# Status of the Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP) for MESA

#### Matthias Molitor

#### February 13, 2014





Matthias Molitor Status of the Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP) for MESA

#### Content



#### 1 Physics Background

- Single vs. Double scattering
- Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)







Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)

#### Double or single scatter?

#### single scatter

 extrapolated effective analysing power



Tioukine, Aulenbacher, Riehn: Rev. Sci. Instrum. 82, 033303 (2011)

Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)

#### Double or single scatter?

#### single scatter

- extrapolated effective analysing power
- Uncertainties:
  - $\bullet~extrapolation~3\% \rightarrow 1\%$
  - $\bullet$  background  $1\% \rightarrow < 1\%$
  - S<sub>0</sub> (theory) believed to be small

Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)

#### Double or single scatter?

#### single scatter

- extrapolated effective analysing power
- Uncertainties:
  - extrapolation  $3\% \rightarrow 1\%$
  - $\bullet$  background  $1\% \rightarrow < 1\%$
  - S<sub>0</sub> (theory) believed to be small

#### double scatter

- effective analyzing power can be measured
- two identical targets preferable, but not necessary

Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)



Gellrich, Kessler: Phys. Rev. A 43, 204 (1991)

Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)



Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)



Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)



• For  $S_{\rm T} = S_{\rm eff}$  (unpolarized):  $S_{\rm eff}^2 = S_{\rm T}S_{\rm eff} = A = \frac{N_{\rm L} - N_{\rm R}}{N_{\rm I} + N_{\rm R}}$ 

Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)



Gellrich, Jost, Kessler: Rev. Sci. Instrum. 61, 3399 (1990)

• solid and scattering angle depend on beam position

Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)



Gellrich, Jost, Kessler: Rev. Sci. Instrum. 61, 3399 (1990)

- solid and scattering angle depend on beam position
- different false asymmetries in counters

Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)



 single scattering of unpolarized electrons for monitor counter placement

Matthias Molitor Status of the Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP) for MESA

Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)



- single scattering of unpolarized electrons for monitor counter placement
- double scattering with polarized beam for analyzing power measurement

Setup Counter placement Conclusion and Outlook Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)



- single scattering of unpolarized electrons for monitor counter placement
- double scattering with polarized beam for analyzing power measurement
- single scattering with polarized beam for polarization measurement

Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)

## Measurement of the Effective Analyzing Power $(S_{eff})$



Mayer, Fischer, Blaschke, Kessler: Rev. Sci. Instrum. 64, 952 (1993)

Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)

### Measurement of the Effective Analyzing Power $(S_{eff})$



Mayer, Fischer, Blaschke, Kessler: Rev. Sci. Instrum. 64, 952 (1993)

• Measurements with  $\uparrow/\downarrow$  polarized beam:

$$A_{\uparrow/\downarrow} = P_{\uparrow/\downarrow} S_{\text{eff}} = rac{S_{\text{T}} \pm P_0}{1 \pm P_0 S_{\text{T}}} S_{\text{eff}}$$

Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)

### Measurement of the Effective Analyzing Power $(S_{eff})$



Mayer, Fischer, Blaschke, Kessler: Rev. Sci. Instrum. 64, 952 (1993)

• Calculation of  $A_{\rm T}$  from the currents  $I_{\uparrow}$  and  $I_{\downarrow}$ 

$$A_{\mathsf{T}} = \frac{I_{\uparrow} - I_{\downarrow}}{I_{\uparrow} + I_{\downarrow}} = P_0 S_{\mathsf{T}}$$

Single vs. Double scattering Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP)

### Measurement of the Effective Analyzing Power $(S_{eff})$



Mayer, Fischer, Blaschke, Kessler: Rev. Sci. Instrum. 64, 952 (1993)

Calculation of S<sub>eff</sub>

$$S_{\text{eff}}^2 = rac{1}{4A_{ ext{T}}} \left\{ [A_{\uparrow} (1 + A_{ ext{T}})]^2 - [A_{\downarrow} (1 - A_{ ext{T}})]^2 
ight\}$$

### DSP beamline



Matthias Molitor Status of the Double Scattering Polarimeter (DSP) for MESA

## DSP



Matthias Molitor

# DSP



Matthias Molitor

## DSP



Matthias Molitor

## DSP



Matthias Molitor





Gellrich, Jost, Kessler: Rev. Sci. Instrum. 61, 3399 (1990)



• measuring the  $\Theta$ -dependend rate of (back-)scattered electrons



- measuring the Θ-dependend rate of (back-)scattered electrons
- *h*<sub>m</sub>/*h*<sub>p</sub>: ratio of distances from target for monitor and polarization counters

$$\frac{h_{\rm m}}{h_{\rm p}} = \frac{\cos\Theta_{\rm m} - 2\left[E(\Theta_{\rm m})\right]^{-1}\sin\Theta_{\rm m}}{\cos\Theta_{\rm p} - 2\left[E(\Theta_{\rm p})\right]^{-1}\sin\Theta_{\rm p}}$$
$$E(\Theta) = \frac{1}{I(\Theta)}\frac{\partial I}{\partial\Theta}$$

# $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \Theta} > 0$ Measurements: First data



# $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \Theta} > 0$ Measurements: Beam position dependence



# $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \Theta} > 0$ Measurements: continued data taking



# $E(\Theta_{\rm m}), (\Theta_{\rm p})$ calculation



#### Conclusion and Outlook

• DSP promises higher accuracy as  $S_{\rm eff}$  can be measured

### Conclusion and Outlook

- DSP promises higher accuracy as  $S_{\rm eff}$  can be measured
- Counter position measurement highly dependent on beam position on target

### Conclusion and Outlook

- DSP promises higher accuracy as  $S_{\rm eff}$  can be measured
- Counter position measurement highly dependent on beam position on target

 $\,\hookrightarrow\,$  beam position on target has to be reproducible

### Conclusion and Outlook

- DSP promises higher accuracy as  $S_{\text{eff}}$  can be measured
- Counter position measurement highly dependent on beam position on target

 $\hookrightarrow$  beam position on target has to be reproducible

- Next tasks
  - install better beam diagnostics

### Conclusion and Outlook

- DSP promises higher accuracy as  $S_{\text{eff}}$  can be measured
- Counter position measurement highly dependent on beam position on target
  - $\,\hookrightarrow\,$  beam position on target has to be reproducible
- Next tasks
  - install better beam diagnostics
  - more precise measurements of  $E(\Theta)$

### Conclusion and Outlook

- DSP promises higher accuracy as  $S_{\text{eff}}$  can be measured
- Counter position measurement highly dependent on beam position on target
  - $\hookrightarrow$  beam position on target has to be reproducible
- Next tasks
  - install better beam diagnostics
  - more precise measurements of  $E(\Theta)$
  - installation of Spin rotator

## Conclusion and Outlook

- DSP promises higher accuracy as  $S_{\text{eff}}$  can be measured
- Counter position measurement highly dependent on beam position on target

 $\hookrightarrow$  beam position on target has to be reproducible

- Next tasks
  - install better beam diagnostics
  - more precise measurements of  $E(\Theta)$
  - installation of Spin rotator
  - begin experiments with double scattering

# Thank you for your attention