Unconventional supersymmetry and AdS₄ Supergravity ...from SuGra to GraPhene?

Laura Andrianopoli

Politecnico di Torino and INFN Sez. Torino - Italy

"YOUNGST@RS - Supergravity and Holography"

13-15 December 2021 Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics

Based on collaborations with B. L. Cerchiai, R. D'Auria, A. Gallerati, P. A.Grassi, R. Matrecano, O. Miskovic,

R. Noris, R. Olea, L. Ravera, M. Trigiante and J. Zanelli

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト





- Locally AdS₄ SUGRA with boundary
 - Explicit *D* = 3 description
- AVZ model from AdS₄ SUGRA
- Exploring the AVZ/standard SUGRA correspondence
 - AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene
 - AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

AVZ CS model

Unconventional SuSy in 3D CS:

• CS theory of the supergroup $OSp(2/2) \supset SO(1,2) \times SO(2)$: Gauge connection: $\mathbb{A} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ij} \mathbb{J}^{ij} + A \mathbb{K} + \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_I \psi_I \in \mathfrak{osp}(2/2)$,

$$\mathcal{S}^{CS} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{(3)}} \mathcal{L}^{CS}, \qquad \mathcal{L}^{CS} = -STr(\mathbb{A}d\mathbb{A} + \frac{2}{3}\mathbb{A}^3)$$

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

• with the condition: $\psi_{\mu \ \alpha l} = \mathbf{i}(\gamma_i)_{\alpha\beta}\chi_{\beta l} \mathbf{e}^i_{\mu}$ dreibein of base space $\mathcal{M}_{(3)} \leftarrow \delta_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}\epsilon} \mathbf{e}^i_{\mu} = 0$

[AVZ = P. D. Alvarez, M. Valenzuela and J. Zanelli, "Supersymmetry of a different kind," JHEP 1204, 058 (2012).]

AVZ field equations

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}^{CS}}{\delta \omega^{ij}} = 0 \quad : \quad R^{ij} = -i\bar{\psi}_i \gamma^{ij} \psi_i|_{\chi} = -i\bar{\chi}\chi e^j e^k \epsilon^i{}_{jk}$$
$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}^{CS}}{\delta A} = 0 \quad : \quad dA = \epsilon_{IJ}\bar{\psi}_I \psi_J|_{\chi} = \bar{\chi}\gamma^i \chi e^j e^k \epsilon_{ijk}$$
$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}^{CS}}{\delta \chi} = 0 \quad : \quad \boxed{-i\gamma^i \nabla_i \chi = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \chi} \qquad \text{with } \kappa \equiv e_i \mathcal{D}e^i \text{ (contorsion)}$$

where $\chi_{\alpha} = \chi_{\alpha 1} + i\chi_{\alpha 2} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\nabla \chi_I \equiv \mathcal{D}\chi_I + \frac{1}{2}A\chi_J\epsilon_{IJ}$, \mathcal{D} : Lorentz covariant derivative.

Unconventional supersymmetry

(We named $\psi \leftrightarrow \chi$ with respect to original AVZ ...sorry for this)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

AVZ model describes a SuSy invariant theory, with spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field χ as the only propagating d.o.f.

 χ massive for $\mathcal{D}e^i \neq 0 \iff AdS_3$ background.

It finds application in (2+1)D cond-matt models such as graphene-like systems.

It is a fascinating model: Could it be found from standard supergravity in higher dimensions?

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト

Explicit D = 3 description

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

AdS₄ SuGra with non trivial ∂M_4

Consider the Lagrangian of pure 4D SUGRA, with FI term $\frac{1}{\ell}$, in N = 2 superspace (at first-order for the spin connection ω^{ab}):

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}} &= \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}^{ab} V^c V^d \epsilon_{abcd} + \bar{\Psi}^I \Gamma_a \Gamma_5 \rho_I V^a + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} dA \bar{\Psi}^I \Gamma_5 \Psi^J \epsilon_{IJ} + \\ &- \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\ell} \bar{\Psi}^I \Gamma_{ab} \Gamma_5 \Psi_I V^a V^b - \frac{1}{8\ell^2} V^a V^b V^c V^d \epsilon_{abcd} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left[\tilde{F}^{cd} V^a V^b \left(dA - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^I \psi^J \epsilon_{IJ} \right) - \frac{1}{12} \tilde{F}_{Im} \tilde{F}^{Im} V^a V^b V^c V^d \right] \epsilon_{abcd} \,, \end{split}$$

where (V^a, Ψ_I) is the N = 2 supervielbein, $\mathcal{R}^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^a{}_c\omega^{cb}$, $\rho_I = \mathcal{D}\Psi_I + \frac{1}{\ell}A\epsilon_{IJ}\Psi_J$, $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{\ell^2}$ is the AdS₄ cosmological constant.

Explicit D = 3 description

・ロト ・ 四 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 回 ト

크

AdS₄ SuGra with non trivial $\partial \mathcal{M}_4$

For non trivial boundary conditions, $\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}} \neq 0$.

- \Rightarrow Symmetries are restored by adding a boundary term $\mathcal{L}_{bdy} = d\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$ [York (1972); Gibbons-Hawking (1977]
- A background independent way to do: by adding as topological terms: Gauss-Bonnet+ SuSy partners

[Aros-Contreras-Olea-Troncoso-Zanelli (1999); Olea (2005)]; [L. A.-D'Auria, (2014)]

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{bdy} &= -\frac{\ell^2}{8} \mathcal{R}^{ab} \wedge \mathcal{R}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} + 2i\ell\bar{\rho}^I \rho_I - \frac{1}{2} \theta dA \wedge dA + \\ &+ \frac{i\ell}{8} \mathcal{R}^{ab} \bar{\Psi}^I \Gamma_{ab} \Psi_I + \frac{i\ell}{2} dA \bar{\Psi}^I \Psi^J \epsilon_{IJ} \end{split}$$

The invariant lagrangian is $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{bdy}}$.

Explicit D = 3 description

・ロト ・ 四 ト ・ 回 ト ・

크

Conditions for SuSy invariance

SuSy invariance $\delta \mathcal{L} = 0$ requires the vanishing on $\partial \mathcal{M}_4$ of the OSp(2|4) supercurvatures

$$\mathbb{R}^{ab} \equiv \mathcal{R}^{ab} - \left[\frac{1}{\ell^2} V^a \wedge V^b + \frac{1}{2\ell} \bar{\Psi}_l \Gamma^{ab} \wedge \Psi_l\right],$$
$$\mathbb{R}^a \equiv \mathcal{D}^{(4)} V^a - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi}_l \Gamma^a \wedge \Psi_l,$$
$$\mathbb{F} \equiv dA^{(4)} - \bar{\Psi}_l \wedge \Psi_J \epsilon_{lJ},$$
$$\mathbb{D}\Psi_l \equiv \mathcal{D}\Psi_l + \frac{1}{\ell} A \epsilon_{lJ} \Psi_J - \frac{i}{2\ell} \Gamma_a \Psi_l \wedge V^a,$$
$$\delta \mathcal{L} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{ab}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} = 0, \ \mathbb{R}^a|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} = 0, \ \mathbb{F}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} = 0, \ \mathbb{D}\Psi|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} = 0$$
Maurer-Cartan eq.s of $OSp(2|4)$ superalgebra

Explicit D = 3 description

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○○○

D = 3 description on asymptotic $\partial \mathcal{M}_4$

The explicit description on $\partial \mathcal{M}_4$ depends on choice of $\partial \mathcal{M}_4$.

- Consider a Fefferman-Graham decomposition, with ∂M_4 at $r \to \infty$, and a = (i, 3), $\hat{\mu} = (\mu, r)$; $i, \mu = 0, 1, 2$.
- We introduce the 1-forms

$$E^i_{\pm} \equiv rac{1}{2}(V^i \mp \omega^{3i}), \ \Psi_{I\pm} \equiv rac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} \mp \mathrm{i}\Gamma_3)\Psi_I,$$

covariant under $SO(1,2) \times SO(1,1) \subset SO(2,3)_{AdS_4}$

• On $\partial \mathcal{M}_4$: $V^3 = dV^3 = 0 \Rightarrow \overline{\Psi}_{I+}\Psi_{I-} = 0$ (half-SuSy)

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同> < 同> <

Ξ.

The boundary conditions (OSp(2|4) M-C eq.s on ∂M) read

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}^{ij} &= \frac{4}{\ell^2} \, E_{+}^{[i} \wedge E_{-}^{j]} + \frac{1}{\ell} \, \bar{\Psi}_{l+} \Gamma^{ij} \Psi_{l-} \,, \\ dV^3 &= 2 \, E_{+}^i \wedge E_{-i} + \bar{\Psi}_{l+} \Psi_{l-} \,, \\ \mathcal{D}E_{\pm}^i &= \frac{i}{2} \, \bar{\Psi}_{l\pm} \Gamma^i \Psi_{l\pm} \pm \frac{1}{\ell} \, E_{\pm}^i \wedge V^3 \,, \\ \mathcal{D}\Psi_{l\pm} &= -\frac{i}{\ell} \, E_{\pm i} \wedge \Gamma^i \Psi_{l\mp} + \frac{1}{2\ell} \, \epsilon_{lJ} \, A^{(4)} \wedge \Psi_{\pm J} \pm \frac{1}{2\ell} \, \Psi_{\pm l} \wedge V^3 \,, \\ dA^{(4)} &= 2 \, \epsilon_{lJ} \bar{\Psi}_{l+} \, \wedge \Psi_{J-} \end{split}$$

AVZ from AdS₄ SUGRA

The AVZ model can be reproduced as asymptotic limit of pure AdS₄ SUGRA in the "ultraspinning limit" (inspired by AdS-Kerr BH, but here $M_{ADM} = 0$) [M.Caldarelli, R.Emparan, M.J.Rodriguez, JHEP 0811 (2008) 011] for $\partial M_4 = AdS_3$:

$$E_{+}^{i}(x,r) = \frac{r}{\ell} \left(E^{i}(x) + \cdots \right), \quad E_{-}^{i}(x,r) = \frac{\ell}{r} \left(E^{i}(x) + \cdots \right),$$
$$V^{3}(r) = \frac{\ell}{r} (dr + \cdots), \quad \omega^{ij}(x,r) = \omega^{ij}(x) + \cdots, \quad A^{(4)}(x,r) = -A_{\mu}(x) \, dx^{\mu} + \cdots$$
$$\Psi_{+1\mu}(x,r) = \sqrt{\frac{r}{\ell}} \left((\psi_{1\mu}, \mathbf{0}) + \cdots \right), \quad \Psi_{-1\mu}(x,r) = \sqrt{\frac{\ell}{r}} \left((\mathbf{0}, -\psi_{1\mu}) + \cdots \right).$$

the ellipses refer to $\mathcal{O}(\frac{\ell}{r})$.

[L.A., Cerchiai, D'Auria, Trigiante (2018)]

AVZ from AdS₄ SUGRA

Putting the "ultraspinning" ansatz in the boundary supercurvatures

 $\textit{OSp}(2|4) \rightarrow \textit{SO}(1,2)_{(+)} \times \textit{OSp}(2/2)_{(-)} \supset \textit{SO}(1,2)_+ \times \textit{SO}(1,2)_- \times \textit{SO}(2)$

$$OSp(2|4) \text{ M-C eq.s} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathcal{R}^{ij} = \frac{1}{\ell^2} E^i E^j - \frac{1}{2\ell} \bar{\psi}_l \gamma^{ij} \psi_l, \\ \mathcal{D}E^i = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_l \gamma^i \psi_l, \\ \mathcal{D}\psi_l = \frac{1}{2\ell} E_i \gamma^i \psi_l - \frac{1}{2\ell} \epsilon_{lJ} A \psi_J, \\ dA = \epsilon_{lJ} \bar{\psi}_l \psi_J. \end{cases}$$

They can be obtained as field eq.s from A-T SUGRA

$$\mathcal{L}_{(AT)}^{(3)} = (\mathcal{R}^{ij} - \frac{1}{3\ell^2} E^i E^j + \frac{1}{2\ell} \bar{\psi}_I \gamma^{ij} \psi_I) E^k \epsilon_{ijk} + \frac{1}{2\ell} \mathcal{A} d\mathcal{A} + 2\bar{\psi}_I (\mathcal{D}\psi_I + \frac{1}{2\ell} \epsilon_{IJ} \mathcal{A} \psi_J)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○○○

[A. Achucarro and P. K. Townsend (1986)].

AVZ CS model Locally AdS₄ SUGRA with boundary AVZ model from AdS₄ SUGRA

Exploring the AVZ/standard SuGra correspondence

AVZ from AdS₄ SUGRA

 \mathcal{R}'_{t}

$$\mathcal{L}^{(3)}_{(AT)} + d\mathcal{B}^{(2)} = \mathcal{L}^{CS}_{\mathfrak{so}(1,2)_+ \times \mathfrak{osp}(2/2)_-} = \mathcal{L}^{CS}_{\mathfrak{so}(1,2)_+} - \mathcal{L}^{CS}_{\mathfrak{osp}(2/2)_-}$$

In $\mathcal{L}^{(3)}_{(AT)}$: $SO(1,2)_{Lorentz} = \text{diag}[SO(1,2)_+ \times SO(1,2)_-]$ The full symmetry is manifest with torsionful connections:

$$\omega_{(\pm)}^{ij} = \omega^{ij} \mp \frac{1}{\ell} E_k \epsilon^{ijk} = \epsilon^{ijk} \omega_{k(\pm)}, \quad \text{giving}$$

+) = 0, $\mathcal{R}_{(-)}^i = -\frac{i}{\ell} \bar{\psi}_I \gamma^i \psi_I, \quad \mathcal{D}_{(-)} \psi_I = -\frac{1}{2\ell} \epsilon_{IJ} A \psi_J, \quad dA = \epsilon_{IJ} \bar{\psi}_I \psi_J$

Imposing the AVZ constraint $\psi_I = i e_I \gamma'$

Massive AVZ model with spinor mass $\kappa = e^i \mathcal{D} e_i = -\frac{6}{\ell} \neq 0$

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Several open directions of investigation:

- as a corner of AdS₄/CMT duality, since AVZ describes graphene-like systems
- directly in 3 dimensions, in the spirit of Rozansky-Witten/Kapustin-Saulina/Gaiotto-Witten (quantum BRST description)
- 3.

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

Graphene lattice and Dirac equation

Graphene is a 2D layer of carbon atoms, that form a bipartite lattice composed by two sublattices (sites A and sites B).



• The electronic wave function has spinorial nature

 $\varphi = (\sqrt{n_A}e^{i\alpha}, \sqrt{n_B}e^{i\beta})$ Pseudospin

• Close to the Fermi level: $E_k = \pm \hbar v_F |\vec{k}|, \quad v_F = c/300$ Shrödinger eq. \Rightarrow Dirac eq. for Dirac spinor φ

In the AVZ model: $\varphi \propto \chi \equiv \chi_1 + i\chi_2$

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Graphene from pure Sugra

In our description, the wave function φ of electrons in graphene-like systems near the Dirac points is identified as the radial component $\Psi_r \equiv \Psi_{-r 1} + i\Psi_{-r 2}$ of the N=2, D=4 gravitino at the boundary of AdS₄ SUGRA:

$$\Psi_{r}(r \to \infty) \propto \varphi \propto \chi = \left(\sqrt{n_{A}}e^{i\alpha}, \sqrt{n_{B}}e^{i\beta}\right)$$

Indeed, from $\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Psi_{\hat{\mu}l} = \Gamma^{r}\Psi_{rl} + \Gamma^{\mu}\Psi_{\mu l} = 0$:

$$\chi = -\frac{1}{3}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{\mu} \propto \Psi_{r}$$

In our case $\Lambda \neq 0 \Rightarrow m_{\chi} = \kappa \neq 0$

• in graphene framework "analog speed of light": $v_F = c/300$ \hookrightarrow Analog supergravity?

[L.A., Cerchiai, D'Auria, Trigiante (2018); L.A., Cerchiai, D'Auria, Gallerati, Noris, Trigiante, Zanelli (2019)]

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

Graphene from pure Sugra

General features, results and open directions

[L.A., Cerchiai, D'Auria, Trigiante (2018); L.A., Cerchiai, D'Auria, Gallerati, Noris, Trigiante, Zanelli (2019)]

- We investigated the general case in A-T family of CS SUGRAS $OSp(p/2)_{(+)} \times OSp(N-p)_{(-)}$, finding extra symmetries for N = 2p
- In the absence of torsional anomalies, χ̄χ = n_A − n_B is a constant index related to NYW invariance of AVZ ansatz:

$$e^{i} \rightarrow e^{\phi} e^{i}, \quad \chi \rightarrow e^{-\phi} \chi$$

... to further explore

• We developed a holographic framework for $N = 2 \text{ AdS}_4 \text{ SUGRA}$, including all fermionic contributions

[L.A., Cerchiai, Matrecano, Miskovic, Noris, Olea, Ravera, Trigiante]

It is ready to be applied to check if the corresponce AVZ/AdS₄ SUGRA fits in a holographic renormalization scheme ...in

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

progress

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Let us come back to AVZ CS model

Unconventional SuSy in 3D CS:

 CS theory of the supergroup OSp(2/2) (topological): Gauge connection of osp(2/2) ⊃ so(1,2) × so(2):

$$\mathbb{A} = rac{1}{2} \omega_{ij} \mathbb{J}^{ij} + A \mathbb{K} + \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lpha l} \psi_{lpha l},$$

 $\mathcal{S}^{CS} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{(3)}} \mathcal{L}^{CS}, \qquad \mathcal{L}^{CS} = -STr(\mathbb{A}d\mathbb{A} + rac{2}{3}\mathbb{A}^3)$

• with the condition: $\psi_{\alpha I} = i(\gamma_i)_{\alpha\beta}\chi_{\beta I} e^i_{\mu} dx^{\mu} = \psi_{\alpha I \mu} dx^{\mu}$

Here α , *i*, *I* are gauge indices, μ are $\mathcal{M}_{(3)}$ base space indices; e^i_{μ} dreibein of $\mathcal{M}_{(3)}$, $\chi_{\beta I}$ spinor field on $\mathcal{M}_{(3)}$.

Peculiarities of AVZ ansatz $\psi_{\mu} = i \gamma_{\mu} \chi$:

it introduces background dependence (eⁱ_μ) and local dynamics (χ_{Iβ}) in topological CS theory
 → typical of gauge fixing (e.g. Φ_{g.f.} = ∂^μA_μ = g^{μν}∂_μA_ν):

$$\Phi_{g.f}(\psi) = \partial^{\mu}\psi_{\mu} - \frac{i}{3}m\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{\mu} = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{i}\partial\chi + m\chi = \mathbf{0}$$

2 it implies identification of SO(1, 2) gauge indices (α, i) with Lorentz indices of base space (through e_{μ}^{i}):

$$SO(1,2)_L \simeq SO(1,2)_{gauge} \subset [OSp(2|2) imes SO(1,2)]$$

$\hookrightarrow \text{typical of solitons}$

[L.A., Cerchiai, Grassi, Trigiante (2019)];[L.A., Cerchiai, Matrecano, Noris, Ravera, Trigiante (2021)]

AVZ as a covariant gauge-fixing? BRST procedure

 ${\cal S}$ cohomological Grassman op. of ghost number (g.n.) 1, ${\cal S}^2=0$

• Given a bosonic gauge symmetry $\delta A_{\mu} = D_{\mu} \Lambda$:

$$\mathcal{S}A_{\mu} = D_{\mu}c, \quad \mathcal{S}c = [c, c], \quad \mathcal{S}\bar{c} = t, \quad \mathcal{S}t = 0$$

c, \bar{c} : Grassman scalars (opposite spin-stat. than Λ) of g.n. 1, -1 t: ordinary scalar (same spin-stat. as Λ) of g.n. 0

• For fermionic gauge symmetry $\delta \psi_{\mu\alpha} = D_{\mu} \epsilon_{\alpha}$ (α gauge index):

$$\mathcal{S}\psi_{\mu\alpha} = \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\phi_{\alpha}, \quad \mathcal{S}\phi = [\phi, \phi], \quad \mathcal{S}\bar{\phi}_{\alpha} = \eta_{\alpha}, \quad \mathcal{S}\eta = \mathbf{0}$$

 $\phi_{\alpha}, \overline{\phi}_{\alpha}$: ordinary scalars (opposite spin-stat. than ϵ_{α}) of g.n. 1, -1 η_{α} : Grassman field (same spin-stat. as ϵ_{α}) of g.n. 0

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

[Rozansky-Witten (1996)], then applied in [Kapustin-Saulina (2009)] to a D3-NS5 model [Gaiotto-Witten (2008)]

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○○○

AVZ as a covariant gauge-fixing? BRST procedure

 $(\bar{c}, t), (\bar{\phi}, \eta)$ implement the gauge-fixing $\Phi_{g.f}$ in the lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_0
ightarrow \mathcal{L}_{g.f.} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_S$$

For \mathcal{L}_0 invariant under bosonic gauge symmetry:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S}\left(\bar{c}\Phi_{g.f}(A)\right) = t\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu} + \partial^{\mu}\bar{c}\partial_{\mu}c + \partial^{\mu}(...)$$

For \mathcal{L}_0 invariant under fermionic gauge symmetry:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S}\left(\bar{\phi}_{\alpha}\Phi^{\alpha}_{g,f}(\psi)\right) = \eta_{\alpha}\partial_{\mu}\psi^{\alpha\mu} + \boxed{\partial^{\mu}\bar{\phi}_{\alpha}\partial_{\mu}\psi^{\alpha}} + \partial^{\mu}(...)$$

standard kin. term for holomorphic scalars $\phi_{\alpha}, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{g.f.}$ is *S*-invariant, and also invariant under \bar{S} of g.n. -1, $\bar{S}^2 = 0$: $\bar{S}\psi_{\mu\alpha} = D_{\mu}\bar{\phi}_{\alpha}, \quad \bar{S}\bar{\phi} = [\bar{\phi}, \bar{\phi}], \quad \bar{S}\phi = \eta, \quad \bar{S}\eta = 0 \quad (\text{same for } A_{\mu}, c, \bar{c}, t)$ $S\bar{S} + \bar{S}S = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad S^A = (S, \bar{S}) \in SU(2)_{ghost}, \quad \phi^A_{\alpha} = (\phi_{\alpha}, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha})$

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

< 日 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

AVZ as a covariant gauge-fixing? BRST procedure

Relevant in CS case, where ψ is part of the gauge connection

3D CS case is special:

- \$\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}_{(3)}^{CS}\$ is topological, while \$\mathcal{L}_{g.f.}\$ has local dynamics for sector of fields in \$Im(\mathcal{S})\$
- $\mathcal{L}_{g.f.}$ has extra \mathcal{S}_{A}^{i} global inv., $i = 0, 1, 2 \in SO(1, 2)_{L} \sim SU(2)_{L}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{(3)}$: "vector SUSY"[Delduc,Lucchesi,Piguet,Sorella (90); Delcima,Landsteiner,Schweda(98)]
- S^A, S^A_i : 8 generators of global invariance of $\mathcal{L}_{g.f.}$ \Rightarrow same as number of generators of N = 4 rigid SUSY in D=3: $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha'\Lambda}$, with $\alpha' \in SO(1,2)_L$; $\Lambda = 1, ..., 4 = (\dot{\alpha}'A) \in SO(4)_R$ N.B.: $SO(4)_R \simeq SU(2)_1 \times SU(2)_{ghost}$, with $\dot{\alpha}' = 1, 2 \in SU(2)_1$
- 3 SU(2) factors, top. twist: $SU'(2)_L = \text{diag}[SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_1]$

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

AVZ as a covariant gauge-fixing? BRST procedure

• map between S^A , S^A_i and $Q_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'A}$:

 $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'A} = \epsilon_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'}\mathcal{S}_{A} + \gamma^{i}_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'}\mathcal{S}_{iA}$

 $\mathcal{Q}_{lpha'\dot{lpha}'A} \in (2,2,2) ext{ of } SU(2)_L imes SU(2)_1 imes SU(2)_{ghost}$

 $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}^{i} \in (2, 1+3) ext{ of } \mathcal{SU}'(2)_{\mathcal{L}} imes \mathcal{SU}(2)_{ghost}$

- BRST inv. of L_{g.f.} is twisted description of rigid SUSY inv. of L_{g.f.}
- different choice of Lorentz group on M₍₃₎
- in "untwisted" description, the BRST-extended fields $\xi_{\alpha}^{I} \equiv (\psi_{i\alpha}^{I}, \eta_{\alpha}^{I}, \phi_{\alpha}^{I} \bar{\phi}_{\alpha}^{I})$ build up a "standard" hypermultiplet on $\mathcal{M}_{(3)}$:

$$\Lambda^{l}_{\alpha\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'} = \epsilon_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'}\eta^{l}_{\alpha} + \gamma^{i}_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'}\psi^{l}_{i\alpha} \quad (F), \quad (\phi^{l}_{\alpha}\bar{\phi}^{l}_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{M}_{H\!K} \quad (B)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

Making contact with AVZ and its AdS₄ description

- $$\begin{split} \bullet \mathcal{L}_0 &= \mathcal{L}^{CS}_{(3)}(\mathbb{A}), \quad \mathbb{A} \in \operatorname{Adj}(SG) \text{ with } SG = SO(1,2)_+ \times OSp(2|2)_-, \\ G &= SO(1,2)_+ \times SO(1,2)_- \times SO(2) \simeq SO(2,2) \times SO(2). \end{split}$$
- Gauge-fixing $\Phi_{g.f.} = \partial^i \psi_i + \dots$: $SG \to G$; Also AVZ ansatz $\chi \propto \gamma^i \psi_i$: $\mathbb{A} \in \operatorname{Adj}(SG) \to \operatorname{Adj}(G)$
- AVZ as gauge-fixing would imply that a S-invariant lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{g.f.} = \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{A}) + \mathcal{L}_S(\xi)$ should exist on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{(3)}$
- or, equivalently, that a SUSY-invariant lagrangian of *G*-charged hypers ξ_{α}^{I} coupled to CS-gauge multiplets of *G* (no local d.o.f) exists on a rigid N=4 SUSY extension of $\mathcal{M}_{(3)}$ spanned by $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'A}$
- *M*₍₃₎, *M*₍₃₎ same base space, they differ in the choice of *SU*(2)_L spin connection.

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

The real form matters

- symmetry on $\mathcal{M}_{(3)}$ (in \mathbb{C}): $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_1 \times SU(2)_{ghost}$
- To get AVZ from AdS₄: $G \supset SO(2,2) = Isom(AdS_3)$ (A-T)
- AVZ ansatz $\psi = i\gamma_i e^i_\mu dx^\mu$ implies identification of $SO(1,2)_{gauge} \subset SO(2,2)_{gauge}$ with $SO(1,2)_L$ on $\mathcal{M}_{(3)} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{(3)} = AdS_3$
- also on M₍₃₎, symmetry should include *lsom*(AdS₃):

 $\label{eq:onmultiple} On \; \mathcal{M}_{(3)}: \quad [\textit{SO}(1,2)_L \times \textit{SO}(1,2)_1] \times \textit{SU}(2)_{\textit{ghost}}$

- Twist: $SO'(1,2)_L = diag[SO(1,2)_L \times SO(1,2)_1]$
- It is choice of torsionless vs torsionful spin connection on $\mathcal{M}_{(3)}$ of AdS_3 type:

$$AdS_3$$
 radius \Leftrightarrow contorsion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○○○

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○○○

$D^2(2, 1; \alpha)$ superspace

- Q_{α'ά'A}, Λ^{Iα}_{α'ά'} are SUSY-generators and hyperinos on a rigid N=4 Super-AdS₃ background where (α' ά') give a (redundant)
 4-component description of spinor indices
- Among the AdS₃ supergroups, this hints naturally to $D^2(2, 1; \alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\{-1, 0, \infty)\}$:

 $D^2(2,1;\alpha) \supset SO(1,2) \times SO(1,2) \times SU(2), \text{ with } \mathcal{Q} \in (2,2,2)$

possibly including its singular values for $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$D^2(2, 1; \alpha)$ -superspace Lagrangian

- The rigid $D^2(2, 1; \alpha)$ -superspace has 1-form background fields: $(e^i, \Psi^{\alpha'\alpha'A}, A^x), x = 1, 2, 3 \in \text{Adj}(SU(2)_{ghost})$
- We have built the SUSY-invariant lagrangian for a set of hypermultiplets $\xi^{I\alpha} = (\phi^{I\alpha A}, \Lambda^{I\alpha}_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'})$ on $D^2(2, 1; \alpha)$ -superspace (not yet coupled to gauge multiplets, so far). Its space-time projection reads $((\alpha) \equiv \alpha' \dot{\alpha}')$:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{sp.t.}} = & \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \phi_{I}^{\alpha A} \nabla^{\mu} \phi_{\alpha I A} - \frac{8}{\alpha^{2} - 1} \Lambda^{\alpha(\alpha) I} \left(\mathbb{M}^{\mu}_{+} \right)_{(\alpha)(\beta)} \nabla_{\mu} \Lambda_{I}^{\beta(\beta)} \epsilon_{\alpha \beta} \\ &+ \frac{i}{(\alpha + 1)} \mathcal{M}_{(\alpha)(\beta)} \Lambda^{\alpha(\alpha) I} \Lambda_{I}^{\beta(\beta)} \epsilon_{\alpha \beta} - \mathcal{V}(\phi) \end{split}$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{(\alpha)(\beta)} = \frac{1}{\ell} [...]_{(\alpha)(\beta)}, \mathcal{V}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2\ell^2} \phi_I^{\alpha A} \phi_{I\alpha A}$

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

Twisting $D^2(2, 1; \alpha)$ -superspace

• We have performed the twist (on $\mathcal{M}_{(3)}$): $SO'(1,2)_L = \text{diag}[SO(1,2)_L \times SO(1,2)_1]$:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'A} = \epsilon_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'}\mathcal{S}_{A} + \gamma^{i}_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'}\mathcal{S}_{iA}$$

finding

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{spacetime}} &\to \frac{4\mathrm{i}}{1-\alpha} \epsilon^{ijk} \Psi_{l}^{\alpha l} \nabla_{j} \Psi_{kl}^{\beta} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \phi_{l}^{\alpha A} \nabla^{\mu} \phi_{l}^{\beta B} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{AB} - \mathcal{V}(\phi) + \\ &\quad + \frac{8\mathrm{i}}{(1+\alpha)} \left(-\Psi^{i\alpha l} \nabla_{l} \bar{\eta}_{l}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{\ell} \bar{\eta}^{\alpha l} \bar{\eta}_{l}^{\beta} \right) \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{0} + \frac{4\mathrm{i}}{1+\alpha} \mathcal{S}^{A} \cdot \left(\nabla^{i} \phi_{A}^{\alpha l} \Psi_{il}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{\ell} \bar{\eta}^{\alpha l} \phi_{\alpha lA} \right) \end{split}$$

However: $\{S^A, S^B\} \cdot \phi_I^{C\alpha} = \frac{i(1+\alpha)}{4\ell} \epsilon^{C(A} \phi_I^{B)\alpha} \neq 0$ For $\alpha + 1 \neq 0$, anomalous BRST!

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Twisting $D^2(2, 1; \alpha)$ -superspace: Second twist

 Twist to AVZ ψ_α = iγⁱ_{αα'} χ_{α'} e_i would require identification SO(1,2)_{gauge} ~ SO'(1,2)_L (→ second twist):

$$SO''(1,2)_L = \operatorname{diag}[SO(1,2)_{gauge} \times SO'(1,2)_L] \quad \Rightarrow \alpha \sim \alpha' \sim \dot{\alpha}'$$

$$\Lambda_{I}^{\alpha\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'} = \mathrm{i} (\gamma^{k})^{\alpha\alpha'} \hat{\chi}_{lk}^{\dot{\alpha}'} + \epsilon^{\alpha\alpha'} \zeta_{I}^{\dot{\alpha}'}, \quad \hat{\chi}_{ll} = \hat{\chi}_{ll} + \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{l} \hat{\chi}_{I}$$

• We found: $\begin{cases} \psi_{li} = \hat{\chi}_{li} - \frac{i}{2}\gamma_i \left(\zeta - i\hat{\chi}_l\right) = \mathring{\chi}_{li} - \frac{1}{3}\gamma_i \chi\\ \eta_l = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta + i\hat{\chi}_l\right) \end{cases}$

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Twisting $D^2(2, 1; \alpha)$ -superspace: Second twist

• Field equations:
$$\begin{cases} \delta \mathring{\chi}_{l\mu} &= \dots \\ \delta \chi : & \frac{3}{2} \nabla^{\mu} \mathring{\chi}_{\mu l} + i \nabla \chi_{l} - i \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha + 1} \nabla \eta_{l} = 0, \\ \delta \eta : & -3 \nabla^{\mu} \mathring{\chi}_{\mu l} + i \nabla \chi_{l} - \frac{3}{2\ell} \eta_{l} = 0 \end{cases}$$

• To make contact with AVZ: $\nabla^{\mu} \mathring{\chi}_{\mu I} = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$i \nabla \sigma_I \equiv i \nabla \left(\chi_I - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha + 1} \eta_I \right) = 0, \quad i \nabla \eta = m \eta, \quad \text{with } m \equiv \frac{3(\alpha + 1)}{2\ell(\alpha - 1)}$$

• Up to a free massless spinor σ_I , $\chi_I \sim \eta_I$ satisfies a massive field eq., with $m \propto \alpha + 1$

AdS₄ SUGRA and graphene AVZ is a gauge-fixing?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○○○

AVZ is a gauge fixing?

We found a lagrangian describing the propagation of massive $\chi = \frac{i}{3}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{\mu}$, with $m_{\chi} \propto \frac{1}{\ell}$, in N=4 $\mathcal{M}_{(3)} = AdS_3 \quad \checkmark$ But:

• the mass is $m \propto \frac{\alpha+1}{\ell}$, and $\{S_A, S_B\} \propto (\alpha+1)\epsilon_{AB}$

either we loose relation with AdS₄ ($m_{\chi} \neq 0$), or with BRST

Does AVZ defines an anomalous covariant gauge-fixing? ...or maybe $D^2(2, 1; \alpha)$ -superspace is too much?...

 Next step: to repeat the calculations for the singular value α + 1 = 0, and to add coupling with CS gauge multiplets of G = [SO(2, 2) × SO(2)]_{gauge}