



Instituto de **Física** Teórica UAM-CSIC

The interplay between density perturbations and GWs

GW spectral "deformation" Ryusuke Jinno (IFT)

Mainz workshop, July-August 2022

Enhancement of GWs in FOPT

[Jinno, Konstandin, Rubira, v.d.Vis, 2108.11947] [Domcke, Jinno, Rubira, 2002.11083]

V. Domcke

T. Konstandin

H. Rubira

J.v.d.Vis













Instituto de **Física** Teórica UAM-CSIC

The interplay between density perturbations and GWs

GW spectral "deformation" Ryusuke Jinno (IFT)

Mainz workshop, July-August 2022

Enhancement of GWs in FOPT

[Jinno, Konstandin, Rubira, v.d.Vis, 2108.11947] [Domcke, Jinno, Rubira, 2002.11083]

V. Domcke

T. Konstandin

H. Rubira

J.v.d.Vis









Density (curvature) perturbations

- Constrained to
$$\zeta \sim \frac{\delta T}{T} \sim 10^{-4}$$
 at CMB scales

- Almost unconstrained at smaller scales (large k)





Part 2

Without density perturbations



With density perturbations







Without density perturbations



With density perturbations





MAIN IDEA



MAIN IDEA



DEFORMATION OF THE GW SPECTRUM

Sachs-Wolfe effect (in CMB context) [Sachs & Wolfe '67] [Hu & White '97]

$$\frac{\Delta T}{T} = \Phi_{\rm s} - \frac{2}{3}\Phi_{\rm s}$$

 $ds^{2} = -a^{2}(1+2\Phi) d\tau^{2} + a^{2}\delta_{ij}(1-2\Psi) dx^{i}dx^{j} \text{ (conformal Newtonian gauge)}$

where

 $\Phi = \Psi$ (absence of anisotropic stress)



04 / 16 Ryusuke Jinno (IFT) "The interplay of density perturbations and GWs" 2002.11083, 2108.11947

DEFORMATION OF THE GW SPECTRUM

► Integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect (in CMB context) [Rees & Sciama '68]



QUICK "DERIVATION"

We average the random walk of the frequency and amplitude caused by the curvature perturbations

$$\Delta_h^{2,(o)}(\ln f) \simeq \left\langle \left(1 + 2\underline{\Delta \ln A}\right) \Delta_h^{2,(s)}(\ln f - \underline{\Delta \ln f}) \right\rangle_{\text{scalar ens. ave.}}$$

...using linear-order results from geometric optics [Laguna, Larson, Spergel, Yunes '10]

amplitude
$$\Delta \ln A = \begin{bmatrix} -\Psi_{s} - \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{s} \\ \Phi_{s} - \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{s} \end{bmatrix}$$
 + lensing (neglected)
frequency $\Delta \ln f = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{s} - \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{s} \\ SW \end{bmatrix}$ + $\int_{\lambda_{s}}^{\lambda_{o}} d\lambda \,\partial_{\tau}(\Phi + \Psi)$

➤ Then the scalar average is calculable

06 / 16

$$\Delta_{h}^{2,(o)}(\ln f) \simeq \int d \ln f' \, \Delta_{h}^{2,(s)}(\ln f') \, K(f,f') \qquad K(f,f') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \begin{bmatrix} bias \ b \simeq -0.52 \\ [1 + b(\ln f - \ln f')]e^{-\frac{(\ln f - \ln f')^2}{2\sigma^2}} \\ linearly \ biased \\ Gaussian \end{bmatrix}$$
variance $\sigma^2 \sim \int d \ln k \, \Delta_{\zeta}^2$
Ryusuke Jinno (IFT) "The interplay of density perturbations and GWs" 2002.11083, 2108.11947

RESULTS

► If the GW spectrum is spiky at the sourcing time...



blue = original (= source) / red = deformed (= observed)

RESULTS

► If the GW spectrum is smooth at the sourcing time...



blue = original (= source) / red = deformed (= observed)



GW ENHANCEMENT IN FIRST-ORDER PHASE TRANSITIONS

► In field space: discontinuous transition in the order parameter field



► In position space: bubble nucleation, expansion, and collision, invloving fluid



THE INTERMEDIATE STAGE: BUBBLE EXPANSION

- Pressure vs. Friction" determines the behavior of bubble walls
 - (1) Pressure: released energy pushes the wall outwards

Parametrized by $\alpha \equiv \frac{\rho_{\text{vac}}}{\rho_{\text{plasma}}}$

[Espinosa et al. '10, Hindmarsh et al. '15, Giese et al. '20]

(2) Friction: plasma particles push back the wall

Parametrized by coupling η

between the scalar field & plasma particles (that exist everywhere)

► In the next slide we see how bubbles behave for different α (with fixed η)







between the scalar field & plasma particles (that exist everywhere)

► In the next slide we see how bubbles behave for different α (with fixed η)

THE INTERMEDIATE STAGE: BUBBLE EXPANSION

Classification of bubble expansion

Walls reach terminal velocity because of the balance btwn. pressure & friction Main energy carrier: fluid

Walls runaway

without caring about the plasma Main energy carrier: wall (scalar field)



Every detail of these bubbles contain the information on particle physics



Every detail of these bubbles contain the information on particle physics





Every detail of these bubbles contain the information on particle physics



Every detail of these bubbles contain the information on particle physics

FIRST-ORDER PHASE TRANSITIONS: A QUICK OVERVIEW

► In field space: discontinuous transition in the order parameter field



► In position space: bubble nucleation, expansion, and collision, invloving fluid



THE MACROPHYSICS SIDE: GW PRODUCTION



► In weak~moderate transitions ($\alpha \leq 1$), bubble walls drive sound waves, efficiently producing GWs [Hindmarsh, Huber, Rummukainen, Weir, '14] [Hindmarsh '17]



GW SPECTRUM (WITHOUT DENSITY PERTURBATIONS)



GW PRODUCTION FROM BUBBLES: INTUITIVE EXPLANATION

► <u>BIG</u> & <u>RELATIVISTIC</u> objects radiate more GWs

- Integrate the GW equation of motion over the coherence time Δt of the source



kicked oscillator

- GW energy density $\rho_{\rm GW} \sim G^{-1} \dot{h}_{ij}^2 \propto T_{ij}^2 \Delta t^2$

1. Relativistic objects have larger T_{ij}

2. Big bubbles (size *R*) typically have longer coherent time ($\Delta t \sim R$)

HOW DENSITY PERTURBATIONS AFFECT THE TRANSITION

Without density perturbations



With density perturbations



formation of "effective big bubbles" around the cold spots

GW ENHANCEMENT FROM DENSITY PERTURBATIONS

► Density perturbations with $H_* < k_* < \beta$ enhance the GW signal



Growth rate of the GW spectrum

- Nontrivial interplay between density perturbations and GWs:
 - Density perturbations deform GW isotropic spectrum
 - Density perturbations affect GW production from bubbles