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Physics motivations and goals

## Physics motivations

- Abundance of the elements in the Universe
- in weight: H-74\%, He-24\%, O-0.85\%, C-0.39\%, ...
- Abundance of the elements in the human body:
- in weight: O-65\%, C-18\%, H-10\%, N - 3\%, other 4\%



## Physics motivations

- Synthesis of He in H -burning reactions
- pp-chain, CNO cycle, hot-CNO, NeNa cycle, MgAl cycle, ...
- $4 \mathrm{p} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}+2 \mathrm{e}^{+}+2 v$

- Synthesis of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Ne}$ in He-burning
- $3 \alpha \rightarrow{ }^{12} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(\alpha, \gamma){ }^{16} \mathrm{O} ;{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}(\alpha, \gamma){ }^{20} \mathrm{Ne}$


Cross-section measurement of $(\alpha, \gamma)$ and $(p, \gamma)$ at astrophysical energies

## Nature's challenges: the issue of the Coulomb barrier

- The issue of the Coulomb barrier: at typical He-burning temperatures of $\mathrm{T}_{6} \sim 300, \mathrm{kT} \sim 200 \mathrm{keV} \ll \mathrm{E}_{\text {Coul }}(2-8 \mathrm{MeV})$


Astrophysical factor


Nuclear reactions that generate energy and synthesise elements take place inside the stars in a relatively narrow energy window: the Gamow peak

Gamow Energy for He-burning reactions: few hundreds keV

## Science goals

- Accurate measurements of (very small) cross sections of $(\alpha, \gamma)$ and ( $\mathrm{p}, \gamma$ ) nuclear reactions
$\rightarrow$ fundamental observable to determine reaction rates
$\rightarrow$ to be determined at the relevant energies (Gamow peak)
$\rightarrow$ reaction rates as a function of the temperature/environmental condition are input for star evolution models
- Flagship reaction: ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(\alpha, \gamma){ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ at low energies

The flagship ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+\alpha$--> ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}+\gamma$ : status of the experimental knowledge

Total cross-section for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\left(\alpha, \gamma_{0}\right)^{16} \mathrm{O}$


## Physics motivations: the flagship ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+\alpha-->{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}+\gamma$

## Survival of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$

- $\alpha$-burning in the flagship ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+\alpha$--> ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}+\gamma$
- nuclear structure properties of ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$

Two reaction mechanisms available

- non-resonant direct-capture
- non-resonant capture into the tails of nearby resonances



## The flagship ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+\alpha$--> ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}+\gamma$ : status of the experimental knowledge

Extrapolated S-factor for p-wave (E1) \& d-wave (E2) ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+\alpha$ capture for Gamow peak in red giants (300 MK)

$S(E)=\sigma(E) \cdot E \cdot e^{2 \pi \eta}$
Center of Mass Energy (MeV) $2 \pi \eta=31.29 \cdot Z_{1} \cdot Z_{2} \sqrt{\mu / E_{c m}}$

The flagship ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+\alpha-->{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}+\gamma$ : status of the experimental knowledge

Uncertainties: R.J. deBoer et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 2017, 035007


Uncertainty in the $S$ factor (model+MC analysis). Data from Schürmann et al. (2005)

Uncertainties relative to the best fit value for the Monte Carlo analysis
Uncertainties derived from the model
Total uncertainty

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{E})=\sigma(\mathrm{E}) \cdot \mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \eta} \\
& 2 \pi \eta=31.29 \cdot Z_{1} \cdot Z_{2} \sqrt{\mu / E_{c m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Methodology of choice

Low-pressure Active-Target TPC coupled to monochromatic $\gamma$-ray beams

## Experimental challenges

- Measurement of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(\alpha, \gamma){ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ cross section at the Gamow peak beyond the current experimental reach
- R-matrix fits to extrapolate at Gamow energy
- cross sections need to be measured at as-low-as-possible c.o.m. energies to constrain the fit
- measurements are challenging below 2 MeV in c.o.m.:
* Limited beam intensity and target thickness
* Beam-induced background from contaminant reactions ( $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\alpha, \mathrm{n})^{16} \mathrm{O}\right)$


## Experimental challenges : how to meet the challenge and improve the accuracy

- Measuring the cross section for the p - and $\alpha$-capture reactions by means of the inverse photo-disintegration reaction
- Strong and e.m. interactions invariant with respect to time reversal
- photo-disintegration vs capture reaction

$$
\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{~b}, \gamma) \mathrm{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~A}(\gamma, \mathrm{~b}) \mathrm{B}
$$

- principle of detailed balance in nuclear reactions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{\mathrm{br}} \cdot \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{b} \gamma} \cdot \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b} \gamma}{ }^{2}=\sigma_{\gamma \mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathrm{~g}_{\gamma \mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathrm{p}_{\gamma \mathrm{b}}{ }^{2} \\
\sigma_{b \gamma}=\sigma_{\gamma b} \cdot \frac{g_{\gamma b}}{g_{b \gamma}} \cdot \frac{p_{\gamma b}^{2}}{p_{b \gamma}^{2}}=\sigma_{\gamma b} \frac{2 J_{C N}+1}{\left(2 J_{b}+1\right)\left(2 J_{B}+1\right)} \cdot \frac{E_{\gamma}^{2}}{E_{C M}} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_{b B} c^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathrm{g}_{b \gamma}, \mathrm{~g}_{\gamma \mathrm{b}}=
$$

spin factors

## Experimental challenges : how to meet the challenge and improve the accuracy

- Measuring the cross section for the p - and $\alpha$-capture reactions by means of the inverse photodisintegration reaction
- Advantages
- direct capture vs photo-disintegration reaction (at Ecm =1.0 MeV):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{12} \mathrm{C}+\alpha-->{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}+\gamma \Rightarrow \sigma=50 \mathrm{pb} \\
& { }^{16} \mathrm{O}+\gamma-->{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+\alpha \Longrightarrow \sigma=2000 \mathrm{pb}
\end{aligned}
$$

- inherently low background measurements
- different systematic uncertainty w.r.t. charged-particle induced reactions at low energies
* target and its deterioration
* (effective) beam energy definition
- only ground-state branch measured
- intense monochromatic and focussed $\gamma$-ray beams


## Warsaw active target TPC

$\checkmark$ An active-target TPC to study reaction cross-sections of astrophysical interest where the reaction products are charged particles
-> full unambiguous reconstruction of multiple-particle events is possible


- active volume: $33 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$
- under-pressured (100-250 mbar of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ): low-energy particles!
- charge amplification: 3 GEM structures



## Warsaw active target TPC: detector concept

- Read-out:
- 3-coordinate, planar, redundant electronic readout: 3 independent linear sets of strips (u-v-w): 1.5 mm pitch
- needs only ~1000 channels $\rightarrow$ moderate cost of electronics
- u-v-w strip arrays for hit disambiguation in 2D $\rightarrow$ virtual pixels
- z-coordinate from timing information
- aimed for relatively simple event topologies $\rightarrow>$ few tracks per event
- General Electronics for TPCs (GET) for signal amplification \& digitization:
- flexible sampling frequency: 1-100 MHz
- adjustable gain \& filtering per channel
- both external- and self-trigger possible


Measurements and preliminary results

## HI $\gamma$ S facility: monochromatic $\gamma$-ray beams

HI $\gamma$ S facility (TUNL, Durham, NC)

- quasi-monoenergetic $\gamma$ beams
- energies: 1 to 100 MeV with ~3\% FWHM
- linear and circular polarisation

$\mathbf{E}_{\gamma}$
- Production of monochromatic $\gamma$-ray beams:
- Compton Back Scattering of photons on ultra-relativistic electrons (the most efficient frequency amp.)
- FEL: $\lambda=400 \mathrm{~nm}-193 \mathrm{~nm}$



## ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ photo-disintegration experiment

- Measurement conducted in April and August/September 2022 at the HI $\gamma$ S facility (TUNL, Durham, NC)
- Monochromatic $\gamma$-ray beams produced with
- $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}=8.51$ to 13.9 MeV )
- $I_{\gamma}=1.5-4 \cdot 10^{8} \gamma / \mathrm{s}$
- $\mathrm{FWHM}=350 \mathrm{keV}$ at 10.7 MeV



## ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ photo-disintegration experiment: beam monitoring

- Beam monitoring:
- energy determined by HPGe detector
- intensity as a function of time monitored by means of scintillation counters
- absolute calibration of the scintillation detectors event rate:
$(\gamma, n)$ activation measurements on ${ }^{197} \mathrm{Au}$ targets synchronous with data taking
- beam alignment:
- laser beam collinear with $\gamma$ beam + collimator 10.5 mm
- Attenuated beam and gamma-camera



## ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ photo-disintegration experiment: Warsaw active-target TPC

- Active gas: $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$
- 130 mbar for $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}<10 \mathrm{MeV}$
- 190 mbar for $11 \mathrm{MeV}<\mathrm{E}_{\gamma} \leq 13.1 \mathrm{MeV}$
- 250 mbar for $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma} \geq 13.1 \mathrm{MeV}$
- Charged reaction-products detected



## ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ photo-disintegration experiment: background

- $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas: natural isotopic composition
$\rightarrow$ reactions on ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ - goal of the experiment
discriminant: topology
$\rightarrow$ reactions on ${ }^{17,18} \mathrm{O}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ - beam-induced background discriminant: Q-value



## Preliminary(!) results: ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}(\gamma, \alpha)$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}=13.9 \mathrm{MeV}
$$



Preliminary(!) results: ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(\gamma, 3 \alpha)$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}=13.9 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

Event 5114: UZ projection


Event 5114: WZ projection


Event 5114: VZ projection



## Preliminary(!) results: ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}(\gamma, \alpha)$


time

## Preliminary(!) results: ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}(\gamma, \alpha)$



|  | Next event |
| :---: | :---: |
| Previous event |  |
| Reset event |  |
| Exit |  |
| $\square$ Set $Z$ logscale |  |
| F Set auto zoom |  |
| $\checkmark$ Set reco mode |  |
| $\ulcorner$ Display rate |  |
| -Go to event id. |  |
|  | 29 |
| -Go to frame. |  |
|  | 0 |



## Event type $\square$ Noise <br> $\square$ Noise

- Multi-vertex
- Fractured track
$\square$ Pretty event
$\square$ Weird event
- Spare cat. 1
- Spare cat. 2
$\square$ Spare cat. 3


## ${ }^{16} O(\gamma, \alpha)$

time

## Event identification


$\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}=8.66 \mathrm{MeV}$

Topology:
2-particle events

## Preliminary!



LAB ref. system

## Angular distribution (polar, $\theta$ )--> multipolarity



$\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}=11.5 \mathrm{MeV}$

$$
{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}-->{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+\alpha
$$

Topology:
2-particle events


LAB ref. system

## Angular distribution (polar, $\theta$ ) --> multipolarity

Topology:
2-particle events

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}=12.3 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

$$
{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}-->{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+\alpha
$$



2-particle events
LAB ref. system

## Angular distribution (azimuthal, $\phi$ ) --> beam characterization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Polarization --> Stokes vector } \\
& \vec{S}=\left(1, S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}\right)^{T} \\
& W(\phi)=1+f \cdot \cos \left(\phi-\phi_{0}\right) \\
& s_{1}=\frac{W\left(0^{\circ}\right)-W(\pi / 2)}{W\left(0^{\circ}\right)+W(\pi / 2)} \\
& s_{2}=\frac{W(\pi / 4)-W(-\pi / 4)}{W(\pi / 4)+W(-\pi / 4)} \\
& s_{3}=\sqrt{1-s_{1}^{2}-s_{2}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}=11.5 \mathrm{MeV}$

## Degree of circular polarization

- direct measurment of $\gamma$ beam polariz.

$$
S_{3}=0.9374
$$

- direct measurement of linear polariz. of laser beam (Y.K. Wu, 2021, priv. comm.), values in very good agreement


## Preliminary!



## Summary and Outlook

- First experiments with the Warsaw active target TPC to measure ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}(\gamma, \alpha)$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(\gamma, 3 \alpha)$ at $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}=8.51-13.9 \mathrm{MeV}$ in April and Aug./Sep. 2022 at HI $\gamma$ S@TUNL
- Data under analysis, more to come...
... Stay Tuned!
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