Method of Moments for  $\Lambda^0_{\boldsymbol{b}} \to \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{K}^- \ell^+ \ell^-$ 

Flavour at the Crossroads 26/04/2022

Anja Beck with Tom Blake and Michal Kreps



## What are we interested in?

 $\Lambda^0_b \to \Lambda^* \ell^+ \ell^- \quad \leftrightarrow \quad b \to s \ell^+ \ell^- \ \mathsf{FCNC}$ 

In the SM: electroweak penguin decay

Angular measurement available for the transition via the weakly-decaying ground state:

 $\Lambda_b^0 
ightarrow \Lambda^0 (
ightarrow p \pi^-) \mu^+ \mu^-$  LHCb-PAPER-2015-009

Effective Hamiltonian described by the Wilson coefficients:  $C_7$ : how much tensor current (photon-like)?  $C_9$ : how much vector current  $\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}v$ ?  $C_{10}$ : how much axialvector current  $\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5v$ ?





# The $\Lambda^*$ jungle

Strong  $\Lambda^*$  decay to  $pK^-$  is easiest to reconstruct  $\Rightarrow$  many overlapping states Full fit to all states possible for  $\Lambda^0_b \rightarrow pK^- J/\psi$  and  $\Lambda^0_b \rightarrow pK^-\gamma$ 





# The $\Lambda^*$ jungle

Strong  $\Lambda^*$  decay to  $pK^-$  is easiest to reconstruct  $\Rightarrow$  many overlapping states Full fit to all states possible for  $\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- J/\psi$  and  $\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- \gamma$ 

Not yet enough data at non-resonant  $q^2$  in  $\Lambda^0_b \to p K^- \ell^+ \ell^-$ 

- a) focus on one resonance:  $\Lambda^0_b o \Lambda^*(1520) \mu^+ \mu^-$  angular fit (see Felicia's talk)
- b) avoid fitting: moment analysis in  $\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- \ell^+ \ell^-$







-HCb-PAPER-2015-029

# Method of moments + orthonormalization arXiv:1503.04100

1) Find angular basis  $f_i(\Omega)$ :

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}q^2\mathrm{d}m_{
ho K}\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \sum_i K_i(q^2,m_{
ho K})f_i(\Omega)$$

 $\rightarrow$  orthonormal bases have nicer properties than arbitrary ones 2) Find weighting functions  $w_j(\Omega)$  orthonormal to the basis:

$$\int_{\Omega} f_i(\Omega) w_j(\Omega) \ \mathsf{d}\Omega = \delta_{ij}$$

3) Enjoy your fit-free measurement:

$$K_{j}(q^{2}, m_{pK}) = \int_{\Omega} \underbrace{\sum_{i} K_{i}(q^{2}, m_{pK}) f_{i}(\Omega)}_{\text{d}\Gamma/\text{d}q^{2}\text{d}m_{pK}\text{d}\Omega} w_{j}(\Omega) \ \text{d}\Omega = \sum_{\substack{\text{data} \\ \text{points } n}} w_{j}(\Omega_{n})$$

model-independent observables

- robust independently of the size of the dataset
- well-defined statistical properties
- 10-30% larger uncertainties compared to a (good) fit

Final aim of ongoing LHCb analysis: measure  $K_i$  in bins of  $m_{pK}$  and  $q^2$ 



## What does the decay rate look like?

Generic decay rate for 4-body  $\Lambda^0_b \to \rho K^- \ell^+ \ell^-$  phase space

$$\mathsf{d}\Gamma = \frac{\overline{|\mathcal{M}|}^2}{m_{\Lambda_b}^2} \frac{1}{2^6 (2\pi)^7} \frac{p_{\Lambda}^{\Lambda_b^0} p_p^{\rho K} p_{\ell}^{\ell \ell}}{\sqrt{q^2}} \underbrace{\mathsf{d}\cos\theta \mathsf{d}\cos\theta_p \mathsf{d}\phi_p \mathsf{d}\cos\theta_\ell \mathsf{d}\phi_\ell}_{\mathsf{d}\Omega} \mathsf{d}m_{\rho K} \mathsf{d}q^2$$

Matrix element

$$\overline{\left|\mathcal{M}\right|^{2}} = \sum_{\lambda} P_{\lambda} \sum_{\lambda_{\bar{\ell}}, \lambda_{\ell}, \lambda_{p}} \left| \sum_{\Lambda, \lambda_{\Lambda}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \lambda_{p}, \lambda_{\bar{\ell}}, \lambda_{\ell}}^{\Lambda, \lambda_{\Lambda}} \right|^{2}$$
  
Indices = helicities

$$P_++P_-=1$$
  $P_+-P_-=\Lambda_b^0$  polarization



### Matrix element from helicity formalism

### Helicity formalism

Calculate amplitude for  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda^* V$ ,  $\Lambda^* \to pK^-$ , and  $V \to \ell \ell$  in convenient systems Connect systems via Wigner D-matrix elements (rotates spin bases)

### Matrix element







Lepton side:  $V \rightarrow \ell \ell$ 

 $egin{aligned} &h^V(q^2) \propto ar{u}(\lambda_\ell) \gamma^\mu v(\lambda_{ar{\ell}}) \ &h^A(q^2) \propto ar{u}(\lambda_\ell) \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 v(\lambda_{ar{\ell}}) \end{aligned}$ 

Hadron side:  $\Lambda^* \rightarrow pK^-$ 

$$h^{\Lambda}_{\lambda_p}(m_{pK}) = \pm rac{1}{m^2_{\Lambda} - m^2_{pK} - im_{\Lambda}\Gamma(m_{pK})} imes g_{ ext{QCD}}(m_{pK})(p^{pK}_p)^{\mu} \,\,ar{u}(\lambda_p)\gamma_5 u_{\mu}(\lambda_{\Lambda})$$



Quark model form factor predictions available for some  $\Lambda^*$ , given in the form: arxiv:1506.04106

$$\begin{split} \langle \Lambda^* | \, \bar{s} \Gamma_{\mu} b \, | \Lambda_b^0 \rangle &\propto \bar{u}(\Lambda) \left[ F_1^{\Gamma}(q^2) \gamma_{\mu} + F_2^{\Gamma}(q^2) v_{\mu} + F_3^{\Gamma}(q^2) v_{\mu}' \right] u(\Lambda_b^0) \\ F_i^{\Gamma}: \text{ form factors,} \qquad v_{\mu}^{(\prime)}: \text{ velocity of } \Lambda_b^0(\Lambda^*) \end{split}$$



H

### The unpredictable global phase

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\hat{S}} \mathbf{\hat{S}} \mathbf{\hat{S}} & \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}(q^{2}, m_{pK}, \Omega) = \begin{array}{c} \text{global phase} \\ \mathbf{e}^{i\alpha_{\Lambda}} \times \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}^{J_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}^{\text{polarization angle}}} \times \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}^{J_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}^{\text{polarization angle}}} \\ \times h_{\lambda_{\ell}^{1},\lambda_{\ell}}^{m_{\ell\ell},J_{\ell\ell}}(q^{2}) & \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_{\ell\ell},\lambda_{\ell}^{-}\lambda_{\ell}}^{J_{\ell\ell}}(\phi_{\ell},\theta_{\ell},-\phi_{\ell}) \times h_{\lambda_{p},0}^{\Lambda}(m_{pK}) & \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_{\Lambda},\lambda_{\ell}}^{J_{\Lambda}*}(q^{2},m_{pK}) \\ \text{leptonic amplitude} & \text{leptonic angles} & \text{hadronic amplitude} \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Single states} & \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda} = e^{i\alpha}H_{\Lambda} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}^* = H_{\Lambda}H_{\Lambda}^* \\ \text{Several states} & \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_1}\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_2}^* = H_{\Lambda_1}H_{\Lambda_2}^* \underbrace{e^{i(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} \end{array}$ 

generally  $\neq 1$ 



## The unpredictable global phase

 $\mathbf{ASE} \quad \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}(q^{2}, m_{pK}, \Omega) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{global phase} \\ e^{i\alpha_{\Lambda}} \times D_{\lambda_{0}^{0}}^{\int_{\lambda_{0}^{0}}^{\text{polarization angle}}} & \Lambda_{0}^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda^{*} \vee \text{amplitude} \\ \times D_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{\Lambda}-\lambda_{\ell}\ell}^{m_{\ell\ell}, J_{\ell\ell}}(0, \theta, 0) \times H_{\lambda_{\Lambda}, \lambda_{\ell}\ell}^{m_{\ell\ell}, J_{\ell\ell}, J_{\Lambda}, P_{\Lambda}}(q^{2}, m_{pK}) \\ \times h_{\lambda_{\ell}, \lambda_{\ell}}^{m_{\ell\ell}, J_{\ell\ell}}(q^{2}) & D_{\lambda_{\ell\ell}, \lambda_{\ell}-\lambda_{\ell}}^{J_{\ell\ell}, *}(\phi_{\ell}, \theta_{\ell}, -\phi_{\ell}) \times h_{\lambda_{\rho}, 0}^{A}(m_{pK}) & D_{\lambda_{\Lambda}, \lambda_{\rho}}^{J_{\Lambda}, *}(\phi_{\rho}, \theta_{\rho}, -\phi_{\rho}) \\ \text{leptonic amplitude} & \text{leptonic angles} & \text{hadronic amplitude} \end{array}$ 

Single states Several states

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_{1}} = \mathbf{e}^{-} \mathbf{H}_{\Lambda} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda} = \mathbf{H}_{\Lambda} \mathbf{H}_{\Lambda}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_{1}} \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_{2}}^{*} = \mathbf{H}_{\Lambda_{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\Lambda_{2}}^{*} \underbrace{\mathbf{e}^{i(\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{2})}}_{\text{generally } \neq 1}$$

1 1 1 1 4\*

11 11\*

-ia 11

## Example

Black: individual  $\Lambda(1520)$ Dark blue: individual  $\Lambda(1405)$ ,  $\Lambda(1600)$ 

1 1

Other colours:  $\begin{array}{l} \Lambda(1520) + \Lambda(1405) + \Lambda(1600) \\ & 3/2^{-} & 1/2^{-} \\ \end{array}$ 0) no relative phases (all  $\alpha_i = 0$ ) 1-5) randomly chosen phases

Different quantum numbers  $\Rightarrow$  interference effects visible in  $\cos \theta_p$ 





# Effect of the global phases on angular observables

Example: moments  $K_{2,5,8}$ , coming with  $\cos \theta_{\ell}$ 



Black: individual  $\Lambda(1520)$ Dark blue: individual  $\Lambda(1405)$ ,  $\Lambda(1600)$ Other colours:  $\Lambda(1520) + \Lambda(1405) + \Lambda(1600)$  $_{3/2^-}$   $_{1/2^-}$   $_{1/2^+}$ 0) no relative phases (all  $\alpha_i = 0$ ) 1-5) randomly chosen phases

## New Physics in a realistic *pK* spectrum

Take all resonances from  $\Lambda_b^0 \to p \mathcal{K}^- J/\psi$  with FF predictions:  $\frac{1}{2}^{\pm}, \frac{3}{2}^{\pm}, \frac{5}{2}^+$ 

Use PDG values for  $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda^* \to pK^-)$ 

Generate samples with different1)  $C_9 = -C_9^{SM}$ 3)  $C_{9\prime} = -C_9^{SM}$  $\Lambda_b^0$  polarization: 0 or 1Wilson coefficients and  $\Lambda_b^0$  polarizations2)  $C_{10} = -C_{10}^{SM}$ 4)  $C_{10\prime} = -C_{10}^{SM}$ (plots show 0)



The distributions here are normalized and NOT to scale wrt. each other. The phases are all set to 0.

 $\Rightarrow$  changes in the Wilson coefficients mainly affect  $\cos\theta_\ell$ 



# Three classic observables, $\frac{d\Gamma}{da^2}$ , $A_{FB}^{\ell}$ , $F_i$ , in bins of $m_{pK}$



# Which other moments are sensitive to NP?

### It's complicated

50 moments × 6  $m_{pK}$  bins × 5  $q^2$  bins = 1500 potential observables only for unpolarized  $\Lambda_b^0$ ! (198 moments for polarized  $\Lambda_b^0$ , even more for  $J_{\Lambda} > 5/2$ ) Analytical expressions become huge and very difficult to penetrate.

Investigation strategy ideas are very welcome!

### Trends we identified

- moments with  $w(\Omega) \propto \cos \theta_{\ell}$  show sensitivity to  $C_9$  or  $C_{10}$  (think  $A_{\mathsf{FB}}^{\ell}$ )
- moments with  $w(\Omega) \propto \mathcal{P}_2(\cos \theta_\ell)$  show sensitivity to  $\mathcal{C}_9$ , especially at low  $q^2 \rightarrow \text{possibly } \mathcal{C}_9 \mathcal{C}_7$  interference (think  $P'_5$ )
- moments with  $w(\Omega) \propto \cos \theta \mathcal{P}_2(\cos \theta_\ell)$  show sensitivity to  $\mathcal{C}_{9'}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{10'}$
- moments with  $w(\Omega) \propto \sin \theta_{\rho} \sin \theta_{\ell}$  may be particularly useful experimentally: NP models change magnitude AND shape across  $q^2$  in all  $m_{\rho K}$  bins



# A particularly nice set of moments

Moments 31, 32: change shape across  $q^2$ 

Angular functions:



Wilson coefficients change the shape of the distributions along  $q^2$ .



### What about the interferences?

Global phases in the interferences affect the moments (see previous slides and Felicia's talk)

Could they also be useful New Physics observables?

 $\Rightarrow$  check by generating individual resonances with the exact same settings and then mix them with correct ratios (ie. no interferences at all)





Anja Beck

## **Interference or New Physics?**

Example:  $K_8$  comes with angular function  $w_8(\Omega) \propto \mathcal{P}_2(\cos \theta_p) \cos \theta_\ell$ 

 $K_8$  is non-zero in the SM for  $J \geq \frac{3}{2}$  (black lines in plots)

Sensitive to NP due to  $\cos \theta_{\ell}$  dependence (see top row plots: different colours = different NP scenarios) Sensitive to the interference terms

(see bottom plots: mix with/without interferences, see prev. slides and Felicia's talk)



How can we distinguish boring phases from interesting New Physics?



# Summary

Derived the decay rate for  $\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- \ell^+ \ell^-$  for a realistic  $p K^-$  spectrum

Created a 7D ( $m_{pK}$ ,  $q^2$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\theta_p$ ,  $\theta_\ell$ ,  $\phi_p$ ,  $\phi_\ell$ ) MC generator for  $\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-\ell^+\ell^-$ 

### Studied sensitivity to New Physics

identified moments that are sensitive to different (combinations of) Wilson coefficients interference effects dilute NP sensitivity

#### Plans and WIP

Create complete documentation (and make it public) Add option to include efficiencies in the generator Make generator publicly available Measure the moments in LHCb data

Input and ideas are very welcome!



# Appendix: the orthonormal basis



