

Combined explanations of B-physics Anomalies: from Data to New Physics Models

Claudia Cornella

Institute for Physics Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz

- ? If the anomalies in $b \rightarrow sll$ and $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ are true NP signals, which NP could be
- * responsible for them, and where else should we see it?

? If the anomalies in $b \rightarrow sll$ and $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ are true NP signals, which NP could be responsible for them, and where else should we see it?



? If the anomalies in $b \rightarrow sll$ and $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ are true NP signals, which NP could be responsible for them, and where else should we see it?



[[]Sally, Eluned, Guy,]

? If the anomalies in $b \rightarrow sll$ and $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ are true NP signals, which NP could be responsible for them, and where else should we see it?



? If the anomalies in $b \rightarrow sll$ and $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ are true NP signals, which NP could be responsible for them, and where else should we see it?





Given our weekend activities, I was suggested to turn the New Physics camel into a monkey.



Given our weekend activities, I was suggested to turn the New Physics camel into a monkey.

- Can I even draw a monkey?
- How do I draw its footprints?



Given our weekend activities, I was suggested to turn the New Physics camel into a monkey.

- Can I even draw a monkey?
- How do I draw its footprints?





Given our weekend activities, I was suggested to turn the New Physics camel into a monkey.

- Can I even draw a monkey?
- How do I draw its footprints?



...too much work! Next time.

NP interpretation (I): Effective Theory



EFT lessons

EFTs provide a simplified, yet powerful, model-independent parametrization of NP contributions to observables. Lots to learn from an EFT analysis:

NP Lorentz structure

► NP size (scale)

Flavor structure, correlations with other observables

EFT lessons

EFTs provide a simplified, yet powerful, model-independent parametrization of NP contributions to observables. Lots to learn from an EFT analysis:



EFT lessons

EFTs provide a simplified, yet powerful, model-independent parametrization of NP contributions to observables. Lots to learn from an EFT analysis:

NP Lorentz structure

$$b \rightarrow c l \nu$$

- Left-handed NP (=Fermi interaction) $O_{V_L} = (\bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu \nu_L)(\bar{\tau}_L \gamma^\mu b_L)$
- other structures are also possible
- ► NP size (scale) $\sim 40 \text{ TeV}$ $\sim 40 \text{ TeV}$
- Flavor structure, correlations with other observables

 $SU(2)_L$ symmetry relates the structures we identified:

$$b \to sll \qquad \qquad SU(2)_L \qquad \qquad b \to cl\nu$$

$$O_{9-10} = (\bar{s}_L \gamma^\mu b_L)(\bar{\mu}_L \gamma_\mu \mu_L) \qquad \boldsymbol{\leftarrow} \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\leftarrow} \qquad \boldsymbol{$$

 \rightarrow a minimal combined solution in the SMEFT is obtained assuming NP to affect dominantly **left-handed, semi-leptonic operators**:

$$\mathscr{L} = -\frac{1}{v^2} \left(C^{(3)}_{\ell q} (\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \tau^a \ell_L) (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu \tau^a q_L) C^{(1)}_{\ell q} (\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \ell_L) (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L) \right) \approx -\frac{2}{v^2} C_{LL} \left(\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu l_L \right) (\bar{l}_L \gamma_\mu q_L)$$

$$b \to s \nu_{(\tau)} \bar{\nu}_{(\tau)} \text{ requires } C^{(3)}_{\ell q} \approx C^{(1)}_{\ell q}$$

$$(automatically \text{ satisfied for } U_1, \text{ needs to be enforced otherwise})$$

Connection between anomalies:

$$R_{D^{(*)}} \Rightarrow b_L \rightarrow c_L \tau_L \nu_L \xrightarrow{SU(2)_L} b_L \rightarrow s_L \tau_L \tau_L \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{b_{L}}{z_{L}} \qquad S_{L} \qquad \equiv \Delta C_{9}^{U}$$

$$| = e_{\mu_{1}z}$$

Also, need a peculiar flavor structure: large NP couplings to 3rd family, smaller to 2nd and 1st. Similar to the only SM source of LFUV, the Yukawa couplings!



Also, need a peculiar flavor structure: large NP couplings to 3rd family, smaller to 2nd and 1st. Similar to the only SM source of LFUV, the Yukawa couplings!



Possible to describe both with the same flavor symmetry:

[Barbieri et al.,1105.3396, 1512.01560...]

 $U(2)^5 = U(2)_q \times U(2)_l \times U(2)_u \times U(2)_d \times U(2)_e$

Also, need a peculiar flavor structure: large NP couplings to 3rd family, smaller to 2nd and 1st. Similar to the only SM source of LFUV, the Yukawa couplings!



Possible to describe both with the same flavor symmetry:

[Barbieri et al.,1105.3396, 1512.01560...]

$$U(2)^5 = U(2)_q \times U(2)_l \times U(2)_u \times U(2)_d \times U(2)_e$$

Works for SM masses & mixings...



Also, need a peculiar flavor structure: large NP couplings to 3rd family, smaller to 2nd and 1st. Similar to the only SM source of LFUV, the Yukawa couplings!



Possible to describe both with the same flavor symmetry:

[Barbieri et al.,1105.3396, 1512.01560...]

$$U(2)^5 = U(2)_q \times U(2)_l \times U(2)_u \times U(2)_d \times U(2)_e$$

Works for SM masses & mixings...

....and also for the anomalies!

exact U(2)⁵ NP coupled only to 3rd family

NP max for 3rd family, suppressed by breaking terms for each 2nd family quark (lepton)



minimally broken

U(2)⁵

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm EFT}^{\rm NP} = -\frac{2}{\nu^2} C_{LL}^{ij\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{q}_L^i \gamma^\mu l_L^\alpha \right) (\bar{l}_L^\beta \gamma_\mu q_L^j)$$

other $b \to s \mu^+ \mu^-$ observables 0.004 ▶ Data support a U(2)-like scaling: $b \to c \tau \bar{\nu}$ ~33ττ ´LL ~ 0.1 9 ${\cal C}_{LL}^{23 au\eta}$ 0.002 $C_{LL}^{23\tau\tau} \sim \epsilon_q C_{LL}^{33\tau\tau} \qquad \epsilon_q, \epsilon_l \sim 0.1$ ¢ \$ $C_{LL}^{23\mu\mu} \sim \epsilon_q \epsilon_l^2 C_{LL}^{33\tau\tau}$ 0.2 0.30.10.000 good consistency between the anomalies -0.002 0.000 0.005 0.010 0.015 0.020 $\mathcal{C}_{LL}^{33 au au}$

0.006

[CC, Fuentes et al., 2103.16558]

$$\frac{R_{D^{(*)}}}{R_{D^{(*)}}^{\text{SM}}} - 1 = 2\text{Re}\left(C_{LL}^{33\tau\tau} + \frac{V_{cs}}{V_{cb}}C_{LL}^{23\tau\tau}\right)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm EFT}^{\rm NP} = -\frac{2}{v^2} C_{LL}^{ij\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{q}_L^i \gamma^\mu l_L^\alpha \right) (\bar{l}_L^\beta \gamma_\mu q_L^j)$$

Data support a U(2)-like scaling:



good consistency between the anomalies

 τ LFU tests

• but several constraints (driven by $R_{D^{(*)}}$)

٢

 $\rightarrow \tau \tau$

0

pp



$$\frac{R_{D^{(*)}}}{R_{D^{(*)}}^{\text{SM}}} - 1 = 2\text{Re}\left(C_{LL}^{33\tau\tau} + \frac{V_{cs}}{V_{cb}}C_{LL}^{23\tau\tau}\right)$$

0.010

 $\mathcal{C}_{LL}^{33 au au}$

 τ LFU tests (95% CL)

0.015

(95% CL)

 $\rightarrow \tau^+ \tau$

dd

0.020



0.006

0.004

0.002

0.000

-0.002 0.000

[CC, Fuentes et al., 2103.16558]

 $b \to c \tau \bar{\nu}$

 $|\delta(\Delta m_{B_s})| > 10\%$ for $\Lambda_{bs} = 1$ TeV

0.1

0.005

0.2

0.3

other $b \to s \mu^+ \mu^-$ observables

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm EFT}^{\rm NP} = -\frac{2}{v^2} \left[C_{LL}^{ij\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{q}_L^i \gamma^\mu l_L^\alpha \right) (\bar{l}_L^\beta \gamma_\mu q_L^j) + \left(C_{LR}^{ij\alpha\beta} (\bar{q}_L^i \gamma_\mu \mathcal{E}_L^\alpha) (\bar{e}_R^\beta \gamma^\mu d_R^j) + {\rm h.c.} \right) + C_{RR}^{ij\alpha\beta} (\bar{d}_R^i \gamma_\mu e_R^\alpha) (\bar{e}_R^\beta \gamma^\mu d_R^j) \right]$$

0.006

[CC, Fuentes et al., 2103.16558] other $b \to s \mu^+ \mu^-$ observables • LR helps saturating $R_{D^{(*)}}$ 0.004 $\rightarrow \tau$ LFU and B_s - \bar{B}_s less stringent. (95% CL) $|\delta(\Delta m_{B_s})| > 10\%$ for $\Lambda_{bs} = 1$ TeV ${\cal C}_{LL}^{23 au\pi}$ 0.002 • Both chiralities enter $pp \rightarrow \tau \tau$ $\tau\tau$ \uparrow \rightarrow stronger high- p_T bounds. $b \to c \tau \bar{\nu}$ dd0.3 0.2 0.000 -0.002 0.000 0.002 0.004 0.006 $\mathcal{C}_{LL}^{33\tau\tau}$

NP interpretation (II): the U₁ simplified model



- Keeping these constraints in mind, only **leptoquarks** are viable tree-level mediators:
 - \checkmark no 4-lepton and 4-quark processes at tree level
 - \checkmark no resonant production in quark-quark initiated processes

• Keeping these constraints in mind, only **leptoquarks** are viable tree-level mediators:

 \checkmark no 4-lepton and 4-quark processes at tree level

✓ no resonant production in quark-quark initiated processes

- Finite number of possibilities:
 - $S_1 + S_3$ [Crivellin et al 1703.09226; Buttazzo et al. 1706.07808; Marzocca 1803.10972...]
 - $R_2 + S_3$ [Bečirević et al., 1806.05689]
 - $U_1 \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, 2/3)$
- [di Luzio et al., 1708.08450; Calibbi et al., 1709.00692; Bordone, CC, et al. 1712.01368; Barbieri, Tesi 1712.06844;

Model	$R_{K^{(*)}}$	$R_{D^{(*)}}$	$\boxed{R_{K^{(*)}} \ \& \ R_{D^{(*)}}}$
S_3 ($\bar{3}, 3, 1/3$)	✓	×	×
S_1 (3 , 1 , 1/3)	×	✓	×
R_2 (3 , 2 , 7/6)	×	✓	*
U_1 (3 , 1 , 2/3)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
U_3 (3 , 3 , 2/3)	\checkmark	×	×

• Three generations of S_2 [Crivellin, Sch

[Crivellin, Schnell, Fuks 2203.10111]

[Luc's talk on Wed.]

[Sumensari et al., 2103,12504]

• Keeping these constraints in mind, only **leptoquarks** are viable tree-level mediators:

 \checkmark no 4-lepton and 4-quark processes at tree level

✓ no resonant production in quark-quark initiated processes

- Finite number of possibilities:
 - $S_1 + S_3$ [Crivellin et al 1703.09226; Buttazzo et al. 1706.07808; Marzocca 1803.10972...]
 - $R_2 + S_3$ [Bečirević et al., 1806.05689]
 - $U_1 \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, 2/3)$
- [di Luzio et al., 1708.08450; Calibbi et al., 1709.00692; Bordone, CC, et al. 1712.01368; Barbieri, Tesi 1712.06844;

Model	$R_{K^{(*)}}$	$R_{D^{(*)}}$	$\boxed{R_{K^{(*)}} \ \& \ R_{D^{(*)}}}$
S_3 ($\bar{3}, 3, 1/3$)	\checkmark	×	×
S_1 (3 , 1 , 1/3)	×	✓	×
R_2 (3, 2, 7/6)	×	✓	×
U_1 (3 , 1 , 2/3)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
U_3 (3 , 3 , 2/3)	\checkmark	×	×

• Three generations of S_2 [Crivellin, Schnell, Fuks 2203.10111]

[Luc's talk on Wed.]

All have pros and cons:

- Scalars are "simpler" in terms of matter content (standalone)
- Tricky to accommodate $b
 ightarrow s ar{
 u}
 u$ and/or $s
 ightarrow d ar{
 u}
 u$

[Sumensari et al., 2103,12504]

• Keeping these constraints in mind, only **leptoquarks** are viable tree-level mediators:

 \checkmark no 4-lepton and 4-quark processes at tree level

 \checkmark no resonant production in quark-quark initiated processes

- Finite number of possibilities:
 - $S_1 + S_3$ [Crivellin et al 1703.09226; Buttazzo et al. 1706.07808; Marzocca 1803.10972...]
 - $R_2 + S_3$ [Bečirević et al., 1806.05689]
 - $U_1 \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}/\mathbf{3})$
- [di Luzio et al., 1708.08450; Calibbi et al., 1709.00692; Bordone, CC, et al. 1712.01368; Barbieri, Tesi 1712.06844;

Model	$R_{K^{(*)}}$	$R_{D^{(*)}}$	$\boxed{R_{K^{(*)}} \ \& \ R_{D^{(*)}}}$
S_3 ($\bar{3}, 3, 1/3$)	\checkmark	×	×
S_1 (3 , 1 , 1/3)	×	✓	*
R_2 (3 , 2 , 7/6)	×	✓	×
U_1 (3 , 1 , 2/3)	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓
U_3 (3 , 3 , 2/3)	\checkmark	×	×

• Three generations of S_2 [Crivellin, Schnell, Fuks 2203.10111] [Luc's talk on Wed.]

All have pros and cons:

- the vector leptoquark U_1 does not mediate $b \to s \nu \bar{\nu}$, $s \to d \bar{\nu} \nu$ at tree level
- needs a UV completion (additional heavy vectors + fermions)
- points to quark-lepton unification, can realize an $U(2)^5$ from non-universal gauge symmetry

[Sumensari et al., 2103,12504]

The U_1 simplified model

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{g_U}{\sqrt{2}} U_1^{\mu} \left[\beta_L^{i\alpha} \left(\bar{q}_L^i \gamma_{\mu} \mathcal{E}_L^{\alpha} \right) + \beta_R^{i\alpha} \left(\bar{d}_R^i \gamma_{\mu} e_R^{\alpha} \right) \right] + \text{h.c.} \qquad U_1 \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, 2/3)$$

$$\beta^{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \beta_{d\tau}^{L} \\ 0 & \beta_{s\mu}^{L} & \beta_{s\tau}^{L} \\ 0 & \beta_{b\mu}^{L} & \beta_{b\tau}^{L} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \beta^{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{b\tau}^{R} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \beta^{L}_{b\tau} \approx \mathcal{O}(1)$$

$$R_{K^{(*)}} \qquad R_{D^{(*)}} \qquad b \rightarrow s\tau\mu \text{ [tree]} \qquad \beta^{L} \rightarrow s\tau\mu \text{ [tree]} \qquad \beta^{L}_{s\mu}, \beta^{L}_{d\tau} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.01)$$

$$b \rightarrow s\tau\tau \text{ [tree]}$$

Two interesting benchmarks: 1. $\beta_{b\tau}^{R} = 0$ (no RH currents)

2. $|\beta_{b\tau}^{R}| = |\beta_{b\tau}^{L}| = 1$ (max RH currents)

[models with 3rd family quark-lepton unification]

Both give a good description of all low-energy data, with a U(2)-like flavor structure.

The U_1 simplified model

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{g_U}{\sqrt{2}} U_1^{\mu} \left[\beta_L^{i\alpha} \left(\bar{q}_L^i \gamma_{\mu} \mathcal{C}_L^{\alpha} \right) + \beta_R^{i\alpha} \left(\bar{d}_R^i \gamma_{\mu} e_R^{\alpha} \right) \right] + \text{h.c.} \qquad U_1 \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, 2/3)$$

$$\beta^{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \tau \to \mu\gamma \text{ [loop]} \end{pmatrix} \beta^{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{b\tau}^{R} \end{pmatrix} \beta^{L}_{b\tau} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

$$\beta^{L}_{s\tau}, \beta^{L}_{b\mu} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1)$$

$$\beta^{L}_{s\tau}, \beta^{L}_{b\mu} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1)$$

$$\beta^{L}_{s\mu}, \beta^{L}_{d\tau} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.01)$$

Two interesting benchmarks: 1. $\beta_{b\tau}^{R} = 0$ (no RH currents)

2. $|\beta_{b\tau}^{R}| = |\beta_{b\tau}^{L}| = 1$ (max RH currents)

[models with 3rd family quark-lepton unification]

Both give a good description of all low-energy data, with a U(2)-like flavor structure.

The U_1 simplified model: low-energy

Rich phenomenology at low & high energy:

• large $b \rightarrow s \tau \tau$ (driven by $R_{D^{(*)}}$)



The U_1 simplified model: low-energy

Rich phenomenology at low & high energy:

• large τ/μ LFV in $b \to s\tau\mu$ and τ decays (driven by simultaneous presence of $R_{D^{(*)}} \& R_{K^{(*)}}$)



no RH currents $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \tau \mu) \approx \mathcal{B}(B \to K \tau \mu) \approx 10^{-7} - 10^{-6}$ $\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu \phi) \approx 10^{-10} - 10^{-8}$

with RH currents

$$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \tau \mu) \approx 1 \times 10^{-5}$$
$$\mathcal{B}(B \to K \tau \mu) \approx 1 \times 10^{-6}$$
$$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu \gamma) \approx 1 \times 10^{-8}$$

The $S_1 + S_3$ simplified model: low-energy

Similar signatures for the other simplified models, e.g. $S_1 + S_3$



[Gherardi, Marzocca, Venturini 2008.09548]

The U_1 simplified model: high - pT

• The same interaction can be probed at high energy:



 \rightarrow HL-LHC will fully probe the vector LQ solution

(same for $R_2 + S_3$, still space left for $S_1 + S_3$)

• Similar enhancements in all models for $R_D^{(*)}$ (drives all these "big" signatures...)

NP interpretation (III): UV completing the U₁



UV-completing the U_1 : the gauge path

• UV completions of the U_1 point to variations of the **Pati-Salam** group.

 $U_1 \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, 2/3) \longrightarrow SU(4) \longrightarrow PS = SU(4) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$

[Pati, Salam, Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 275]

UV-completing the U_1 : the gauge path

• UV completions of the U_1 point to variations of the **Pati-Salam** group.

 $U_1 \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, 2/3) \longrightarrow SU(4) \longrightarrow PS = SU(4) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$

[Pati, Salam, Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 275]

$$SU(4) \sim \begin{pmatrix} G^{a} & U^{\alpha} \\ \vdots & U^{\alpha} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (U^{\alpha})^{*} & Z' \end{pmatrix} \qquad \psi_{L,R} = \begin{bmatrix} q_{L,R}^{\alpha} \\ q_{L,R}^{\beta} \\ \vdots \\ l_{L,R}^{\gamma} \\ l_{L,R}^{\delta} \end{bmatrix} \qquad PS/SM \ni U_{1}, Z'$$

The original PS does not work: need additional SU(3) to decorrelate SU(4) from $SU(3)_c \implies 4321$ models

 $\mathscr{G}_{4321} = SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)'$

[Georgi and Y. Nakai, 1606.05865; Diaz, Schmaltz, Zhong, 1706.05033; Di Luzio, Greljo, Nardecchia, 1708.08450....]

 $4321/\text{SM} \ni U_1, Z', G' \sim (8, 1, 0)$
How to make the U1 interactions with SM fermions non-universal?

$$\mathcal{G}_{4321} = SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)'$$

How to make the U1 interactions with SM fermions non-universal?

 $\mathcal{G}_{4321} = SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)'$

Non-universality via mixing with exotic vector-like fermions [Di Luzio et al. 1708.08450, 1808.00942...]

How to make the U1 interactions with SM fermions non-universal?

 $\mathcal{G}_{4321} = SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)'$

Non-universality via mixing with exotic vector-like fermions [Di Luzio et al. 1708.08450, 1808.00942...]

Gauge interactions are kept flavor universal:

SM fields are SM-like under 321, only VLF charged under 4

How to make the U1 interactions with SM fermions non-universal?

 $\mathcal{G}_{4321} = SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)'$

Non-universality via mixing with exotic vector-like fermions [Di Luzio et al. 1708.08450, 1808.00942...]

Gauge interactions are kept flavor universal:

SM fields are SM-like under 321, only VLF charged under 4

SM fermions interact with U_1 only via mixing with the VLF: non-universal U_1 interactions with SM fields via hierarchical choice of mixing angles (\rightarrow 3rd family has to be the "most composite")



How to make the U1 interactions with SM fermions non-universal?

 $\mathcal{G}_{4321} = SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)'$

Non-universality via mixing with exotic vector-like fermions [Di Luzio et al. 1708.08450, 1808.00942...]

Gauge interactions are kept flavor universal:

SM fields are SM-like under 321, only VLF charged under 4

SM fermions interact with U_1 only via mixing with the VLF: non-universal U_1 interactions with SM fields via hierarchical choice of mixing angles (\rightarrow 3rd family has to be the "most composite")



Depending on the charges of the VLF, the U1 couple to LH and/or RH SM fields.

How to make the U1 interactions with SM fermions non-universal?

$\mathcal{G}_{4321} = SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)'$

Non-universality via flavor non-universal gauge interactions

[Bordone, CC, Fuentes-Martin, Isidori 1712.01368, 1805.09328, 1903.11517; Greljo, Stefanek, 1802.04274;]

How to make the U1 interactions with SM fermions non-universal?

 $\mathcal{G}_{4321} = SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)'$

Non-universality via flavor non-universal gauge interactions

[Bordone, CC, Fuentes-Martin, Isidori 1712.01368, 1805.09328, 1903.11517; Greljo, Stefanek, 1802.04274;]

3rd family special "by gauge": light SM families SM-like under 321, only 3rd family (& VLF) charged under 4

 \Rightarrow accidental $U(2)^5$ in gauge sector $\psi =$



How to make the U1 interactions with SM fermions non-universal?

 $\mathcal{G}_{4321} = SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)'$

Non-universality via flavor non-universal gauge interactions

```
[Bordone, CC, Fuentes-Martin, Isidori 1712.01368, 1805.09328, 1903.11517; Greljo, Stefanek, 1802.04274; ....]
```

3rd family special "by gauge": light SM families SM-like under 321, only 3rd family (& VLF) charged under 4

- \Rightarrow accidental $U(2)^5$ in gauge sector $\psi =$
 - In the limit of exact U(2):
- only 3rd family (L+R) couples to the $U_{\rm 1}$ no 2-3 CKM mixing



How to make the U1 interactions with SM fermions non-universal?

 $\mathcal{G}_{4321} = SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)'$

Non-universality via flavor non-universal gauge interactions

```
[Bordone, CC, Fuentes-Martin, Isidori 1712.01368, 1805.09328, 1903.11517; Greljo, Stefanek, 1802.04274; ....]
```

3rd family special "by gauge": light SM families SM-like under 321, only 3rd family (& VLF) charged under 4

 \Rightarrow accidental $U(2)^5$ in gauge sector $\psi = (\psi_1 \psi_2) \psi_2$

In the limit of exact U(2):

U(2) breaking due to VL-SM mixing generates

- only 3rd family (L+R) couples to the $U_{\rm 1}$ no 2-3 CKM mixing
- subleading U_1 couplings to light families
- 2-3 CKM mixing

How to make the U1 interactions with SM fermions non-universal?

 $\mathcal{G}_{4321} = SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)'$

 Non-universality via flavor non-universal gauge interactions [Bordone, CC, Fuentes-Martin, Isidori 1712.01368, 1805.09328,

```
1903.11517; Greljo, Stefanek, 1802.04274; ....]
```

3rd family special "by gauge": light SM families SM-like under 321, only 3rd family (& VLF) charged under 4

- \Rightarrow accidental $U(2)^5$ in gauge sector $\psi = (\psi_1 \psi_2) \psi_3$
 - In the limit of exact U(2):

U(2) breaking due to VL-SM mixing generates

- only 3rd family (L+R) couples to the U_1 no 2-3 CKM mixing
- subleading U_1 couplings to light families
- 2-3 CKM mixing

 \Rightarrow nice connection between flavor anomalies & hierarchies!

21

Importance of loop effects

[Selimovic et al., 2009.11296, CC, Fuentes et al., 2103.16558]

• $B \rightarrow K \nu \bar{\nu}$ 20-50% enhancement over the SM, in the reach of Belle II [talk by Sally]

Importance of loop effects

[Selimovic et al., 2009.11296, CC, Fuentes et al., 2103.16558]

 $B \to K\nu\bar{\nu}$ 20-50% enhancement over the SM, in the reach of Belle II [talk by Sally] $B_s - \bar{B}_s \text{ mixing} \quad b \underbrace{\frac{L}{U_1 \cup L} U_1}_{s} \quad s \\ \frac{C_{bs}^{\text{NP-tree}}}{C_{bs}^{\text{SM}}} \propto \left(\beta_L^{s\tau^*}\right)^2 M_L^2 \quad U(2)_q \text{ breaking, fixed by } R_{D^{(*)}}$

With the current $R_{D^{(*)}}$, need $M_L \lesssim 1.5~{
m TeV}$ not to overshoot Δm_{B_s}

Importance of loop effects

[Selimovic et al., 2009.11296, CC, Fuentes et al., 2103.16558]

…**→** VL lepton mass



With the current $R_{D^{(*)}}$, need $M_L \lesssim 1.5$ TeV not to overshoot Δm_{B_L}



CMS search for 4321 VL leptons:

 2.8σ preference for VL lepton with $m \approx 600$ GeV



[Kormier, Faroughy, Fuentes, Mikuni w.i.p.]

Coloron direct searches at the LHC



Relevant collider signatures for G'("coloron" = heavy color-octet vector)



G'-mediated $pp \rightarrow tt$ gives the strongest constraint on the overall scale of the model!

$$M_{G'} \sim \sqrt{2} M_{\rm LQ}$$

Even more UV complete: a three-scale picture

[Barbieri, 2103.15635, Bordone, CC, Fuentes, Isidori 1712.01368 Panico, Pomarol, 1603.06609 Dvali, Shiftman, '00, ...]

B anomalies might hint at a three-scale picture:



Non-universal Pati-Salam unification

PS³: 4D three-site model



• 5D construction [talk by Ben tomorrow]

warped compact extra dimension with multiple 4-dimensional branes



[Bordone, CC, Fuentes, Isidori 1712.01368]

[Fuentes-Martin, Isidori, Pagès, Stefanek, 2012.10492] [Fuentes-Martin, Isidori, Lizana, Stefanek, Selimovic, 2203.01952]

NP interpretation (IV): g-2 in 4321 models

[inspired by Ben Stefanek's Virtual Seminar @ Peking and Beijing Flavor Anomaly Seminar Series on June 17, 2021 & Anders Ellen Thomsen's talk @Panic 2021]

NP in
$$(g-2)_{\mu}$$
: considerations about the scale

The discrepancy is roughly the same size as the SM electroweak contribution:

$$\Delta a_{\mu} \equiv a_{\mu}^{\exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} \approx (a_{\mu}^{SM})_{EW} \approx \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{16\pi^2} \times \frac{4 G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 3 \times 10^{-9}$$

To explain it, need NP light ($\ll v_{EW}$) & weakly coupled or heavy & "strongly" coupled.

NP in
$$(g-2)_{\mu}$$
: considerations about the scale

The discrepancy is roughly the same size as the SM electroweak contribution:

$$\Delta a_{\mu} \equiv a_{\mu}^{\exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} \approx (a_{\mu}^{SM})_{EW} \approx \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{16\pi^2} \times \frac{4 G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 3 \times 10^{-9}$$

To explain it, need NP light ($\ll v_{EW}$) & weakly coupled or heavy & "strongly" coupled.

No light candidate in 4321 \rightarrow stick to heavy option.

NP in
$$(g-2)_{\mu}$$
: considerations about the scale

The discrepancy is roughly the same size as the SM electroweak contribution:

$$\Delta a_{\mu} \equiv a_{\mu}^{\exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} \approx (a_{\mu}^{SM})_{EW} \approx \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{16\pi^2} \times \frac{4 G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 3 \times 10^{-9}$$

To explain it, need NP light ($\ll v_{EW}$) & weakly coupled or heavy & "strongly" coupled. No light candidate in 4321 \rightarrow stick to heavy option.

How heavy?

$$\Delta a_{\mu}^{\rm NP} \approx \frac{g_{\rm NP}^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{M_{\rm NP}^2} \qquad g_{\rm NP} \sim 1 \text{ and no chiral enhancement w.r.t SM} \Rightarrow M_{\rm NP} \sim v_{\rm EW}$$

$$\Delta a_{\mu}^{\rm NP} \approx \frac{g_{\rm NP}^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{m_{\mu}m_f}{M_{\rm NP}^2} \qquad \text{with chiral enhancement} \Rightarrow M_{\rm NP} \sim 1 - \mathcal{O}(10) \text{ TeV}$$

$$(\text{e.g } m_f = m_t)$$

To address the (g-2) and be consistent with cLFV bounds, NP models need to fulfil strong flavor alignment conditions in the lepton sector.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} \supset \frac{e}{16\pi^2} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} C_{\ell\ell'} \left(\bar{\ell}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell'_R F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$



To address the (g-2) and be consistent with cLFV bounds, NP models need to fulfil strong flavor alignment conditions in the lepton sector.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} \supset \frac{e}{16\pi^2} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} C_{\ell\ell'} \left(\bar{\ell}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell'_R F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$



 $\ell = \ell'$: Magnetic & electric moments

To address the (g-2) and be consistent with cLFV bounds, NP models need to fulfil strong flavor alignment conditions in the lepton sector.



 $\ell = \ell'$: Magnetic & electric moments $\ell \neq \ell'$: cLFV, in particular $\ell \to \ell' \gamma$

To address the (g-2) and be consistent with cLFV bounds, NP models need to fulfil strong flavor alignment conditions in the lepton sector.





 $\ell = \ell'$: Magnetic & electric moments $\ell \neq \ell'$: cLFV, in particular $\ell \rightarrow \ell' \gamma$

 $(g-2)_{\mu}$ wants large Re($C_{\mu\mu}$): $\text{Re}(C_{\mu\mu}) \approx (4-7) \times 10^{-3} \text{ TeV}^{-2}$

To address the (g-2) and be consistent with cLFV bounds, NP models need to fulfil strong flavor alignment conditions in the lepton sector.

 $\ell = \ell'$: Magnetic & electric moments $\ell \neq \ell'$: cLFV, in particular $\ell \to \ell' \gamma$

 $\text{Re}(C_{\mu\mu}) \approx (4-7) \times 10^{-3} \text{ TeV}^{-2}$

 $(g-2)_{\mu}$ wants large $\operatorname{Re}(C_{\mu\mu})$: $\mu \to e\gamma$ and $\tau \to e\gamma$ want $C_{\tau\mu}, C_{\mu e} \ll C_{\mu\mu}$: $C_{\mu e}/C_{\mu \mu} \lesssim 10^{-5}, \quad C_{\tau \mu}/C_{\mu \mu} \lesssim 0.5$

To address the (g-2) and be consistent with cLFV bounds, NP models need to fulfil strong flavor alignment conditions in the lepton sector.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} \supset \frac{e}{16\pi^2} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} C_{\ell\ell'} \left(\bar{\ell}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell'_R F^{\mu\nu} \right)$ $\ell_L^i \qquad \ell_R^j$ $\ell = \ell': \text{ Magnetic \& electric moments}$ $\ell \neq \ell': \text{ cLFV, in particular } \ell \rightarrow \ell' \gamma$ $(g - 2)_\mu \text{ wants large Re}(C_{\mu\mu}): \qquad \mu \rightarrow e\gamma \text{ and } \tau \rightarrow e\gamma \text{ want } C_{\tau\mu}, C_{\mu e} \ll C_{\mu\mu}:$ $\operatorname{Re}(C_{\mu\mu}) \approx (4 - 7) \times 10^{-3} \text{ TeV}^{-2} \qquad C_{\mu e}/C_{\mu\mu} \lesssim 10^{-5}, \quad C_{\tau\mu}/C_{\mu\mu} \lesssim 0.5$

...much smaller than what naively expected from Yukawa-like flavor structure:

$$C_{\mu e}/C_{\mu \mu} \sim \sqrt{m_e/m_{\mu}} \approx 0.07 , \quad C_{\tau \mu}/C_{\mu \mu} \sim \sqrt{m_{\tau}/m_{\mu}} \approx 4$$

To address the (g-2) and be consistent with cLFV bounds, NP models need to fulfil strong flavor alignment conditions in the lepton sector.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} \supset \frac{e}{16\pi^2} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} C_{\ell\ell'} \left(\bar{\ell}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell'_R F^{\mu\nu} \right)$ $\ell_L^i \qquad \ell_R^j$ $\ell = \ell': \text{ Magnetic \& electric moments}$ $\ell \neq \ell': \text{ cLFV, in particular } \ell \rightarrow \ell' \gamma$ $(g - 2)_{\mu} \text{ wants large Re}(C_{\mu\mu}): \qquad \mu \rightarrow e\gamma \text{ and } \tau \rightarrow e\gamma \text{ want } C_{\tau\mu}, C_{\mu e} \ll C_{\mu\mu}:$ $\operatorname{Re}(C_{\mu\mu}) \approx (4 - 7) \times 10^{-3} \text{ TeV}^{-2} \qquad C_{\mu e}/C_{\mu\mu} \lesssim 10^{-5}, \quad C_{\tau\mu}/C_{\mu\mu} \lesssim 0.5$

...much smaller than what naively expected from Yukawa-like flavor structure:

$$C_{\mu e}/C_{\mu \mu} \sim \sqrt{m_e/m_\mu} \approx 0.07 \,, \quad C_{\tau \mu}/C_{\mu \mu} \sim \sqrt{m_\tau/m_\mu} \approx 4$$

 \implies Not easy to reconcile $(g-2)_{\mu}$ with both *B* anomalies.

The U₁ leptoquark works for B-anomalies. Can it do also the $(g - 2)_{\mu}$?

The U₁ leptoquark works for B-anomalies. Can it do also the $(g - 2)_{\mu}$?

The simplest way to get a sizable $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ would be to have a large U₁ coupling to μ_R , b_R , and have the chirality flip in the bottom loop:



The U₁ leptoquark works for B-anomalies. Can it do also the $(g - 2)_{\mu}$?

The simplest way to get a sizable $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ would be to have a large U₁ coupling to μ_R , b_R , and have the chirality flip in the bottom loop:



But RH currents generate the scalar operator

$$\mathcal{O}_{s} \sim \frac{m_{b}}{m_{\mu}} V_{ts} \left(\bar{s}_{L} b_{R} \right) \left(\bar{\mu}_{R} \mu_{L} \right)$$

....would give too large a contribution to $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-!$

The U₁ leptoquark works for B-anomalies. Can it do also the $(g - 2)_{\mu}$?

The simplest way to get a sizable $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ would be to have a large U₁ coupling to μ_R, b_R , and have the chirality flip in the bottom loop:



But RH currents generate the scalar operator $\mathcal{O}_s \sim \frac{m_b}{m_\mu} V_{ts} \left(\bar{s}_L b_R \right) \left(\bar{\mu}_R \mu_L \right)$would give too large a contribution to $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-!$

 \Rightarrow 4321 as it is does not work. Need something else.

U_1 for both anomalies and g-2?

U_1 for both anomalies and g-2?

Try adding other VL fermions ξ :

$$\begin{split} \xi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,1,-1/2) \qquad \chi \supset \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ L \end{pmatrix} \quad \xi \supset \begin{pmatrix} D \\ E \end{pmatrix} \\ \chi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,2,0) \,, \end{split}$$

coupling to the χ s with a large Yukawa:



$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\bar{\chi}_L y_H H \xi_R - \bar{\chi}_R \tilde{y}_H H \xi_L$$

U₁ for both anomalies and g-2?

Try adding other VL fermions ξ :

$$\begin{split} \xi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,1,-1/2) \qquad \chi \supset \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ L \end{pmatrix} \quad \xi \supset \begin{pmatrix} D \\ E \end{pmatrix} \\ \chi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,2,0) \,, \end{split}$$

coupling to the χ s with a large Yukawa: $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\chi}_L y_H H \xi_R - \bar{\chi}_R \tilde{y}_H H \xi_L$



$$\Delta a_{\mu} \sim \frac{N_c}{4\pi^2} \frac{m_{\mu}m_t}{M_{\rm LQ}^2} \frac{g_4^2}{2} y_H s_{\mu_L} s_{\mu_R} \sim 3 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{4 \text{ TeV}}{m_{\rm LQ}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{s_{\mu_L}}{0.2}\right) \left(\frac{s_{\mu_R}}{0.05}\right) \left(\frac{y_H}{1}\right)$$

for $y_H, \tilde{y}_H \sim 1$, get large chiral enhancement in the loop!

U₁ for both anomalies and g-2?

Try adding other VL fermions ξ :

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,1,-1/2) \qquad \chi \supset \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ L \end{pmatrix} \quad \xi \supset \begin{pmatrix} D \\ E \end{pmatrix} \\ \chi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,2,0) \,, \end{aligned}$$

coupling to the χ s with a large Yukawa: $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\chi}_L y_H H \xi_R - \bar{\chi}_R \tilde{y}_H H \xi_L$

$$\Delta a_{\mu} \sim \frac{N_c}{4\pi^2} \frac{m_{\mu}m_t}{M_{\rm LQ}^2} \frac{g_4^2}{2} y_H s_{\mu_L} s_{\mu_R} \sim 3 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{4 \text{ TeV}}{m_{\rm LQ}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{s_{\mu_L}}{0.2}\right) \left(\frac{s_{\mu_R}}{0.05}\right) \left(\frac{y_H}{1}\right)$$

for $y_H, \tilde{y}_H \sim 1$, get large chiral enhancement in the loop!

But this doesn't work either:

U₁ for both anomalies and g-2?

Try adding other VL fermions ξ :

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,1,-1/2) \qquad \chi \supset \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ L \end{pmatrix} \quad \xi \supset \begin{pmatrix} D \\ E \end{pmatrix} \\ \chi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,2,0) \,, \end{aligned}$$

coupling to the χ s with a large Yukawa: $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\chi}_L y_H H \xi_R - \bar{\chi}_R \tilde{y}_H H \xi_L$



$$\Delta a_{\mu} \sim \frac{N_c}{4\pi^2} \frac{m_{\mu}m_t}{M_{LQ}^2} \frac{g_4^2}{2} y_H s_{\mu_L} s_{\mu_R} \sim 3 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{4 \text{ TeV}}{m_{LQ}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{s_{\mu_L}}{0.2}\right) \left(\frac{s_{\mu_R}}{0.05}\right) \left(\frac{y_H}{1}\right)$$

for $y_H, \tilde{y}_H \sim 1$, get large chiral enhancement in the loop!

But this doesn't work either:

SM-VL mixing gives large tree-level correction to y_{μ} : $\delta y_{\mu}^{\text{mix}} \sim y_H s_{\mu_L} s_{\mu_R} \sim 10^{-2}$ \rightarrow need $\sim 1 \%$ tuning to keep $y_{\mu} \sim 6 \times 10^{-4}$
U₁ for both anomalies and g-2?

Try adding other VL fermions ξ :

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,1,-1/2) \qquad \chi \supset \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ L \end{pmatrix} \quad \xi \supset \begin{pmatrix} D \\ E \end{pmatrix} \\ \chi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,2,0) \,, \end{aligned}$$

coupling to the χ s with a large Yukawa: $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\chi}_L y_H H \xi_R - \bar{\chi}_R \tilde{y}_H H \xi_L$

$$\Delta a_{\mu} \sim \frac{N_c}{4\pi^2} \frac{m_{\mu}m_t}{M_{\rm LQ}^2} \frac{g_4^2}{2} y_H s_{\mu_L} s_{\mu_R} \sim 3 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{4 \text{ TeV}}{m_{\rm LQ}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{s_{\mu_L}}{0.2}\right) \left(\frac{s_{\mu_R}}{0.05}\right) \left(\frac{y_H}{1}\right)$$

for $y_H, \tilde{y}_H \sim 1$, get large chiral enhancement in the loop!

But this doesn't work either:

No control for cLFV. Main problem is
$$\tau \to \mu \gamma$$
: $\frac{C_{\tau\mu}}{C_{\mu\mu}} \sim \frac{1}{s_{\mu_L}} \sim 5 \gg 0.5$

U₁ for both anomalies and g-2?

Try adding other VL fermions ξ :

$$\begin{split} \xi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,1,-1/2) \qquad \chi \supset \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ L \end{pmatrix} \quad \xi \supset \begin{pmatrix} D \\ E \end{pmatrix} \\ \chi_{L,R} &\sim (4,1,2,0) \,, \end{split}$$

 $L \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mu_R$ $K \xrightarrow{\gamma_H m_t} \mu_R$ $B_B B_R$

coupling to the χ s with a large Yukawa: $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\chi}_L y_H H \xi_R - \bar{\chi}_R \tilde{y}_H H \xi_L$

$$\Delta a_{\mu} \sim \frac{N_c}{4\pi^2} \frac{m_{\mu}m_t}{M_{LQ}^2} \frac{g_4^2}{2} y_H s_{\mu_L} s_{\mu_R} \sim 3 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{4 \text{ TeV}}{m_{LQ}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{s_{\mu_L}}{0.2}\right) \left(\frac{s_{\mu_R}}{0.05}\right) \left(\frac{y_H}{1}\right)$$

for $y_H, \tilde{y}_H \sim 1$, get large chiral enhancement in the loop!

But this doesn't work either:

No control for cLFV. Main problem is
$$\tau \to \mu \gamma$$
: $\frac{C_{\tau\mu}}{C_{\mu\mu}} \sim \frac{1}{s_{\mu_L}} \sim 5 \gg 0.5$
 \implies again, need something else.

A better idea is to enlarge the scalar sector: double the scalars breaking 4321 (Ω_i) and add a Z_2 symmetry softly broken by the scalar potential.

Field	SU(4)	SU(3)'	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_X$	Z_2	Flavor
q_L^i	1	3	2	1/6	+	3_{q}
u_R^i	1	3	1	2/3	+	$3_{oldsymbol{u}}$
d_R^i	1	3	1	-1/3	—	3_{d}
ℓ^i_L	1	1	2	-1/2	+	$3_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$
$e^{\overline{i}}_R$	1	1	1	-1/2	—	$3_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$
$\chi^i_{L,R}$	4	1	2	0	+	3_{ℓ}
$\xi^i_{L,R}$	4	1	1	-1/2	+	3_{ℓ}
H_{\perp}	1	1	2	1/2	+	1
Ω_1^\pm	$ar{4}$	1	1	-1/2	±	1
Ω_3^\pm	$\overline{4}$	3	1	1/6	±	1
Ω_{15}	15	1	1	0	+	1

A better idea is to enlarge the scalar sector: double the scalars breaking 4321 (Ω_i) and add a Z_2 symmetry softly broken by the scalar potential.

Field	SU(4)	SU(3)'	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_X$	Z_2	Flavor
q_L^i	1	3	2	1/6	+	3_q
u_R^i	1	3	1	2/3	+	$3_{oldsymbol{u}}$
d_R^i	1	3	1	-1/3	_	3_{d}
ℓ_L^{i}	1	1	2	-1/2	+	$3_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$
$e^{\overline{i}}_R$	1	1	1	-1/2	_	$3_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$
$\chi^i_{L,R}$	4	1	2	0	+	3_{ℓ}
$\xi^i_{L,R}$	4	1	1	-1/2	+	3_{ℓ}
$H_{\rm I}$	1	1	2	1/2	+	1
Ω_1^\pm	$\overline{4}$	1	1	-1/2	±	1
Ω_3^\pm	$\overline{4}$	3	1	1/6	±	1
Ω_{15}	15	1	1	0	+	1

 $(g-2)_{\mu}$ via scalar loop, enhanced by hierarchical choice of the vevs for scalars of opposite parity (~ 2HDM with large tan β).



[Fuentes-Martin, Greljo, Stefanek, A possible solution within universal 4321 Thomsen, in progress]

A better idea is to enlarge the scalar sector: double the scalars breaking 4321 (Ω_i) and add a Z_2 symmetry softly broken by the scalar potential.

Field	SU(4)	SU(3)'	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_X$	Z_2	Flavor
q_L^i	1	3	2	1/6	+	3_{q}
u_R^i	1	3	1	2/3	+	$3_{oldsymbol{u}}$
d_R^i	1	3	1	-1/3	—	3_{d}
ℓ_L^{i}	1	1	2	-1/2	+	$3_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$
$e_R^{\overline{i}}$	1	1	1	-1/2	—	$3_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$
$\chi^i_{L,R}$	4	1	2	0	+	$3_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$
$\xi^i_{L,R}$	4	1	1	-1/2	+	$3_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$
$H_{\rm c}$	1	1	2	1/2	+	1
Ω_1^\pm	$\bar{4}$	1	1	-1/2	±	1
Ω_3^\pm	$\overline{4}$	3	1	1/6	±	1
Ω_{15}	15	1	1	0	+	1

 Z_2 forbids potentially dangerous tree-level Yukawas for charged leptons; $\delta y_{\mu}^{\text{mix}}$ is suppressed if $\tan \beta_1 \gg 1$.

$$y_{\mu} = y_{\mu}^{H} + \underbrace{\delta y_{\mu}^{\text{mix}}}_{\mathbf{A}} + \underbrace{\delta y_{\mu}^{\text{loop}}}_{\mathbf{A}}$$
dden by Z_{2}

forbi

suppressed if $v_{1+}/v_{1-} \equiv \tan \beta_1 \gg 1$

A better idea is to enlarge the scalar sector: double the scalars breaking 4321 (Ω_i) and add a Z_2 symmetry softly broken by the scalar potential.

Field	SU(4)	SU(3)'	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_X$	Z_2	Flavor
q_L^i	1	3	2	1/6	+	3_{q}
u_R^i	1	3	1	2/3	+	$3_{oldsymbol{u}}$
d_R^i	1	3	1	-1/3	—	3_{d}
ℓ^i_L	1	1	2	-1/2	+	$3_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$
$e_R^{\overline{i}}$	1	1	1	-1/2	—	$3_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$
$\chi^i_{L,R}$	4	1	2	0	+	3_{ℓ}
$\xi^i_{L,R}$	4	1	1	-1/2	+	$3_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$
$H_{\rm I}$	1	1	2	1/2	+	1
Ω_1^\pm	$ar{4}$	1	1	-1/2	±	1
Ω_3^\pm	$\overline{4}$	3	1	1/6	±	1
Ω_{15}	15	1	1	0	+	1

 Z_2 forbids potentially dangerous tree-level Yukawas for charged leptons; $\delta y_{\mu}^{\text{mix}}$ is suppressed if $\tan \beta_1 \gg 1$.

$$y_{\mu} = \underbrace{y_{\mu}^{H}}_{\mu} + \underbrace{\delta y_{\mu}^{\text{mix}}}_{\mathbf{\cdot}\mathbf{s}} + \delta \underbrace{y_{\mu}^{\text{loop}}}_{\mathbf{\cdot}\mathbf{s}}$$
forbidden by Z_{2} suppressed if $v_{1+}/v_{1-} \equiv \tan \beta_{1} \gg 1$

 \Rightarrow Explaining $(g - 2)_{\mu}$, $b \rightarrow sll$ and $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ in 4321 is possible, but with additional ingredients. This boils down to the different flavor structures these obs. hint at.

A better idea is to enlarge the scalar sector: double the scalars breaking 4321 (Ω_i) and add a Z_2 symmetry softly broken by the scalar potential.

Field	SU(4)	SU(3)'	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_X$	Z_2	Flavor
q_L^i	1	3	2	1/6	+	3_{q}
u^i_R	1	3	1	2/3	+	3_u
d_R^i	1	3	1	-1/3	_	3_d
ℓ^i_L	1	1	2	-1/2	+	3_ℓ
$e^{\overline{i}}_R$	1	1	1	-1/2	_	3_ℓ
$\chi^i_{L,R}$	4	1	2	0	+	3_{ℓ}
$\xi^i_{L,R}$	4	1	1	-1/2	+	3_ℓ
H	1	1	2	1/2	+	1
Ω_1^\pm	$\overline{4}$	1	1	-1/2	±	1
Ω_3^\pm	$\overline{4}$	3	1	1/6	±	1
Ω_{15}	15	1	1	0	+	1

 Z_2 forbids potentially dangerous tree-level Yukawas for charged leptons; $\delta y_{\mu}^{\text{mix}}$ is suppressed if $\tan \beta_1 \gg 1$.

$$y_{\mu} = \underbrace{y_{\mu}^{H}}_{\mu} + \underbrace{\delta y_{\mu}^{\text{mix}}}_{\mathbf{\cdot}\mathbf{s}} + \delta \underbrace{y_{\mu}^{\text{loop}}}_{\mathbf{\cdot}\mathbf{s}}$$
forbidden by Z_2 suppressed if $v_{1+}/v_{1-} \equiv \tan \beta_1 \gg 1$

 \Rightarrow Explaining $(g - 2)_{\mu}$, $b \rightarrow sll$ and $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ in 4321 is possible, but with additional ingredients. This boils down to the different flavor structures these obs. hint at.

"Easier" to think of models for $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ and $b \rightarrow sll$ only E.g. "Muonic forces" [Greljo, Stangl,Thomsen, 2103.13991, [see Anders' talk last week] Greljo, Soreq, Stangl,Thomsen, Zupan; 2107.07518]

Conclusions

B anomalies could be the manifestation of a new interaction violating LFU. In the coming years, on-going experiments will have the final word about their nature.



- Taken together, they point to TeV-scale leptoquark(s) coupled dominantly to the 3rd family.
- ▶ 4321 models are an interesting direction
 - \rightarrow flavor non-universal gauge interactions?
 - \rightarrow multi-scale picture at the origin of flavor?

Explaining also the (g-2) is possible, but requires additional ingredients.

• Consistent picture, but present data in $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ require NP to be quite close: if $R_{D^{(*)}}$ stays, we NP effects must show up soon, at low and high energy. Need experimental corroboration to guide us.