

Hadron Physics in LHCb

https://indico.mitp.uni-mainz.de/event/191/contributions/3200/





INFN Milan

On behalf of the LHCb Collaboration

International Winter Meeting on Nuclear Physics

20-24 January 2020 Bormio, Italy

Outline

- LHCb is an extraordinary lab for hadron spectroscopy
- High cross section and excellent Trigger/DAQ allows high yields of heavy hadrons
 - 10^{11} bb/year
 - 20x for cc/year
- New results now include the full Run1+Run2 dataset
- I will cover only a selection of very recent results by LHCb
- More results in talks by Vincent Tisserand (Flavour) and Valery Pugatch (Ions)





The LHCb detector

- The LHCb experiment has proven very successful in finding new hadronic states
- Excellent resolution + PID allows detailed states with Kaons and Protons (gold for baryons)
- Main issues are related to high multiplicity and prompt background



Fully instrumented: $2 < \eta < 5$ Some sensitivity: $-3.5 < \eta < -1.5$

- Good Vertex measurements (20um and decay time resolution ~45 fs)
- \bullet Precise Tracking resolution ${\sim}0.5\%$
- Excellent PID up to 100GeV
- Versatile Trigger (L0+Hlt)



Hadrons

- The LHCb experiment has discovered many new states lately
- The study of hadrons containing heavy quarks has undergone a renaissance
- Very big datasets of charmed and bottomed baryons \rightarrow look for unknown resonances
- No limit on energy due to beam energies (e.g. in b-factories)



First observation of excited $\Omega_{\text{b}}^{\text{-}}$ states

- 6^{th} January 2020: Observation of new Ω_b baryon states (first time presented)
- A new system of four particles interpreted as four narrow excited Ω_{b} states
- These states are named the $\Omega_b(6316)^{-}$, $\Omega_b(6330)^{-}$, $\Omega_b(6340)^{-}$ and $\Omega b(6350)^{-}$
- History repeating as in 2017 five excited states of the Ω_{c^0} baryon in the $\Xi_{c^+}K^-$ mass spectrum
- Analysis uses the same idea, but with bottom particles, where yields are lower (+more stat)



First observation of excited $\Omega_{\text{b}}^{\text{-}}$ states

- Use pp collisions corresponding to a total integrated lumi of $9 fb^{-1}$
- Idea: search for narrow resonances in the $\Xi^{0}{}_{b}K^{-}$ mass close to the kinematic threshold
- Similar idea to the charmed case (but no feed-down from $b \rightarrow c$ transitions)
- Samples of Ξb^0 candidates formed by pairing $\Xi c^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \, \pi^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$, $\Xi c^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \to p K^{\scriptscriptstyle -} \pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$
- All particles have PID requirements
- Use topology of the decay
- Signal selected with Multivariate analysis (BDT)
- The fitted Ξb^0 signal yield is 19200 ± 200



- Then combine with a charged $K\ coming\ from\ same\ PV$
- Apply tight PID to exclude prompt pions
- Look for mass difference: resonances as bumps in $\delta M = M(\Xi^0 K^-) M(\Xi^0)$



First observation of excited $\Omega_{\rm b}{}^{\rm -}$ states

- The experimental δM resolution is obtained from simulated events

- Resolution variation vs δM mass is taken into account
- In the δM interval of interest σ is in the range of 0.7 0.8 MeV
- Study the right-sign (RS) and wrong-sign (WS) candidates



First observation of excited $\Omega_{\text{b}}^{\text{-}}$ states

- Four peaks are seen in the RS spectrum
- Perform a simultaneous unbinned extended maximum-likelihood fit to $\ensuremath{\mathrm{RS}}$ and $\ensuremath{\mathrm{WS}}$
- A common background shape is used to describe both the RS and WS spectra



First observation of excited Ω_{b} states

Measured masses

$$\begin{split} m(\Omega_b(6316)^-) &= 6315.64 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.50 \,\text{MeV}, \\ m(\Omega_b(6330)^-) &= 6330.30 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.50 \,\text{MeV}, \\ m(\Omega_b(6340)^-) &= 6339.71 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.50 \,\text{MeV}, \\ m(\Omega_b(6350)^-) &= 6349.88 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.50 \,\text{MeV}, \end{split}$$



- The natural widths of the three lower mass states are consistent with zero
 - UL $\Gamma(\Omega b(6316)) < 2.8 \text{ MeV}$
 - UL $\Gamma(\Omega b(6330)) < 3.1 \text{ MeV}$
 - UL $\Gamma(\Omega b(6340)) < 1.5 \text{ MeV}$
- The natural width of the $\Omega_b(6350)$ peak is $1.4^{+1.0}_{-0.8} \pm 0.1$ MeV
- Local significances ranging from 3.6σ to 7.2σ
- Still significant after look-else where effect

First observation of excited $\Omega_{\text{b}}^{\text{-}}$ states

arXiv2001.00851

Significances

Peak of δM	Width	Signal	Signific	cances $[\sigma]$
[MeV]	[MeV]	yield	Local	Global
523.74 ± 0.31	$0.00 {}^{+ 0.7}_{- 0.0}$	15^{+6}_{-5}	3.6	2.1
538.40 ± 0.28	$0.00 {}^{+0.4}_{-0.0}$	18^{+6}_{-5}	3.7	2.6
547.81 ± 0.26	$0.47^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$	47^{+11}_{-10}	7.2	6.7
557.98 ± 0.35	$1.4^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$	57^{+14}_{-13}	7.0	6.2

Systematics

Source	Peak 1	Peak 2	Peak 3	Peak 4
	[MeV]	[MeV]	[MeV]	[MeV]
Momentum scale	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03
Energy loss	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
Signal shape	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Background	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.01
Total	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.05

In summary

	$\delta M_{\rm peak} [{ m MeV}]$	Mass [MeV]	Width [MeV]
$\Omega_{b}(6316)^{-}$	$523.74 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.07$	$6315.64 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.50$	< 2.8 (4.2)
$\Omega_{b}(6330)^{-}$	$538.40 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.07$	$6330.30 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.50$	< 3.1 (4.7)
$\Omega_{b}(6340)^{-}$	$547.81 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.05$	$6339.71 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.50$	< 1.5 (1.8)
$\Omega_{b}(6350)^{-}$	$557.98 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.05$	$6349.88 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.50$	< 2.8 (3.2)
			$1.4^{+1.0}_{-0.8} \pm 0.1$

First observation of excited $\Omega_{\rm b}{}^{\rm -}$ states

Possible interpretation of the states

arXiv2001.00851

- They are qualitatively similar to those observed in the $\Xi^+\!{}_cK^-mass$ spectrum
- The simplest interpretation of these peaks is that they correspond to excited Ω_b states
- Possibly the L = 1 excitations of the ground state (or possible n = 2 radial excitations)
- Different models predict five states most of which should be narrow (<1MeV)
- Quark-diquark models have also predicted several excited Ωb states
- However, there are no predictions for the decay widths
- Molecular models have also been employed, where two narrow states are predicted at 6405 and 6465MeV: no such peaks at those masses are seen in our data
- •
- An alternate interpretation for one or more of the observed peaks is that they arise from the decay of a higher-mass excited Ωb state, $\Omega^{**-}b \rightarrow \Xi^{0}b(\rightarrow \Xi^{0}b\pi^{0})K^{-}$, where $\pi 0$ meson is undetected
- While the Ξb^{-} , Ξb^{*-} and Ξb^{*0} baryons have been observed, the $\Xi^{0}b$ is yet to be seen

K. Thakkar, Z. Shah, A. K. Rai, and P. C. Vinodkumar, *Excited state mass spectra and Regge trajectories of bottom baryons*, Nucl. Phys. A965 (2017) 57, arXiv:1610.00411

S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi, and H. Sundu, On the nature of the newly discovered \varOmega states, EPL 118 (2017) 61001, arXiv:1703.07091.

S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi, and H. Sundu, Decay widths of the excited Ω_b^- baryons, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 094011, arXiv:1708.07348.

Q. Mao et al., D-wave heavy baryons of the SU(3) flavor $\mathbf{6}_F$ representation, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 074021, arXiv:1707.03712. E. Santopinto et al., The Ω_c^0 -puzzle solved by means of spectrum and strong decay amplitude predictions, Eur. Phys. J. C79 (2019) 1012, [arXiv:1811.01799].

G. Yang, J. Ping, and J. Segovia, The S- and P-wave low-lying baryons in the chiral quark model, Few Body Syst. 59 (2018) 113, arXiv:1709.09315.

W. Roberts and M. Pervin, *Heavy baryons in a quark model*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A23 (2008) 2817, arXiv:0711.2492.

Y.-X. Yao, K.-L. Wang, and X.-H. Zhong, Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying d-wave singly heavy baryons, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 076015.

E.-L. Cui, H.-M. Yang, H.-X. Chen, and A. Hosaka, *Identifying the* $\Xi_b(6227)$ and $\Sigma_b(6097)$ as *P*-wave bottom baryons of $J^P = 3/2^-$, Phys. Rev. D **99** (2019) 094021.

22 January 2020

Observation of a new baryon in $\Lambda_b \pi^+ + \pi^-$

- Excited beauty baryons have been studied by CDF and LHCb
- The family of these baryons consists of Λ_b isosinglet and the Σb isotriplet states

Λbπ[±] spectrum

The lightest charged $\Sigma^{(*)\pm}$ b baryons have been observed by the CDF Later states confirmed by LHCb + heavier $\Sigma b(6097)^{\pm}$ states were discovered (LHCb)

Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 012001 (2019)

Phys. Rev. Lett.99(2007) 202001

$\Lambda b \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ final state

Near threshold has been studied by LHCb

Phys. Rev.Lett.109(2012) 172003

- In 2011, discovery of two narrow states, $\Lambda b(5912)^0$ and $\Lambda b(5920)^0$ Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 152001
- In 2019, doublet of narrow states $\Lambda b(6146)^{_0}\,and\,\Lambda b(6152)^{_0}$ discovered
- Interpretations of those states as 1P and 2D, but states are missing
- Notably predicted in the region between the established narrow doublet states

Paolo Gandini - Bormio2020

PAPER-2019-045

soon on arXiv

- The analysis uses pp collision data recorded by LHCb in 2011–2018
- The Λb reconstructed via $\Lambda b \rightarrow \Lambda c + \pi and \Lambda b \rightarrow J/\psi p$ K- decay chains
- BDT selection similar to previous analyses to maintain consistency



PAPER-2019-045

soon on arXiv

• Simultaneous binned maximum-likelihood fit is performed

PAPER-2019-045 soon on arXiv

• Excess of events clearly appearing (confirmed in both independent samples)



• Fit to the lower mass window (where the 1P are)

PAPER-2019-045 soon on arXiv

- Widths still too narrow to be distinguished from exp resolution
- Now probing the 200keV range of widths



- A broad structure in the $\Lambda b \pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \pi^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ mass spectrum is observed
- The significance of the new structure exceeds 14 standard deviations
- Mass and natural width of the new state

 $m = 6072.3 \pm 2.9 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.2 \,\mathrm{MeV} \,,$

- $\Gamma = 72 \pm 11 \pm 2 \,\mathrm{MeV}\,,$
- The structure is consistent with the first radial excitation of the Ab baryon [$\Lambda b(2S)^{_0}$]
- Updated measurements of previously observed $\Lambda b(5912)^{_0}$ and $\Lambda b(5920)^{_0}$ states
- Several exited $\Sigma b(1P)$ states are expected with the mass close to the measured value But the partial decay widths for $\Sigma b(1P)$ states into $\Lambda b\pi\pi$ predicted to be very small
- If the observed broad peak corresponds to the $\Sigma b(1P)^{(*)0}$ state \rightarrow two peaks with similar masses and widths and significantly larger yields should be visible in the $\Lambda b\pi \pm$ spectra due to decays of the charged isospin partners $\Sigma b(1P)^{(*)\pm} \rightarrow \Lambda b\pi^{\pm}$
- However, no signs of states with such mass and width and large production yields are observed in the analysis of the $\Lambda b\pi^{\pm}$ mass spectra and the observed $\Sigma b(6097)^{\pm}$ states have significantly smaller natural width and relatively small yields

PAPER-2019-045 soon on arXiv

• If interested, supplementary material that will posted on the public CDS

PAPER-2019-045 soon on arXiv

- With detailed discussion on interpretation
- Is it a neutral component of the $\Sigma b(6097)$ triplet?



Figure 7: The $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ mass spectrum from Ref. [3] with superimposed expected signal from the $\Sigma_b^{**-} \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ decays.

Figure 8: The $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+$ mass spectrum from Ref. [3] with superimposed expected signal from the $\Sigma_b^{**+} \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0 \pi^+$ decays.

Paolo Gandini - Bormio2020

Other topics on Flavour

Precision measurement of the Ξ^{++}_{cc} mass

- Most precise measurement of the $\Xi^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}cc$ mass to date
- Data collected between 2016 and 2018 in pp collisions
- Luminosity corresponds to 5.6 fb⁻¹
- Use both known decays (and observed by LHCb
- Extremely important to understand the cc system (in a baryon)



arXiv:1911.08594

LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Observation of the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^{++} , Phys. Rev. Lett. **119** (2017) 112001, **arXiv:1707.01621**.

- Selection is based on optimised MVA
- To improve mass resolution we mass differences

LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, First observation of the doubly charmed baryon decay $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** (2018) 162002, [arXiv:1807.01919].

$$m_{\text{cand}}(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = m(\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+) - m(\Lambda_c^+) + M_{\text{PDG}}(\Lambda_c^+),$$

$$m_{\text{cand}}(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = m(\Xi_c^+ \pi^+) - m(\Xi_c^+) + M_{\text{LHCb}}(\Xi_c^+),$$



Paolo Gandini - Bormio2020

Precision measurement of the Ξ^{++}_{cc} mass

- Multiple scattering can increase/decrease the opening angle between the Ξ^{++} cc products
- Since selection is more efficient for candidates with larger reco decay lengths we could have a bias on the mass
- Effect was studied with charmed hadrons, D^+ , D^0 , D^+s , $\Lambda^+c \rightarrow$ well reproduced by simulation

	Uncertainty $[MeV/c^2]$		
Source	$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$	
Momentum-scale calibration	0.21	0.34	
Energy-loss correction	0.05	0.03	
Simulation/data agreement	0.09	0.05	
Selection-induced bias on the Ξ_{cc}^{++} mass	0.09	0.09	
Final-state radiation	0.05	0.16	
Background model	0.01	0.04	
$\Lambda_c^+, \Xi_c^+ \text{ mass}$	0.14	0.22	
Total	0.29	0.49	

- The combined $\boldsymbol{\Xi}^{\scriptscriptstyle\!+\!+}\!_{\rm cc}$ mass is determined to be

```
3621.55 \pm 0.23 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.30 \,(\text{syst}) \,\text{MeV}/c^2
```

arXiv:1911.08594

Isospin amplitudes in $\Lambda_{\rm b}\,and\,decays$

- Ratios of isospin amplitudes in hadron decays are a useful probe of the interplay between weak and strong interactions (useful for searches on NP)
- We measure the isospin ratio



- First, the Cabibbo suppressed $\Xi b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \Lambda$ decay is observed for the first time
- Isospins of the $J/\psi \,\Lambda\,$ Ab and are zero, that of the $\Sigma^{_0}$ baryon is one
- Since the $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ weak operator involves no isospin change
- We expect a dominant $A_{_{I=0}}$ amplitude and a preference for the $J/\psi \; \Lambda$ final-state
- Isospin breaking effects are possible due to the difference in mass and charge of the u and d quarks but can also be induced by electroweak-penguin or NP processes

Isospin amplitudes in $\Lambda_{\rm b}$ and decays

• Strategy

arXiv:1912.02110

- fully reconstruct the $J/\psi \, \Lambda$ final state
- partially reconstruct the $J/\psi\Sigma^0$ mode (ignoring the photon from the $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda$ decay)
- Reason: low efficiency of the calorimeter at small photon energies
- Mass distributions show very complicate components



Isospin amplitudes in $\Lambda_{\rm b}\,and\,\,decays$

- We jointly fit all components to extract the yields
- The parameter of interest is

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{|A_1|^2}{|A_0|^2} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \Sigma^0)}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \Lambda)} \cdot \Phi_{\Lambda_b^0} = \frac{N_{\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \Sigma}}{N_{\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \Lambda}} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_{\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \Lambda}}{\epsilon_{\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \Sigma}} \cdot \Phi_{\Lambda_b^0}$$

- Need to consider respective efficiencies (estimated from simulation);
- + $\Phi_{_{\lambda b}} \, is a \, phase \, space \, correction \, factor$
- \ensuremath{R} is consistent with zero as no signal is observed



$$|A_1/A_0| = \sqrt{\mathcal{R}} < 1/20.9$$
 at 95% CL

- This limit is stringent and rules out isospin violation at a $\sim 1\%$ rate.
- Isospin violation has been seen at this level, e.g. in $\rho-\omega$ mixing (B⁰ \rightarrow J/ $\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ decays)
- Our limit implies that the Λb might be formed of a b-quark and a ud-diquark

arXiv:1912.02110

Summary

- Presented only a short list of the latest results from LHCb
- Expect many new final states investigated and maybe new surprises
- Upgrade phase in full process \rightarrow expect to collect more luminosity
- More luminosity == more statistics == many new final states available
- You can follow our progress on our <u>page</u> (weekly updates and videos)

Stay Tuned!