

Newton-Cartan geometry for holography

Jan Rosseel (TU Wien)

Based on work with Roel Andringa, Eric Bergshoeff, Ergin Sezgin

arXiv:1305.6737

+ work with Eric Bergshoeff, Jelle Hartong

arXiv:1409.5555

Strings 2014, Mainz, 22/09/2014

Outline

1. Introduction
2. Newton-Cartan
3. Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography
4. Torsional Newton-Cartan
5. Conclusions

Introduction

- Newton-Cartan geometry is a geometric formalism, developed by Élie Cartan in 1923, used to give a geometric formulation of Newtonian gravity, akin to GR.



Introduction

- Newton-Cartan geometry is a geometric formalism, developed by Élie Cartan in 1923, used to give a geometric formulation of Newtonian gravity, akin to GR.
- Cartan's motivation was of theoretical and pedagogical nature. Struck by geometric nature of General Relativity. Motivated him to recast Newtonian gravity in geometrical language as well.

Introduction

- Newton-Cartan geometry is a geometric formalism, developed by Élie Cartan in 1923, used to give a geometric formulation of Newtonian gravity, akin to GR.
- Cartan's motivation was of theoretical and pedagogical nature. Struck by geometric nature of General Relativity. Motivated him to recast Newtonian gravity in geometrical language as well.
- The Newton-Cartan formalism (or suitable generalizations thereof) has however appeared in recent applications.
 - 1 Construction of effective actions in condensed matter theory. ([Hoyos, Son](#))
 - 2 Boundary geometry in non-relativistic holography : Lifshitz holography. ([Ross; Christensen, Hartong, Obers, Rollier; Hartong, Kiritsis, Obers](#))

Introduction

- Newton-Cartan geometry is a geometric formalism, developed by Élie Cartan in 1923, used to give a geometric formulation of Newtonian gravity, akin to GR.
- Cartan's motivation was of theoretical and pedagogical nature. Struck by geometric nature of General Relativity. Motivated him to recast Newtonian gravity in geometrical language as well.
- The Newton-Cartan formalism (or suitable generalizations thereof) has however appeared in recent applications.
 - 1 Construction of effective actions in condensed matter theory. ([Hoyos, Son](#))
 - 2 Boundary geometry in non-relativistic holography : Lifshitz holography. ([Ross; Christensen, Hartong, Obers, Rollier; Hartong, Kiritsis, Obers](#))
- Here : develop formulation of Newton-Cartan geometry that can be used to study Lifshitz holography and understand certain aspects of it.

Cartan's Newtonian geometry

- Newton-Cartan geometry resembles Riemannian geometry used in GR ($g_{\mu\nu}, \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho}, R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}$), but differs from it in some crucial respects.

Cartan's Newtonian geometry

- Newton-Cartan geometry resembles Riemannian geometry used in GR ($g_{\mu\nu}, \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho}, R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}$), but differs from it in some crucial respects.
- Fundamental difference with GR : 2 degenerate metrics
 - 1 Temporal metric of rank 1 : $\tau_{\mu\nu} = \tau_{\mu}\tau_{\nu}$.
 - 2 Spatial metric of rank 3 (d) : $h^{\mu\nu}$, with $h^{\mu\nu}\tau_{\nu} = 0$.

Expresses notions of absolute time and space.

Cartan's Newtonian geometry

- Newton-Cartan geometry resembles Riemannian geometry used in GR ($g_{\mu\nu}, \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho}, R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}$), but differs from it in some crucial respects.
- Fundamental difference with GR : 2 degenerate metrics
 - 1 Temporal metric of rank 1 : $\tau_{\mu\nu} = \tau_{\mu}\tau_{\nu}$.
 - 2 Spatial metric of rank 3 (d) : $h^{\mu\nu}$, with $h^{\mu\nu}\tau_{\nu} = 0$.

Expresses notions of absolute time and space.

- A connection can be introduced via metric compatibility and $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^{\rho} = 0$:

$$\nabla_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} = 0, \quad \nabla_{\mu}h^{\nu\rho} = 0,$$

$$\text{Note : } \quad \nabla_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = \partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}t.$$

Cartan's Newtonian geometry

- Newton-Cartan geometry resembles Riemannian geometry used in GR ($g_{\mu\nu}, \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho}, R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}$), but differs from it in some crucial respects.
- Fundamental difference with GR : 2 degenerate metrics
 - 1 Temporal metric of rank 1 : $\tau_{\mu\nu} = \tau_{\mu}\tau_{\nu}$.
 - 2 Spatial metric of rank 3 (d) : $h^{\mu\nu}$, with $h^{\mu\nu}\tau_{\nu} = 0$.

Expresses notions of absolute time and space.

- A connection can be introduced via metric compatibility and $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^{\rho} = 0$:

$$\nabla_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} = 0, \quad \nabla_{\mu}h^{\nu\rho} = 0,$$

$$\text{Note : } \quad \nabla_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = \partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}t.$$

- Newton's second law and the Poisson equation can now be covariantly written as:

$$\frac{d^2x^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{d\tau} = 0, \quad R_{\mu\nu} = \rho\tau_{\mu}\tau_{\nu}.$$

Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography

- Lifshitz holography attempts to describe field theories invariant under space-time translations, spatial rotations and non-relativistic dilatations:

$$t \rightarrow \lambda^z t, \quad x^i \rightarrow \lambda x^i \quad (z \neq 1).$$

Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography

- Lifshitz holography attempts to describe field theories invariant under space-time translations, spatial rotations and non-relativistic dilatations:

$$t \rightarrow \lambda^z t, \quad x^i \rightarrow \lambda x^i \quad (z \neq 1).$$

- Lifshitz space-times realize this scaling as an isometry and can serve as gravitational duals ([Kachru, Liu, Mulligan](#)):

$$ds^2 = L^2 \frac{dr^2}{r^2} - r^{-2z} dt^2 + r^{-2} dx^i dx^i$$

Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography

- Lifshitz holography attempts to describe field theories invariant under space-time translations, spatial rotations and non-relativistic dilatations:

$$t \rightarrow \lambda^z t, \quad x^i \rightarrow \lambda x^i \quad (z \neq 1).$$

- Lifshitz space-times realize this scaling as an isometry and can serve as gravitational duals (Kachru, Liu, Mulligan):

$$ds^2 = L^2 \frac{dr^2}{r^2} - r^{-2z} dt^2 + r^{-2} dx^i dx^i$$

- Typical models consist of EH + Λ + massive vector.

Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography

- Lifshitz holography attempts to describe field theories invariant under space-time translations, spatial rotations and non-relativistic dilatations:

$$t \rightarrow \lambda^z t, \quad x^i \rightarrow \lambda x^i \quad (z \neq 1).$$

- Lifshitz space-times realize this scaling as an isometry and can serve as gravitational duals (Kachru, Liu, Mulligan):

$$ds^2 = L^2 \frac{dr^2}{r^2} - r^{-2z} dt^2 + r^{-2} dx^i dx^i$$

- Typical models consist of EH + Λ + massive vector.
- For holography, notion of ‘Asymptotically Locally Lifshitz’ space-time is needed. Via Fefferman-Graham-like expansion: (Ross; Korovin, Skenderis, Taylor; Chemissany, Geissbühler, Hartong, Rollier; Christensen, Hartong, Obers, Rollier)

$$ds^2 = e^\Phi \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + (-e_\mu^0 e_\nu^0 + e_\mu^a e_\nu^a) dx^\mu dx^\nu,$$

where $e^0 = r^{-z} \tau_{(0)\mu}(x) dx^\mu + \dots$, $e^a = r^{-1} e_{(0)\mu}^a(x) dx^\mu + \dots$.

Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography

- Massive vector can be described via massless vector m_μ and scalar χ :
extra boundary data $m_{(0)\mu}, \chi_{(0)}$.

Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography

- Massive vector can be described via massless vector m_μ and scalar χ : extra boundary data $m_{(0)\mu}, \chi_{(0)}$.
- Boundary data : $\tau_{(0)\mu}, e_{(0)\mu}^a, m_{(0)\mu}, \chi_{(0)}$. Play role of sources in dual field theory partition function according to standard AdS/CFT prescription.

Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography

- Massive vector can be described via massless vector m_μ and scalar χ :
extra boundary data $m_{(0)\mu}$, $\chi_{(0)}$.
- Boundary data : $\tau_{(0)\mu}$, $e_{(0)\mu}{}^a$, $m_{(0)\mu}$, $\chi_{(0)}$. Play role of sources in dual field theory partition function according to standard AdS/CFT prescription.
- Can be used to define Newton-Cartan metrics:

$$\tau_{\mu\nu} = \tau_{(0)\mu}\tau_{(0)\nu}, \quad h_{\mu\nu} = e_{(0)\mu}{}^a e_{(0)\nu}{}^b \delta_{ab}.$$

Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography

- Massive vector can be described via massless vector m_μ and scalar χ : extra boundary data $m_{(0)\mu}, \chi_{(0)}$.
- Boundary data : $\tau_{(0)\mu}, e_{(0)\mu}{}^a, m_{(0)\mu}, \chi_{(0)}$. Play role of sources in dual field theory partition function according to standard AdS/CFT prescription.
- Can be used to define Newton-Cartan metrics:

$$\tau_{\mu\nu} = \tau_{(0)\mu}\tau_{(0)\nu}, \quad h_{\mu\nu} = e_{(0)\mu}{}^a e_{(0)\nu}{}^b \delta_{ab}.$$

- Boundary geometry was indeed identified as Newton-Cartan. However, the resulting Newton-Cartan geometry has torsion. ([Christensen, Hartong, Obers, Rollier; Hartong, Kiritsis, Obers](#))

$$\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{(0)\nu]} \neq 0 \quad \text{rather often} \quad \tau_{[(0)\mu}\partial_\rho\tau_{(0)\nu]} = 0.$$

Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography

- Massive vector can be described via massless vector m_μ and scalar χ : extra boundary data $m_{(0)\mu}$, $\chi_{(0)}$.
- Boundary data : $\tau_{(0)\mu}$, $e_{(0)\mu}{}^a$, $m_{(0)\mu}$, $\chi_{(0)}$. Play role of sources in dual field theory partition function according to standard AdS/CFT prescription.
- Can be used to define Newton-Cartan metrics:

$$\tau_{\mu\nu} = \tau_{(0)\mu}\tau_{(0)\nu}, \quad h_{\mu\nu} = e_{(0)\mu}{}^a e_{(0)\nu}{}^b \delta_{ab}.$$

- Boundary geometry was indeed identified as Newton-Cartan. However, the resulting Newton-Cartan geometry has torsion. (Christensen, Hartong, Obers, Rollier; Hartong, Kiritsis, Obers)

$$\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{(0)\nu]} \neq 0 \quad \text{rather often} \quad \tau_{[(0)\mu}\partial_\rho\tau_{(0)\nu]} = 0.$$

- Moreover $m_{(0)\mu}$ plays a crucial role, e.g. appears in affine connection.

Torsional Newton-Cartan and the Schrödinger algebra

- So, Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography is in a vielbein formulation, with torsion. Can this be constructed directly and can its features be understood more intrinsically?

Torsional Newton-Cartan and the Schrödinger algebra

- So, Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography is in a vielbein formulation, with torsion. Can this be constructed directly and can its features be understood more intrinsically?
- What is the analogue of ‘local Lorentz transformations’?

Torsional Newton-Cartan and the Schrödinger algebra

- So, Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography is in a vielbein formulation, with torsion. Can this be constructed directly and can its features be understood more intrinsically?
- What is the analogue of ‘local Lorentz transformations’?
- Bulk local Lorentz group contracts to spatial rotations and Galilean boosts:

$$\delta\tau_{(0)\mu} = 0,$$

$$\delta e_{(0)\mu}{}^a = \lambda^a \tau_{(0)\mu} + \lambda^a{}_b e_{(0)\mu}{}^b.$$

Torsional Newton-Cartan and the Schrödinger algebra

- So, Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography is in a vielbein formulation, with torsion. Can this be constructed directly and can its features be understood more intrinsically?
- What is the analogue of ‘local Lorentz transformations’?
- Bulk local Lorentz group contracts to spatial rotations and Galilean boosts:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\tau_{(0)\mu} &= 0, \\ \delta e_{(0)\mu}{}^a &= \lambda^a \tau_{(0)\mu} + \lambda^a{}_b e_{(0)\mu}{}^b.\end{aligned}$$

- In addition : boundary data only determined up to local Weyl rescalings.

$$\delta\tau_{(0)\mu} = z\Lambda_D\tau_{(0)\mu}, \quad \delta e_{(0)\mu}{}^a = \Lambda_D e_{(0)\mu}{}^a.$$

Torsional Newton-Cartan and the Schrödinger algebra

- So, Newton-Cartan in Lifshitz holography is in a vielbein formulation, with torsion. Can this be constructed directly and can its features be understood more intrinsically?
- What is the analogue of ‘local Lorentz transformations’?
- Bulk local Lorentz group contracts to spatial rotations and Galilean boosts:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\tau_{(0)\mu} &= 0, \\ \delta e_{(0)\mu}{}^a &= \lambda^a \tau_{(0)\mu} + \lambda^a{}_b e_{(0)\mu}{}^b.\end{aligned}$$

- In addition : boundary data only determined up to local Weyl rescalings.

$$\delta\tau_{(0)\mu} = z\Lambda_D\tau_{(0)\mu}, \quad \delta e_{(0)\mu}{}^a = \Lambda_D e_{(0)\mu}{}^a.$$

- This suggests that the internal symmetries are the ones of the Schrödinger algebra. So, we will ‘gauge the Schrödinger algebra’. (with Bergshoeff, Hartong)

Torsional Newton-Cartan and the Schrödinger algebra

- Schrödinger algebra (for $z = 2$) consists of
 - ① Bargmann algebra = central extension of Galilei algebra of non-relativistic space-time transformations : H, P_a, J_{ab}, G_a, Z
 - ② dilatation D + special conformal transformation K .

Torsional Newton-Cartan and the Schrödinger algebra

- Schrödinger algebra (for $z = 2$) consists of
 - 1 Bargmann algebra = central extension of Galilei algebra of non-relativistic space-time transformations : H, P_a, J_{ab}, G_a, Z
 - 2 dilatation D + special conformal transformation K .
- Gauging = associating gauge fields, transformation rules and covariant curvatures to all generators.

symmetry	generators	gauge field	parameters	curvatures
time translations	H	τ_μ	$\zeta(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}(H)$
space translations	P^a	e_μ^a	$\zeta^a(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(P)$
boosts	G^a	ω_μ^a	$\lambda^a(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(G)$
spatial rotations	J^{ab}	ω_μ^{ab}	$\lambda^{ab}(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab}(J)$
central charge transf.	Z	m_μ	$\sigma(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}(Z)$
dilatations	D	b_μ	$\Lambda_D(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}(D)$
spec. conf. transf.	K	f_μ	$\Lambda_K(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}(K)$

Torsional Newton-Cartan and the Schrödinger algebra

- Schrödinger algebra (for $z = 2$) consists of
 - 1 Bargmann algebra = central extension of Galilei algebra of non-relativistic space-time transformations : H, P_a, J_{ab}, G_a, Z
 - 2 dilatation D + special conformal transformation K .
- Gauging = associating gauge fields, transformation rules and covariant curvatures to all generators.

symmetry	generators	gauge field	parameters	curvatures
time translations	H	τ_μ	$\zeta(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}(H)$
space translations	P^a	e_μ^a	$\zeta^a(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(P)$
boosts	G^a	ω_μ^a	$\lambda^a(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(G)$
spatial rotations	J^{ab}	ω_μ^{ab}	$\lambda^{ab}(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab}(J)$
central charge transf.	Z	m_μ	$\sigma(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}(Z)$
dilatations	D	b_μ	$\Lambda_D(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}(D)$
spec. conf. transf.	K	f_μ	$\Lambda_K(x^\nu)$	$R_{\mu\nu}(K)$

- Reproduces correct transformation laws for τ_μ, e_μ^a and m_μ .

Torsional Newton-Cartan and the Schrödinger algebra

- Other fields can be made dependent via curvature constraints. E.g. for the spin connections:

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(P) = 2\partial_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^a - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^{ab}e_{\nu]b} - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^a\tau_{\nu]} - 2b_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^a = 0,$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}(Z) = 2\partial_{[\mu}m_{\nu]} - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^ae_{\nu]a} = 0.$$

Allow one to solve $\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}$ and $\omega_{\mu}{}^a$ in terms of τ_{μ} , $e_{\mu}{}^a$, m_{μ} . A similar story holds for f_{μ} .

Torsional Newton-Cartan and the Schrödinger algebra

- Other fields can be made dependent via curvature constraints. E.g. for the spin connections:

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(P) = 2\partial_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^a - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^{ab}e_{\nu]b} - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^a\tau_{\nu]} - 2b_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^a = 0,$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}(Z) = 2\partial_{[\mu}m_{\nu]} - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^ae_{\nu]a} = 0.$$

Allow one to solve $\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}$ and $\omega_{\mu}{}^a$ in terms of τ_{μ} , $e_{\mu}{}^a$, m_{μ} . A similar story holds for f_{μ} .

- What about b_{μ} ? The time-like component is pure gauge:

$$\delta_K b_{\mu} = \Lambda_K \tau_{\mu}.$$

The spatial components are found as solutions of the constraint

$$R_{\mu\nu}(H) = 0 = 2\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} - 4b_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]},$$

Torsional Newton-Cartan and the Schrödinger algebra

- Other fields can be made dependent via curvature constraints. E.g. for the spin connections:

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(P) = 2\partial_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^a - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^{ab}e_{\nu]b} - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^a\tau_{\nu]} - 2b_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^a = 0,$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}(Z) = 2\partial_{[\mu}m_{\nu]} - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^a e_{\nu]a} = 0.$$

Allow one to solve $\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}$ and $\omega_{\mu}{}^a$ in terms of τ_{μ} , $e_{\mu}{}^a$, m_{μ} . A similar story holds for f_{μ} .

- What about b_{μ} ? The time-like component is pure gauge:

$$\delta_K b_{\mu} = \Lambda_K \tau_{\mu}.$$

The spatial components are found as solutions of the constraint

$$R_{\mu\nu}(H) = 0 = 2\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} - 4b_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]},$$

- Note that now

$$\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} \neq 0 \quad \text{but} \quad \tau_{[\mu}\partial_{\nu]}\tau_{\rho]} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{torsion}.$$

Conclusions

- Newton-Cartan geometry appears as boundary geometry in Lifshitz holography.

Conclusions

- Newton-Cartan geometry appears as boundary geometry in Lifshitz holography.
- A proper description is useful for calculations of e.g. boundary stress-tensor. Guideline in setting up holographic dictionaries.

Conclusions

- Newton-Cartan geometry appears as boundary geometry in Lifshitz holography.
- A proper description is useful for calculations of e.g. boundary stress-tensor. Guideline in setting up holographic dictionaries.
- The geometry appearing in Lifshitz holography can be described in a vielbein formalism, based on the Schrödinger algebra.

Conclusions

- Newton-Cartan geometry appears as boundary geometry in Lifshitz holography.
- A proper description is useful for calculations of e.g. boundary stress-tensor. Guideline in setting up holographic dictionaries.
- The geometry appearing in Lifshitz holography can be described in a vielbein formalism, based on the Schrödinger algebra.
- Central charge of algebra is necessary for a proper description.

Conclusions

- Newton-Cartan geometry appears as boundary geometry in Lifshitz holography.
- A proper description is useful for calculations of e.g. boundary stress-tensor. Guideline in setting up holographic dictionaries.
- The geometry appearing in Lifshitz holography can be described in a vielbein formalism, based on the Schrödinger algebra.
- Central charge of algebra is necessary for a proper description.
- Dilatations are responsible for torsion, as observed.

Conclusions

- Newton-Cartan geometry appears as boundary geometry in Lifshitz holography.
- A proper description is useful for calculations of e.g. boundary stress-tensor. Guideline in setting up holographic dictionaries.
- The geometry appearing in Lifshitz holography can be described in a vielbein formalism, based on the Schrödinger algebra.
- Central charge of algebra is necessary for a proper description.
- Dilatations are responsible for torsion, as observed.
- Given here for $z = 2$, but can be extended for arbitrary z . Can be extended to include more generic forms of torsion.