R. Coniglione INFN-LNS - Catania (Italy)



THE KM3NET AND ANTARES NEUTRINO TELESCOPES: CAPABILITIES AND ISSUES AT THE HIGHEST ENERGIES

Mainz - 30 September - 11 October 2019

OUTLINE

- Neutrino telescopes
- KM3NeT and ANTARES
- Issues at the highest energies
 - high energy neutrino cross section
 - atmospheric neutrino flux

What we need and what measured

The high energy neutrino telescopes

Array of optical sensors to detect neutrinos of extraterrestrial origin Detection of the Cerenkov light produced by the particles

The faint expected fluxes and the low neutrino detection probability

Detector with large volume (km³) installed in deep water or ice Idea suggested by Markov in the '60 (to use the "beam" of atmospheric neutrinos)

High energy means *←* E_v≈10² GeV

Working detectors: ANTARES, Baikal, IceCube, KM3NeT

Detection principle



- The neutrinos interact in the water/ ice or rocks around the detector and produce secondary particles that emit Cerenkov light in a cone at 42° (in water) w.r.t the particle direction.
- Light detected by means of optical sensors (photomultipliers)
- From the arriving time of photons and from the positions of the photomultipliers is possible to determine the direction of the secondary particles. If muons, generated by v_µ, the precision in the reconstruction of the direction is very high (0.1°-0.2°). High energy neutrinos are collinear with muons

Background of atmospheric muons and neutrinos

From the interaction of Cosmic Ray with the atmosphere:

- Atmospheric muons only down-going (2 π) but
- Atmospheric neutrinos from all the directions (4 π)

5



Even if the detectors are shielded by the water/ice the atmospheric muon flux is high

ANTARES

6



Optical sensor 1 PMT of 10 inches



Depth 2475m

12 lines of 75 PMTs 1 line for Earth and Marine sciences 25 storeys / line 3 PMTs / storey 885 PMTs **Volume 0.01 km³**

Detector completed in 2008 Taking data since 11 years with a duty cycle of ~95%

KM3NeT



7

KM3NeT is a research infrastructure in the Mediterranean Sea hosting neutrino detectors

- KM3NeT/ARCA (Astroparticle Research with Cosmics in the Abyss)
 - observation of high energy (GeV ÷ PeV) neutrino sources r a telescope offshore Capo Passero (Sicily-Italy) is in construction at a depth of 3500m
- KM3NeT/ORCA (Oscillation Research with Cosmics in the Abyss)
 - determination of the neutrino mass hierarchy raise a detector offshore Toulon (France) able to detect neutrinos of tens of GeV is in construction at a depth of 2500m

ORCA and ARCA same detector technology

Details on the ARCA and ORCA physics performances and on the technical design in the published Letter of Intent

0	P	Ξ	Ν	A	C	¢	E	s	ş
10	Ð		D.,	de l	i.e.	ы	1		

Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics

J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 43 (2016) 084001 (130pp)

doi:10.1088/0954-3899/43/8/084001





- The optical sensor is made of 31 3" PMTs (photocathode aerea ~ 3 × 10" PMTs)
- The DU is a vertical slender string equipped with 18 Digital Optical Modules (DOM).
- Power and data distributed by a single backbone cable with breakouts at DOMs
- Sea network of submarine cables and Junction Boxes connected to shore via a main e/o cable
- All data to shore

The Optical sensors and the Detection Unit







The DOM is a new design for optical sensors developed in the collaboration

It is a 17" glass sphere with inside:

- 31 3" PMTs (photocathode aerea ~ 3 × 10" PMTs)
- LED and Piezo
- Front-end electronics -> FPGA

Hybrid white rabbit for time synchronization DWDM for data transmission

Advantages:

- increased photocathode area
- directionality
- single penetrator -> reduced risk
- Cost/photocathode area



- Vertical distance between DOMs ~36 m
- Volume (0.5 × 2) km³ ≈1 Gton





The ANTARES resolutions



KM3NeT: status



14

KM3NeT: first results





Results from ARCA2 (2 DU working) and ORCA1 (1 DU working)



Soon the muon flux vs depth



DATA compared with a muon depth

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1906.02704.pdf

KM3NeT: first results

ICRC2019 PoS 910



Issues at high energies in a neutrino telescope

- High energy neutrino cross section Monte Carlo neutrino generators
- Atmospheric neutrino flux

 for the estimate of KM3NeT detector performances

High energy cross section measurements

18

Up-going tracks selected



 $\sigma_{meas}/\sigma_{calc.} = 1.30^{+0.21}_{-0.19}$ (statistical uncertainty) $^{+0.39}_{-0.43}$ (systematic uncertainty)

IceCube coll. - Nature, 2017. doi: 10.1038/nature24459.

High energy cross section measurements



M. Bustamante and A. Connolly Phys.Rev: Lett. 122, 041101 (2019)

KM3NeT Monte Carlo scheme

20



Simulation is *almost* identical to the real data at this step.

GenHen from 100 GeV up to 10⁸ GeV -> LEPTO gSeaGen from GeV up to 1 TeV -> Genie

Efforts in KM3NeT to improve high energy neutrino generator

21



HEDIS

- Newer PDFs with broader Q² phase space.
- Structure Functions = C_{ij} NLO \otimes PDF NLO.
- Account for the heavy quark contributions

*contact: <u>alfonsog@nikhef.nl</u>

"High-energy neutrino event simulation at NLO in Genie for KM3NeT and other observatories" - ICRC2019 Pos895

The atmospheric neutrino flux: the conventional flux

(2015)conventional v ີ່ມ 10 v., (unfolding) 50 0¹⁰, (GeV cm² s (forward folding) v. (DeepCore 2013) ^{conventional}, v. (2014) Ъ 10 Prompt V 10 10 Honda v. (HKKMS2007) Honda v (HKKMS2007) 10⁻⁶ modified Honda v. Bartol v 10.10 5 log (E/GeV)

IceCube coll., Phys. Rev. D 91, 122004 -

23

ANTARES coll., Eur. Phys. J. C 73 2606 (2013) 2008-2011 data



G.D. Barr et al. Phys. Rev. D 70, 023006 (2004) and G.D. Barr et al., Phys. Rev. D 74, 094009 (2006) -> black line and band Prompt:

A.Martin et al., ActaPhys.Pol.B34,3273(2003) -> red dashed R.Enberg et al., Phys.Rev.D78,043005(2008) -> blue dashed

The measured conventional flux





Figure 3. The $(\nu_{\mu} + \bar{\nu}_{\mu})$ spectrum measured by IceCube [14, 15] and ANTARES [16].

Figure 4. The atmospheric and astrophysical $(\nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e)$ fluxes measured in IceCube [13, 1, 2].

Our calculation demonstrates rather weak dependence on the model of primary cosmic-rays spectrum in the energy range of $10 - 10^5$ GeV. The major uncertainty in the calculated neutrino fluxes with energies up to 500 TeV is due to differences of hadronic interaction models, QGSJET-II, SIBYLL 2.1, which lead to significant discrepancy – up to 60% for the $(\nu_{\mu} + \bar{\nu}_{\mu})$ flux and to ~ 40% for the $(\nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e)$ one. These differences are mainly due to the cross sections of K meson

Upper limit of prompt component



What measured by ANTARES





11 years of data data: 50 events (27 tracks + 23 showers) bkg MC: 36.1 ± 8.7 (19.9 tracks and 16.2 showers) $\phi = 1.5\pm 1\ 10^{-8}\,\text{GeV}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-2}\,\text{sr}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1}$

1.8**σ** excess

Atmospheric flux (Honda + Enberg together) fitted simultaneously with the cosmic flux

1.25 x (Honda + Enberg)

 $\Gamma = 2.3 \pm 0.4$

More details from L. Fusco next week

Atmospheric neutrinos

27

The knowledge of the atmospheric neutrino flux is the main systematic uncertainty in the estimate of KM3NeT/ARCA performances

what expected for a diffuse flux



From KM3NeT Lol Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics, 43 084001 (2016).

Atmospheric neutrinos in the KM3NeT Lol



Comparison with other models

M.V. Garzelli, S. Moch, G. Sigl, et al. JHEP 1510 (2015) 115 , [arXiv:1507.01570]



High uncertainties in the region of interest

Prompt: more recent calculations

30

M. Benzke, et al., JHEP12 (2017) 021 Different QCD approach w.r.t 2015 paper GM-VFNS (vu + anti-vu) flux GM-VFNS $(v_{\mu} + anti-v_{\mu})$ flux 10 101 H3p CR Broken power law for CR E³_{v, lab} dN / dE_{v, lab} (GeV² cm⁻² s⁻¹ sr¹) E³, lab dN / dE_{v, lab} (GeV² cm² s⁻¹ sr¹ 102 102 IC Upper limit 10-3 10-3 10-4 10-4 GM-VFNS scale var + total PDF var 10-5 10-5 GM-VFNS scale var + Hessian PDF var GM-VFNS central $\mu_r = \text{sqrt}(p_T^2 + 4 \text{ m}_c^2) = 2 \mu_t$ GM-VFNS flux, power-law CR PROSA 2016, µr = µr = sqrt(pT = + 4 m, GRRST 2015 10% GMS 2015, µr = µr = sqrt(pT 10-6 IceCube prompt upper limit (90% C. 103 103 104 10 E_{v, lab} (GeV)

Ev, lab (GeV)

Conclusion

- Cross section measurement with neutrino telescopes needs:
 - more statistics
 - events at higher energies
 - lower angular and energy resolutions
 - reduction of systematic uncertainties
- Atmospheric neutrino flux measurement needs:
 - a lower energy resolution
 - higher statistics at high energies

Global Neutrino Network (http://www.globalneutrinonetwork.org) aims for a closer collaboration and a coherent strategy among the neutrino telescope projects Projects participant: ANTARES, Baikal, IceCube, KM3NeT 32

□ SPARE

Prompt difference due to the different cosmic model

M. Benzke, et al., JHEP12 (2017) 021





Schönert, Gaisser, Resconi, Schulz Phys. Rev. D 79; 043009(2009)

FIG. 2: Unaccompanied vertical atmospheric $\downarrow \nu_{\mu}$ flux (upper); probability of accompaniment (lower) for seven depths. The grey curve is the fraction of $\downarrow \nu_{\mu}$ from π decay.



Argüelles, Palomares-Ruiz, AS, Wille, Yuan JCAP 1807 (2018) no.07, 047

Atmospheric neutrino angular distribution

36

Neutrino Zenith Angle Dependence



KM3NET: STATUS

History of detector construction



37

High energy cross section

38



above 100 GeV only DIS contributes to the total cross section in KM3NeT no simulation above 108 GeV -> energy not available in our MC neutrino generator

The KM3NeT collaboration

• 13 countries

39

• 51 institutes



Number of Institutes and Scientists constantly increasing New Entries: f.m. U.Johannesburg, U.Marrakech, U.Nantes; obs. LAM Marseille, U.Tbilisi, Australia Un. Perth