Spin asymmetries and their accuracy in electron-nucleus collisions

Doris Jakubaßa-Amundsen University of Munich (LMU)

May, 2022

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Spin asymmetries and their accuracy in electron-nucleus collisions

Doris Jakubaßa-Amundsen University of Munich (LMU)

May, 2022

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Contents:

- Definition and motivation
- Asymmetry in elastic scattering from ¹²C
- Asymmetry from excitation of ¹²C
- Influence of QED effects and dispersion

1a. Definition of spin asymmetries

Elastic scattering of polarized electrons (ζ_i) into helicity \pm eigenstates $(\zeta_f = \pm \hat{k}_f)$:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i},\pm) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{unpol} [1 + S(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}) + \left\{L(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}_{i}) - R(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i} \cdot (\boldsymbol{n} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}_{i}))\right\}(\pm)\right]$$

 $\boldsymbol{n} \uparrow \boldsymbol{k}_i imes \boldsymbol{k}_f$ normal to scattering plane

$$L^{\text{PWBA}} = 1 - \frac{2m^2c^4\sin^2\vartheta_f/2}{E_i^2\cos^2\vartheta_f/2 + m^2c^2\sin^2\vartheta/2} = 1 \text{ if } m = 0$$

longitudinal spin asymmetry

S = Sherman function (perpendicular spin asymmetry)

1b. Motivation:

Parity violation experiments

Scattering potential $V(r) = V_{coul}(r) + \gamma_5 V_1(r)$

$$\gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies \gamma_5 \begin{pmatrix} g \\ f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$

large component: $g \sim \chi_{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ even parity small component: $f \sim (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{k}) \chi_{1/2}$ odd parity $(\boldsymbol{k} \mapsto -\boldsymbol{k})$

Decomposition into helicity eigenstates: $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_ \psi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \gamma_5) \psi$

$$\begin{split} m &= 0 \implies \psi_{\pm} \text{ eigenstates to } V_{\text{coul}} \pm V_1 \\ \implies \text{ helicity conservation } (L = 1) : \\ \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} (\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i = +, \pm) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_{unpol} [1 \pm L (\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}_i)] \\ &= 0 \text{ for spin flip} \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Parity violating asymmetry

$$A_L = rac{d\sigma/d\Omega(\psi_+) - d\sigma/d\Omega(\psi_-)}{d\sigma/d\Omega(\psi)}$$
 measure of V_1

In fact $m \neq 0 \implies L < 1$ no helicity conservation Sum rule: $S^2 + L^2 + R^2 = 1 \implies S \neq 0$

Background asymmetry arises from: beam admixture δ of perpendicularly polarized e^- :

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\delta \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i\perp}, \pm) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_{unpol} \left[1 + \delta S \left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \right) \right]$$

 \implies Coulomb asymmetry

$$\delta S = \frac{d\sigma/d\Omega(+\delta) - d\sigma/d\Omega(-\delta)}{(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{unpol}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

adds to A_L

2. Asymmetry in elastic scattering from spin-0 nuclei

Scattering operator for potential scattering

$$\hat{f}_{coul}(k_i, \vartheta_f) = A + B \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \qquad \mathbf{n} \uparrow \mathbf{k}_i \times \mathbf{k}_f$$

Perpendicularly polarized electrons $(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i = \pm \boldsymbol{n})$:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{coul}}}{d\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}) = \left(\frac{d\sigma_{\text{coul}}}{d\Omega}\right)_{unpol} \left[1 + S_{\text{coul}}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}\right)\right]$$

with

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma_{\text{coul}}}{d\Omega}\right)_{unpol} = \frac{k_f}{k_i} \frac{1}{f_{\text{rec}}} \left[|A|^2 + |B|^2\right] \quad \text{(recoil prefactor)}$$

$$S_{\text{coul}} = \frac{2 \operatorname{Re} (AB^*)}{|A|^2 + |B|^2}$$
 relates to spin-fli

(purely relativistic effect) $S^{PWBA} = 0$ spin asymmetry sensitive to higher-order effects

200

р



Sherman function

3. Asymmetry from excitation of ^{12}C

Excitation cross section of spin-zero nucleus to $L^{\pi}(\omega_L)$:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}) = \frac{k_{f}}{k_{i}} \frac{4\pi^{3} E_{i} E_{f}}{f_{\text{rec}} c^{2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f}} \sum_{M_{L}} \left| A_{fi}^{\text{coul}} + A_{fi}^{\text{mag}} \right|^{2}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{fi}^{\text{coul}} \\ A_{fi}^{\text{mag}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{c} \int d\boldsymbol{r}_{N} d\boldsymbol{r}_{e} \left(\psi_{k_{f}}^{(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f})+}(\boldsymbol{r}_{e}) \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \psi_{k_{i}}^{(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i})}(\boldsymbol{r}_{e}) \right)$$

$$\times \frac{e^{i\omega_{L}/c|\boldsymbol{r}_{e}-\boldsymbol{r}_{N}|}}{|\boldsymbol{r}_{e}-\boldsymbol{r}_{N}|} \begin{pmatrix} \varrho_{L}(r_{N}) Y_{LM_{L}}^{*}(\Omega_{N}) \\ -i\sum_{\lambda} J_{L\lambda}(r_{N}) Y_{L\lambda}^{M_{L}*}(\Omega_{N}) \end{pmatrix}$$

For parity $\pi = (-1)^{L}$: ϱ_L , $J_{L,L+1}$, $J_{L,L-1}$ nuclear transition densities calculated from QRPA, QPM models (Ponomarev)

For perpendicular beam polarization ($\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i = \pm \boldsymbol{n}$):

$$S = \frac{d\sigma/d\Omega(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i) - d\sigma/d\Omega(-\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i)}{(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{\text{unpol}}}$$

Angular distribution of the electron-impact excitation cross section and Sherman function S for ¹²C, L = 1, 2:



Elastic scattering dominant at small angles Excitation dominant at large angles

Energy distribution of the electron-impact excitation cross section and Sherman function S for ¹²C:



Experiment: Fregeau, Crannell

Cross section minimum corresponds to extrema of S

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□ ◆ ○ ◆

4a. Influence of QED effects in elastic scattering



vacuum polarization

vertex correction

self energy

bremsstrahlung

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

æ

Methods of calculation:

$$\begin{array}{ll} f_{\rm coul}^{\rm vac} &= f_{\rm coul}(V_{\rm coul} \,+\, V_{\rm Uehling}) & {\rm phase-shift\ method} \\ & A_{fi}^{\rm vs},\ d\sigma_{\rm softbr}/d\Omega & {\rm in\ Born} & ({\rm Tsai,\ Maximon}) \\ {\rm hard\ bremsstrahlung:} \\ {\rm Sommerfeld-Maue\ theory} & ({\rm weak\ relativistic}) \\ & \omega > \omega_0 \approx 1\ {\rm MeV} \\ & \omega_{\rm max} = \Delta E & {\rm detector\ resolution} & (4\ {\rm MeV}) \\ & ({\rm smaller\ than\ 2_1^+-excitation\ energy}) \end{array}$$

Elastic scattering with QED effects:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\text{QED}}}{d\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}) = \frac{k_{f}}{k_{i}} \frac{1}{f_{\text{rec}}} \sum_{\sigma_{f}} \left[|f_{\text{coul}}^{\text{vac}}|^{2} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ f_{\text{coul}}^{Born*} \cdot A_{fi}^{vs} \right\} + \frac{d\sigma_{\text{softbr}}}{d\Omega} (\omega \leq \omega_{0}) \right] + \frac{d\sigma_{\text{hardbr}}}{d\Omega} (\omega_{0} < \omega \leq \omega_{\text{max}})$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

$$S = \frac{d\sigma^{QED}/d\Omega(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}) - d\sigma^{QED}/d\Omega(-\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i})}{(d\sigma^{QED}/d\Omega)_{unpol}}$$
$$S = S_{coul} (1 + \Delta S) \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta S = S/S_{coul} - 1$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

4b. Dispersion in elastic scattering

Box diagram : second Born (Friar, Rosen)



Virtual nuclear excitation to state L, M

Nuclear transition matrix element $T_{00} \sim (F_L^c Y_{LM})(\boldsymbol{q}_2) \cdot (F_L^c Y_{LM}^*)(\boldsymbol{q}_1)$

$$F_L^c(q) = \int r_N^2 dr_N \,\varrho_L(r_N) \, j_L(qr_N)$$

Charge 1

 $F_{L\lambda}^{te}(q) = \int r_N^2 dr_N J_{L\lambda}(r_N) j_{\lambda}(qr_N)$

$$T_{mn} \sim \sum_{\lambda=L\pm 1} (F_{L\lambda}^{te} \boldsymbol{Y}_{L\lambda}^{M})_m(\boldsymbol{q}_2) \cdot \sum_{\lambda'=L\pm 1} (F_{L\lambda'}^{te} \boldsymbol{Y}_{L\lambda'}^{M*})_n(\boldsymbol{q}_1)$$

Dispersion amplitude

$$A_{fi}^{\text{box}} = \frac{\sqrt{E_i E_f}}{\pi^2 \ c^3} \sum_{LM,\omega_L} \int d\mathbf{p} \frac{1}{(q_2^2 + i\epsilon)(q_1^2 + i\epsilon)} \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^3 t_{\mu\nu} \ T^{\mu\nu}$$

Electron transition matrix element

$$t_{\mu\nu} = c \, u_{k_f}^{(\sigma_f)+} \gamma_0 \gamma_\mu \, \frac{E_p + c \alpha p + \beta m c^2}{E_p^2 - p^2 c^2 - m^2 c^4 + i\epsilon} \, \gamma_0 \gamma_\nu \, u_{k_i}^{(\sigma_i)}$$

intermediate electron energy $E_p \approx E_i - \omega_L$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Photon momenta $\boldsymbol{q}_1 = \boldsymbol{k}_i - \boldsymbol{p}, \ \boldsymbol{q}_2 = \boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{k}_f$

Cross section including dispersion

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\text{box}}}{d\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}) = \frac{d\sigma_{\text{coul}}}{d\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}) + \frac{k_{f}}{k_{i}} \frac{1}{f_{\text{rec}}} \sum_{\sigma_{f}} 2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{f_{\text{coul}}^{*} \cdot A_{fi}^{\text{box}}\right\}$$
Dominant nuclear excitations of ¹²C:

$$\int_{10^{10}}^{10^{10}} \int_{17.7}^{10^{10}} \int_{17.7}^{10^{10}} \int_{17.7}^{10^{10}} \int_{10^{10}}^{12^{23.5}} \int_{12^{10}}^{12^{10}} \int_{10^{10}}^{12^{10}} \int_{10^{10}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Quadrupole: (2_1^+) at $\omega_L = 4.439$ MeV

$$S^{\text{box}} = \frac{d\sigma^{\text{box}}/d\Omega(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i) - d\sigma^{\text{box}}/d\Omega(-\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i)}{(d\sigma^{\text{box}}/d\Omega)_{unpol}}$$

Asymmetry change by dispersion



Dominant contribution to ΔS_{tot} from 23.5 MeV state for small ϑ_f Increase of asymmetry with decreasing angle 4c. Combined QED and dispersion effects

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\text{QED+box}}}{d\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}) = \frac{k_{f}}{k_{i}} \frac{1}{f_{\text{rec}}} \sum_{\sigma_{f}} \left[|f_{\text{coul}}^{\text{vac}}|^{2} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ f_{\text{coul}}^{\text{Born*}} \cdot (A_{fi}^{\text{vs}} + A_{fi}^{\text{box}}) \right\} + \frac{d\sigma_{\text{softbr}}}{d\Omega} \right] + \frac{d\sigma_{\text{hardbr}}}{d\Omega}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Visibility of the QED + box correction



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

4d. Results for the MESA experiments

$55 + 155 \text{ MeV } e^{+12} \text{C}$

	55 MeV $/35^{\circ}$	55 MeV $/145^\circ$	155 MeV $/35^\circ$
$S_{ m coul}$	-2.46e-5	-8.58e-4	-3.13e-6
$\Delta S_{ m vacpol}$	6.74e-3	7.89e-3	8.18e-3
ΔS_{vsb}	0.124	0.177	0.233
$\Delta S_{ m hardbr}$	-4.52e-2	-5.99e-2	-5.76e-2
$\Delta S_{ m box}$	-0.142	-1.39e-2	-0.195
$\Delta S_{ m QED+box}$	-7.59e-2	8.52e-2	-5.87e-2
$S_{ m tot}$	-2.27e-5	-9.32e-4	-2.95e-6

Accuracy:

hard bremsstrahlung: $\omega_{\max} \equiv \Delta E = 4$ MeV (detector resolution) $|\Delta S_{hardbr}|$ decreases for higher detector resolution ΔS_{box} : numerics $\lesssim 5\%$ transient excited states: higher multipoles??

Thank you!

Doris Jakubaßa-Amundsen University of Munich (LMU) Spin asymmetries and their accuracy in electron-nucleus collisions

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

Perpendicular spin asymmetry for ^{12}C at 25.9°



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○