News from the "Proton Radius Puzzle"

Randolf Pohl

Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz Institut für Physik, QUANTUM und Exzellenzcluster PRISMA+

before: Max-Planck Institute of Quantum Optics, Garching









Bormio Jan. 22, 2019

The "Proton Radius Puzzle"

Measuring R_p using electrons: 0.88 fm (+- 0.7%) using muons: 0.84 fm (+- 0.05%)



μd 2016: RP et al (CREMA Coll.) Science 353, 669 (2016) μp 2013: A. Antognini, RP et al (CREMA Coll.) Science 339, 417 (2013)



μp 2013: A. Antognini, RP et al (CREMA Coll.) Science 339, 417 (2013)

A "Proton Radius **Puzzle**" ??



Electron scattering

Mainzer Microtron MAMI



Electron scattering



Mainz MAMI data 2010

Vanderhaeghen, Walcher: 1008.4225

Hydrogen



$$E_n \approx -\frac{R_\infty}{n^2}$$

1

Bohr formula



1

Rydberg constant



Bohr formula



3S ----- 3D

2S — 2P

Rydberg constant

$$E_n = \frac{R_{\infty}}{n^2} + \frac{1.2 MHz}{n^3} \langle r^2 \rangle \delta_{l0} + \Delta(n,l,j)$$





RP et al., Metrologia 54, L1 (2017)



RP et al., Metrologia 54, L1 (2017)

A proton, orbited by a **negative muon**.

Electronic and muonic atoms

Regular hydrogen:

Proton + Electron



Muonic hydrogen:

Proton + Muon

Muon mass = 200 * electron mass

Bohr **radius** = **1/200** of H

200³ = a **few million times** more sensitive to proton size

muon

Vastly not to scale!!



18 -





2S state: μ spends some time **inside** the proton! State is sensitive to the proton size.



2S state: μ spends some time **inside** the proton! State is sensitive to the proton size.



1S

2S state: μ spends some time **inside** the proton! State is sensitive to the proton size.

The accelerator at PSI



The accelerator at PSI



The accelerator at PSI



The accelerator at PSI PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT Schaffhausen Baser 0 an Villigen, AG caller Luzer Neuchate Davos usanne St. Moritz Gen Lugano latterhoi

The muon beam line in $\pi E5$



The laser system



Yb:YAG Disk laser \rightarrow fast response on μ

Frequency doubling (SHG) → green light to pump Ti:sapphire laser

Ti:sapphire cw laser

 \rightarrow determines laser frequency

Ti:sapphire MOPA

 \rightarrow high pulse energy (15 mJ)

Raman cell

ightarrow 3 sequential stimulated Raman Stokes shifts Laser wave length ightarrow 6 μ m

Target Cavity

 \rightarrow Mirror system to fill the muon stop volume (H₂)

The hydrogen target



2 transitions in muonic H



Theory in muonic H



Theory in muonic H





muonic hydrogen: 0.8409 ± 0.0004 fmelectronic hydrogen: 0.876 ± 0.008 fmelectron scattering 0.879 ± 0.011 fm

20x more precise

Muonic Deuterium

2.5 transitions in muonic D



Theory in muonic D


Muonic Deuterium

muonic

electronic



RP et al. (CREMA Coll.), Science 353, 559 (2016)

+ Pachucki et al., PRA 97, 062511 (2018)

- + Hernandez et al., PLB 778, 377 (2018)
- + Kalinowski, arXiv 1812.10993

Muonic Deuterium

muonic

electronic



Muonic Deuterium

muonic

electronic



Pohl et al. (CREMA), Science 353, 669 (2016)

Theory in muonic D



Two-photon nuclear structure contributions to the Lamb shift in muonic deuterium.



Theory in muonic D



Muonic Helium-3 and -4

Theory in muonic He-3



Three-photon contribution still missing (Pachucki et al., PRA 97, 052511 (2018))

muonic ³He ions



Muonic Helium-3



Theory: see Franke et al. EPJ D 71, 341 (2017) [1705.00352]

Theory in muonic He-4



Three-photon contribution still missing (Pachucki et al., PRA 97, 052511 (2018))

Muonic Helium-4



prel. accuracy: exp +- 0.00019 fm, theo +- 0.00058 fm (nucl. polarizability)

Theory: M. Diepold, RP et al. Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 396, 220 (2018) (arxiv 1606.05231 (sic!))

The ³He – ⁴He isotope shift

³He / ⁴He (squared) charge radius difference



Shiner et al., PRL 74, 3553 (1995) vanRooij, Science 333, 196 (2011) Cancio Pastor et al., PRL 108, 143001 (2012)

all evaluated with recent theory by Pachucki et al.

Sick, PRC 90, 064002 (2014)

The ³He – ⁴He isotope shift

³He / ⁴He (squared) charge radius difference



Part 2: The Rydberg constant

$$R_{\infty} = \frac{\alpha^2 m_e c}{2 h}$$

- most accurately determined fundamental constant $u_r = 5.9 * 10^{-12}$
- corner stone of the CODATA LSA of fundamental constants links fine structure constant α, electron mass m_e, velocity of light c and Planck's constant h
- correlation coefficient with proton radius: 0.9891
 - \rightarrow The "proton radius puzzle" could be a "Rydberg puzzle"
- R_{∞} is a "unit converter": atomic units \rightarrow SI (Hertz)

Energy levels of hydrogen



Energy levels of hydrogen



Rp from H spectroscopy



Garching H(2S-4P)



Beyer, Maisenbacher, RP et al, Science 358, 79 (2017)

Garching H(2S-4P)



Beyer, Maisenbacher, RP et al, Science 358, 79 (2017)

Systematics

Contribution	∆ v (kHz)	σ (kHz)
Statistics	0.00	0.41
First-order Doppler shift	0.00	2.13
Quantum interference shift	0.00	0.21
Light force shift	-0.32	0.30
Model corrections	0.11	0.06
Sampling bias	0.44	0.49
Second-order Doppler shift	0.22	0.05
dc-Stark shift	0.00	0.20
Zeeman shift	0.00	0.22
Pressure shift	0.00	0.02
Laser spectrum	0.00	0.10
Frequency standard (hydrogen maser)	0.00	0.06
Recoil shift	-837.23	0.00
Hyperfine structure corrections	-132,552.092	0.075
Total	-133,388.9	2.3

The "Proton Radius Puzzle"MuonsElectrons



New Measurements: Garching 2S-4PMuonsElectrons



New Rp from Paris: 1S-3S

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 120, 183001 (2018)

New Measurement of the 1S-3S Transition Frequency of Hydrogen: Contribution to the Proton Charge Radius Puzzle

Hélène Fleurbaey, Sandrine Galtier,^{*} Simon Thomas, Marie Bonnaud, Lucile Julien, François Biraben, and François Nez
Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, ENS-Université PSL, Collège de France, 4 place Jussieu, Case 74, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

Michel Abgrall and Jocelyne Guéna LNE-SYRTE, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 61 avenue de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France

(Received 8 December 2017; revised manuscript received 9 March 2018; published 4 May 2018)

We present a new measurement of the 1S - 3S two-photon transition frequency of hydrogen, realized with a continuous-wave excitation laser at 205 nm on a room-temperature atomic beam, with a relative uncertainty of 9×10^{-13} . The proton charge radius deduced from this measurement, $r_p = 0.877(13)$ fm, is in very good agreement with the current CODATA-recommended value. This result contributes to the ongoing search to solve the proton charge radius puzzle, which arose from a discrepancy between the CODATA value and a more precise determination of r_p from muonic hydrogen spectroscopy.

New Measurements: Paris 1S-3S Muons Electrons



Lamb shift

We are using a new Frequency-offset SOF technique (FOSOF) (AC Vutha and EA Hessels Phys. Rev. A052504 (2015))



E.A. Hessels, ECT Trento 2016

Lamb shift

We are using a new Frequency-offset SOF technique (FOSOF) (AC Vutha and EA Hessels Phys. Rev. A052504 (2015))



E.A. Hessels, ECT Trento 2016

Lamb shift

We are using a new Frequency-offset SOF technique (FOSOF) (AC Vutha and EA Hessels Phys. Rev. A052504 (2015))



E.A. Hessels, ECT Trento 2016

New Measurements: Toronto 2S-2PMuonsElectrons



Electron scattering

Proton Radius from $ep \rightarrow ep$ Scattering Experiments

In the limit of first Born approximation the elastic *ep* scattering (one photon exchange):

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{Mott}} \left(\frac{E'}{E}\right) \frac{1}{1+\tau} \left(G_E^{p\,2}(Q^2) + \frac{\tau}{\varepsilon} G_M^{p\,2}(Q^2)\right)$$

$$Q^2 = 4EE'\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2} \qquad \tau = \frac{Q^2}{4M_p^2} \qquad \varepsilon = \left[1 + 2(1+\tau)\tan^2\frac{\theta}{2}\right]^{-1}$$

Structureless proton:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{Mott}} = \frac{\alpha^2 \left[1 - \beta^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right]}{4k^2 \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2}}$$

- G_E and G_M were extracted using Rosenbluth separation (or at extremely low Q² the G_M can be ignored, like in the PRad experiment)
- The Taylor expansion at low Q²:

$$G_E^p(Q^2) = 1 - \frac{Q^2}{6} \langle r^2 \rangle + \frac{Q^4}{120} \langle r^4 \rangle + \dots$$



 $O^2 = 0$

A. Gasparian

The PRad Experimental Approach

- PRad initial goals:
 - large Q² range in one experimental setting
 - reach to very low Q² range (~ 10⁻⁴ GeV/C²)
 - reach to sub-percent precision in cross section
- PRad suggested solutions:
 - use high resolution high acceptance calorimeter:
 - ✓ reach smaller scattering angles: ($\theta_e = 0.7^\circ 7.0^\circ$) ($Q^2 = 2x10^{-4} \div 6x10^{-2}$) GeV/c²;
 - large Q² range in one experimental setting!;
 - ✓ simultaneous detection of ee → ee Moller scattering
 (best known control of systematics).
 - > use high density windowless H_2 gas flow target:
 - beam background under control;
 - minimize experimental background.



Mainz low Q² data set Phys. Rev. C 93, 065207, 2016

- Two beam energies: $E_0 = 1.1$ GeV and 2.2 GeV to increase Q² range.
- Approved by JLab PAC39 (June, 2012) with high "A" scientific rating.

PRad Experimental Setup in Hall B at JLab (schematics)

- Main detector elements:
 - windowless H₂ gas flow target
 - PrimEx HyCal calorimeter
 - vacuum box with one thin window at HyCal end
 - X,Y GEM detectors on front of HyCal

- Beam line equipment:
 - standard beam line elements (0.1 50 nA)
 - photon tagger for HyCal calibration
 - collimator box (6.4 mm collimator for photon beam, 12.7 mm for e⁻ beam halo "cleanup")
 - > Harp 2H00
 - pipe connecting Vacuum Window through HyCal



Our Fit of the Extracted G_E (Preliminary)



New Measurements: PRad Muons Electrons



New Mainz electron accelerator MESA

Kurt Aulenbacher

MESA — "Mainz Energy-Recovering Superconducting Accelerator



Being built on Campus of JGU Mainz

Cluster of Excellence **PRISMA**, since 27.9. also **PRISMA+ !!!**

Conclusions

Muonic atoms / ions provide:

• ~10x more accurate charge radii, when combined with

calculated polarizability
Muonic atoms / ions provide:

• ~10x more accurate charge radii, when combined with



The New Hork Times

Muonic atoms / ions provide:

• ~10x more accurate charge radii, when combined with

calculated polarizability

• few times more accurate **nuclear polarizability**,

when combined with charge radius from regular atoms

Muonic atoms are a novel tool for proton and new-nucleon properties!

Proton radius situation:

- smaller radii from muonic hydrogen and deuterium imply a smaller Rydberg constant
- new H(2S-4P) gives a smaller proton radius
- new H(1S-3S) however confirms large proton radius

Proton radius situation:

- smaller radii from muonic hydrogen and deuterium imply a smaller Rydberg constant
- new H(2S-4P) gives a smaller proton radius
- new H(1S-3S) however confirms large proton radius

More data needed:

- H(2S 6P, 8P, 9P, ...) and D(2S-nI) underway in Garching and Colorado
- H(1S 3S, 4S, ..) underway in Paris and Garching
- H(2S-2P) (Hessels @ Toronto)
- Muonium at PSI, J-PARC
- Positronium (Cassidy @ UCL, Crivelli @ ETH)
- He⁺(1S-2S) underway in Garching (Udem) and Amsterdam (Eikema)
- HD⁺, H₂, etc. in Amsterdam (Ubachs @ Amsterdam) and Paris (Hilico, Karr @ Paris)
- He (Vassen @ Amsterdam), Li⁺ (Udem @ Garching)
- HCI, e.g. H-like Ne (Tan @ NIST)
- Rydberg-atoms, e.g. Rb (Raithel @ Ann Arbor)
- new low-Q² electron scattering at MAMI, JLab, MESA
- muon scattering: MUSE @ PSI, COMPASS @ CERN

Proton radius situation:

- smaller radii from muonic hydrogen and deuterium imply a smaller Rydberg constant
- new H(2S-4P) gives a smaller proton radius
- new H(1S-3S) however confirms large proton radius

More data needed:

- H(2S 6P, 8P, 9P, ...) and D(2S-nI) underway in Garching and Colorado
- H(1S 3S, 4S, ..) underway in Paris and Garching
- H(2S-2P) (Hessels @ Toronto)
- Muonium at PSI, J-PARC
- Positronium (Cassidy @ UCL, Crivelli @ ETH)
- He⁺(1S-2S) underway in Garching (Udem) and Amsterdam (Eikema)
- HD⁺, H₂, etc. in Amsterdam (Ubachs) and Paris (Hilico, Karr)
- He (Vassen @ Amsterdam), Li⁺ (Udem @ Garching)
- HCI, e.g. H-like Ne (Tan @ NIST)
- Rydberg-atoms, e.g. Rb (Raithel @ Ann Arbor)
- new low-Q² electron scattering at MAMI, JLab, MESA
- muon scattering: MUSE @ PSI, COMPASS @ CERN

Compare Rydberg values to test QED and SM

Up next: Hyperfine structure in μp

The 21 cm line in hydrogen (1S hyperfine splitting) has been **measured** to 12 digits (0.001 Hz) in 1971:

v_{exp} = 1 420 405. 751 766 7 ± 0.000 001 kHz

Essen et al., Nature 229, 110 (1971)

Up next: Hyperfine structure in µp

The 21 cm line in hydrogen (1S hyperfine splitting) has been **measured** to 12 digits (0.001 Hz) in 1971:

v_{exp} = 1 420 405. 751 766 7 ± 0.000 001 kHz

Essen et al., Nature 229, 110 (1971)

QED test is limited to 6 digits (800 Hz) because of proton structure effects:

$$v_{\text{theo}} = 1\ 420\ 403.\ 1\ \pm 0.6_{\text{proton size}}\ \pm 0.4_{\text{polarizability}}\ \text{kHz}$$

Eides et al., Springer Tracts 222, 217 (2007)

Proton Zemach radius

HFS depends on "Zemach" radius:

 $\Delta E = -2(Z\alpha)m\langle r \rangle_{(2)}E_F$

$$\langle r \rangle_{(2)} = \int d^3r d^3r' \rho_E(r) \rho_M(r') |r-r'|$$

Zemach, Phys. Rev. 104, 1771 (1956)

Form factors and momentum space

$$\Delta E = \frac{8(Z\alpha)m}{\pi n^3} E_F \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k^2} \left[\frac{G_E(-k^2)G_M(-k^2)}{1+\kappa} \right]$$

Proton Zemach radius from µp



Proton Zemach radius from µp



PSI Exp. R-16-02: Antognini, RP et al. (CREMA-3 / HyperMu)

see e.g. Schmidt, RP et al., arXiv 1808.07240

















Thanks a lot for your attention

The Garching Hydrogen Team:

Axel Beyer, Lothar Maisenbacher, Arthur Matveev, RP, Ksenia Khabarova, Alexey Grinin, Tobias Lamour, Dylan C. Yost, Theodor W. Hänsch, Nikolai Kolachevsky, Thomas Udem

The CREMA Collaboration:

Aldo Antognini, Fernando D. Amaro, François Biraben, João M. R. Cardoso, Daniel S. Covita, Andreas Dax, Satish Dhawan, Marc Diepold, Luis M. P. Fernandes, Adolf Giesen, Andrea L. Gouvea, Thomas Graf, Theodor W.
Hänsch, Paul Indelicato, Lucile Julien, Paul Knowles, Franz Kottmann, Juilian J. Krauth, Eric-Olivier Le Bigot, Yi-Wei Liu, José A. M. Lopes, Livia Ludhova, Cristina M. B. Monteiro, Françoise Mulhauser, Tobias Nebel, François Nez, Paul Rabinowitz, Joaquim M. F. dos Santos, Lukas A. Schaller, Karsten Schuhmann, Catherine Schwob, David Taqqu, João F. C. A. Veloso, RP

Thanks a lot for your attention

My new Mainz group:

Jan Haack, Merten Heppener, Rishi Horn, Ahmed Ouf, Stefan Schmidt, Gregor Schwendler, Lukas Schumacher, Andreas Wieltsch, Marcel Willig

The Garching Hydrogen Team:

Axel Beyer, Lothar Maisenbacher, Arthur Matveev, RP, Ksenia Khabarova, Alexey Grinin, Tobias Lamour, Dylan C. Yost, Theodor W. Hänsch, Nikolai Kolachevsky, Thomas Udem

The CREMA Collaboration:

Aldo Antognini, Fernando D. Amaro, François Biraben, João M. R. Cardoso, Daniel S. Covita, Andreas Dax, Satish Dhawan, Marc Diepold, Luis M. P. Fernandes, Adolf Giesen, Andrea L. Gouvea, Thomas Graf, Theodor W.
Hänsch, Paul Indelicato, Lucile Julien, Paul Knowles, Franz Kottmann, Juilian J. Krauth, Eric-Olivier Le Bigot, Yi-Wei Liu, José A. M. Lopes, Livia Ludhova, Cristina M. B. Monteiro, Françoise Mulhauser, Tobias Nebel, François Nez, Paul Rabinowitz, Joaquim M. F. dos Santos, Lukas A. Schaller, Karsten Schuhmann, Catherine Schwob, David Taqqu, João F. C. A. Veloso, RP

Thanks a lot for your attention

My new Mainz group:

Jan Haack, Merten Heppener, Rishi Horn, Ahmed Ouf, Stefan Schmidt, Gregor Schwendler, Lukas Schumacher, Andreas Wieltsch, Marcel Willig



The CREMA Collaboration:

Aldo Antognini, Fernando D. Amaro, François Biraben, João M. R. Cardoso, Daniel S. Covita, Andreas Dax, Satish Dhawan, Marc Diepold, Luis M. P. Fernandes, Adolf Giesen, Andrea L. Gouvea, Thomas Graf, Theodor W.
Hänsch, Paul Indelicato, Lucile Julien, Paul Knowles, Franz Kottmann, Juilian J. Krauth, Eric-Olivier Le Bigot, Yi-Wei Liu, José A. M. Lopes, Livia Ludhova, Cristina M. B. Monteiro, Françoise Mulhauser, Tobias Nebel, François Nez, Paul Rabinowitz, Joaquim M. F. dos Santos, Lukas A. Schaller, Karsten Schuhmann, Catherine Schwob, David Taqqu, João F. C. A. Veloso, RP

Group at JGU Mainz



Theory in muonic H

 $\Delta E_{\text{Lamb}} = 206.0336 (15) \text{ meV}_{\text{OED}} + 0.0332 (20) \text{ meV}_{\text{TPE}} - 5.2275 (10) \text{ meV/fm}^2 * R_n^2$

2P fine structure Simple-looking formula $2P_{3/2}$ based on decades of work by E. Borie, M.C. Birse, P. Blunden, C.E. Carlson, $2P_{1/2}$ M.I. Eides, R. Faustov, J.L. Friar, G. Paz, A. Pineda, J. McGovern, K. Griffioen, H. Grotch, 206 meV F. Hagelstein, H.-W. Hammer, R.J Hill, P.Indelicato, 50 THz U.D. Jentschura, S.G. Karshenboim, E.Y. Korzinin, 6 µm V.G. Ivanov, I.T. Lorenz, A.P. Martynenko, G.A. Miller, U.-G. Meissner, P.J. Mohr, Lamb K. Pachucki, V. Pascalutsa, J. Rafelski, shift V.A. Shelyuto, I. Sick, A.W. Thomas, 5.5 µm M. Vanderhaeghen, V. Yerokhin,

(shout if I missed your name!)

Antognini, RP at al., Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 331, 127 (2013)



Theory in muonic H



Theory of the 2S–2P Lamb shift and 2S hyperfine (



Aldo Antognini^{a,*}, Franz Kottmann^a, François Biraben^b, Paul Indelicato^b, François Nez^b, Randolf Pohl^c

^a Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

^b Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, École Normale Supérieure, CNRS and Université P. et M. Curie, 75252 Paris, CEDEX 05, France

^c Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, 85748 Garching, Germany

Our attempt to summarize all the original work by many theorists....

Theory I: "pure" QED

Table 1

All known radius-*independent* contributions to the Lamb shift in μ p from different authors, and the one we selected. Values are in meV. The entry # in the first column refers to Table 1 in Ref. [13]. The "finite-size to relativistic recoil correction" (entry #18 in [13]), which depends on the proton structure, has been shifted to Table 2, together with the small terms #26 and #27, and the proton polarizability term #25. SE: self-energy, VP: vacuum polarization, LBL: light-by-light scattering, Rel: relativistic, NR: non-relativistic, RC: recoil correction.

#	Contribution	Pachucki [10,11]	Nature [13]	Borie-v6 [79]	Indelicato [80]	Our choice	Ref.
1 2 3 19	NR one-loop electron VP (eVP) Rel. corr. (Breit–Pauli) Rel. one-loop eVP Rel. RC to eVP, $\alpha (Z\alpha)^4$	205.0074 0.0169 ^a (incl. in #2) ^b	205.0282 —0.0041	205.0282 —0.0041	205.02821	205.02821 —0.00208 ^c	[80] Eq. (54) [77,78]
4	Two-loop eVP (Källén–Sabry)	1.5079	1.5081	1.5081	1.50810	1.50810	[80] Eq. (57)
5 7 6	One-loop eVP in 2-Coulomb lines $\alpha^2 (Z\alpha)^5$ eVP corr. to Källén–Sabry NR three-loop eVP	0.1509 0.0023 0.0053	0.1509 0.00223 0.00529	0.1507 0.00223 0.00529	0.15102 0.00215	0.15102 0.00215 0.00529	[80] Eq. (60) [80] Eq. (62), [87] [87,88]
9 10 New	Wichmann–Kroll, "1:3" LBL Virtual Delbrück, "2:2" LBL "3:1" LBL		-0.00103 0.00135	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.00102 \\ 0.00115 \\ -0.00102 \end{array} $	-0.00102	-0.00102 0.00115 -0.00102	[80] Eq. (64), [89] [74,89] [89]
20	$\mu { t SE}$ and $\mu { t VP}$	-0.6677	-0.66770	-0.66788	-0.66761	-0.66761	[80] Eqs. (72) + (76)
11 12 21 13 New	Muon SE corr. to eVP $\alpha^2 (Z\alpha)^4$ eVP loop in self-energy $\alpha^2 (Z\alpha)^4$ Higher order corr. to μ SE and μ VP Mixed eVP + μ VP eVP and μ VP in two Coulomb lines	-0.005(1) -0.001	-0.00500 -0.00150 -0.00169 0.00007	-0.004924^{d} -0.00171^{g} 0.00007	0.00005	-0.00254 f -0.00171 0.00007 0.00005	[85] Eq. (29a) ^e [74,90–92] [86] Eq. (177) [74] [80] Eq. (78)
14 15 16	Hadronic VP $\alpha (Z\alpha)^4 m_r$ Hadronic VP $\alpha (Z\alpha)^5 m_r$ Rad corr. to hadronic VP	0.0113(3)	0.01077(38) 0.000047 -0.000015	0.011(1)		0.01121(44) 0.000047 0.000015	[93–95] [94,95] [94,95]
17 22 23 New	Recoil corr. Rel. RC $(Z\alpha)^5$ Rel. RC $(Z\alpha)^6$ Rad. (only eVP) RC $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5$	0.0575 0.045 0.0003	0.05750 	0.0575 —0.04497	0.05747 0.04497 0.0002475	0.05747 	[80] Eq. (88) [80] Eq. (88), [74] [80] Eq. (86)+Tab.II [85] Eq. (64a)
24	Rad. RC $\alpha(Z\alpha)^n$ (proton SE)	-0.0099	-0.00960	-0.0100		-0.01080(100)	[43] ^h [74]
	Sum	206.0312	206.02915	206.02862		206.03339(109)	

Theory in muonic H



Theory in muonic D

 $\Delta E_{\text{Lamb}}^{\mu D} = 228.7854 \text{ (13) } \text{meV}_{\text{QED}} + 1.7150 \text{ (230) } \text{meV}_{\text{TPE}} - 6.1103 \text{ (3) } \text{meV/fm}^2 * R_d^2$

Nuclear structure contributions to the Lamb shift in muonic deuterium.

Item	Contribution	Pachuck	i [55]		Friar [60]	Hernandez et al. [58]		Pach.& Wienczek [65]		Carlson et al. [64]	Our choice			
		AV18		ZRA		AV18	$N^{3}LO^{\dagger}$		AV18		data		value	source
	Source	1		2		3	4		5		6			
p1	Dipole	1.910	$\delta_0 E$	1.925	Leading C1	1.907	1.926	$\delta_{D1}^{(0)}$	1.910	$\delta_0 E$		1.9165	$\pm \ 0.0095$	3-5
p2	Rel. corr. to p1, longitudinal part	-0.035	$\delta_R E$	-0.037	Subleading C1	-0.029	-0.030	$\delta_L^{(0)}$	-0.026	$\delta_R E$				
p3	Rel. corr. to p1, transverse part					0.012	0.013	$\delta_{T}^{(0)}$						
$\mathbf{p4}$	Rel. corr. to p1, higher-order								0.004	$\delta_{HO}E$				
sum	Total rel. corr., p $2+p3+p4$	-0.035		-0.037		-0.017	-0.017		-0.022			-0.0195	$\pm \ 0.0025$	3-5
p_5	Coulomb distortion, leading	-0.255	$\delta_{C1}E$						-0.255	$\delta_{C1}E$				
$\mathbf{p6}$	Coul. distortion, next order	-0.006	$\delta_{C2}E$						-0.006	$\delta_{C2}E$				
sum	Total Coulomb distortion, $\mathbf{p5+p6}$	-0.261				-0.262	-0.264	$\delta_{C}^{(0)}$	-0.261			-0.2625	$\pm \ 0.0015$	3-5
p7	El. monopole excitation	-0.045	$\delta_{Q0}E$	-0.042	C0	-0.042	-0.041	$\delta_{R2}^{(2)}$	-0.042	$\delta_{Q0}E$				
$\mathbf{p8}$	El. dipole excitation	0.151	$\delta_{Q1}E$	0.137	Retarded C1	0.139	0.140	$\delta_{D1D3}^{(2)}$	0.139	$\delta_{Q1}E$				
p9	El. quadrupole excitation	-0.066	$\delta_{Q2}E$	-0.061	C2	-0.061	-0.061	$\delta_{Q}^{(2)}$	-0.061	$\delta_{Q2}E$				
sum	Tot. nuclear excitation, $\mathbf{p7}{+}\mathbf{p8}{+}\mathbf{p9}$	0.040		0.034	$\rm C0+ret\text{-}C1+C2$	0.036	0.038		0.036			0.0360	$\pm \ 0.0020$	2-5
p10	Magnetic	-0.008 $^{\diamond a}$	$\delta_M E$	-0.011	M1	-0.008	-0.007	$\delta_M^{(0)}$	-0.008	$\delta_M E$		-0.0090	$\pm \ 0.0020$	2-5
SUM_1	Total nuclear (corrected)	1.646		1.648 b		1.656	1.676		1.655			1.6615	\pm 0.0103	
p11	Finite nucleon size			0.021	Retarded C1 f.s.	0.020^{\diamond}	c 0.021 $^{\diamond}$	$^{c} \delta_{NS}^{(2)}$	0.020	$\delta_{FS}E$				
p12	n p charge correlation			-0.023	pn correl. f.s.	-0.017	-0.017	$\delta_{np}^{(1)}$	-0.018	$\delta_{FZ}E$				
sum	p11+p12			-0.002		0.003	0.004		0.002			0.0010	\pm 0.0030	2-5
p13	Proton elastic 3rd Zemach moment	$\int_{0.043(3)}$	$\delta_{D}E$	0.030	$\langle r^3 \rangle_{(2)}^{\rm pp}$				$\int_{0.043(3)}$	$\delta_{P}E$		0.0289	\pm 0.0015	$Eq.(13)^d$
p14	Proton inelastic polarizab.	J 0.0 10(0)	0712				27(2)	§N [64]]] 0.010(0)	•F 2	LO 028(2) A Ehadr	1 20 0280	± 0.0020	6
p15	Neutron inelastic polarizab.						27(2)	opol [04]	0.016(8)	$\delta_N E$	$\int 0.028(2) \Delta E$	∫ ^{0.0280}	± 0.0020	0
p16	Proton & neutron subtraction term											-0.0098	\pm 0.0098	$Eq.(15)^e$
sum	Nucleon TPE, p13+p14+p15+p16	0.043(3)		0.030		0.03	27(2)		0.059(9)			0.0471	\pm 0.0101	f
SUM_2	Total nucleon contrib.	0.043(3)		0.028		0.03	30(2)		0.061(9)			0.0476	\pm 0.0105	
	Sum, published	1.680(16)	1.941(1	19)	1.69	0(20)		1.717(20)		2.011(740)			
	$\mathbf{Sum}, \mathrm{corrected}$			1.697(1	19) ^g	1.714	$(20)^{h}$		1.707(20)	i	$1.748(740)^{j}$	1.7096	± 0.0147	

Krauth, RP at al., Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 366, 168 (2016)

+ Pachucki et al., PRA 97, 062511 (2018)

+ Hernandez et al., PLB 778, 377 (2018)

Deuteron radius



Hernandez et al, Phys. Lett. B 778, 377 (2018) Pachucki et al., PRA 97, 062511 (2018)

Garching H(2S-4P)



Beyer, Maisenbacher, RP et al, Science 358, 79 (2017)

Garching H(2S-4P)



Beyer, Maisenbacher, RP et al, Science 358, 79 (2017)

1st order Doppler shift



Beyer, RP et al, Opt. Expr. 24, 17470 (2016)

Systematics

Contribution	∆ v (kHz)	σ (kHz)
Statistics	0.00	0.41
First-order Doppler shift	0.00	2.13
Quantum interference shift	0.00	0.21
Light force shift	-0.32	0.30
Model corrections	0.11	0.06
Sampling bias	0.44	0.49
Second-order Doppler shift	0.22	0.05
dc-Stark shift	0.00	0.20
Zeeman shift	0.00	0.22
Pressure shift	0.00	0.02
Laser spectrum	0.00	0.10
Frequency standard (hydrogen maser)	0.00	0.06
Recoil shift	-837.23	0.00
Hyperfine structure corrections	-132,552.092	0.075
Total	-133,388.9	2.3

New Rp from Paris: 1S-3S

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 120, 183001 (2018)

New Measurement of the 1S-3S Transition Frequency of Hydrogen: Contribution to the Proton Charge Radius Puzzle

Hélène Fleurbaey, Sandrine Galtier,^{*} Simon Thomas, Marie Bonnaud, Lucile Julien, François Biraben, and François Nez
Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, ENS-Université PSL, Collège de France, 4 place Jussieu, Case 74, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

Michel Abgrall and Jocelyne Guéna LNE-SYRTE, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 61 avenue de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France

(Received 8 December 2017; revised manuscript received 9 March 2018; published 4 May 2018)

We present a new measurement of the 1S - 3S two-photon transition frequency of hydrogen, realized with a continuous-wave excitation laser at 205 nm on a room-temperature atomic beam, with a relative uncertainty of 9×10^{-13} . The proton charge radius deduced from this measurement, $r_p = 0.877(13)$ fm, is in very good agreement with the current CODATA-recommended value. This result contributes to the ongoing search to solve the proton charge radius puzzle, which arose from a discrepancy between the CODATA value and a more precise determination of r_p from muonic hydrogen spectroscopy.

New Rp from Paris: 1S-3S

arXiv: 1801.08816

Setup:



New Rp from Paris: 1S-3S

arXiv: 1801.08816



Rp from H spectroscopy



Rp from H spectroscopy



Beyer, Maisenbacher, RP et al, Science 358, 79 (2017) Fleurbaey et al., PRL 120, 183001 (2018)
Rydberg constants from H/D



And now with *muonic* charge radii



μd 2016: RP et al (CREMA Coll.) Science 353, 669 (2016) μp 2013: A. Antognini, RP et al (CREMA Coll.) Science 339, 417 (2013)

Rydberg constants from e/μ H/D



 $R_{\infty} [\mu H + H(1S-2S)] = 3.289 841 960 249 (1.0)^{Rp} (2.5)^{QED} kHz/c$

Rydberg constants from e/μ H/D



 $R_{\infty} [\mu H + H(1S-2S)] = 3.289 841 960 249 (1.0)^{Rp} (2.5)^{QED} kHz/c$

Rydberg constant from H(2S-4P)



Beyer, Maisenbacher, RP et al, Science 358, 79 (2017)