Radiative corrections to μe scattering in MESMER

MITP, Mainz

Ettore Budassi¹, Clara Lavinia del Pio¹ 15/11/2022

¹University of Pavia and INFN Pavia

In collaboration with: C. M. Carloni Calame, M. Chiesa, S. M. Hasan, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini and F. Piccinini



Overview

• Photonic NNLO contributions

• MESMER

- NNLO lepton pair contributions
- π^0 production



Numerical results for μe scattering

µe scattering at NLO

- Both real and virtual QED corrections to μe scattering are included.
- Weak effects are known, at the level of \sim
- Full dependence on masses and Radiative Corrections have been studied with specific kinematical cuts that mimic the experimental setup of MUonE:
 - Basic acceptance cuts: $\vartheta_e, \vartheta_\mu < 100$ mrad and $E_e > 1$ GeV;

Acoplanarity cut:
$$\xi = |\pi - |\phi_e - \phi_\mu| < 1$$

M. Alacevich, C. M. Carloni Calame et al., JHEP 02 (2019) 155.

$$10^{-5}$$
 (LO) and $\lesssim 10^{-6}$ (NLO).

 $< \xi_c = 3.5$ mrad.

NNLO Photonic Corrections

NNLO Photonic Corrections: exact contributions

- |NLO virtual diagrams|²
- emission. 2-loop QED vertex from factors taken from Mastrolia and Remiddi.



C. M. Carloni Calame, M. Chiesa, S. M. Hasan, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, and F. Piccinini, JHEP 11 (2020) 028. P. Mastrolia and E. Remiddi, Nucl.Phys.B 664 (2003), 341-356.

• Virtual NNLO photonic contributions are included exactly for electron or muon leg

NNLO Photonic Corrections: exact contributions

• Interference of LO $\mu e \rightarrow \mu e \gamma$ amplitude with



NNLO Photonic Corrections: exact contributions



C. M. Carloni Calame, M. Chiesa, S. M. Hasan, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, and F. Piccinini, JHEP 11 (2020) 028. P. Banerjee, T. Engel, A. Signer, Y. Ulrich. SciPost Phys. 9 (2020), 027; P. Banerjee et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020) 6, 591.

are cross-checked against McMule.



C. M. Carloni Calame, M. Chiesa, S. M. Hasan, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, and F. Piccinini, JHEP 11 (2020) 028.

NNLO Photonic Corrections: approximated contributions

• Of the two-loop virtual diagrams with a virtual photon insertion on top of NLO boxes, only the IR part is included exactly (YFS).

• The non-IR remnants are approximate.

• All photonic NNLO effects weigh at most some % at the Phase Space boundaries.

• Work is in progress for the full $\mu e \rightarrow \mu e$ at NNLO (Padova&PSI).

$$\begin{split} & \text{NNLO Photonic Corrections: in formulas...}} \\ & \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\alpha^2} = \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_e^{\alpha^2} + \mathcal{M}_{\mu}^{\alpha^2} + \mathcal{M}_{e\mu,1\text{L}\times1\text{L}}^{\alpha^2}}_{\text{Exact}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}Y_{e\mu}^2\mathcal{T} + Y_{e\mu}(Y_e + Y_\mu)\mathcal{T} + (Y_e + Y_\mu)\mathcal{M}_{e\mu}^{\alpha^1,\text{R}} + Y_{e\mu}\mathcal{M}^{\alpha^1,\text{R}}}_{\text{C}e\mu} + Y_{e\mu}\mathcal{M}^{\alpha^1,\text{R}} + Y_{e\mu}\mathcal{M}^{\alpha^1,\text{R}}}_{\text{C}e\mu} + Y_{e\mu}\mathcal{M}^{\alpha^1,\text{R}} + Y_{e\mu}\mathcal{M}^{\alpha^1,\text{R}}}_{\text{C}e\mu} + Y_{e\mu}\mathcal{M}^{\alpha^1,\text{R}}_{\text{C}e\mu} + Y_{e\mu}\mathcal{M}^{\alpha^1,\text{R}}_{\text{C}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{8} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} Q_i^2 \left[B_0 \left(0, m_i^2, m_i^2 \right) - 4m_i^2 C_0 \left(m_i^2, 0, m_i^2, \lambda^2, m_i^2, m_i^2 \right) \right] & \text{for } i = j \\ \frac{\alpha}{\pi} Q_i Q_j \vartheta_i \vartheta_j \left[p_i \cdot p_j C_0 \left(m_i^2, (\vartheta_i p_i + \vartheta_i p_j)^2, m_j^2, \lambda^2, m_i^2, m_j^2 \right) + \\ + \frac{1}{4} B_0 \left((\vartheta_i p_i + \vartheta_j p_j)^2, m_i^2, m_j^2 \right) \right] & \text{for } i \neq j \end{cases}$$



$$\frac{\text{NLO} - d\sigma_i^{\text{NLO}}}{d\sigma_i^{\text{LO}}} \times 100$$



$$\frac{\text{NLO} - d\sigma_i^{\text{NLO}}}{d\sigma_i^{\text{LO}}} \times 100$$



C. M. Carloni Calame, M. Chiesa, S. M. Hasan, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, and F. Piccinini, JHEP 11 (2020) 028.



C. M. Carloni Calame, M. Chiesa, S. M. Hasan, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, and F. Piccinini, JHEP 11 (2020) 028.

Exact NNLO photonic corrections

- $m_f = 0$. Using crossing symmetry it can be used for $\mu e \rightarrow \mu e$;
- divergences in terms of $\ln (Q^2/m_e)$;
- NNLO double boxes are CPU expensive (>1 s/event on a single core).



• The complete two-loop corrections to $f\bar{f} \to F\bar{F}$ have been calculated by Bonciani *et. al* for

• The amplitudes with $m_e = 0$ can undergo the massification procedure, to get the collinear

Difference between YFSapproximated and exact NNLO photonic K factor.

> R. Bonciani et. al, PRL 128 (2022) 2. T. Engel et al. JHEP 02 (2019) 118

PRELIMINARY!

MESMER

Relevant references

- Alacevich et al., Muon-electron scattering at NLO, JHEP 02 (2019) 155
- Carloni Calame et al., Towards muon-electron scattering at NNLO, JHEP 11 (2020) 028
- E. Budassi *et al.*, Single π^0 production in μe scattering at MUonE, <u>PLB 829 (2022) 137138</u>

• E. Budassi et al., NNLO virtual and real leptonic corrections to muon-electron scattering, JHEP 11 (2021) 098



What can MESMER calculate?

- NLO QED corrections: single real or virtual γ ;
- NNLO photonic corrections (approximate);
- $l = e, \mu$ (not public yet);
- $\mu e \rightarrow \mu e \pi^0$, with $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (not public yet).

• Exact NNLO lepton pair contributions and $\mu e \rightarrow \mu e l^+ l^-$, with

MESMER

Fully differential Monte Carlo event generator for highprecision simulation of μe scattering at low energies, developed for the MUonE experiment.

MESMER (Muon Electron Scattering with Multiple Electromagnetic Radiation)

The code

- The code can be found in <u>this github</u> repository.
- MESMER is mostly written in Fortran 77 language.
- Some external libraries are used for one-loop integrals and pseudorandom number generation;
- HVP is included using Jegerlehner's, Keshavarzi-Nomura-Teubner's and Ignatov's routines.

Parameters & output

- Some kinematical constraints are imposed at generation level. cuts.F is the needs;
- A generic incoming muon momentum can be fed in input event by event, for realistic simulations (beam profile);
- colleagues (weights, momenta etc.);
- gnuplot).
- program.

routine that selects kinematical cuts and can be modified according to the user's

• Events are stored in a format that has been thought out with the experimental

• Differential distributions are written in specific files, ready to be plotted (*e.g.* with

• A C/C++ interface is provided to use the code as a library in a driver simulation

Weighting vs. Un-weighting





- Events have their weight;
- It needs to be carried throughout the whole detector simulation;
- Fast generation.

- Events are not weighted: they are distributed according to the cross section;
- Slow due to the un-weighting procedure.
- It is tricky to guess a correct maximiser for weights before the generation.

Reweighting

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{w_i}{n} \qquad \qquad \sigma_{reweighted} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{w_i}{n} \times r_i; \qquad r_i = \frac{w_i^{rew}}{w_i}$$

- In the same run we can calculate different re-weighting coefficients r_i to study the inclusion/exclusion of different contributions (*e.g.* VP, HVP parametrisations *etc.*);
- No need to re-generate another MC sample to account for different effects!
- Since the MC sample is the same, one can exloit the statistical correlation on the weights to reduce errors.

Now it's Clara's turn.