

String theory in target space

based on:

• [1402.6356] with Tobias Hansen

Rutger Boels University of Hamburg



"String theory is a theory of strings"



different meaning to different people:

- a theory containing quantum gravity
- a (framework for a) "theory of everything"
- a source of toy models { to study g> I QCD
 to do condensed matter physics
- a marvellous source of mathematical conjectures
- the root of all evil
- etc, etc.



"String theory is a theory of strings"



different meaning to different people:

- a theory containing quantum gravity
- a (framework for a) "theory of everything"
- a source of toy models { to study g > I QCD
 to do condensed matter physics
- a marvellous source of mathematical conjectures
- the root of all evil
- etc, etc.

however....



Textbooks remain the same: not much progress on fundamentals of strings



Textbooks remain the same: not much progress on fundamentals of strings





Textbooks remain the same: not much progress on fundamentals of strings



I think we need a new way of / perspective on 'doing string theory'



Textbooks remain the same: not much progress on fundamentals of strings



I think we need a new way of / perspective on 'doing string theory'

meanwhile....



Meanwhile over in field theory...

revolution in scattering amplitudes-technology



Meanwhile over in field theory...

revolution in scattering amplitudes-technology



roughly:



Field theory: avoid Lagrangian

- e.g. BCFW [Britto-Cachazo-Feng-Witten, 04/05]
- work on foundations e.g.: [Cachazo-Benincasa 07], [Schuster-Toro 08], [Benincasa-Conde 11]
- trees mostly, loops by unitarity



Field theory: avoid Lagrangian

- e.g. BCFW [Britto-Cachazo-Feng-Witten, 04/05]
- work on foundations e.g.: [Cachazo-Benincasa 07], [Schuster-Toro 08], [Benincasa-Conde 11]
- trees mostly, loops by unitarity

 \rightarrow define gauge theory S-matrix by unitarity & factorisation & spin ≤ 1 (no gauge symmetry!)



Field theory: avoid Lagrangian

- e.g. BCFW [Britto-Cachazo-Feng-Witten, 04/05]
- work on foundations e.g.: [Cachazo-Benincasa 07], [Schuster-Toro 08], [Benincasa-Conde 11]
- trees mostly, loops by unitarity

 \rightarrow define gauge theory S-matrix by unitarity & factorisation & spin ≤ 1 (no gauge symmetry!)

Is there a formulation of strings which does not depend on the worldsheet (no CFT)?



Field theory: avoid Lagrangian

- e.g. BCFW [Britto-Cachazo-Feng-Witten, 04/05]
- work on foundations e.g.: [Cachazo-Benincasa 07], [Schuster-Toro 08], [Benincasa-Conde 11]
- trees mostly, loops by unitarity

 \rightarrow define gauge theory S-matrix by unitarity & factorisation & spin ≤ 1 (no gauge symmetry!)

Is there a formulation of strings which does not depend on the worldsheet (no CFT)?

analytic S-matrix: back to roots of string theory!



Revolution in understanding string theory possible?



Roughly:



flat D=10 / D=26 tree level superstrings, bosonic string

working definition of 'strings' in target space



flat D=10 / D=26 tree level superstrings, bosonic string

working definition of 'strings' in target space

(calculational method reproduces worldsheet answer)



Today

flat D=10 / D=26 tree level superstrings, bosonic string

working definition of 'strings' in target space

(calculational method reproduces worldsheet answer)

• S-matrix which is unitary and local

roughly:

- S-matrix has 'Regge-type behaviour'
- S-matrix which satisfies monodromy relations



flat D=10 / D=26 tree level superstrings, bosonic string

working definition of 'strings' in target space

(calculational method reproduces worldsheet answer)

• S-matrix which is unitary and local

roughly:

[Veneziano, 68]

- S-matrix has 'Regge-type behaviour'
- S-matrix which satisfies monodromy relations

our work is a direct descendant / continuation of:

"Construction of a crossing - symmetric, Regge behaved amplitude for linearly rising trajectories"





"Some field theory amplitudes can be reconstructed from residues at (a subset of) their kinematic poles"

[Britto-Cachazo-Feng-Witten, 04,05]



"String theory amplitudes can be reconstructed from residues at (a subset of) their kinematic poles"

[RB-Larsen-Obers-Vonk, 08], [Wecht-O'Connell, 09][RB-Marmiroli-Obers, 09]



"String theory amplitudes can be reconstructed from residues at (a subset of) their kinematic poles"

> [RB-Larsen-Obers-Vonk, 08], [Wecht-O'Connell, 09][RB-Marmiroli-Obers, 09]

- BCFW drives many new field theory gadgets
- pick two legs, summing over all factorisation channels with these legs on different sides $\sqrt{2}$
- unitarity:

$$\operatorname{res}_{s_{i\ldots j}\to m^2} A = \sum_{\operatorname{spec}@m^2} A_L A_R$$

"String theory amplitudes can be reconstructed from residues at (a subset of) their kinematic poles"

[RB-Larsen-Obers-Vonk, 08], [Wecht-O'Connell, 09][RB-Marmiroli-Obers, 09]

- BCFW drives many new field theory gadgets
- pick two legs, summing over all factorisation channels with these legs on different sides $\sqrt{2}$
- unitarity: $\operatorname{res}_{s_{i\ldots j} \to m^2} A = \sum_{\operatorname{spec}@m^2} A_L A_R$
- strings problem: doubly infinite summation (levels/states)
- need to know full string spectrum + 3 point amplitudes!
- 3 points in target space? (remember: no worldsheets!)



"String theory amplitudes can be reconstructed from residues at (a subset of) their kinematic poles"

[RB-Larsen-Obers-Vonk, 08], [Wecht-O'Connell, 09][RB-Marmiroli-Obers, 09]

- BCFW drives many new field theory gadgets
- pick two legs, summing over all factorisation channels with these legs on different sides $\sqrt{2}$
- unitarity: $\operatorname{res}_{s_{i\ldots j} \to m^2} A = \sum_{\operatorname{spec}@m^2} A_L A_R$
- strings problem: doubly infinite summation (levels/states)
- need to know full string spectrum + 3 point amplitudes!
- 3 points in target space? (remember: no worldsheets!)

some (unrelated) progress in [Chang-Feng-Fu-Lee-Wang, 12]



Key question: roots of open string amplitudes?

Veneziano amplitude:

$$A(s,t) \propto \frac{\Gamma[-s_{12}-1]\Gamma[-s_{23}-1]}{\Gamma[-s_{12}-s_{23}-2]}$$

Key question: roots of open string amplitudes?

Veneziano amplitude: $A(s,t) \propto \frac{\Gamma[-s_{12}-1]\Gamma[-s_{23}-1]}{\Gamma[-s_{12}-s_{23}-2]}$

H

Key question: roots of open string amplitudes?

Veneziano amplitude: $A(s,t) \propto \frac{\Gamma[-s_{12}-1]\Gamma[-s_{23}-1]}{\Gamma[-s_{12}-s_{23}-2]}$ roots of amplitude in u channel @ integer-spaced values? $s_{13}+s_{23} \in \{-2,-1,0,1,\ldots\}$

Key question: roots of open string amplitudes? poles of amplitude in s and t channel @Veneziano amplitude: (integer-spaced values, e.g. $s_{12} \in \{-1, 0, 1, \ldots\}$ $A(s,t) \propto \frac{\Gamma[-s_{12} - 1]\Gamma[-s_{23} - 1]}{\Gamma[-s_{12} - s_{23} - 2]}$ roots of amplitude in \mathbf{u} channel @ integer-spaced values?

[D' Adda, Sciuto, Auria, F. Gliozzi, 71] based on [Plahte, 70]: string monodromy relations determine location of roots

 $s_{13} + s_{23} \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1, \ldots\}$

Key question: roots of open string amplitudes? poles of amplitude in s and t channel @

Vene

eziano amplitude: integer-spaced values, e.g.

$$s_{12} \in \{-1, 0, 1, \ldots\}$$

$$A(s,t) \propto \frac{\Gamma[-s_{12}-1]\Gamma[-s_{23}-1]}{\Gamma[-s_{12}-s_{23}-2]}$$

roots of amplitude in u channel @ integer-spaced values? $s_{13} + s_{23} \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1, \ldots\}$

[D' Adda, Sciuto, Auria, F. Gliozzi, 71] based on [Plahte, 70]: string monodromy relations determine location of roots

Crediscovered / systematized in [Bjerrum-Bohr-Damgaard-Vanhove, 09] [Stieberger, 09]

Key question: roots of open string amplitudes? poles of amplitude in s and t channel @

Veneziano amplitude: / integer-spaced values, e.g

$$A(s,t) \propto \frac{\Gamma[-s_{12}-1]\Gamma[-s_{23}-1]}{\Gamma[-s_{12}-s_{23}-2]}$$

roots of amplitude in u channel @ integer-spaced values? $s_{13} + s_{23} \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1, ...\}$

[D' Adda, Sciuto, Auria, F. Gliozzi, 71] based on [Plahte, 70]: string monodromy relations determine location of roots

Crediscovered / systematized in [Bjerrum-Bohr-Damgaard-Vanhove, 09] [Stieberger, 09]

allows more modern treatment of roots



Roots of amplitudes: four point open string example

universal monodromy relations: $(k_{ij} \equiv 2\alpha' k_i \cdot k_j)$ $A(1234) + e^{\pm i\pi k_{12}}A(2134) + e^{\pm i\pi (k_{12}+k_{13})}A(2314) = 0$



Roots of amplitudes: four point open string example

universal monodromy relations: $(k_{ij} \equiv 2\alpha' k_i \cdot k_j)$ $A(1234) + e^{\pm i\pi k_{12}}A(2134) + e^{\pm i\pi (k_{12}+k_{13})}A(2314) = 0$

solve these relations:
$$A(1234) = -\frac{\sin(\pi k_{13})}{\sin(\pi k_{12})}A(\underline{13}24)$$



Roots of amplitudes: four point open string example

universal monodromy relations: $(k_{ij} \equiv 2\alpha' k_i \cdot k_j)$ $A(1234) + e^{\pm i\pi k_{12}}A(2134) + e^{\pm i\pi (k_{12}+k_{13})}A(2314) = 0$







• location of roots and poles *balances*: half-infinite series



- location of roots and poles *balances*: half-infinite series
- pretty: predicts all roots of Veneziano amplitude / massless four point superstring amplitude / closed four point (KLT)


HH أأأ

Computing amplitudes: Veneziano example

monodromy predicts roots of residues:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(1234) = \frac{(-1)^A}{\pi} \left[\sin(\pi s_{13}) A(1324) \right]_{s_{12}=A-1}$$

 $A(1234) = -\frac{\sin(\pi k_{13})}{\sin(\pi k_{12})}A(1324)$

by (A - 1) + s₂₃ + s₁₃ = -4 there are only A roots at integer values on right hand side → functional dependence
maximal degree at level A from Regge behavior

HH ثأثة

Computing amplitudes: Veneziano example

monodromy predicts roots of residues:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(1234) = \frac{(-1)^A}{\pi} \left[\sin(\pi s_{13}) A(1324) \right]_{s_{12}=A-1}$$

 $A(1234) = -\frac{\sin(\pi k_{13})}{\sin(\pi k_{12})}A(1324)$

by (A - 1) + s₂₃ + s₁₃ = -4 there are only A roots at integer values on right hand side → functional dependence
maximal degree at level A from Regge behavior

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(1234) = c(s_{13}+2)\dots(s_{13}+A+1).$$

HH ifii

Computing amplitudes: Veneziano example

monodromy predicts roots of residues:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(1234) = \frac{(-1)^A}{\pi} \left[\sin(\pi s_{13}) A(1324) \right]_{s_{12}=A-1}$$

 $A(1234) = -\frac{\sin(\pi k_{13})}{\sin(\pi k_{12})}A(1324)$

by (A - 1) + s₂₃ + s₁₃ = -4 there are only A roots at integer values on right hand side → functional dependence
maximal degree at level A from Regge behavior

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(1234) = c(s_{13}+2)\dots(s_{13}+A+1).$$
$$\lim_{s_{13}\to -1} \left[\frac{(-1)^A}{\pi}\sin(\pi s_{13})A(1324)\right] = (-1)^{A-1}A_3(T,T,T)A_3(T,T,T)$$
$$= (-1)^{A-1}g_o^2,$$

HH أأأ

Computing amplitudes: Veneziano example

monodromy predicts roots of residues:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(1234) = \frac{(-1)^A}{\pi} \left[\sin(\pi s_{13}) A(1324) \right]_{s_{12}=A-1}$$

 $A(1234) = -\frac{\sin(\pi k_{13})}{\sin(\pi k_{12})}A(1324)$

by (A - 1) + s₂₃ + s₁₃ = -4 there are only A roots at integer values on right hand side → functional dependence
maximal degree at level A from Regge behavior

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(1234) = c(s_{13}+2)\dots(s_{13}+A+1).$$
$$\operatorname{lim}_{s_{13}\to -1} \left[\frac{(-1)^A}{\pi}\sin(\pi s_{13})A(1324)\right] = (-1)^{A-1}A_3(T,T,T)A_3(T,T,T)$$
$$= (-1)^{A-1}g_o^2,$$

 \rightarrow residues fixed \rightarrow use BCFW to sum \rightarrow Veneziano!

monodromy at n points:

$$\begin{split} &A(1,\beta_{1},...,\beta_{s},s+2,\alpha_{1},...,\alpha_{N-s-3},N) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{\alpha'} \binom{m_{1}^{2} + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{s} m_{\beta_{i}}^{2}}{\sin(\pi s_{1\beta_{1}...\beta_{s}})} \left[\sum_{\sigma \in OP(\{\beta_{1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\alpha\})} \mathcal{S}_{\{\beta^{T},1,s+2,\alpha,N\},\{1,s+2,\sigma,N\}} A(1,s+2,\sigma,N) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \sum_{\sigma \in OP(\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\alpha\})} \mathcal{S}_{\{\beta^{T},1,s+2,\alpha,N\},\{1,\beta_{1},...,\beta_{l},s+2,\sigma,N\}} A(1,\beta_{1},...,\beta_{l},s+2,\sigma,N) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \sum_{\sigma \in OP(\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{l+1},...,\beta_{s}\},\{\beta_{$$

monodromy at n points:



monodromy at n points:



residue in 'multi-peripheral' channel $\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow$ basis:

$$\prod_{\substack{i,j\\1 < i < j < N}} \binom{k_{ij}}{a_{ij}}, \quad \text{where } a_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \land \sum_{\substack{1 < i \le l\\l < j < N}} a_{ij} = A_l$$

monodromy at n points:



residue in 'multi-peripheral' channel $\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow$ basis:

$$\prod_{\substack{i,j\\1 < i < j < N}} \binom{k_{ij}}{a_{ij}}, \quad \text{where } a_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \land \sum_{\substack{1 < i \le l\\l < j < N}} a_{ij} = A_l$$

coefficients are signs \rightarrow BCFW \rightarrow n point amplitude



monodromy at n points:



residue in 'multi-peripheral' channel $\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow$ basis:

$$\prod_{\substack{i,j\\1 < i < j < N}} \binom{k_{ij}}{a_{ij}}, \quad \text{where } a_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \land \sum_{\substack{1 < i \le l\\l < j < N}} a_{ij} = A_l$$

coefficients are signs \rightarrow BCFW \rightarrow n point amplitude (from world shoot in [Chaung O'Connel Work

(from world sheet in [Cheung-O'Connel-Wecht, 09])



 can compute n point tachyon amplitudes in bosonic string from monodromy + BCFW + unitarity

- fixes amplitudes with other particles by unitarity \rightarrow fixes S-matrix
- not efficient...
- (closed strings by KLT)



 can compute n point tachyon amplitudes in bosonic string from monodromy + BCFW + unitarity

- fixes amplitudes with other particles by unitarity \rightarrow fixes S-matrix
- not efficient...
- (closed strings by KLT)

working definition of string theory without worldsheets or CFT!



 can compute n point tachyon amplitudes in bosonic string from monodromy + BCFW + unitarity

- fixes amplitudes with other particles by unitarity \rightarrow fixes S-matrix
- not efficient...
- (closed strings by KLT)

working definition of string theory without worldsheets or CFT!

- superstrings mostly similarly (technical issue at $n \ge 6$)
- minimal assumptions?
- string loops?



 can compute n point tachyon amplitudes in bosonic string from monodromy + BCFW + unitarity

- fixes amplitudes with other particles by unitarity \rightarrow fixes S-matrix
- not efficient...
- (closed strings by KLT)

working definition of string theory without worldsheets or CFT!

- superstrings mostly similarly (technical issue at $n \ge 6$)
- minimal assumptions?
- string loops? → in progress...



 can compute n point tachyon amplitudes in bosonic string from monodromy + BCFW + unitarity

- fixes amplitudes with other particles by unitarity \rightarrow fixes S-matrix
- not efficient...
- (closed strings by KLT)

working definition of string theory without worldsheets or CFT!

- superstrings mostly similarly (technical issue at $n \ge 6$)
- minimal assumptions?
- string loops? → in progress...

next: can we get all worldsheet results?

HH iii آ

Unitarity from the target space point of view

- unitarity hotly debated in early days of strings: settled by proof of no-ghost theorem (worldsheet)
- no similar strength result here...

HH أأأ

Unitarity from the target space point of view

- unitarity hotly debated in early days of strings: settled by proof of no-ghost theorem (worldsheet)
- no similar strength result here...

... but shortest route to critical dimension

HH البل

Unitarity from the target space point of view

- unitarity hotly debated in early days of strings: settled by proof of no-ghost theorem (worldsheet)
- no similar strength result here...

... but shortest route to critical dimension

unitarity+locality has two consequences:

- amplitudes factorize at kinematic poles
- 3 point amplitudes must be real

JHI iiii

Unitarity from the target space point of view

- unitarity hotly debated in early days of strings: settled by proof of no-ghost theorem (worldsheet)
- no similar strength result here...

... but shortest route to critical dimension

unitarity+locality has two consequences:

- amplitudes factorize at kinematic poles
- 3 point amplitudes must be real

→ Veneziano amplitude must have positive residues

JHI iiii

Unitarity from the target space point of view

- unitarity hotly debated in early days of strings: settled by proof of no-ghost theorem (worldsheet)
- no similar strength result here...

... but shortest route to critical dimension

unitarity+locality has two consequences:

- amplitudes factorize at kinematic poles
- 3 point amplitudes must be real

→ Veneziano amplitude must have positive residues

$$\operatorname{res}_{s_{i\ldots j}} A = \sum_{\operatorname{spec}@m} A_L A_R$$

UHI iiii

Unitarity from the target space point of view

- unitarity hotly debated in early days of strings: settled by proof of no-ghost theorem (worldsheet)
- no similar strength result here...

... but shortest route to critical dimension

unitarity+locality has two consequences:

- amplitudes factorize at kinematic poles
- 3 point amplitudes must be real

→ Veneziano amplitude must have positive residues

$$\operatorname{res}_{s_{i\ldots j}} A = \sum_{\operatorname{spec}@m} A_L A_R$$

spectrum = direct sum over irreps of SO(d)
SO(d) irreps are (symmetry props) + (tracelessness)



Calculational example: Veneziano amplitude

consider A(T⁴) in bosonic string \rightarrow factorizes into A(T²M)²

HH

Calculational example: Veneziano amplitude

consider A(T⁴) in bosonic string \rightarrow factorizes into A(T²M)² A(T²M) amplitude is fixed by Poincare + locality, up to constant

$$A(T, T, M^{\alpha}) = c_{A,\alpha} \prod_{a=1}^{|\alpha|} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (k_1 - k_2)^{\mu_a} \xi_{\mu_a}^{I_a}$$

Calculational example: Veneziano amplitude

consider A(T⁴) in bosonic string \rightarrow factorizes into A(T²M)² A(T²M) amplitude is fixed by Poincare + locality, up to constant

$$A(T, T, M^{\alpha}) = c_{A,\alpha} \prod_{a=1}^{|\alpha|} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (k_1 - k_2)^{\mu_a} \xi_{\mu_a}^{I_a}.$$

decomposing into irreps doable/complicated (bird tracks)

H

Calculational example: Veneziano amplitude

consider A(T⁴) in bosonic string \rightarrow factorizes into A(T²M)² A(T²M) amplitude is fixed by Poincare + locality, up to constant

$$A(T, T, M^{\alpha}) = c_{A,\alpha} \prod_{a=1}^{|\alpha|} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (k_1 - k_2)^{\mu_a} \xi_{\mu_a}^{I_a}.$$

decomposing into irreps doable/complicated (bird tracks)

take Veneziano, take residue on pole at level A, decompose polynomial into contributions for irreps, check positivity

HH

Calculational example: Veneziano amplitude

consider A(T⁴) in bosonic string \rightarrow factorizes into A(T²M)² A(T²M) amplitude is fixed by Poincare + locality, up to constant

$$A(T, T, M^{\alpha}) = c_{A,\alpha} \prod_{a=1}^{|\alpha|} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (k_1 - k_2)^{\mu_a} \xi_{\mu_a}^{I_a}.$$

decomposing into irreps doable/complicated (bird tracks)

take Veneziano, take residue on pole at level A, decompose polynomial into contributions for irreps, check positivity

• obtained irrep coefficients as an explicit sum, checked unitarity up to A = 400 in D=26

Critical dimension and intercept conditions

take Veneziano amplitude with arbitrary dimension + intercept,

$$A_{4} = \frac{\Gamma(-s_{12} - \alpha_{0})\Gamma(-s_{23} - \alpha_{0})}{\Gamma(-s_{12} - s_{23} - 2\alpha_{0})}$$
$$\lim_{s_{12} \to A - \alpha_{0}} A_{4} = \frac{1}{A!} \frac{-1}{s_{12} - A + \alpha_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{A} (s_{23} + \alpha_{0} + i), \quad A \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$$

Critical dimension and intercept conditions

take Veneziano amplitude with arbitrary dimension + intercept,

$$A_{4} = \frac{\Gamma(-s_{12} - \alpha_{0})\Gamma(-s_{23} - \alpha_{0})}{\Gamma(-s_{12} - s_{23} - 2\alpha_{0})}$$
$$\lim_{s_{12} \to A - \alpha_{0}} A_{4} = \frac{1}{A!} \frac{-1}{s_{12} - A + \alpha_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{A} (s_{23} + \alpha_{0} + i), \quad A \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$$

factor residues into known unitarity residues: $res(A_4)=(A_3)^2$

$$A = 1 \quad \to \quad c_{\text{vector}}^2 \left(s_{23} + \frac{3}{2} \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + c_{\text{scalar}}^2$$
$$A = 2 \quad \to \quad c_{\text{tensor}}^2 \left(s_{23}^2 + 5s_{23} + \frac{25}{4} - \frac{25}{4} \frac{1}{D-1} \right) + c_{\text{vector}}^2 \left(s_{23} + \frac{5}{2} \right) + c_{\text{scalar}}^2$$

Critical dimension and intercept conditions

take Veneziano amplitude with arbitrary dimension + intercept,

$$A_{4} = \frac{\Gamma(-s_{12} - \alpha_{0})\Gamma(-s_{23} - \alpha_{0})}{\Gamma(-s_{12} - s_{23} - 2\alpha_{0})}$$
$$\lim_{s_{12} \to A - \alpha_{0}} A_{4} = \frac{1}{A!} \frac{-1}{s_{12} - A + \alpha_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{A} (s_{23} + \alpha_{0} + i), \quad A \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$$

factor residues into known unitarity residues: $res(A_4)=(A_3)^2$

$$A = 1 \quad \to \quad c_{\text{vector}}^2 \left(s_{23} + \frac{3}{2} \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + c_{\text{scalar}}^2$$
$$A = 2 \quad \to \quad c_{\text{tensor}}^2 \left(s_{23}^2 + 5s_{23} + \frac{25}{4} - \frac{25}{4} \frac{1}{D-1} \right) + c_{\text{vector}}^2 \left(s_{23} + \frac{5}{2} \right) + c_{\text{scalar}}^2$$

coefficients real $\rightarrow D \leq 26$ $\alpha_0 \leq 1$ no ghost conditions!

Critical dimension and intercept conditions (compare [Frampton, 72])

take Veneziano amplitude with arbitrary dimension + intercept,

$$A_{4} = \frac{\Gamma(-s_{12} - \alpha_{0})\Gamma(-s_{23} - \alpha_{0})}{\Gamma(-s_{12} - s_{23} - 2\alpha_{0})}$$
$$\lim_{s_{12} \to A - \alpha_{0}} A_{4} = \frac{1}{A!} \frac{-1}{s_{12} - A + \alpha_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{A} (s_{23} + \alpha_{0} + i), \quad A \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$$

factor residues into known unitarity residues: $res(A_4)=(A_3)^2$

$$A = 1 \quad \to \quad c_{\text{vector}}^2 \left(s_{23} + \frac{3}{2} \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + c_{\text{scalar}}^2$$
$$A = 2 \quad \to \quad c_{\text{tensor}}^2 \left(s_{23}^2 + 5s_{23} + \frac{25}{4} - \frac{25}{4} \frac{1}{D-1} \right) + c_{\text{vector}}^2 \left(s_{23} + \frac{5}{2} \right) + c_{\text{scalar}}^2$$

coefficients real $\rightarrow D \leq 26$ $\alpha_0 \leq 1$ no ghost conditions!

HHر inii

Speculation: generalisation?

you can define the S-matrix of string theory (tree level, flat background) by:

- unitarity in some channels
- BCFW (Regge-type behavior)
- monodromy
- locality, Poincare symmetry
- KLT relations to define closed strings

HH أأأ

Speculation: generalisation?

you can define the S-matrix of string theory (tree level, flat background) by:

- unitarity in some channels
- BCFW (Regge-type behavior)
- monodromy
- locality, Poincare symmetry
- KLT relations to define closed strings

some things generalise

• monodromy, KLT \rightarrow all backgrounds ([RB-Marmiroli-Obers, 09])

 $V_1V_2 = V_2V_1R_{12}$

- how does unitarity work?
- what replaces Poincare?
- spectrum information?



- new { calculational method for } strings directly in target space
- main new ingredient: monodromy relations fix residues at kinematic poles
- unitarity checked in examples \rightarrow poor man's no-ghost theorem
- much to learn!





Toward a revolution in understanding of strings?



Your Question Here?



 $A(12345) = \frac{1}{\sin(\pi s_{12})} \left[\sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23})) A(13245) + \sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23} + k_{24})) A(13425) \right]$
Computing amplitudes: 5 tachyons monodromy at 5 points: $A(12345) = \frac{1}{\sin(\pi s_{12})} \left[\sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23}))A(13245) + \sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23} + k_{24}))A(13425) \right]$ $s_{12} \text{ poles exposed}$

Computing amplitudes: 5 tachyons monodromy at 5 points: $A(12345) = \frac{1}{\sin(\pi s_{12})} [\sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23}))A(13245) + \sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23} + k_{24}))A(13425)]$ I1

 $\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(12345) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\sin(\pi k_{23}) A(13245) + \sin(\pi (k_{23} + k_{24})) A(13425) \right]_{s_{12}=A-1}$

Computing amplitudes: 5 tachyons monodromy at 5 points: $A(12345) = \frac{1}{\sin(\pi s_{12})} \left[\sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23})) A(13245) + \sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23} + k_{24})) A(13425) \right]$ s₁₂ poles exposed $\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(12345) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\sin(\pi k_{23}) A(13245) + \sin(\pi (k_{23} + k_{24})) A(13425) \right]_{s_{12}=A-1}$ egers $k_{23} \ge 0$, $k_{24} \ge 0$, $k_{23} + k_{24} \ge 0$, $k_{23} + k_{24} \le A - 1$ roots at integers for which:

Computing amplitudes: 5 tachyons monodromy at 5 points: $A(12345) = \frac{1}{\sin(\pi s_{12})} \left[\sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23})) A(13245) + \sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23} + k_{24})) A(13425) \right]$ s₁₂ poles exposed $\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(12345) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\sin(\pi k_{23}) A(13245) + \sin(\pi (k_{23} + k_{24})) A(13425) \right]_{s_{12}=A-1}$ $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{roots at integers} & k_{23} \geq 0 \ , \\ \mbox{for which:} & k_{24} \geq 0 \ , \\ & k_{23} + k_{24} \leq A - 1 \end{array} & \mbox{res} & \sim \binom{k_{23}}{A - a} \binom{k_{24}}{a} \\ & 0 \leq a \leq A \end{array}$

Computing amplitudes: 5 tachyons monodromy at 5 points: $A(12345) = \frac{1}{\sin(\pi s_{12})} \left[\sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23})) A(13245) + \sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23} + k_{24})) A(13425) \right]$ s₁₂ poles exposed $\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(12345) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\sin(\pi k_{23}) A(13245) + \sin(\pi (k_{23} + k_{24})) A(13425) \right]_{s_{12}=A-1}$ roots at integers $k_{23} \ge 0$, $k_{23} \ge 0$, $k_{23} = 0$, $k_{24} \ge 0$ $k_{23} + k_{24} \le A - 1 \qquad \qquad 0 \le a \le A$

new: there is non-trivial structure \rightarrow take s₁₂₃ residue of residue

$$\sim \binom{k_{23}}{A-a}\binom{k_{24}}{a}\binom{k_{34}}{B-a}, \qquad 0 \le a \le \min(A, B)$$

Computing amplitudes: 5 tachyons monodromy at 5 points: $A(12345) = \frac{1}{\sin(\pi s_{12})} \left[\sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23})) A(13245) + \sin(\pi(-s_{12} + k_{23} + k_{24})) A(13425) \right]$ s₁₂ poles exposed $\operatorname{Res}_{s_{12}\to A-1} A(12345) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\sin(\pi k_{23}) A(13245) + \sin(\pi (k_{23} + k_{24})) A(13425) \right]_{s_{12}=A-1}$ $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{roots at integers} & k_{23} \geq 0 \ , \\ \mbox{f-multiple} & k_{24} \geq 0 \ , \end{array} & \mbox{res} & \sim \binom{k_{23}}{A-a} \binom{k_{24}}{a} \end{array}$ $k_{23} + k_{24} < A - 1 \qquad \qquad 0 < a < A$

new: there is non-trivial structure \rightarrow take s₁₂₃ residue of residue

$$\sim \binom{k_{23}}{A-a}\binom{k_{24}}{a}\binom{k_{34}}{B-a}, \qquad 0 \le a \le \min(A, B)$$

coefficients turn out to be signs \rightarrow BCFW \rightarrow 5 point amplitude