0.$$

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinates & Gauged infinitesimal one-form

- The usual infinitesimal one-form, dx^M , is NOT a covariant vector in DFT: it does not transform covariantly under DFT diffeomorphisms, obeying the way the ‘generalized Lie derivative’ would dictate.
- Hence, $dx^M dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}$ can NOT give a ‘proper length’ in DFT.
- Further, it is NOT coordinate gauge symmetry invariant,

$$dx^M \longrightarrow d(x^M + \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2) \neq dx^M.$$

- These can be all cured by introducing a **gauged infinitesimal one-form**,

$$Dx^M := dx^M - \mathcal{A}^M,$$

where \mathcal{A}^M is the ‘coordinate gauge potential’. Being a derivative-index-valued vector, it satisfies $\mathcal{A}^M \partial_M = 0$, $\mathcal{A}_M \mathcal{A}^M = 0$, or suggestively the ‘gauged section condition’,

$$(\partial_M + \mathcal{A}_M)(\partial^M + \mathcal{A}^M) = 0.$$

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinates & Gauged infinitesimal one-form

- Under coordinate gauge symmetry, we have the invariance of Dx^M ,

$$\begin{aligned}x^M &\longrightarrow x'^M = x^M + \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2, \\ \mathcal{A}^M &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}'^M = \mathcal{A}^M + d(\Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2) \quad : \quad \mathcal{A}'^M \partial'_M \equiv 0, \\ Dx^M &\longrightarrow D'x'^M = Dx^M = dx^M - \mathcal{A}^M.\end{aligned}$$

- Similarly, under (finite) DFT diffeomorphisms *à la* Hohm-Zwiebach

$$\begin{aligned}L_M^N &:= \partial_M x'^N, & \bar{L} &:= \mathcal{J} L^t \mathcal{J}^{-1}, \\ F &:= \frac{1}{2} (L \bar{L}^{-1} + \bar{L}^{-1} L), & \bar{F} &:= \mathcal{J} F^t \mathcal{J}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (L^{-1} \bar{L} + \bar{L} L^{-1}) = F^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

we have the covariance,

$$\begin{aligned}x^M &\longrightarrow x'^M(x), \\ \mathcal{H}_{MN}(x) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}'_{MN}(x') = \bar{F}_M^K \bar{F}_N^L \mathcal{H}_{KL}(x), \\ \mathcal{A}^M &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}'^M = \mathcal{A}^N F_N^M + dx^N (L - F)_N^M \quad : \quad \mathcal{A}'^M \partial'_M \equiv 0, \\ Dx^M &\longrightarrow D'x'^M = Dx^N F_N^M.\end{aligned}$$

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinates & Gauged infinitesimal one-form

- Under coordinate gauge symmetry, we have the invariance of Dx^M ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^M &\longrightarrow x'^M = x^M + \Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2, \\
 \mathcal{A}^M &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}'^M = \mathcal{A}^M + d(\Phi_1 \partial^M \Phi_2) && : \mathcal{A}'^M \partial'_M \equiv 0, \\
 Dx^M &\longrightarrow D'x'^M = Dx^M = dx^M - \mathcal{A}^M.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Similarly, under (finite) DFT diffeomorphisms *à la* Hohm-Zwiebach

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_M^N &:= \partial_M x'^N, & \bar{L} &:= \mathcal{J} L^t \mathcal{J}^{-1}, \\
 F &:= \frac{1}{2} (L \bar{L}^{-1} + \bar{L}^{-1} L), & \bar{F} &:= \mathcal{J} F^t \mathcal{J}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (L^{-1} \bar{L} + \bar{L} L^{-1}) = F^{-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

we have the covariance,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^M &\longrightarrow x'^M(x), \\
 \mathcal{H}_{MN}(x) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}'_{MN}(x') = \bar{F}_M^K \bar{F}_N^L \mathcal{H}_{KL}(x), \\
 \mathcal{A}^M &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}'^M = \mathcal{A}^N F_N^M + dX^N (L - F)_N^M && : \mathcal{A}'^M \partial'_M \equiv 0, \\
 Dx^M &\longrightarrow D'x'^M = Dx^N F_N^M.
 \end{aligned}$$

Fixing the coordinate gauge symmetry : conventional choice of the section

- In DFT –unlike EFT or U-gravity– the solution of the section condition, *i.e.* the section is unique up to the duality rotations,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^M} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right) \equiv \left(0, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right) \quad \text{'conventional' choice of the section}$$

- Then, the 'coordinate gauge symmetry' reads

$$\left(\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu \right) \sim \left(\tilde{x}_\mu + \Phi_1 \partial_\mu \Phi_2, x^\nu \right).$$

- The coordinate gauge potential and the gauged infinitesimal one-form become

$$\mathcal{A}^M = A_\lambda \partial^M x^\lambda = \left(A_\mu, 0 \right), \quad D x^M = \left(d\tilde{x}_\mu - A_\mu, dx^\nu \right).$$

Fixing the coordinate gauge symmetry : conventional choice of the section

- In DFT –unlike EFT or U-gravity– the solution of the section condition, *i.e.* the section is unique up to the duality rotations,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^M} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right) \equiv \left(0, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right) \quad \text{'conventional' choice of the section}$$

- Then, the ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’ reads

$$\left(\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu \right) \sim \left(\tilde{x}_\mu + \Phi_1 \partial_\mu \Phi_2, x^\nu \right).$$

- The coordinate gauge potential and the gauged infinitesimal one-form become

$$\mathcal{A}^M = A_\lambda \partial^M x^\lambda = \left(A_\mu, 0 \right), \quad D x^M = \left(d\tilde{x}_\mu - A_\mu, dx^\nu \right).$$

Newton mechanics with doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system

- The doubled-yet-gauged coordinates can be applied to any physical system, not exclusively to DFT.

- Newton mechanics can be formulated on the doubled-yet-gauged space, $x^I = (\tilde{x}_j, x^k)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Newton}} = \frac{1}{2} m D_t x^I D_t x^J \delta_{IJ} - V(x),$$

where $I, J = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ and the potential, $V(x)$, satisfies the section condition.

- With the conventional choice of the section, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Newton}} = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^j \dot{x}^k \delta_{jk} - V(x) + \frac{1}{2} m \left(\dot{\tilde{x}}_j - A_j \right) \left(\dot{\tilde{x}}_k - A_k \right) \delta^{jk}.$$

Hence, after integrating out A_j , we recover the conventional formulation.

Newton mechanics with doubled-yet-gauged coordinate system

- The doubled-yet-gauged coordinates can be applied to any physical system, not exclusively to DFT.

- Newton mechanics can be formulated on the doubled-yet-gauged space, $x^I = (\tilde{x}_j, x^k)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Newton}} = \frac{1}{2} m D_t x^I D_t x^J \delta_{IJ} - V(x),$$

where $I, J = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ and the potential, $V(x)$, satisfies the section condition.

- With the conventional choice of the section, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Newton}} = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^j \dot{x}^k \delta_{jk} - V(x) + \frac{1}{2} m \left(\dot{\tilde{x}}_j - A_j \right) \left(\dot{\tilde{x}}_k - A_k \right) \delta^{jk}.$$

Hence, after integrating out A_j , we recover the conventional formulation.

- **DFT string action** is with $D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M$,

JHP-Lee 2013

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

- The action is **fully symmetric**, essentially due to the auxiliary gauge field, \mathcal{A}_i^M , under
 - String worldsheet diffeomorphisms plus Weyl symmetry (as usual)
 - $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality
 - Target spacetime DFT diffeomorphisms
 - The coordinate gauge symmetry

c.f. Hull; Tseytlin; Copland, Berman, Thompson; Nibbelink, Patalong; Blair, Malek, Routh

- **DFT string action** is with $D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M$,

JHP-Lee 2013

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{AB}(x)$ is the “generalized metric” which can be defined as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A^C \mathcal{H}_B^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

and satisfies the section condition.

- There are **two types** of “generalized metric” : **Riemannian vs. non-Riemannian**.

- **DFT string action** is with $D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M$,

JHP-Lee 2013

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{AB}(x)$ is the “generalized metric” which can be defined as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A^C \mathcal{H}_B^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

and satisfies the section condition.

- There are **two types** of “generalized metric” : **Riemannian vs. non-Riemannian**.

- **DFT string action** is with $D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M$,

JHP-Lee 2013

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{AB}(x)$ is the “generalized metric” which can be defined as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A^C \mathcal{H}_B^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

and satisfies the section condition.

- There are **two types** of “generalized metric” : **Riemannian vs. non-Riemannian**.

DFT backgrounds : Riemannian vs. non-Riemannian

- W.r.t. the conventional choice of the section, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}_\mu} \equiv 0$, **Riemannian generalized metric** assumes the well-known form,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & -G^{-1}B \\ BG^{-1} & G - BG^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Up to field redefinition (e.g. β -gravity **Andriot-Betz**) this is the most general form of a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element **if the upper left $D \times D$ block is 'non-degenerate'**.

- The DFT sigma model then reduces to the standard string action,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\hbar} h^{ij} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu B_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \tilde{X}_\mu \partial_j X^\mu \right],$$

with the bonus of the topological term introduced by Giveon-Rocek; Hull.

- The EOM of \mathcal{A}_I^M implies **self-duality** on the full doubled spacetime,

$$\mathcal{H}^M_N D^i X^N + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\hbar}} \epsilon^{ij} D_j X^M = 0.$$

DFT backgrounds : Riemannian vs. non-Riemannian

- W.r.t. the conventional choice of the section, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}_\mu} \equiv 0$, **Riemannian generalized metric** assumes the well-known form,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & -G^{-1}B \\ BG^{-1} & G - BG^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Up to field redefinition (e.g. β -gravity **Andriot-Betz**) this is the most general form of a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element **if the upper left $D \times D$ block is 'non-degenerate'**.

- The DFT sigma model then reduces to the standard string action,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\hbar} h^{ij} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu B_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \tilde{X}_\mu \partial_j X^\mu \right],$$

with the bonus of the topological term introduced by **Giveon-Rocek; Hull**.

- The EOM of \mathcal{A}_I^M implies **self-duality** on the full doubled spacetime,

$$\mathcal{H}^M_N D^j X^N + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\hbar}} \epsilon^{ij} D_j X^M = 0.$$

DFT backgrounds : Riemannian vs. non-Riemannian

- W.r.t. the conventional choice of the section, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}_\mu} \equiv 0$, **Riemannian generalized metric** assumes the well-known form,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & -G^{-1}B \\ BG^{-1} & G - BG^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Up to field redefinition (e.g. β -gravity **Andriot-Betz**) this is the most general form of a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element **if the upper left $D \times D$ block is 'non-degenerate'**.

- The DFT sigma model then reduces to the standard string action,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\hbar} h^{ij} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu B_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \tilde{X}_\mu \partial_j X^\mu \right],$$

with the bonus of the topological term introduced by **Giveon-Rocek; Hull**.

- The EOM of \mathcal{A}_i^M implies **self-duality** on the full doubled spacetime,

$$\mathcal{H}^M{}_N D^j X^N + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\hbar}} \epsilon^{ij} D_j X^M = 0.$$

DFT backgrounds : Riemannian vs. non-Riemannian

- W.r.t. $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu} \equiv 0$ again, the **non-Riemannian DFT background** is then characterized by the degenerate upper left $D \times D$ block, such that it does not admit any Riemannian interpretation even locally. For example, with the decomposition, $D = 10 = 2 + 8$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^\alpha{}_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{ij} & 0 & 0 \\ -e_\alpha{}^\beta & 0 & f\eta_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = 1 + \frac{Q}{r^6}, \quad r^2 = \sum_{i=2}^9 (x^i)^2.$$

This is “doubly T-dual”, $(t, x^1) \Leftrightarrow (\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}_1)$, DFT background to F1 *à la*

Dabholkar-Gibbons-Harvey-Ruiz 1990, *c.f.* 2D null-wave *à la* Berkeley-Berman-Rudolf

- DFT as well as the DFT sigma model is well-defined even for such a non-Riemannian background.
- In particular, the Gomis-Ooguri ‘non-relativistic’ string theory can be identified precisely as the DFT sigma model on the above non-Riemannian background.

Ko-Meyer-Melby-Thompson-JHP 2015

DFT backgrounds : Riemannian vs. non-Riemannian

- W.r.t. $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0$ again, the **non-Riemannian DFT background** is then characterized by the degenerate upper left $D \times D$ block, such that it does not admit any Riemannian interpretation even locally. For example, with the decomposition, $D = 10 = 2 + 8$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^\alpha{}_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{ij} & 0 & 0 \\ -e_\alpha{}^\beta & 0 & f\eta_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = 1 + \frac{Q}{r^6}, \quad r^2 = \sum_{i=2}^9 (x^i)^2.$$

This is “doubly T-dual”, $(t, x^1) \Leftrightarrow (\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}_1)$, DFT background to F1 *à la*

Dabholkar-Gibbons-Harvey-Ruiz 1990, *c.f.* 2D null-wave *à la* Berkeley-Berman-Rudolf

- DFT as well as the DFT sigma model is well-defined even for such a non-Riemannian background.
- In particular, the Gomis-Ooguri ‘non-relativistic’ string theory can be identified precisely as the DFT sigma model on the above non-Riemannian background.

Ko-Meyer-Melby-Thompson-JHP 2015

Semi-covariant formulation of DFT/SDFT:

Gravity on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime

1011.1324/1105.6294/...

- Contrary to what it may sound like, the semi-covariant formalism is a **completely covariant approach** to DFT, as it manifests simultaneously

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality
- DFT-diffeomorphisms (generalized Lie derivative)
- A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$

In particular, it makes each term in $D = 10$ Maximal SDFT completely covariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} & \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ & \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Jeon-Lee-JHP-Suh 2012

- It also works for $SL(N)$ duality group, $N \neq 4$ **JHP-Suh 'U-gravity' 2014**

Index	Representation	Metric (raising/lowering indices)
A, B, \dots	$\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ & DFT-diffeom. vector	\mathcal{J}_{AB}
p, q, \dots	$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$ vector	$\eta_{pq} = \text{diag}(- + + \dots +)$
α, β, \dots	$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$ spinor	$C_{+\alpha\beta}, \quad (\gamma^p)^T = C_+ \gamma^p C_+^{-1}$
\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \dots	$\mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ vector	$\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \text{diag}(+ - - \dots -)$
$\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \dots$	$\mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ spinor	$\bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}, \quad (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}})^T = \bar{C}_+ \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \bar{C}_+^{-1}$

Field contents of $D = 10$ Maximal SDFT

- **Bosons**

- **NS-NS sector** $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{Ap}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \end{array} \right.$
- **R-R potential:** $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

- **Fermions (Majorana-Weyl)**

- **DFT-dilatinos:** $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- **Gravitinos:** $\psi_{\bar{p}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi'_{p}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

**R-R potential and Fermions carry NOT $(D + D)$ -dimensional
BUT undoubled D -dimensional indices.**

Field contents of $D = 10$ Maximal SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{Ap}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

• Fermions (Majorana-Weyl)

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{p}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi'_{p}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

A priori, $O(D, D)$ rotates only the $O(D, D)$ vector indices (capital Roman), and the R-R sector and all the fermions are $O(D, D)$ T-duality singlet.

The usual IIA \Leftrightarrow IIB exchange will follow only after the diagonal gauge fixing of the twofold local Lorentz symmetries.

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy four ‘defining’ properties:

$$V_{A\rho}V^A{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}\bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{A\rho}\bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{A\rho}V_B{}^\rho + \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}\bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{\rho}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- Naturally, they generate a pair of two-index ‘projectors’,

$$P_{AB} := V_A{}^P V_{B\rho}, \quad P_A{}^B P_B{}^C = P_A{}^C, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} := \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{P}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{P}_A{}^B \bar{P}_B{}^C = \bar{P}_A{}^C,$$

which are symmetric, orthogonal and complementary to each other,

$$P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}, \quad P_A{}^B \bar{P}_B{}^C = 0, \quad P_A{}^B + \bar{P}_A{}^B = \delta_A{}^B.$$

- Some further projection properties follow

$$P_A{}^B V_{B\rho} = V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{P}_A{}^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{P}_A{}^B V_{B\rho} = 0, \quad P_A{}^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = 0.$$

- Note also $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB}$. However, our emphasis lies on the ‘projectors’ rather than the “generalized metric”.

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy four ‘defining’ properties:

$$V_{A\rho} V^A{}_{\bar{q}} = \eta_{\rho\bar{q}}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{A\rho} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{A\rho} V_B{}^\rho + \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{\rho}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- Naturally, they generate a pair of two-index ‘projectors’,

$$P_{AB} := V_A{}^\rho V_{B\rho}, \quad P_A{}^B P_B{}^C = P_A{}^C, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} := \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{P}_A{}^B \bar{P}_B{}^C = \bar{P}_A{}^C,$$

which are symmetric, orthogonal and complementary to each other,

$$P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}, \quad P_A{}^B \bar{P}_B{}^C = 0, \quad P_A{}^B + \bar{P}_A{}^B = \delta_A{}^B.$$

- Some further projection properties follow

$$P_A{}^B V_{B\rho} = V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{P}_A{}^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{P}_A{}^B V_{B\rho} = 0, \quad P_A{}^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = 0.$$

- Note also $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB}$. However, our emphasis lies on the ‘projectors’ rather than the “generalized metric”.

- We continue to define a pair of six-index projectors,

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := P_C{}^D P_{[A}{}^{[E} P_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^{[E} P^{F]D}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \mathcal{P}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{GHI},$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := \bar{P}_C{}^D \bar{P}_{[A}{}^{[E} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} \bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{[E} \bar{P}^{F]D}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{GHI},$$

which are symmetric and traceless,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{CABDEF} &= \mathcal{P}_{DEFCAB} = \mathcal{P}_{C[AB]D[EF]}, & \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CABDEF} &= \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEFCAB} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{C[AB]D[EF]}, \\ \mathcal{P}^A{}_{ABDEF} &= 0, \quad P^{AB} \mathcal{P}_{ABCDEF} = 0, & \bar{\mathcal{P}}^A{}_{ABDEF} &= 0, \quad \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABCDEF} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- As we shall see shortly, these projectors govern the DFT-diffeomorphic anomaly in the semi-covariant formalism, which can be then easily projected out.

Chirality of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(D+1)}\psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}\psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)}\rho &= -\mathbf{c}\rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\psi'_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}'\psi'_{\bar{p}}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\rho' &= -\mathbf{c}'\rho', \\ \gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} &= \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'\mathcal{C},\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are arbitrary independent two sign factors, $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{c}'^2 = 1$.

- *A priori*, all the possible four different sign choices are equivalent up to $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotations.
- That is to say, $D = 10$ maximal SDFT is chiral with respect to both $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and the theory is unique, unlike IIA/IIB SUGRAs.
- Hence, without loss of generality, we may safely set

$$\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1.$$

- Later we shall see that while the theory is unique, it contains type IIA and IIB supergravity backgrounds as different kind of solutions.

- Having all the 'right' field-variables prepared, we now discuss their derivatives or what we call, 'semi-covariant derivative'.
- The meaning of 'semi-covariance' will be clear later.

- Having all the ‘right’ field-variables prepared, we now discuss their derivatives or what we call, ‘**semi-covariant derivative**’.

- The meaning of ‘**semi-covariance**’ will be clear later.

- For each gauge symmetry we assign a corresponding connection,
 - Γ_A for the DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative),
 - Φ_A for the ‘unbarred’ local Lorentz symmetry, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$,
 - $\bar{\Phi}_A$ for the ‘barred’ local Lorentz symmetry, $\mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$.
- Combining all of them, we introduce **master ‘semi-covariant’ derivative**,

$$\mathcal{D}_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- It is also useful to set

$$\nabla_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A, \quad D_A = \partial_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- The former is the ‘semi-covariant’ derivative for the DFT-diffeomorphism (set by the generalized Lie derivative),

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- And the latter is the covariant derivative for the twofold local Lorenz symmetries.

- It is also useful to set

$$\nabla_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A, \quad D_A = \partial_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- The former is the ‘semi-covariant’ derivative for the DFT-diffeomorphism (set by the generalized Lie derivative),

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- And the latter is the covariant derivative for the twofold local Lorenz symmetries.

- It is also useful to set

$$\nabla_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A, \quad D_A = \partial_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- The former is the ‘semi-covariant’ derivative for the DFT-diffeomorphism (set by the generalized Lie derivative),

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- And the latter is the covariant derivative for the twofold local Lorenz symmetries.

- By definition, the master derivative annihilates all the ‘constants’,

$$\mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = \nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = \Gamma_{AB}{}^D \mathcal{J}_{DC} + \Gamma_{AC}{}^D \mathcal{J}_{BD} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \eta_{pq} = D_A \eta_{pq} = \Phi_{Ap}{}^r \eta_{rq} + \Phi_{Aq}{}^r \eta_{pr} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = D_A \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}}{}^{\bar{r}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{r}\bar{q}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{q}}{}^{\bar{r}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{r}} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A C_{+\alpha\beta} = D_A C_{+\alpha\beta} = \Phi_{A\alpha}{}^\delta C_{+\delta\beta} + \Phi_{A\beta}{}^\delta C_{+\alpha\delta} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = D_A \bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\alpha}}{}^{\bar{\delta}} \bar{C}_{+\bar{\delta}\bar{\beta}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\beta}}{}^{\bar{\delta}} \bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\delta}} = 0,$$

including the gamma matrices,

$$\mathcal{D}_A (\gamma^\rho)^\alpha{}_\beta = D_A (\gamma^\rho)^\alpha{}_\beta = \Phi_{A\rho}{}^q (\gamma^q)^\alpha{}_\beta + \Phi_{A\alpha}{}^\delta (\gamma^\rho)^\delta{}_\beta - (\gamma^\rho)^\alpha{}_\delta \Phi_A{}^\delta{}_\beta = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = D_A (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{q}} (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\alpha}}{}^{\bar{\delta}} (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}})^{\bar{\delta}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} - (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\delta}} \bar{\Phi}_A{}^{\bar{\delta}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = 0.$$

- It follows then that the connections are all anti-symmetric,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = -\Gamma_{ACB},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = -\Phi_{Aqp}, \quad \Phi_{A\alpha\beta} = -\Phi_{A\beta\alpha},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = -\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{q}\bar{p}}, \quad \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = -\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}},$$

and as usual,

$$\Phi_A{}^\alpha{}_\beta = \frac{1}{4}\Phi_{Apq}(\gamma^{pq})^\alpha{}_\beta, \quad \bar{\Phi}_A{}^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{4}\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}(\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}\bar{q}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}}.$$

- Further, the master derivative is compatible with the whole NS-NS sector,

$$\mathcal{D}_A d = \nabla_A d := -\frac{1}{2} e^{2d} \nabla_A (e^{-2d}) = \partial_A d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BA} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A V_{Bp} = \partial_A V_{Bp} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C V_{Cp} + \Phi_{Ap}{}^q V_{Bq} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} = \partial_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C \bar{V}_{C\bar{p}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}}{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} = 0.$$

- It follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_A P_{BC} = \nabla_A P_{BC} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_A \bar{P}_{BC} = \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} = 0,$$

and the connections are related to each other,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = V_B{}^p D_A V_{Cp} + \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{p}} D_A \bar{V}_{C\bar{p}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = V^B{}_{\bar{p}} \nabla_A V_{Bq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{p}} \nabla_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}}.$$

- Further, the master derivative is compatible with the whole NS-NS sector,

$$\mathcal{D}_A d = \nabla_A d := -\frac{1}{2} e^{2d} \nabla_A (e^{-2d}) = \partial_A d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BA} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A V_{Bp} = \partial_A V_{Bp} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C V_{Cp} + \Phi_{Ap}{}^q V_{Bq} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} = \partial_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C \bar{V}_{C\bar{p}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}}{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} = 0.$$

- It follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_A P_{BC} = \nabla_A P_{BC} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_A \bar{P}_{BC} = \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} = 0,$$

and the connections are related to each other,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = V_B{}^p D_A V_{Cp} + \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{p}} D_A \bar{V}_{C\bar{p}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = V^B{}_{\rho} \nabla_A V_{Bq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \nabla_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}}.$$

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{CAB}^0 = & 2 (P \partial_C P \bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2 (\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D \bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E) \partial_D P_{EC} \\ & - \frac{4}{D-1} (\bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^D) (\partial_D d + (P \partial^E P \bar{P})_{[ED]}), \end{aligned}$$

Jeon-Lee-JHP 2011

and, with the corresponding derivative, $\nabla_A^0 = \partial_A + \Gamma_A^0$,

$$\Phi_{Apq}^0 = V^B{}_\rho \nabla_A^0 V_{Bq} = V^B{}_\rho \partial_A V_{Bq} + \Gamma_{ABC}^0 V^B{}_\rho V^C{}_q,$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \nabla_A^0 \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \partial_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} + \Gamma_{ABC}^0 \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{V}^C{}_{\bar{q}}.$$

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- The extra pieces, Δ_{Apq} and $\bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, correspond to the **torsion** of SDFT, which must be covariant and, in order to maintain $\mathcal{D}_A d = 0$, must satisfy

$$\Delta_{Apq} V^{Ap} = 0, \quad \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}^{A\bar{p}} = 0.$$

Otherwise they are arbitrary.

- As in SUGRA, the torsion can be constructed from the bi-spinorial objects, e.g.

$$\bar{\rho}\gamma_{pq}\psi_A, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_A\psi_{\bar{q}}, \quad \bar{\rho}\gamma_{Apq}\rho, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_{Apq}\psi^{\bar{p}},$$

where we set $\psi_A = \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}}\psi_{\bar{p}}$, $\gamma_A = V_A^p\gamma_p$.

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- The extra pieces, Δ_{Apq} and $\bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, correspond to the **torsion** of SDFT, which must be covariant and, in order to maintain $\mathcal{D}_A d = 0$, must satisfy

$$\Delta_{Apq} V^{Ap} = 0, \quad \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}^{A\bar{p}} = 0.$$

Otherwise they are arbitrary.

- As in SUGRA, the torsion can be constructed from the bi-spinorial objects, e.g.

$$\bar{\rho}\gamma_{pq}\psi_A, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_A\psi_{\bar{q}}, \quad \bar{\rho}\gamma_{Apq}\rho, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_{Apq}\psi^{\bar{p}},$$

where we set $\psi_A = \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}}\psi_{\bar{p}}$, $\gamma_A = V_A^p\gamma_p$.

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- The extra pieces, Δ_{Apq} and $\bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, correspond to the **torsion** of SDFT, which must be covariant and, in order to maintain $\mathcal{D}_A d = 0$, must satisfy

$$\Delta_{Apq} V^{Ap} = 0, \quad \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}^{A\bar{p}} = 0.$$

Otherwise they are arbitrary.

- As in SUGRA, the torsion can be constructed from the bi-spinorial objects, e.g.

$$\bar{\rho}\gamma_{pq}\psi_A, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_A\psi_{\bar{q}}, \quad \bar{\rho}\gamma_{Apq}\rho, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_{Apq}\psi^{\bar{p}},$$

where we set $\psi_A = \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}}\psi_{\bar{p}}$, $\gamma_A = V_A^p\gamma_p$.

- The ‘torsionless’ connection,

$$\Gamma_{CAB}^0 = 2 (P \partial_C P \bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2 (\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D \bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E) \partial_D P_{EC} \\ - \frac{4}{D-1} (\bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^D) (\partial_D d + (P \partial^E P \bar{P})_{[ED]}) ,$$

further obeys

$$\Gamma_{ABC}^0 + \Gamma_{BCA}^0 + \Gamma_{CAB}^0 = 0 ,$$

and

$$P_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF}^0 = 0 , \quad \bar{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF}^0 = 0 .$$

- In fact, the torsionless connection,

$$\Gamma_{CAB}^0 = 2 (P \partial_C P \bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2 (\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D \bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E) \partial_D P_{EC} \\ - \frac{4}{D-1} (\bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^D) (\partial_D d + (P \partial^E P \bar{P})_{[ED]}) ,$$

is the **unique** solution to the following constraints:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{CBA} = 0 \quad \implies \quad \nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = 0 ,$$

$$\nabla_A P_{BC} = \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} = 0 ,$$

$$\nabla_A d = 0 ,$$

$$\Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{BCA} = 0 \quad \implies \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\partial) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\nabla) ,$$

$$(P + \bar{P})_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} = 0 .$$

- In this way, Γ_{ABC}^0 is the **DFT analogy of the Christoffel connection**.

However, unlike Christoffel symbol, the DFT-diffeomorphism cannot transform it to vanish point-wise. This can be viewed as the failure of the Equivalence Principle applied to an extended object, *i.e.* string.

Precisely the same expression was re-derived by Hohm-Zwiebach. 

- In fact, the torsionless connection,

$$\Gamma_{CAB}^0 = 2(P\partial_C P\bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2(\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D\bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E)\partial_D P_{EC} \\ - \frac{4}{D-1}(\bar{P}_{C[A}\bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A}P_{B]}{}^D)(\partial_D d + (P\partial^E P\bar{P})_{[ED]}) ,$$

is the **unique** solution to the following constraints:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{CBA} = 0 \quad \implies \quad \nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = 0 ,$$

$$\nabla_A P_{BC} = \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} = 0 ,$$

$$\nabla_A d = 0 ,$$

$$\Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{BCA} = 0 \quad \implies \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\partial) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\nabla) ,$$

$$(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} = 0 .$$

- In this way, Γ_{ABC}^0 is the **DFT analogy of the Christoffel connection**.

However, unlike Christoffel symbol, the DFT-diffeomorphism cannot transform it to vanish point-wise. This can be viewed as the failure of the Equivalence Principle applied to an extended object, *i.e.* string.

Precisely the same expression was re-derived by [Hohm-Zwiebach](#).

Semi-covariant Riemann curvature

- The usual curvatures for the three connections,

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}^E \Gamma_{AED},$$

$$F_{AB\rho q} = \partial_A \Phi_{B\rho q} - \partial_B \Phi_{A\rho q} + \Phi_{Apr} \Phi_B^r q - \Phi_{Bpr} \Phi_A^r q,$$

$$\bar{F}_{AB\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \partial_A \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{p}\bar{q}} - \partial_B \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_B^{\bar{r}\bar{q}} - \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{p}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_A^{\bar{r}\bar{q}},$$

are, from $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]V_{Cp} = 0$ and $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]\bar{V}_{C\bar{p}} = 0$, related to each other,

$$R_{ABCD} = F_{CD\rho q} V_A^\rho V_B^q + \bar{F}_{CD\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}}.$$

- However, the crucial object in DFT turns out to be

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{ABCD} + R_{CDAB} - \Gamma_{AB}^E \Gamma_{ECD} \right),$$

which we name **semi-covariant Riemann curvature**.

Semi-covariant Riemann curvature

- The usual curvatures for the three connections,

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}^E \Gamma_{AED},$$

$$F_{AB\rho q} = \partial_A \Phi_{B\rho q} - \partial_B \Phi_{A\rho q} + \Phi_{A\rho r} \Phi_B^r q - \Phi_{B\rho r} \Phi_A^r q,$$

$$\bar{F}_{AB\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} = \partial_A \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} - \partial_B \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_B^{\bar{r}\bar{q}} - \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{\rho}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_A^{\bar{r}\bar{q}},$$

are, from $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]V_{Cp} = 0$ and $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]\bar{V}_{C\bar{p}} = 0$, related to each other,

$$R_{ABCD} = F_{CD\rho q} V_A^\rho V_B^q + \bar{F}_{CD\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}}.$$

- However, the crucial object in DFT turns out to be

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{ABCD} + R_{CDAB} - \Gamma_{AB}^E \Gamma_{ECD} \right),$$

which we name **semi-covariant Riemann curvature**.

Properties of the semi-covariant curvature

- Under arbitrary variation of the connection, $\delta\Gamma_{ABC}$, it transforms as

$$\delta S_{ABCD}^0 = \mathcal{D}_{[A}\delta\Gamma_{B]CD}^0 + \mathcal{D}_{[C}\delta\Gamma_{D]AB}^0,$$

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A}\delta\Gamma_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C}\delta\Gamma_{D]AB} - \frac{3}{2}\Gamma_{[ABE]}\delta\Gamma^E_{CD} - \frac{3}{2}\Gamma_{[CDE]}\delta\Gamma^E_{AB}.$$

- It also satisfies precisely the same symmetric property as the ordinary Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

$$S_{[ABC]D}^0 = 0,$$

as well as projection property,

$$S_{\bar{p}\bar{q}\bar{q}\bar{q}} = S_{ABCD} V^A_{\bar{p}} \bar{V}^B_{\bar{q}} V^C_{\bar{q}} \bar{V}^D_{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

Properties of the semi-covariant curvature

- Under arbitrary variation of the connection, $\delta\Gamma_{ABC}$, it transforms as

$$\delta S_{ABCD}^0 = \mathcal{D}_{[A}\delta\Gamma_{B]CD}^0 + \mathcal{D}_{[C}\delta\Gamma_{D]AB}^0,$$

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A}\delta\Gamma_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C}\delta\Gamma_{D]AB} - \frac{3}{2}\Gamma_{[ABE]}\delta\Gamma^E_{CD} - \frac{3}{2}\Gamma_{[CDE]}\delta\Gamma^E_{AB}.$$

- It also satisfies precisely the same symmetric property as the ordinary Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

$$S_{[ABC]D}^0 = 0,$$

as well as projection property,

$$S_{p\bar{p}q\bar{q}} = S_{ABCD}V^A_{\bar{p}}\bar{V}^B_{\bar{p}}V^C_q\bar{V}^D_{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

- Generically, under DFT-diffeomorphisms, the variation of the semi-covariant derivative carries anomalous terms which are dictated by the six-index projectors,

$$\delta_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) + \sum_i 2(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA_i}{}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_{\dots B \dots}$$

- Hence, it is not DFT-diffeomorphism covariant,

$$\delta_X \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X.$$

- However, the characteristic property of our 'semi-covariant' derivative/curvature is that, the anomaly can be easily projected out, and can thus produce completely covariant derivatives/curvatures.

- Generically, under DFT-diffeomorphisms, the variation of the semi-covariant derivative carries anomalous terms which are dictated by the six-index projectors,

$$\delta_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) + \sum_i 2(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA_i}{}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_{\dots B \dots}$$

- Hence, it is not DFT-diffeomorphism covariant,

$$\delta_X \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X.$$

- However, the characteristic property of our 'semi-covariant' derivative/curvature is that, the anomaly can be easily projected out, and can thus produce completely covariant derivatives/curvatures.

Completely covariant derivatives

- For $O(D, D)$ tensors:

$$P_C^D \bar{P}_{A_1}^{B_1} \bar{P}_{A_2}^{B_2} \dots \bar{P}_{A_n}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n}, \quad \bar{P}_C^D P_{A_1}^{B_1} P_{A_2}^{B_2} \dots P_{A_n}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n},$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}^{D_1} \bar{P}_{C_2}^{D_2} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}^{D_n} \nabla_A T_{B D_1 D_2 \dots D_n}, \\ \bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}^{D_1} P_{C_2}^{D_2} \dots P_{C_n}^{D_n} \nabla_A T_{B D_1 D_2 \dots D_n} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Divergences,}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}^{D_1} \bar{P}_{C_2}^{D_2} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 D_2 \dots D_n}, \\ \bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}^{D_1} P_{C_2}^{D_2} \dots P_{C_n}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 D_2 \dots D_n} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Laplacians,}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{D}_A^C \bar{P}_{B_1}^{D_1} \dots \bar{P}_{B_n}^{D_n} T_{C D_1 \dots D_n}, \quad \bar{\mathfrak{D}}_A^C P_{B_1}^{D_1} \dots P_{B_n}^{D_n} T_{C D_1 \dots D_n},$$

where we set a pair of semi-covariant second order differential operators,

$$\mathfrak{D}_A^B := (P_A^B P^{CD} - 2P_A^D P^{BC})(\nabla_C \nabla_D - S_{CD}), \quad \bar{\mathfrak{D}}_A^B := (\bar{P}_A^B \bar{P}^{CD} - 2\bar{P}_A^D \bar{P}^{BC})(\nabla_C \nabla_D - S_{CD}),$$

which are relevant to the DFT fluctuation analysis [Ko-Meyer-Melby-Thompson-JHP](#)

- For local Lorentz tensors, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\rho T_{\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 \dots \bar{q}_n}, & \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} T_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n}, \\ \mathcal{D}^\rho T_{\rho \bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 \dots \bar{q}_n}, & \quad \mathcal{D}^{\bar{\rho}} T_{\bar{\rho} q_1 q_2 \dots q_n}, \\ \mathcal{D}_\rho \mathcal{D}^\rho T_{\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 \dots \bar{q}_n}, & \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}^{\bar{\rho}} T_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n}, \\ \mathfrak{D}_{\rho^q} T_{q \bar{\rho}_1 \bar{\rho}_2 \dots \bar{\rho}_n}, & \quad \bar{\mathfrak{D}}_{\bar{\rho}^q} T_{\bar{q} \rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_n}. \end{aligned}$$

These are the ‘pull-back’ of the previous page using the DFT-vielbeins, such as

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho := V^A{}_\rho \mathcal{D}_A, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} := \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}_A.$$

Completely covariant derivatives

- Following the aforementioned general prescription, **completely covariant Yang-Mills field strength** is given by two opposite projections, or

$$\mathcal{F}_{\rho\bar{q}} = V^M{}_{\rho} \bar{V}^N{}_{\bar{q}} \mathcal{F}_{MN},$$

where \mathcal{F}_{MN} is the semi-covariant field strength of a YM potential, \mathcal{V}_M ,

$$\mathcal{F}_{MN} := \nabla_M \mathcal{V}_N - \nabla_N \mathcal{V}_M - i[\mathcal{V}_M, \mathcal{V}_N].$$

Unlike the Riemannian case, the Γ -connections are not canceled out.

- Further, we may freely impose "gauged" section condition to halve the off-shell degrees:

$$(\partial_M - i\mathcal{V}_M)(\partial^M - i\mathcal{V}^M) = 0,$$

which implies $\mathcal{V}^M \partial_M = 0, \partial_M \mathcal{V}^M = 0, \mathcal{V}_M \mathcal{V}^M = 0$, like the coordinate gauge potential.

For consistency, the above condition is preserved under all the symmetry transformations: $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotations, diffeomorphisms, and the Yang-Mills gauge symmetry,

$$[\mathbf{g} \mathcal{V}^M \mathbf{g}^{-1} - i(\partial^M \mathbf{g}) \mathbf{g}^{-1}] \partial_M = 0, \quad (\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{V}^M) \partial_M = [X^N \partial_N \mathcal{V}^M + (\partial^M X_N - \partial_N X^M) \mathcal{V}^N] \partial_M = 0.$$

Completely covariant derivatives

- Following the aforementioned general prescription, **completely covariant Yang-Mills field strength** is given by two opposite projections, or

$$\mathcal{F}_{\rho\bar{q}} = V^M{}_{\rho} \bar{V}^N{}_{\bar{q}} \mathcal{F}_{MN},$$

where \mathcal{F}_{MN} is the semi-covariant field strength of a YM potential, \mathcal{V}_M ,

$$\mathcal{F}_{MN} := \nabla_M \mathcal{V}_N - \nabla_N \mathcal{V}_M - i[\mathcal{V}_M, \mathcal{V}_N].$$

Unlike the Riemannian case, the Γ -connections are not canceled out.

- Further, we may freely impose "gauged" section condition to halve the off-shell degrees:

$$(\partial_M - i\mathcal{V}_M)(\partial^M - i\mathcal{V}^M) = 0,$$

which implies $\mathcal{V}^M \partial_M = 0$, $\partial_M \mathcal{V}^M = 0$, $\mathcal{V}_M \mathcal{V}^M = 0$, like the coordinate gauge potential.

For consistency, the above condition is preserved under all the symmetry transformations: $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotations, diffeomorphisms, and the Yang-Mills gauge symmetry,

$$[\mathbf{g}\mathcal{V}^M\mathbf{g}^{-1} - i(\partial^M\mathbf{g})\mathbf{g}^{-1}]\partial_M = 0, \quad (\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X\mathcal{V}^M)\partial_M = [X^N\partial_N\mathcal{V}^M + (\partial^M X_N - \partial_N X^M)\mathcal{V}^N]\partial_M = 0.$$

- $O(D, D)$ covariant **Killing equations** in DFT:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{MN} = 0 \quad \iff \quad (P\nabla)_M (\bar{P}X)_N - (\bar{P}\nabla)_N (PX)_M = 0,$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d = 0 \quad \iff \quad \nabla_M X^M = 0.$$

JHP-Rey-Rim-Sakatani 2015

Chris Blair 2015

- Dirac operators for fermions, ρ^α , $\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^\alpha$, $\rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$, $\psi'_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}$:

$$\gamma^\rho \mathcal{D}_{\rho\rho} = \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \rho, \quad \gamma^\rho \mathcal{D}_\rho \psi_{\bar{\rho}} = \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{\rho}},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}\rho}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi^{\bar{\rho}} = \mathcal{D}_A \psi^A,$$

$$\bar{\psi}^A \gamma_\rho (\mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}} \psi_A),$$

$$\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}\rho'} = \bar{\gamma}^A \mathcal{D}_A \rho', \quad \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_\rho = \bar{\gamma}^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi'_\rho,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\rho\rho'}, \quad \mathcal{D}_\rho \psi'^\rho = \mathcal{D}_A \psi'^A,$$

$$\psi'^A \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}} (\mathcal{D}_A \psi'_{\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}} \psi'_A).$$

Incorporation of fermions into DFT 1109.2035

Completely covariant derivatives

- For R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_+ C := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A C + \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A C \bar{\gamma}^A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- C := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A C - \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A C \bar{\gamma}^A.$$

- Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent**,

$$(\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 C = 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_-^0)^2 C = 0,$$

and hence, they define **$\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant cohomology**.

- The field strength of the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 C.$$

- Thanks to the nilpotency, the **R-R gauge symmetry** is simply realized

$$\delta C = \mathcal{D}_+^0 \Delta \quad \implies \quad \delta \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 (\delta C) = (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \Delta = 0.$$

Completely covariant derivatives

- For R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}}$:

$$D_+ C := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A C + \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A C \bar{\gamma}^A,$$

$$D_- C := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A C - \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A C \bar{\gamma}^A.$$

- Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent**,

$$(D_+^0)^2 C = 0, \quad (D_-^0)^2 C = 0,$$

and hence, they define **$\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant cohomology**.

- The field strength of the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := D_+^0 C.$$

- Thanks to the nilpotency, the **R-R gauge symmetry** is simply realized

$$\delta C = D_+^0 \Delta \quad \implies \quad \delta \mathcal{F} = D_+^0 (\delta C) = (D_+^0)^2 \Delta = 0.$$

- **Scalar curvature:**

$$S := (P^{AB}P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB}\bar{P}^{CD})S_{ACBD}$$

$$\text{c.f. } S_{AB}{}^{AB} = 0.$$

- **“Ricci” curvature:**

$$S_{\rho\bar{q}}^0 = V^A{}_{\rho} \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{q}} S_{AB}^0$$

where we set $S_{AB}^0 = S_{ACB}^0{}^C$.

- **Further, we have conserved “Einstein” curvature,**

$$G^{AB} = 2(P^{AC}\bar{P}^{BD} - \bar{P}^{AC}P^{BD})S_{CD} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}^{AB}S, \quad \nabla_A G^{AB} = 0.$$

Combining all the results above, we are now ready to spell

- $D = 10$ Maximally Supersymmetric Double Field Theory

- **Lagrangian:**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right].$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\alpha}$ denotes the charge conjugation, $\bar{\mathcal{F}} := \bar{C}_+^{-1} \mathcal{F}^T C_+$.

- As they are contracted with the DFT-vielbeins properly,
every term in the Lagrangian is completely covariant.

c.f. Democratic SUGRA à la Bergshoeff-Kallosh-Ortin-Roest-Van Proeyen
& Generalized Geometry à la Coimbra-Strickland-Constable-Waldram

- **Lagrangian:**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right].$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\alpha}$ denotes the charge conjugation, $\bar{\mathcal{F}} := \bar{C}_+^{-1} \mathcal{F}^T C_+$.

- As they are contracted with the DFT-vielbeins properly,
every term in the Lagrangian is completely covariant.

c.f. Democratic SUGRA *à la* Bergshoeff-Kallosh-Ortin-Roest-Van Proeyen
& Generalized Geometry *à la* Coimbra-Strickland-Constable-Waldram

- Lagrangian:**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right].$$

- Torsions:** The semi-covariant curvature, S_{ABCD} , is given by the connection,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = \Gamma_{ABC}^0 + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho - 2i \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} + 4i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{A\psi} \psi_C \\ + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' - 2i \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_p + 4i \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}_{A\psi'} \psi'_C,$$

which corresponds to the solution for 1.5 formalism.

The master derivatives in the fermionic kinetic terms are twofold:

\mathcal{D}_A^* for the unprimed fermions and \mathcal{D}'_A for the primed fermions, set by

$$\Gamma_{ABC}^* = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} - 2i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{A\psi} \psi_C + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A,$$

$$\Gamma_{ABC}' = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_p - 2i \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}_{A\psi'} \psi'_C + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho} \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi_A.$$

- Lagrangian:**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = & e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ & \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\rho} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- Torsions:** The semi-covariant curvature, S_{ABCD} , is given by the connection,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ABC} = & \Gamma_{ABC}^0 + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho - 2i \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} + 4i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{A\psi} C \\ & + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' - 2i \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_{\bar{p}} + 4i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}_{A\psi'} C, \end{aligned}$$

which corresponds to the solution for **1.5 formalism**.

The master derivatives in the fermionic kinetic terms are twofold:

\mathcal{D}_A^* for the unprimed fermions and \mathcal{D}'_A for the primed fermions, set by

$$\Gamma_{ABC}^* = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} - 2i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{A\psi} C + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A,$$

$$\Gamma_{ABC}' = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_{\bar{p}} - 2i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}_{A\psi'} C + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A.$$

- Maximal supersymmetry transformation rules are also completely covariant,

$$\delta_\varepsilon d = -i\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\varepsilon}\rho + \bar{\varepsilon}'\rho'),$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} = i\bar{V}_A^{\bar{q}}(\bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}}\psi'_p - \bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_p\psi_{\bar{q}}),$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = iV_A^q(\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_q\psi_{\bar{p}} - \bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{p}}\psi'_q),$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon C = i\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^p\varepsilon\bar{\psi}'_p - \varepsilon\bar{\rho}' - \psi_{\bar{p}}\bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} + \rho\bar{\varepsilon}') + C\delta_\varepsilon d - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{V}_A^{\bar{q}}\delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap})\gamma^{(d+1)}\gamma^p C\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \rho = -\gamma^p\hat{D}_p\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{2}\gamma^p\varepsilon\bar{\psi}'_p\rho' - i\gamma^p\psi_{\bar{q}}\bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}}\psi'_p,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \rho' = -\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}\hat{D}'_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon' + i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}\varepsilon'\bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\rho - i\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}}\psi'_p\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma^p\psi_{\bar{q}},$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \psi_{\bar{p}} = \hat{D}_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon + (\mathcal{F} - i\frac{1}{2}\gamma^q\rho\bar{\psi}'_q + i\frac{1}{2}\psi^{\bar{q}}\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}})\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon' + i\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon\bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\rho + i\frac{1}{2}\psi_{\bar{p}}\bar{\varepsilon}\rho,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \psi'_p = \hat{D}'_p\varepsilon' + (\bar{\mathcal{F}} - i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}}\rho'\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} + i\frac{1}{2}\psi'^q\bar{\rho}\gamma_q)\gamma_p\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon'\bar{\psi}'_p\rho' + i\frac{1}{2}\psi'_p\bar{\varepsilon}'\rho',$$

where

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{ABC} = \Gamma_{ABC} - i\frac{17}{48}\bar{\rho}\gamma_{ABC}\rho + i\frac{5}{2}\bar{\rho}\gamma_{BC}\psi_A + i\frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}}\gamma_{ABC}\psi_{\bar{p}} - 3i\bar{\psi}'_B\bar{\gamma}_A\psi'_C,$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}'_{ABC} = \Gamma_{ABC} - i\frac{17}{48}\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{ABC}\rho' + i\frac{5}{2}\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{BC}\psi'_A + i\frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}}\bar{\gamma}_{ABC}\psi'_{\bar{p}} - 3i\bar{\psi}'_B\bar{\gamma}_A\psi'_C.$$

- **Lagrangian:**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_p^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_q^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right].$$

- The Lagrangian is **pseudo**: It is necessary to impose a **self-duality** of the R-R field strength by hand,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^p \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right) \equiv 0.$$

- Lagrangian:**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\rho} \mathcal{D}_{\rho}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right].$$

- The Lagrangian is **pseudo**: It is necessary to impose a **self-duality** of the R-R field strength by hand,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\rho} \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right) \equiv 0.$$

- Under the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY transformation rule, the Lagrangian transforms as

$$\delta_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = -\frac{1}{8} e^{-2d} \bar{V}^A \bar{q} \delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma^\rho \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_-} \right) + \text{total derivatives},$$

where the precise self-duality relation appears quadratically,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\rho \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right).$$

This verifies, to the full order in fermions, **the supersymmetric invariance of the action, modulo the self-duality.**

- For a nontrivial consistency check, the supersymmetric variation of the self-duality relation is precisely closed by the equations of motion for the gravitinos,

$$\delta_\varepsilon \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- = -i \left(\tilde{D}'_{\bar{\rho}} \rho + \gamma^\rho \tilde{D}'_{\rho} \psi_{\bar{\rho}} - \gamma^\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} \right) \bar{\varepsilon}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} - i \gamma^\rho \varepsilon \left(\tilde{D}'_{\rho} \bar{\rho}' + \tilde{D}'_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} - \bar{\psi}_{\bar{\rho}} \gamma_{\rho} \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \right).$$

- Under the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY transformation rule, the Lagrangian transforms as

$$\delta_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = -\frac{1}{8} e^{-2d} \bar{V}^A \bar{q} \delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma^\rho \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_-} \right) + \text{total derivatives},$$

where the precise self-duality relation appears quadratically,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\rho \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right).$$

This verifies, to the full order in fermions, **the supersymmetric invariance of the action, modulo the self-duality.**

- For a **nontrivial consistency check**, the supersymmetric variation of the self-duality relation is precisely closed by the equations of motion for the gravitinos,

$$\delta_\varepsilon \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- = -i \left(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho + \gamma^\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\rho \psi_{\bar{\rho}} - \gamma^\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} \right) \bar{\varepsilon}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} - i \gamma^\rho \varepsilon \left(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'_\rho \bar{\rho}' + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} - \bar{\psi}_{\bar{\rho}} \gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \right).$$

Equations of Motion

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho} \gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^q \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \psi'_{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes**.

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = 0,$$

which is automatically met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0 ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 (\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F}) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The 1.5 formalism works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta \Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^{\rho} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \psi'_{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes**.

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = 0,$$

which is automatically met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0 ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 (\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F}) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The 1.5 formalism works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta\Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_p \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho} \gamma_p \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \rho - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_p \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \rho' + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^{\rho} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \psi'_{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes**.

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = 0,$$

which is automatically met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0 ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 (\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F}) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The 1.5 formalism works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta \Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^{\rho} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \psi'_{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes**.

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = 0,$$

which is automatically **met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0** ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 (\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F}) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The 1.5 formalism works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta \Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho} \gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^q \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \psi'_{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes**.

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = 0,$$

which is automatically **met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0** ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 (\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F}) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The **1.5 formalism** works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta \Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

- Turning off the primed fermions and the R-R sector truncates the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $D = 10$ SDFT,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}=1} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^A \mathcal{D}_A^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^B \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A^* \psi_B \right].$$

- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ **Local SUSY:**

$$\delta_\varepsilon d = -i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon} \rho,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} = -i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_p \psi_A,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_A \psi_{\bar{p}},$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \rho = -\gamma^A \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A \varepsilon,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \psi_{\bar{p}} = \bar{V}_{\bar{p}}^A \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A \varepsilon - i \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\rho} \psi_{\bar{p}}) \varepsilon + i \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\varepsilon} \rho) \psi_{\bar{p}}.$$

- Commutator of supersymmetry reads

$$[\delta_{\varepsilon_1}, \delta_{\varepsilon_2}] \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{X_3} + \delta_{\varepsilon_3} + \delta_{\mathbf{so}(1,9)_L} + \delta_{\mathbf{so}(9,1)_R} + \delta_{\text{trivial}}.$$

where

$$X_3^A = i\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \gamma^A \varepsilon_2, \quad \varepsilon_3 = i\frac{1}{2} [(\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \gamma^{\rho} \varepsilon_2) \gamma_{\rho} + (\bar{\rho} \varepsilon_2) \varepsilon_1 - (\bar{\rho} \varepsilon_1) \varepsilon_2], \quad \text{etc.}$$

and δ_{trivial} corresponds to the fermionic equations of motion.

Now we are going to

- parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,
- discuss the ‘unification’ of IIA and IIB,
- choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,
- and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- As stressed before, one of the characteristic features in our construction of $D = 10$ maximal SDFT is the usage of the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, genuine DFT-field-variables.
- However, the relation to an ordinary supergravity can be established only after we solve the defining algebraic relations of the DFT-vielbeins and parametrize the solution in terms of Riemannian variables, *i.e.* zehnbeins and \mathcal{B} -field.
- Assuming that the upper half blocks are non-degenerate, the DFT-vielbein takes the general form,

$$V_{A\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_{\rho}{}^{\mu} \\ (B + e)_{\nu\rho} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\mu} \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu\bar{\rho}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $e_{\mu}{}^{\rho}$ and $\bar{e}_{\nu}{}^{\bar{\rho}}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric,

$$e_{\mu}{}^{\rho} e_{\nu}{}^{\sigma} \eta_{\rho\sigma} = -\bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{e}_{\nu}{}^{\bar{\sigma}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\sigma}} = g_{\mu\nu},$$

and further we set $B_{\mu\rho} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_{\rho}{}^{\nu}$, $B_{\mu\bar{\rho}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\nu}$.

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- As stressed before, one of the characteristic features in our construction of $D = 10$ maximal SDFT is the usage of the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, genuine DFT-field-variables.
- However, the relation to an ordinary supergravity can be established only after we solve the defining algebraic relations of the DFT-vielbeins and parametrize the solution in terms of Riemannian variables, *i.e.* zehnbeins and \mathcal{B} -field.
- Assuming that the upper half blocks are non-degenerate, the DFT-vielbein takes the general form,

$$V_{A\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_{\rho}{}^{\mu} \\ (B + e)_{\nu\rho} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\mu} \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu\bar{\rho}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $e_{\mu}{}^{\rho}$ and $\bar{e}_{\nu}{}^{\bar{\rho}}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric,

$$e_{\mu}{}^{\rho} e_{\nu}{}^{\sigma} \eta_{\rho\sigma} = -\bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{e}_{\nu}{}^{\bar{\sigma}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\sigma}} = g_{\mu\nu},$$

and further we set $B_{\mu\rho} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_{\rho}{}^{\nu}$, $B_{\mu\bar{\rho}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\nu}$.

- Instead, we may choose an alternative parametrization,

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta^{\mu p} = \beta^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu$, $\beta^{\mu \bar{p}} = \beta^{\mu\nu}(\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu$, and $\tilde{e}^\mu{}_\rho$, $\bar{\tilde{e}}^\mu{}_{\bar{p}}$ correspond to a pair of T-dual vielbeins for winding modes,

$$\tilde{e}^\mu{}_\rho \tilde{e}^\nu{}_{q\eta}{}^{\rho q} = -\bar{\tilde{e}}^\mu{}_{\bar{p}} \bar{\tilde{e}}^\nu{}_{\bar{q}\eta}{}^{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = (g - Bg^{-1}B)^{-1}{}^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Note that in the above T-dual winding mode sector, the D -dimensional curved spacetime indices are all upside-down: $\tilde{\chi}_\mu$, $\tilde{e}^\mu{}_\rho$, $\bar{\tilde{e}}^\mu{}_{\bar{p}}$, $\beta^{\mu\nu}$ (cf. x^μ , $e_\mu{}^p$, $\bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$).

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- In connection to the section condition, $\partial^A \partial_A \equiv 0$, the former matches well with the choice, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \equiv 0$, while the latter is natural when $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \equiv 0$.
- Yet if we consider dimensional reductions from D to lower dimensions, there is no longer preferred parametrization \implies “Non-geometry”
c.f. Other parametrizations: Lüst, Andriot, Betz, Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Sun *et al.*

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- In connection to the section condition, $\partial^A \partial_A \equiv 0$, the former matches well with the choice, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0$, while the latter is natural when $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \equiv 0$.
- Yet if we consider dimensional reductions from D to lower dimensions, there is no longer preferred parametrization \implies “Non-geometry”
c.f. Other parametrizations: Lüst, Andriot, Betz, Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Sun *et al.*

- **We re-emphasize that SDFT can describe not only Riemannian (SUGRA) backgrounds but also novel non-Riemannian (“metric-less”) backgrounds.**
- For example, the Gomis-Ooguri non-relativistic string theory can be readily realized within DFT on such a non-Riemannian background.
 - The sigma model spectrum matches with the perturbations of DFT around the non-Riemannian background.

Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-JHP 2015

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- From now on, let us restrict ourselves to the former parametrization and impose

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

- This reduces (S)DFT to ‘Generalized Geometry’

Hitchin; Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Waldram

- For example, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant Dirac operators become

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho - \partial_m \phi \rho \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{p}} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2} H_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} - \partial_m \phi \psi_{\bar{p}} \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\bar{V}^A_{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_A \rho \equiv \partial_{\bar{p}} \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho,$$

$$\sqrt{2} \mathcal{D}_A \psi^A \equiv \partial^{\bar{p}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} - 2\partial_{\bar{p}} \phi \psi^{\bar{p}}.$$

- $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{2} H_\mu$ and $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{6} H_\mu$ naturally appear as spin connections. Liu, Minasian

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- From now on, let us restrict ourselves to the former parametrization and impose

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

- This reduces (S)DFT to ‘Generalized Geometry’

Hitchin; Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Waldram

- For example, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant Dirac operators become

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho - \partial_m \phi \rho \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{p}} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2} H_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} - \partial_m \phi \psi_{\bar{p}} \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\bar{V}^A \mathcal{D}_A \rho \equiv \partial_{\bar{p}} \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho,$$

$$\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_A \psi^A \equiv \partial^{\bar{p}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}^{\bar{p}}{}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} - 2\partial_{\bar{p}} \phi \psi^{\bar{p}}.$$

- $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{2} H_\mu$ and $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{6} H_\mu$ naturally appear as spin connections. Liu, Minasian

- Since the two zehnbains correspond to the same spacetime metric, they are related by a Lorentz rotation,

$$(e^{-1}\bar{e})_p{}^{\bar{p}}(e^{-1}\bar{e})_q{}^{\bar{q}}\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = -\eta_{pq}.$$

- There exists also a spinorial representation for this local Lorentz rotation, S_e ,

$$S_e\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}S_e^{-1} = \gamma^{(D+1)}\gamma^p(e^{-1}\bar{e})_p{}^{\bar{p}},$$

such that, in particular,

$$S_e\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}S_e^{-1} = -\det(e^{-1}\bar{e})\gamma^{(D+1)}.$$

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- The $D = 10$ maximal SDFT ‘Riemannian’ solutions are then classified into two groups,

$$\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- This identification with the ordinary IIA/IIB SUGRAs can be established, if we ‘fix’ the two zehnbeins equal to each other,

$$e_{\mu}{}^P \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{P}},$$

using a $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz rotation which may or may not flip the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality,

$$\mathbf{c}' \equiv +1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbf{c}' = \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}).$$

Namely, the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality changes iff $\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1$.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- The $D = 10$ maximal SDFT ‘Riemannian’ solutions are then classified into two groups,

$$\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- This identification with the ordinary IIA/IIB SUGRAs can be established, if we ‘fix’ the two zehnbains equal to each other,

$$e_{\mu}{}^P \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{P}},$$

using a $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz rotation which may or may not flip the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality,

$$\mathbf{c}' \equiv +1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbf{c}' = \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}).$$

Namely, the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality changes iff $\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1$.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- The $D = 10$ maximal SDFT ‘Riemannian’ solutions are then classified into two groups,

$$\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. V_{Ap} , $\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $D = 10$ maximal SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We can safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of Riemannian solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $D = 10$ maximal SDFT of fixed chirality, i.e. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- In conclusion, the single unique $D = 10$ maximal SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs. Further it allows non-Riemannian solutions.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- The $D = 10$ maximal SDFT ‘Riemannian’ solutions are then classified into two groups,

$$\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $D = 10$ maximal SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We can safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of Riemannian solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $D = 10$ maximal SDFT of fixed chirality, i.e. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- In conclusion, the single unique $D = 10$ maximal SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs. Further it allows non-Riemannian solutions.

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- Setting the diagonal gauge,

$$e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$$

with $\eta_{pq} = -\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, $\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} = \gamma^{(D+1)}\gamma^p$, $\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = -\gamma^{(D+1)}$, breaks the local Lorentz symmetry,

$$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R \implies \mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_D.$$

- And it reduces SDFT to SUGRA:

$$\mathcal{N} = 2 \quad D = 10 \quad \mathbf{SDFT} \implies 10D \quad \mathbf{Type II democratic SUGRA}$$

Bergshoeff, *et al.*; Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram

$$\mathcal{N} = 1 \quad D = 10 \quad \mathbf{SDFT} \implies 10D \quad \mathbf{minimal SUGRA} \quad \text{Chamseddine; Bergshoeff *et al.*}$$

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- Setting the **diagonal gauge**,

$$e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$$

with $\eta_{pq} = -\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, $\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} = \gamma^{(D+1)}\gamma^p$, $\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = -\gamma^{(D+1)}$, breaks the local Lorentz symmetry,

$$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R \implies \mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_D.$$

- And it reduces SDFT to SUGRA:

$$\mathcal{N} = 2 \quad D = 10 \quad \mathbf{SDFT} \implies 10D \quad \mathbf{Type II democratic SUGRA}$$

Bergshoeff, *et al.*; Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram

$$\mathcal{N} = 1 \quad D = 10 \quad \mathbf{SDFT} \implies 10D \quad \mathbf{minimal SUGRA} \quad \text{Chamseddine; Bergshoeff *et al.*}$$

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- After the diagonal gauge fixing, we may parameterize the R-R potential as

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D+2}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{p!} C_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p}$$

and obtain the field strength,

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{p+1}} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{p+1}}$$

where \sum'_p denotes the odd p sum for Type IIA and even p sum for Type IIB, and

$$\mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} = p \left(D_{[a_1} C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} - \partial_{[a_1} \phi C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} \right) + \frac{p!}{3!(p-3)!} H_{[a_1 a_2 a_3} C_{a_4 \dots a_p]}$$

- The pair of nilpotent differential operators, \mathcal{D}_+^0 and \mathcal{D}_-^0 , reduce to a ‘twisted K-theory’ exterior derivative and its dual, after the diagonal gauge fixing,

$$\mathcal{D}_+^0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d + (H - d\phi) \wedge$$

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad * [d + (H - d\phi) \wedge] *$$

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- After the diagonal gauge fixing, we may parameterize the R-R potential as

$$C \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D+2}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{p!} C_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p}$$

and obtain the field strength,

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 C \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{p+1}} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{p+1}}$$

where \sum'_p denotes the odd p sum for Type IIA and even p sum for Type IIB, and

$$\mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} = p \left(D_{[a_1} C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} - \partial_{[a_1} \phi C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} \right) + \frac{p!}{3!(p-3)!} H_{[a_1 a_2 a_3} C_{a_4 \dots a_p]}$$

- The pair of nilpotent differential operators, \mathcal{D}_+^0 and \mathcal{D}_-^0 , reduce to a ‘twisted K-theory’ exterior derivative and its dual, after the diagonal gauge fixing,

$$\mathcal{D}_+^0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad d + (H - d\phi) \wedge$$

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad * [d + (H - d\phi) \wedge] *$$

- In this way, **ordinary SUGRA** \equiv **gauge-fixed SDFT**,

$$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R \implies \mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_D.$$

Modifying $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule

- The diagonal gauge, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, is **incompatible** with the vectorial $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule of the DFT-vielbein.
- In order to preserve the diagonal gauge, it is necessary to modify the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule.

Modifying $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule

- The diagonal gauge, $e_{\mu}{}^{\rho} \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{\rho}}$, is **incompatible** with the vectorial $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule of the DFT-vielbein.
- In order to preserve the diagonal gauge, it is necessary to modify the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule.

Modifying $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule

- The $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation must accompany a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz rotation, $\bar{L}_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{p}}, S_{\bar{L}}^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}}$ which we can construct explicitly,

$$\bar{L} = \bar{e}^{-1} [\mathbf{a}^t - (g + B)\mathbf{b}^t] [\mathbf{a}^t + (g - B)\mathbf{b}^t]^{-1} \bar{e}, \quad \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \bar{L}_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{p}} = S_{\bar{L}}^{-1} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} S_{\bar{L}},$$

where \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are parameters of a given $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ group element,

$$M_A^B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}^{\mu\nu} & \mathbf{b}^{\mu\sigma} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\rho\nu} & \mathbf{d}_{\rho\sigma} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Modified $O(D, D)$ Transformation Rule After The Diagonal Gauge Fixing

d	\longrightarrow	d
$V_A{}^\rho$	\longrightarrow	$M_A{}^B V_B{}^\rho$
$\bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{\rho}}$	\longrightarrow	$M_A{}^B \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{L}_{\bar{q}}{}^{\bar{\rho}}$
$\mathcal{C}^\alpha{}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \mathcal{F}^\alpha{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$	\longrightarrow	$\mathcal{C}^\alpha{}_{\bar{\beta}} (S_L^{-1})^{\bar{\beta}}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \mathcal{F}^\alpha{}_{\bar{\beta}} (S_L^{-1})^{\bar{\beta}}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$
ρ^α	\longrightarrow	ρ^α
$\rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$	\longrightarrow	$(S_L)^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} \rho'^{\bar{\beta}}$
$\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^\alpha$	\longrightarrow	$(\bar{L}^{-1})_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{q}}^\alpha$
$\psi'_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}$	\longrightarrow	$(S_L)^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} \psi'_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{\beta}}$

- All the barred indices are now to be rotated. Consistent with Hassan
- The R-R sector can be also mapped to $O(D, D)$ spinors.

Fukuma, Oota Tanaka; Hohm, Kwak, Zwiebach

- **If and only if $\det(\bar{L}) = -1$, the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation flips the chirality of the theory, since**

$$\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} S_{\bar{L}} = \det(\bar{L}) S_{\bar{L}} \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}.$$

- Thus, the mechanism above naturally realizes the exchange of Type IIA and IIB supergravities under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality.
- However, since \bar{L} explicitly depends on the parametrization of $V_{A\rho}$ and $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$ in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$, it is impossible to impose the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule from the beginning on the parametrization-independent covariant formalism.

It is an artifact of the diagonal gauge fixing.

- **If and only if $\det(\bar{L}) = -1$, the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation flips the chirality of the theory, since**

$$\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} S_{\bar{L}} = \det(\bar{L}) S_{\bar{L}} \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} .$$

- Thus, the mechanism above naturally realizes the exchange of Type IIA and IIB supergravities under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality.
- However, since \bar{L} explicitly depends on the parametrization of $V_{A\rho}$ and $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$ in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$, it is impossible to impose the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule from the beginning on the parametrization-independent covariant formalism.

It is an artifact of the diagonal gauge fixing.

Twofold spin and Standard Model

1506.05277

- In principle, fermions live on a locally inertial frame.
- Local Lorentz symmetry means the arbitrariness of the locally inertial frame at each spacetime point.
- SDFT manifests twofold local Lorentz symmetries: $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and as a consequence it unifies type IIA and IIB supergravities.
- Left and right string modes perceive/live on two different locally inertial frames. Duff
- **SDFT predicts the fermions in Standard Model are twofold: $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 3)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(3, 1)_R$.** (Even after Scherk-Schwarz compactification, the spin group remains still twofold.)
- Employing the completely covariant DFT-geometry described above, we can couple Standard Model to stringy backgrounds in a covariant way: **It is possible to Double Field Theorize the Standard Model, without introducing any extra physical degrees.**
- Doing so, one has to decide the spin group for each fermion (Yukawa coupling). No experimental evidence of proton decay seems to indicate that **the quarks and the leptons may belong to different spin groups.**
- If so, this constrains the possible higher order corrections to SM.
- Further, DFT forbids the theta term and hence solves the strong CP problem.

- In principle, fermions live on a locally inertial frame.
- Local Lorentz symmetry means the arbitrariness of the locally inertial frame at each spacetime point.
- SDFT manifests twofold local Lorentz symmetries: $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and as a consequence it unifies type IIA and IIB supergravities.
- Left and right string modes perceive/live on two different locally inertial frames. Duff
- SDFT predicts the fermions in Standard Model are twofold: $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 3)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(3, 1)_R$. (Even after Scherk-Schwarz compactification, the spin group remains still twofold.)
- Employing the completely covariant DFT-geometry described above, we can couple Standard Model to stringy backgrounds in a covariant way: **It is possible to Double Field Theorize the Standard Model, without introducing any extra physical degrees.**
- Doing so, one has to decide the spin group for each fermion (Yukawa coupling). No experimental evidence of proton decay seems to indicate that **the quarks and the leptons may belong to different spin groups.**
- If so, this constrains the possible higher order corrections to SM.
- Further, DFT forbids the theta term and hence solves the strong CP problem.

- In principle, fermions live on a locally inertial frame.
- Local Lorentz symmetry means the arbitrariness of the locally inertial frame at each spacetime point.
- SDFT manifests twofold local Lorentz symmetries: $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and as a consequence it unifies type IIA and IIB supergravities.
- Left and right string modes perceive/live on two different locally inertial frames. Duff
- **SDFT predicts the fermions in Standard Model are twofold: $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 3)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(3, 1)_R$.** (Even after Scherk-Schwarz compactification, the spin group remains still twofold.)
- Employing the completely covariant DFT-geometry described above, we can couple Standard Model to stringy backgrounds in a covariant way: **It is possible to Double Field Theorize the Standard Model, without introducing any extra physical degrees.**
- Doing so, one has to decide the spin group for each fermion (Yukawa coupling).
No experimental evidence of proton decay seems to indicate that **the quarks and the leptons may belong to different spin groups.**
- If so, this constrains the possible higher order corrections to SM.
- Further, DFT forbids the theta term and hence solves the strong CP problem.

- In principle, fermions live on a locally inertial frame.
- Local Lorentz symmetry means the arbitrariness of the locally inertial frame at each spacetime point.
- SDFT manifests twofold local Lorentz symmetries: $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and as a consequence it unifies type IIA and IIB supergravities.
- Left and right string modes perceive/live on two different locally inertial frames. Duff
- **SDFT predicts the fermions in Standard Model are twofold: $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 3)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(3, 1)_R$.** (Even after Scherk-Schwarz compactification, the spin group remains still twofold.)
- Employing the completely covariant DFT-geometry described above, we can couple Standard Model to stringy backgrounds in a covariant way: **It is possible to Double Field Theorize the Standard Model, without introducing any extra physical degrees.**
- Doing so, one has to decide the spin group for each fermion (Yukawa coupling). No experimental evidence of proton decay seems to indicate that **the quarks and the leptons may belong to different spin groups.**
- If so, this constrains the possible higher order corrections to SM.
- Further, DFT forbids the theta term and hence solves the strong CP problem.

Outlook : things to do

**Revisit and Double Field Theorize 20th century physics covariantly,
including string theory itself.**